## COFFEE CREEK ASSESSMENT - ATTACHMENT 4

#### PARTICIPANT LIST AND QUESTIONS FOR COFFEE CREEK FBC DISCUSSIONS

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

# FOCUSED DISCUSSION – JULY 20, 2023

- Projects: Precision Countertops
  - Will Grimm, First Forty Feet
  - Simone O-Halloran, MDG Architecture/Interiors

## FOCUSED DISCUSSION - JULY 24, 2023

- Projects: Coffee Creek Logistics, Black Creek Group, Delta Logistics
- Participants:
  - o Lee Leighton, Mackenzie
  - o Scott Moore, Mackenzie
  - o Nicole Burrell, Mackenzie

## FOCUSED DISCUSSION – JULY 27, 2023

- Projects: Coffee Creek Logistics, Black Creek Group
- Participants:
  - o Kim Schoenfelder, KGIP
  - o Zach Desper, Ares Management

## **QUESTIONS**

- The two land use review tracks, Administrative Review and Development Review Board, in Coffee Creek were established to facilitate a predictable and timely process for reviewing industrial development applications.
  - a. Based on your experience with the application and land use review process, do you agree that the process is predictable?
  - b. What do you think are the aspects of the process that help achieve this intended result or, conversely, that hinder achieving a predictable result?
- 2. The four developments subject to the Form-based Code in Coffee Creek have taken roughly 3 to 4 months, with one application taking roughly 7 months, from complete application to final approval of land use application (end of City Council or Development Review Board appeal period).
  - a. Based on your experience with industrial land development, do you think this is a reasonable timeline for land use review?
  - b. Do you think the process resulted in a relatively streamlined and straightforward review and approval?
  - c. Did concurrent City Council review of the annexation and Zone Map amendment make a difference in the process?
  - d. Do you have suggestions for how the process could be refined to shorten the review timeline further?

## COFFEE CREEK ASSESSMENT - ATTACHMENT 4

- 3. Two of the projects required subsequent Class 2 Administrative Review for modifications to number of parking spaces, landscaping, stormwater facilities, tree removal/retention, and other site improvements that were triggered by Building and Engineering requirements and Planning compliance related to construction.
  - a. Do you think there are modifications that could be made to the land use review process that would reduce the potential need for subsequent review?
- 4. All four projects in Coffee Creek had to request one or more waivers to the Coffee Creek standards, so none to date have been able to use the more efficient Administrative Review process. The waivers were for such site design elements as parcel driveway width on a Supporting Street, parking location and extent on an Addressing Street, retaining wall height and design, building entrance canopy height, etc.
  - a. Based on your project experience, do you think any of the standards are overly restrictive to development or pose a particular design challenge? Do you have suggestions for how those standards could be modified to make them less challenging?
  - b. Did the Form-based Code and Pattern Book encourage your team to do something different or result in a better building or site design?
  - c. What do you particularly like about the Form-based Code?
- 5. Would your design team have benefited from any additional information being provided during the pre-application meeting for your project that you did not receive?
- 6. Are there any questions you have for Staff or other comments and insights you would like to share?