## ATTACHMENT \#1

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

From: Wade Scarbrough, PE; Kelly Laustsen, PE; and Keisuke Harry, PE
RE: Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) for Boeckman Road \& Canyon Creek Road

## Introduction

Boeckman Road is an east/west minor arterial in the City of Wilsonville. It is one of three corridors that directly connect the east and west sides of the city across Interstate-5. The City is pursuing a project on Boeckman Road between the intersection at Canyon Creek Road and Stafford Road to improve multimodal mobility, capacity, and safety. The project includes improving the intersection of Boeckman Road and Canyon Creek Road, currently all-way stop-controlled.

Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. (Kittelson) conducted an intersection control evaluation (ICE) to assess both a traffic signal and roundabout. An ICE is a "data-driven, performance-based framework to screen intersection alternatives and identify an optimal solution" (Reference 1). An ICE provides objective performance metrics and results in balanced, cost-effective solutions. This evaluation, documented in this memorandum, consists of the following elements:

- Assessment of existing conditions, including crash data and traffic volumes;
- Conceptual designs for the alternatives;
- Operational analysis of the existing intersection and proposed alternatives under weekday PM peak hour existing (2021) and 2040 conditions;
- Analysis of safety performance;
- Identification of freight mobility, multimodal operations, constructability, and right-of-way needs; and
- A life-cycle cost analysis that includes both hard and soft costs.


## Existing Conditions

The existing conditions analysis identifies current site conditions, crash trends, and traffic volumes at the intersection.

## Site Conditions and Adjacent Land Uses

The intersection of Boeckman Road and Canyon Creek Road currently operates as an all-way stopcontrolled (AWSC) intersection. Figure 1 shows the intersection layout and current lane configurations. As
shown, all approaches have separated left-turn lanes and shared through/right-turn lanes. There are crosswalks on all approaches.

The intersection is located approximately half-a-mile east of l-5. There is not access to l-5 from Boeckman Road, with the nearest interchanges at Elligsen Road to the north and Wilsonville Road to the south. The areas to the south and east of the intersection are generally residential. There is a commercial park on the northwest corner of the intersection with access to Boeckman Road approximately 500 feet ${ }^{1}$ west of the intersection. The church on the northeast corner of the intersection has access to Canyon Creek Road approximately 150 feet $^{1}$ north of the intersection. Existing accesses within the immediate intersection vicinity are called out on Figure 1.

Figure 1: Intersection Aerial


Image source: Google Earth

## Transportation Facilities

Table 1 summarizes the functional classification and the existing street characteristics of Boeckman Road and Canyon Creek Road.

[^0]Table 1: Existing Transportation Roadway Facilities and Roadway Designation

| Roadway | Classification ${ }^{1}$ | Number of Lanes | Posted Speed | Sidewalks | Bicycle Lanes | On-Street Parking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boeckman Road | Minor Arterial | 2-3 | 40 mph | Intermittent | West of Canyon Creek Road | No |
| Canyon Creek Road | Minor Arterial | 2-3 | 30-35 mph | Intermittent | Yes | No |

1 Classifications are based on the City of Wilsonville Transportation System Plan (TSP, Reference 2)

## Crash Data

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) provided crash records for the intersection for the tenyear period from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2020. Table 2 summarizes the crash data. Appendix A contains the ODOT crash data.

Table 2: Crash Summary (January 2011 - December 2020)


As shown in the table, 13 crashes were reported at the intersection over the 10-year period from 2011 through 2020, an average of 1.3 crashes per year. Angle crashes are the most frequent crash type, with seven of the reported crashes falling into this category. Five of the angle crashes included the error code "disregarded stop sign." One pedestrian crash occurred, which was a possible injury crash with a driver error code of "failure to yield right-of-way to pedestrian."

## Traffic Data

Twenty-four-hour tube counts were collected on July 8, 2021 on Boeckman Road west of Canyon Creek Road. The daily traffic profile on Boeckman Road is shown in Figure 2. As illustrated in the graph, there are distinct peaks in traffic flow during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, with the weekday PM peak hour
the most critical hour. The westbound and eastbound volumes are closely balanced during each hour of the day. The average weekday daily traffic for Boeckman Road is approximately 6,030 vehicles per day. Appendix $B$ includes the tube count data.

Figure 2: Boeckman Road Daily Traffic Profile


## Existing and Future Intersection Volumes

Intersection turning movement counts were collected at the study intersection during the weekday PM peak hour on Thursday, September 30th, 2021. The peak hour occurred from 4:45-5:45 PM. Kittelson utilized estimated future weekday PM peak hour 2040 traffic volumes developed as part of the Frog Pond East/South Plan (currently under development). Figure 3 illustrates the 2021 and 2040 traffic volumes. Appendix B includes the turning movement counts.

Figure 3. 2021 and 2040 Weekday PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


## Description of Alternatives

For this analysis, two alternatives were evaluated to replace the existing all-way stop, including a traffic signal and single-lane roundabout.

## Alternative 1: Traffic Signal

The traffic signal alternative is shown in Figure 4. This concept is centered on the existing intersection and includes separate left-turn lanes on each approach. The concept reflects key design features, including:

- Left-turn lanes on Boeckman Road and Canyon Creek Road are designed to accommodate 2040 weekday PM peak hour $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues and allow for adequate deceleration before vehicles reach the back of queue.
- A 60 second cycle length is assumed, with detection on all approaches and permitted left-turn signal phasing ${ }^{2}$ on all approaches.
- Existing travel lanes on Canyon Creek Rd are 12 feet in width, with 14 -foot left-turn lanes. Travel lanes on Boeckman Rd are 11 feet in width, with 11 -foot left-turn lanes.
- Bicycle lanes are provided on all approaches within the intersection area and connect to the existing bicycle lanes to the west, north and south and planned buffered bicycle lanes to the east.
- Sidewalks are added or maintained on all approaches within the intersection area. Signalcontrolled crosswalks are provided on all legs of the intersection with accessible sidewalk ramps and pushbuttons at each corner.
- Curb radii and relevant striping are designed for fire trucks and 40 -foot school buses while accommodating a WB-62 vehicle. Turning movement analysis shows that fire trucks and school buses can complete turning movements without encroaching into other travel lanes, but a WB-62 will encroach into the opposing lanes to complete right turns. The truck turning figures for the traffic signal alternative are shown in Appendix C .
- Existing access points to surrounding properties are maintained. The Canyon Creek Road South approach east of the intersection would remain closed given its close proximity to the intersection.
Figure 4.: Traffic Signal Alternative
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## Alternative 2: Roundabout

The second alternative is a single-lane roundabout, shown in Figure 5. Key design features for the roundabout design concept include:

- An inscribed circle diameter (ICD) of 130 feet and 90 -foot central island diameter, including a mountable truck apron approximately 15 feet in width. The roundabout is designed for fire trucks, 40 -foot school buses, and WB-62 design vehicles. The truck turning figures for the roundabout alternative are shown in Appendix D.
- A 20 -foot circulatory roadway.
- Single-lane entry and exits ranging from 16 feet to 21 feet in width. These widths were determined based on preliminary analysis of truck turning paths.
- 10 -foot wide crosswalks set back approximately 5 feet from the circulatory roadway. Each crosswalk includes a pedestrian refuge area within the splitter island.
- Bicycle ramps on each approach and departure legs, typically located approximately 100 to 150 feet from the circulatory roadway (where the bicycle lanes are terminated).
- Detached 10 -foot wide shared-use path between the bicycle ramps and around the perimeter of the roundabout.
- Bicycle lanes and sidewalks that tie into the existing infrastructure.
- 5-foot planter strips between the roadway curbs and shared path.
- Access to the church on the northeast corner of the property is provided via a new right-in/rightout access on Boeckman Road, with the existing access on Canyon Creek Road converted to right-in/right-out. All other access points are unmodified.

These dimensions and design features comply with the guidelines outlined in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672 Roundabouts: An Informational Guide, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Edition (Reference 4).

Figure 5. Roundabout Concept
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## Alternatives Evaluation

The two alternatives were evaluated based on the following considerations:

- Traffic Operations
- Safety Performance
- Freight Mobility
- Multimodal Operations
- Construction Feasibility
- Life-Cycle Cost

The results of the evaluation are described in the following sections and summarized in Table 8.

## Traffic Operations

The operational performance relates to the ability of the intersection to serve the existing 2021 volumes and predicted 2040 volumes. For this analysis, delay, level of service (LOS), volume-to-capacity ratio (V/C), and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues were measured, using the methodology in the Highway Capacity Manual (Reference 5). Table 3 provides a summary of operational results for the 2021 and 2040 weekday PM peak hours. All Vistro worksheets are included in Appendix E.

Table 3: Weekday Peak Period Operations Comparison

| Approach | 2021 Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  | 2040 Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No-Build (AWSC) | Alt 1: Traffic Signal | Alt 2: Roundabout | No Build (AWSC) | Alt 1: Traffic Signal | Alt 2: <br> Roundabout |
|  | Volume-to-Capacity (v/c) Ratio (LTR except where noted) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | $\begin{gathered} L=0.07 \\ T R=0.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.08 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.36 \end{gathered}$ | 0.24 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.11 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.12 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.45 \end{gathered}$ | 0.40 |
| Southbound | $\begin{gathered} L=0.24 \\ T R=0.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.25 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.48 \end{gathered}$ | 0.39 | $\begin{gathered} L=0.42 \\ T R=0.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.44 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.48 \end{gathered}$ | 0.57 |
| Eastbound | $\begin{gathered} L=0.12 \\ T R=0.63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.15 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.52 \end{gathered}$ | 0.40 | $\begin{gathered} L=0.15 \\ T R=0.86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L=0.21 \\ T R=0.56 \end{gathered}$ | 0.51 |
| Westbound | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.15 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.17 \\ \mathrm{TR}=0.56 \end{gathered}$ | 0.37 | $\begin{gathered} L=0.22 \\ T R=1.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=0.27 \\ T \mathrm{R}=0.67 \end{gathered}$ | 0.50 |
| Average Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | 13.8 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 22.6 | 11.0 | 9.8 |
| Southbound | 15.5 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 24.2 | 12.8 | 13.1 |
| Eastbound | 19.4 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 40.0 | 11.7 | 11.2 |
| Westbound | 20.4 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 46.2 | 12.6 | 9.4 |
| Overall Intersection | 17.8 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 40.0 | 12.1 | 10.9 |
| Level of Service (LOS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | B | A | A | C | B | A |
| Southbound | C | A | A | C | B | B |
| Eastbound | C | A | A | E | B | B |
| Westbound | C | A | A | F | B | A |
| Overall Intersection | C | A | A | E | B | B |


|  | 2021 Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  | 2040 Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | No Build | Alt 1: Traffic Signal | Alt 2: <br> Roundabouł | No Build | Alt 1: Traffic Signal | Alt 2: <br> Roundabout |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue by Lane (feet) ${ }^{1}$ (LTR except where noted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ \mathrm{TR}=50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ \mathrm{TR}=50 \end{gathered}$ | 25 | $\begin{aligned} L & =25 \\ T R & =100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ \mathrm{TR}=75 \end{gathered}$ | 50 |
| Southbound | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ \mathrm{TR}=75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L=25 \\ T R=50 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | $\begin{aligned} L & =50 \\ T R & =100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =75 \\ \mathrm{TR} & =100 \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| Eastbound | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =25 \\ \mathrm{TR} & =125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ T R=50 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | $\begin{aligned} L & =25 \\ T R & =150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =25 \\ \mathrm{TR} & =100 \end{aligned}$ | 75 |
| Westbound | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}=25 \\ T \mathrm{R}=125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L=25 \\ T R=50 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =25 \\ \mathrm{TR} & =225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =50 \\ \mathrm{TR} & =125 \end{aligned}$ | 75 |

1 Queues rounded up to the nearest 25 feet.
2 L = Left, TR = Through-Right, LTR = Left-Through-Right
As shown in the table, the intersection is projected to operate under capacity and at an overall LOS of D or better under all scenarios, except for during the 2040 weekday PM peak hour conditions as an all-way stop-controlled intersection. During this scenario, the intersection is projected to operate at a LOS E with a delay of 40.0 seconds. While operations are relatively similar between the signal and roundabout alternative, delays and queves are notably longer under the no-build scenario.

## SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

A signal warrant analysis for the intersection was conducted using the volume-based warrants in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD, Reference 6). The analysis was run using the weekday PM peak hour turning movement counts collected in September 2021 and extrapolating twenty-four hour counts based on the volume profile from the tube counts collected on Boeckman Road in July 2021. Based on the assessment, a signal is warranted based on the peak hour, four-hour, and eight-hour volume warrants. The supporting signal warrant worksheet is provided in Appendix F.

## Safety Performance

The safety performance of each alternative was evaluated and compared to that of the existing all-way stop control. The Highway Safety Manual (HSM) provides crash prediction methods for traffic signals and roundabouts. These methods were used in conjunction with the local calibration coefficients developed by ODOT (References 7 and 8).

The HSM currently does not provide safety performance methods to predict crashes for all-way stop controlled intersections. To compare the build alternatives to the no-build scenario, Kittelson assumed that the predicted crash rate for an all-way stop controlled intersection would be a similar rate to that of a roundabout. Research cited in NCHRP Report 672 justifies this, stating that the estimated percent reduction in crashes from converting an all-way stop controlled intersection to a roundabout are insignificant (Reference 9).

The evaluation was performed using the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Safety Performance for Intersection Control Evaluation (SPICE) Tool. Crash prediction results for the all-way stop, traffic signal, and roundabout are shown in Table 4 for the expected opening year of 2025 and the design year of 2040.

Table 4: Crash Prediction Results - Annual Crashes

|  | No-Build |  | Traffic Signal |  | Roundabout |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Crashes | Fatal and <br> Injury Crashes | Total Crashes | Fatal and <br> Injury Crashes | Total Crashes | Fatal and <br> Injury Crashes |
| Opening Year <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 2 5})$ | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| Design Year <br> (2040) | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 |

The safety performance results indicate that the roundabout is expected to have similar safety performance to the existing all-way stop-controlled intersection, while the signal is expected to increase crash frequency. More than twice as many fatal and injury crashes are expected with a traffic signal compared to an all-way stop-controlled intersection or roundabout.

These safety performance results will be used as inputs in the life-cycle cost analysis, based on monetary values assigned to the different severities of crashes.

## Freight Mobility

Both the signal and roundabout alternatives are designed to accommodate an American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) WB-62 tractor-trailer design vehicles making turning movements at the intersection. Figure 6 displays the dimensions of the design vehicle.

Figure 6. AASHTO WB-62 Design Vehicle


Appendix C includes the design vehicle turning paths for the critical turn movements at each leg for the signal alternative. Additionally, it includes representative turning paths for school buses. As shown in the exhibit, the signal is designed for bus turning movements and accommodates the WB-62 design vehicle (with encroachment across centerlines). Though not shown in the exhibit, the traffic signal is expected to accommodate all fire trucks, motorhomes, and other buses, as these vehicles have turning paths similar to the school bus.

Appendix D includes the design vehicle turning paths for the critical turn movements at each leg for the roundabout alternative. Additionally, it includes representative turning paths for school buses. As shown in the exhibit, the roundabout is designed for bus turning movements as well as the WB-62 design vehicle. Though not shown in the exhibit, the roundabout is expected to accommodate all fire trucks, motorhomes, and other buses, as these vehicles have turning paths similar to the school bus.

## Multimodal Operations

The design for both intersection alternatives includes pedestrian crossings and sidewalks on all approaches at the intersection. The traffic signal includes signal-controlled crosswalks while the roundabout provides marked crosswalks with pedestrian refuge areas in the splitter islands.

Both alternatives include bicycle facilities that connect to existing bicycle lanes to the west, north and south and planned buffered bicycle lanes to the east. The roundabout alternative also includes a 10 -foot shared path on all corners of the intersection, allowing cyclists the option to navigate through the roundabout either as a vehicle or as a pedestrian.

## Construction Feasibility

Considerations related to maintenance of traffic and construction phasing for each alternative are noted in Table 5.

Table 5: Construction Feasibility Considerations

|  | Traffic Signal | Roundabout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance of Traffic | - The east leg of the intersection would be constructed during the roadway closure (during construction of bridge over Boeckman Creek), so there would be limited movements through the intersection to maintain. <br> - Maintenance of traffic would be simpler for the traffic signal compared to the roundabout, as the intersection could be maintained as a stop-controlled intersection during construction. | - The east leg of the intersection would be constructed during the roadway closure (during construction of the bridge over Boeckman Creek), so there would be limited movements through the intersection to maintain. <br> - Construction may require more temporary pavement and grading compared to the traffic signal. <br> - Roundabout will require more storm drainage improvements compared to the traffic signal. <br> - Maintenance of traffic will be more involved since construction of the approach legs and center circle will be phased. |
| Construction Phasing/ Schedule | - Overhead power on the south leg may conflict with traffic signal poles and arms and will likely need to be relocated prior to signal installation. <br> - If it is possible to keep the existing concrete pavement through the intersection, phasing would be very simple and include curb/sidewalk modifications, minimal pavement changes, and installation of signal equipment. <br> - Procurement of signal equipment could impact schedule. <br> - Likely faster to construct due to minimal infrastructure (curbs, sidewalks, pavement), fewer phases, and little to no temporary pavement required. | - Likely will not require overhead power relocation. <br> - Likely more certain schedule, although right-of-way needed could impact schedule. <br> - Longer schedule due to multiple phases need to construct roundabout. |

As shown in the table, the roundabout alternative will likely require a more involved plan to maintain traffic during construction and take longer to construct.

## Right-of-Way Needs

Right-of-way acquisition is necessary for both intersection control alternatives. Considerations related to the right-of-way impacts for each alternative are discussed below.

## Traffic Signal Alternative

The right-of-way needs associated with the traffic signal alternative are driven by the proposed addition of buffered bicycle lanes and sidewalks, design vehicle turning requirements, and area required for the placement of signal equipment. The total amount of right-of-way acquisition for the signalized alternative is estimated at 3,325 square feet.

It is anticipated that right-of-way needs for the signal alternative, as shown, will occur at the corners of the northwest, northeast, southwest, and southeast parcels. Impacts to the function of businesses and residents at these corners will be minimal; the northeast parcel will be the most impacted, requiring minor reconstruction of the parking lot resulting in the loss of up to two (2) parking spaces. No other functional loss is anticipated for the remaining parcels. However, existing landscaping in both the northwest and southwest parcels will likely be impacted.

## Roundabout Alternative

The right-of-way impacts of the roundabout alternative are driven by the 130 -foot ICD which is placed slightly north of the Boeckman Road centerline to minimize impacts to the residential property at the southwest corner. Additionally, the roundabout design requires widening of all approaches to accommodate the addition of splitter islands and shared-use paths. The total amount of right-of-way acquisition for the roundabout alternative is estimated at 11,750 square feet.

Right-of-way is needed on all four corners of the intersection. Impacts to the function of businesses and residents at the northwest, southwest and southeast corners will be minimal, with no functional loss of these parcels anticipated. The northeast parcel will be the most impacted, resulting in the loss of up to 24 parking spaces. A more detailed analysis of site circulation and parking is needed to better understand the parking impact and access needs.

## Life-Cycle Cost Analysis

A life-cycle cost analysis was conducted to compare costs over a 16 -year life cycle (assuming a design year of 2040) for both alternatives and the existing all-way stop-controlled intersection. The analysis was conducted using a variation of the spreadsheet-based Life-Cycle Cost Estimation Tool (LCCET) developed as part of NCHRP Web-Only Document 220: Estimating the Life-Cycle Cost of Intersection Designs (Reference 10). The tool used was a modified version of the LCCET developed by the Florida Department of Transportation (Reference 11).

The life-cycle cost includes both "hard" costs incurred by the City (construction, right-of-way, operations and maintenance) and "soft" costs incurred by society (value of users' time, fuel, crash costs). The cost estimates provided are 2025 values, and the net present value calculations are based on a base year of 2025. A discount rate of four percent (Reference 10) was applied to all future costs to calculate the net present value of the costs, with more details on the assumed costs summarized below.

The design year 2040 was selected given the City's typical planning horizon and the availability of volume projections for the year 2040. It should be noted that roundabouts typically have longer functional lives than roundabouts and therefore in 2040 the roundabout option is likely to have significantly more useful years of service left, whereas a traffic signal may be closer to needing replacement. Several agencies
reflect longer service lives for a roundabout compared to a traffic signal, as does NCHRP Report 672. Indiana DOT (Reference 12) indicates the "service life of a roundabout is 25 years (vs. the 10-year service life of signal equipment)" and Nevada DOT (Reference 13) indicates the "service life of a roundabout is approximately 25 years, versus approximately 10-20 years of service life for traffic signals."

## CALCULATION OF HARD COSTS

The project design-build team prepared planning-level cost estimates for construction of each alternative based on the conceptual design drawings. The cost estimates include an itemized breakdown of major earthwork, pavement structure, and other identifiable major components, (e.g., signing and pavement marking and street lighting). Groups of items (such as work zone traffic control) are presented as lump sum items, and the estimates provided are based on similar work from other recent projects. The assumed unit costs, estimated quantities, and cost estimates are provided in Appendix G.

Other assumptions used in developing the cost estimates include:

- Roadway widening would include full-depth pavement construction consistent with the City standard pavement section.
- Sidewalks, curbs, and ramps would be constructed as shown on the concept design drawings.
- Stormwater management and treatment facilities will be provided in accordance with City requirements.
- Signal installation (complete) is estimated at \$672,500.
- Construction cost excludes costs for construction management.
- The cost estimates for right-of-way acquisitions are based on an anticipated cost of $\$ 17.80$ per square foot for partial takings of property. The costs presented assume that full taking of the affected properties will not be required.

Based on these assumptions, the preliminary construction cost and project cost estimates are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Preliminary Construction Cost Estimates for Alternatives (2022 Costs)

|  | Traffic Signal | Roundabout |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Cost | $\$ 1,440,000$ | $\$ 2,090,000$ |
| Right-of-Way Cost | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 210,000$ |
| Additional Engineering/Design Cost* | - | $\$ 155,000$ |
| Owner's Rep Cost |  | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Survey Work Cost | $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Contingency (20\% of Construction Costs) | $\$ 290,000$ | $\$ 420,000$ |
| Total Construction Cost (Including Contingency) | $\$ 1,810,000$ | $\$ 2,955,000$ |

Notes: Costs rounded to the nearest $\$ 5,000$
*Engineering/design cost is already included in the City's design-build contract. Additional design cost would be necessary for roundabout alternative. The additional design cost for the roundabout is covered under contingency Task 14 in the designbuild contract.

The City of Wilsonville provided estimated costs for typical maintenance activities associated with each alternative, based on average numbers from the city budget reports. Assumed post-construction costs associated with operations and maintenance are as follows:

- All Way Stop (No Build)
- None
- Traffic Signal:
- Signal retiming: \$10,000 every three years
- Lighting: \$1,000 annually
- Signal maintenance: \$13,000 annually
- Roundabout
- Landscaping maintenance: \$1,000 annually


## CALCULATION OF SOFT COSTS

Delay costs were based on value of user time from the 2021 TTI Urban Mobility Report (Reference 14). The assumed value of time used to calculate delay costs are:

- Auto passenger delay: $\$ 19.64$ per person hour
- Truck delay: $\$ 55.24$ per truck hour

Crash cost estimates attempt to account for the economic costs (monetary impacts) of crashes including property damage, medical costs, crash response, lost wages, productivity loss, insurance administration, etc. The cost of a severe crashes is higher than that of property damage only (PDO) crash. The crash costs are based on the ODOT Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Guide and are comprehensive economic values per crash type calculated by ODOT (Reference 15). The costs used are:

- Cost per PDO crash: \$19,400
- Cost per fatal or injury crash: \$271,800

Appendix H provides details on how the cost per fatal or injury crash was developed.

## ANALYSIS FINDINGS

Table 7 summarizes the estimated life-cycle costs given a design year of 2040.
Table 7. Life-Cycle Cost Analysis: Net Present Value of Costs (2025 Dollars)

| Cost Categories | No Build (AWSC) | Traffic Signal | Roundabout |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Cost | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,810,000$ | $\$ 2,955,000$ |
| Post-Construction Cost (Operations \& Maintenance) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 215,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Auto Passenger Delay Cost | $\$ 10,650,000$ | $\$ 3,875,000$ | $\$ 3,415,000$ |
| Truck Delay Cost | $\$ 550,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 175,000$ |
| Crash Cost | $\$ 1,105,000$ | $\$ 2,170,000$ | $\$ 1,105,000$ |
| Total Cost | $\$ 12, \mathbf{3 0 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 2 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 7,660,000$ |

Note: Costs rounded to the nearest $\$ 5,000$
As shown in the table, the roundabout has the lowest life-cycle cost. Although the signalized alternative has a lower construction cost than the roundabout, it has a slightly higher total cost due to the higher

[^3]post-construction cost, vehicular delay cost, and crash cost. The no-build alternative (i.e. existing all-way stop-controlled intersection) has no construction cost or post-construction cost, but it has the highest overall cost due to the vehicular delay cost. The auto passenger delay cost is the largest contributor to the total cost for all three alternatives. Figure 7 graphs the estimated net present value of total costs.

Figure 7: Net Present Value of Total Costs


Appendix I provides the outputs for the life-cycle costs analysis.

## Conclusion

The evaluations of each alternative, as described in the previous sections, are summarized in Table 8.
Table 8. Evaluation Summary

| Consideration | Alt 1: Traffic Signal | Alt 2: Roundabouł |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Operations | Operates at a LOS B under 2040 weekday PM peak hour conditions. | Operates at a LOS B under 2040 weekday PM peak hour conditions. |
| Safety Performance | 2.1 total crashes/year including 0.7 fatal/injury crashes per year in 2040. | 1.5 total crashes/year including 0.3 fatal/injury crashes per year in 2040. |
| Freight Mobility | Accommodates a WB-62 design vehicle. | Designed for a WB-62 design vehicle. |
| Multimodal Operations | Provides bicycle lanes through intersection and sidewalks with signalcontrolled crosswalks. | Includes a 10 -foot shared path on all corners of the intersection, allowing cyclists the option to navigate through the roundabout either as a vehicle or as a pedestrian. Provides sidewalks and marked pedestrian crossings with pedestrian refuge in the splitter island. |
| Construction Feasibility | Maintenance of traffic would be simpler compared to the roundabout. <br> Overhead power on the south leg may need to be relocated, which could impact the schedule. <br> Likely faster to construct due to minimal infrastructure, fewer phases, and little to no temporary pavement required. | Maintenance of traffic will be more involved since construction of the approach legs and center circle will be phased. <br> Likely more certain schedule, although right-of-way needed could impact the schedule. <br> Longer schedule due to multiple phases need to construct roundabout. |
| Right-of-Way Needs | Requires approximately 3,325 SF of new right-of-way. Minor parking loss in northeast parcel. Landscape impacts on northwest and southwest parcels. | Requires approximately 11,750 SF of new right-of-way. More substantial parking loss in northeast parcel. Landscape impacts on northwest and southwest parcels. |
| Life-Cycle Cost Analysis | 15-year life-cycle cost: \$8,270,000 (2025 dollars) | 15-year life-cycle cost: \$7,660,000 (2025 dollars) |
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Appendix A Crash Data




# ACTION CODE TRANSLATION LIST 

## ACTION SHORT

CODE DESCRIPTION LONG DESCRIPTION

| 000 | NONE | NO ACTION OR NON-WARRANTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | SKIDDED | SkIDDED |
| 002 | on/off V | GEtting on or off stopped or parked vehicle |
| 003 | LOAD OVR | OVERHANGING LOAD Struck another vehicle, etc. |
| 006 | SLOW DN | SLOWED DOwn |
| 007 | Avoiding | AVOIDING MANEUVER |
| 008 | PAR PARK | PARALLEL PARKING |
| 009 | ANG PARK | Angle Parking |
| 010 | Interfere | PASSENGER Interfering with driver |
| 011 | Stopped | Stopped in traffic not waiting to make a left turn |
| 012 | STP/L TRN | Stopped because of left turn Signal or waiting, etc. |
| 013 | STP TURN | Stopped While executing A turn |
| 014 | EMR V PKD | Emergency vehicle legally parked in the roadway |
| 015 | GO A/Stop | PROCEED AFTER Stopping for a stop Sign/flashing red. |
| 016 | TRN A/RED | TURNED ON RED AFTER STOPPING |
| 017 | LOSTCTRL | LOST CONTROL OF VEHICLE |
| 018 | EXIT DWY | Entering Street or highway from alley or dilveway |
| 019 | ENTR DWY | Entering Alley or driveway from street or highway |
| 020 | STR ENTR | before entering roadway, Struck pedestrian, etc. on Sidewalk or shoulder |
| 021 | NO DRVR | CAR RAN AWAY - NO DRIVER |
| 022 | PREV COL | Struck, OR WAS Struck by, vehicle or pedestrian in prior collision before acc. Stabilized |
| 023 | STALLED | VEHICLE STALLED OR DISABLED |
| 024 | DRVR DEAD | DEAD BY UNASSOCIATED CAUSE |
| 025 | FAtigue | fatigued, Sleepy, Asleep |
| 026 | SUN | DRIVER BLINDED BY SUN |
| 027 | HDLGHTS | DRIVER BLINDED BY HEADLIGHTS |
| 028 | ILLNESS | PHYSICALLY ILL |
| 029 | THRU MED | VEHICLE CROSSED, PLUNGED OVER, OR THROUGH MEDIAN BARRIER |
| 030 | PURSUIT | PURSUING OR ATTEMPTING TO STOP A VEhICLE |
| 031 | PASSING | PASSING SITUATION |
| 032 | PRKOFFRD | VEHICLE PARKED BEYOND CURB OR SHOULDER |
| 033 | CROS MED | VEHICLE CROSSED EARTH OR GRASS MEDIAN |
| 034 | $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{SGNL}$ | Crossing at intersection - no traffic signal present |
| 035 | X W/ SGNL | CROSSING AT Intersection - traffic signal present |
| 036 | DIAGONAL | CROSSING AT INTERSECTION - DIAGONALLY |
| 037 | BTWN INT | CROSSING BETWEEN INTERSECTIONS |
| 038 | DISTRACT | DRIVER'S Attention distracted |
| 039 | W/TRAF-S | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON SHOULDER WITH TRAFFIC |
| 040 | A/TRAF-S | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON SHOULDER FACING TRAFFIC |
| 041 | W/TRAF-P | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON PAVEMENT WITH TRAFFIC |
| 042 | A/traf-P | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON PAVEMENT FACING TRAFFIC |
| 043 | PLAYINRD | PLAYING IN STREET OR ROAD |
| 044 | puSh mV | PUSHING OR WORKING ON VEHICLE IN ROAD OR ON SHOULDER |
| 045 | WORK ON | WORKING IN ROADWAY OR ALONG SHOULDER |
| 046 | W/ TRAFIC | NON-MOTORIST WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC. WIth traffic |
| 047 | A/ TRAFIC | NON-MOTORIST WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC. FACING TRAFFIC |
| 050 | LAY ON RD | StANDING OR LYING IN ROADWAY |
| 051 | ENT Offrd | Entering / Starting in traffic lane from off road |
| 052 | MERGING | MERGING |

## ACTION CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| 00 | NO CODE | NO CAUSE ASSOCIATED AT THIS LEVEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 01 | TOO-FAST | TOO FAST FOR CONDITIONS (NOT EXCEED POSTED SPEED. |
| 02 | NO-YIELD | DID NOT YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY |
| 03 | PAS-STOP | PASSED STOP SIGN OR RED FLASHER |
| 04 | DIS SIG | DISREGARDED TRAFFIC SIGNAL |
| 05 | LEFT-CTR | DROVE LEFT OF CENTER ON TWO-WAY ROAD; STRADDLING |
| 06 | IMP-OVER | IMPROPER OVERTAKING |
| 07 | TOO-CLOS | FOLLOWED TOO CLOSELY |
| 08 | IMP-TURN | MADE IMPROPER TURN |
| 09 | DRINKING | ALCOHOL OR DRUG INVOLVED |
| 10 | OTHR-IMP | OTHER IMPROPER DRIVING |
| 11 | MECH-DEF | MECHANICAL DEFECT |
| 12 | OTHER | OTHER (NOT IMPROPER DRIVING) |
| 13 | IMP LNC | IMPROPER CHANGE OF TRAFFIC LANES |
| 14 | DIS TCD | DISREGARDED OTHER TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE |
| 15 | WRNG WAY | WRONG WAY ON ONE-WAY ROAD; WRONG SIDE DIVIDED ROi |
| 16 | FATIGUE | DRIVER DROWSY/FATIGUED/SLEEPY |
| 17 | ILLNESS | PHYSICAL ILLNESS |
| 18 | IN RDWY | NON-MOTORIST ILLEGALLY IN ROADWAY |
| 19 | NT VISBL | NON-MOTORIST NOT VISIBLE; NON-REFLECTIVE CLOTHIN |
| 20 | IMP PKNG | VEHICLE IMPROPERLY PARKED |
| 21 | DEF STER | DEFECTIVE STEERING MECHANISM |
| 22 | DEF BRKE | INADEQUATE OR NO BRAKES |
| 24 | LOADSHFT | VEHICLE LOST LOAD OR LOAD SHIFTED |
| 25 | TIREFAIL | TIRE FAILURE |
| 26 | PHANTOM | PHANTOM / NON-CONTACT VEHICLE |
| 27 | INATTENT | INATTENTION |
| 28 | NM INATT | NON-MOTORIST INATTENTION |
| 29 | FAVOID | FAILED TO AVOID VEHICLE AHEAD |
| 30 | SPEED | DRIVING IN EXCESS OF POSTED SPEED |
| 31 | RACING | SPEED RACING (PER PAR) |
| 32 | CARELESS | CARELESS DRIVING (PER PAR) |
| 33 | RECKLESS | RECKLESS DRIVING (PER PAR) |
| 34 | AGGRESV | AGGRESSIVE DRIVING (PER PAR) |
| 35 | RDRAGE | ROAD RAGE (PER PAR) |
| 40 | VIEW OBS | VIEW OBSCURED |
| 50 | USED MDN | IMPROPER USE OF MEDIAN OR SHOULDER |
| 51 | FAIL LN | FAILED TO MAINTAIN LANE |
| 52 | OFF RD | RAN OFF ROAD |


| \& | OTH | MISCELL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | BACK | BACKING |
| 0 | PED |  |


| 1 | ANGL | PEDESTRIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | HEAD | ANGLE |


| 2 | HEAD | HEAD-ON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | REAR | REAR |

3 REAR REAR-END

| 4 | SS-M | SIDESWIPE - MEETING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

5 SS-O SIDESWIPE - OVERTAKIng
6 TURN TURNING MOVEMENT
PARK PARKING MANEUVER
8 NCOL NON-COLLISION
9 FIX FIXED OBJECT OR OTHER OBJECT

## CRASH TYPE CODE TRANSLATION LIST

CRASH SHORT
TYPE DESCRIPTION LONG DESCRIPTION

| $\&$ | OVERTURN | OVERTURNED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | NON-COLL | OTHER NON-COLLISIO |


| 0 | NON-COLL | OTHER NON-COLLISION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | OTH RDWY | MOTOR VEHICLE ON OTHER ROADWAY |


| 1 | OTH RDWY | MOTOR VEHICLE ON OTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | PRKD MV | PARKED MOTOR VEHICLE |


| LIC | SHORT |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| CODE | DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| 0 | NONE | NOT LICENSED (HAD NEVER BEEN LICENSED) |
| 1 | OR-Y | VALID OREGON LICENSE |
| 2 | OTH-Y | VALID LICENSE, OTHER STATE OR COUNTRY |
| 3 | SUSP | SUSPENDED/REVOKED |
| 4 | EXP | EXPIRED |
| 8 | N-VAL | OTHER NON-VALID LICENSE |
| 9 | UNK | UNKNOWN IF DRIVER WAS LICENSED AT TIME OF CRASH |


| RES <br> CODE | SHORT <br> DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

## ERROR CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| ERROR CODE | SHORT <br> DESCRIPTION | FULL DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000 | NONE | NO ERROR |
| 001 | WIDE TRN | WIDE TURN |
| 002 | CUT CORN | CUT CORNER ON TURN |
| 003 | FAIL TRN | FAILED TO OBEY MANDATORY TRAFFIC TURN SIGNAL, SIGN OR LANE MARKINGS |
| 004 | L IN TRF | LEFT TURN IN FRONT OF ONCOMING TRAFFIC |
| 005 | L PROHIB | LEFT TURN WHERE PROHIBITED |
| 006 | FRM WRng | TURNED FROM WRONG LANE |
| 007 | TO WRONG | TURNED INTO WRONG LANE |
| 008 | ILLEG U | U-TURNED ILLEGALLY |
| 009 | IMP STOP | IMPROPERLY STOPPED IN TRAFFIC LANE |
| 010 | IMP SIG | IMPROPER SIGNAL OR FAILURE TO SIGNAL |
| 011 | IMP BACK | BACKING IMPROPERLY (NOT PARKING) |
| 012 | IMP PARK | IMPROPERLY PARKED |
| 013 | UNPARK | Improper Start leaving Parked position |
| 014 | IMP STRT | IMPROPER START FROM STOPPED POSITION |
| 015 | IMP LGHT | IMPROPER OR NO LIGHTS (VEHICLE IN TRAFFIC) |
| 016 | InAttent | INATTENTION (FAILURE TO DIM LIGHTS PRIOR TO 4/1/97) |
| 017 | UNSF VEH | DRIVING UNSAFE VEHICLE (NO OTHER ERROR APPARENT) |
| 018 | Oth PARK | ENTERING/EXITING PARKED POSITION W/ InSufficient Clearance; other improper Parking maneuver |
| 019 | DIS DRIV | DISREGARDED OTHER DRIVER'S SIGNAL |
| 020 | DIS SGNL | DISREGARDED TRAFFIC SIGNAL |
| 021 | RAN Stop | DISREGARDED Stop Sign or flashing red |
| 022 | DIS SIGN | DISREGARDED WARNING SIGN, FLARES OR FLASHING AMBER |
| 023 | DIS OFCR | DISREGARDED POLICE OFFICER OR FLAGMAN |
| 024 | DIS EMER | DISREGARDED SIREN OR WARNING OF EMERGENCY VEHICLE |
| 025 | DIS RR | DISREGARDED RR SIGNAL, RR SIGN, OR RR FLAGMAN |
| 026 | REAR-END | FAILED TO AVOID Stopped or parked vehicle ahead other than School bus |
| 027 | BIKE ROW | DID NOT HAVE RIGHT-OF-WAY OVER PEDALCYCLIST |
| 028 | No Row | DID NOT HAVE RIGHT-OF-WAY |
| 029 | PED ROW | FAILED TO YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY to pedestrian |
| 030 | PAS CURV | PASSING ON A CURVE |
| 031 | PAS WRng | PASSING ON THE WRONG SIDE |
| 032 | PAS tANG | PASSING ON STRAIGHT ROAD UNDER UNSAFE CONDITIONS |
| 033 | PAS X -WK | PASSED VEHICLE Stopped at crosswalk for pedestrian |
| 034 | PAS INTR | PASSING AT INTERSECTION |
| 035 | PAS HILL | PASSING ON CREST Of hill |
| 036 | N/PAS ZN | PASSING IN "NO PASSING" ZONE |
| 037 | PAS TRAF | PASSING In FRONT OF ONCOMING TRAFFIC |
| 038 | CUT-IN | CUtting in (TWO LANES - TWO WAY OnLy) |
| 039 | WRNGSIDE | DRIVING ON WRONG SIDE Of the road (2-WAY UNDIVIDED ROADWAYS) |


| ERROR | SHORT DESCRIPTION | FULL DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040 | THRU MED | DRIVING THROUGH SAFETY ZONE OR OVER ISLAND |
| 041 | F/ST BUS | FAILED TO STOP FOR SCHOOL BUS |
| 042 | F/SLO MV | FAILED TO DECREASE SPEED FOR SLOWER MOVING VEHICLE |
| 43 | too Close | FOLLOWING TOO CLOSELY (MUST BE ON OFFICER'S REPORT) |
| 044 | STRDL LN | STRADDLING OR DRIVING ON WRONG LANES |
| 045 | IMP CHG | ImPROPER CHANGE OF TRAFFIC LANES |
| 046 | WRNG WAY | WRONG WAY ON ONE-WAY ROADWAY; WRONG SIDE DIVIDED ROAD |
| 047 | BASCRULE | DRIVING TOO FAST FOR CONDITIONS (NOT EXCEEDING POSTED SPEED) |
| 048 | OPN DOOR | OPENED DOOR INTO ADJACENT TRAFFIC LANE |
| 049 | Impeding | IMPEDING TRAFFIC |
| 050 | SPEED | DRIVING In EXCESS OF POSTED SPEED |
| 051 | RECKLESS | RECKLESS DRIVING (PER PAR) |
| 052 | CARELESS | CARELESS DRIVING (PER PAR) |
| 053 | RACING | SPEED RACING (PER PAR) |
| 054 | X N/SGNL | CROSSING AT Intersection, NO TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRESENT |
| 055 | X W/SGNL | CROSSING AT INTERSECTION, TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRESENT |
| 056 | DIAGONAL | CROSSING AT INTERSECTION - DIAGONALLY |
| 057 | BTWN INT | CROSSING BETWEEN INTERSECTIONS |
| 059 | W/TRAF-S | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON SHOULDER WITH TRAFFIC |
| 060 | A/TRAF-S | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON SHOULDER FACING TRAFFIC |
| 061 | W/TRAF-P | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON PAVEMENT WITH TRAFFIC |
| 062 | A/TRAF-P | WALKING, RUNNING, RIDING, ETC., ON PAVEMENT FACING TRAFFIC |
| 063 | PLAYINRD | PLAYING IN STREET OR ROAD |
| 064 | PUSH MV | PUSHING OR WORKING ON VEHICLE IN ROAD OR ON SHOULDER |
| 065 | WORK IN RD | WORKING IN ROADWAY OR ALONG SHOULDER |
| 070 | LAY ON RD | Standing Or Lying in roadway |
| 071 | NM IMP USE | IMPROPER USE OF TRAFFIC LANE BY NON-MOTORIST |
| 073 | ELUDING | ELUding / Attempt to elude |
| 079 | F NEG CURV | FAILED TO NeGotiate a curve |
| 080 | FAIL LN | FAILED TO MAINTAIN LANE |
| 081 | OFF RD | RAN OFF ROAD |
| 082 | No CLEAR | DRIVER MISJUDGED CLEARANCE |
| 083 | OVRSTEER | OVER-CORRECTING |
| 084 | NOT USED | CODE NOT IN USE |
| 085 | OVRLOAD | OVERLOADING OR IMPROPER LOADING OF VEHICLE WITH CARGO OR PASSENGERS |
| 97 | UNA DIS TC | UNABLE TO DETERMINE WHICH DRIVER DISREGARDED TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE |

EVENT SHORT
CODE DESCRIPTION

| 001 | FEL/JUMP | OCCUPANT FELL, JUMPED OR WAS EJECTED FROM MOVING VEhICLE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 002 | INTERFER | PASSENGER INTERFERED WITH DRIVER |
| 003 | bug inte | ANIMAL OR INSECT IN VEHICLE INTERFERED WITH DRIVER |
| 004 | INDRCT PED | PEDESTRIAN INDIRECTLY INVOLVED (NOT STRUCK) |
| 005 | SUB-PED | "SUB-PED": PEDESTRIAN INJURED SUBSEQUENT TO COLLISION, ETC. |
| 006 | INDRCT BIK | PEDALCYCLIST INDIRECTLY INVOLVED (NOT STRUCK) |
| 007 | HITCHIKR | HITCHHIKER (SOLICITING A RIDE) |
| 008 | PSNGR TOW | PASSENGER OR NON-MOTORIST BEING TOWED OR PUSHED ON CONVEYANCE |
| 009 | ON/OFF V | GEtting On/OFF Stopped/parked vehicle (OCCUPANTS Only; must have physical contact w/ vehic |
| 010 | SUB OTRN | OVERTURNED AFTER FIRST HARMFUL EVENT |
| 011 | MV PUSHD | VEHICLE BEING PUSHED |
| 012 | MV TOWED | VEHICLE TOWED OR HAD BEEN TOWING ANOTHER VEHICLE |
| 013 | FORCED | VEHICLE FORCED BY IMPACT INTO ANOTHER VEHICLE, PEDALCYCLIST OR PEDESTRIAN |
| 014 | SET MOTN | VEHICLE SET IN MOTION BY NON-DRIVER (CHILD RELEASED BRAKES, ETC.) |
| 015 | RR ROW | AT OR ON RAILROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY (NOT LIGHT RAIL) |
| 016 | LT RL Row | AT OR ON LIGHT-RAIL RIGHT-OF-WAY |
| 017 | RR HIT V | TRAIN STRUCK VEhicle |
| 018 | V HIT RR | VEhicle struck train |
| 019 | HIT RR CAR | vehicle struck railroad car on roadway |
| 020 | JACKNIFE | JACKKNIFE; TRAILER OR TOWED VEHICLE STRUCK TOWING VEHICLE |
| 021 | TRL OTRN | TRAILER OR TOWED VEHICLE OVERTURNED |
| 022 | CN BROKE | TRAILER CONNECTION BROKE |
| 023 | DETACH TRL | DETACHED TRAILING OBJECT STRUCK OTHER VEHICLE, NON-MOTORIST, OR OBJECT |
| 024 | V DOOR OPN | VEHICLE DOOR OPENED INTO ADJACENT TRAFFIC LANE |
| 025 | WHEELOFF | WHEEL CAME OFF |
| 026 | HOOD UP | HOOD FLEW UP |
| 028 | LOAD SHIFT | LOST LOAD, LOAD MOVED OR Shifted |
| 029 | TIREFAIL | TIRE FAILURE |
| 030 | PET | PET: CAT, DOG AND SIMILAR |
| 031 | LVSTOCK | STOCK: COW, CALF, BULL, STEER, SHEEP, ETC. |
| 032 | HORSE | HORSE, MULE, OR DONKEY |
| 033 | HRSE\&RID | HORSE AND RIDER |
| 034 | GAME | WILD AnIMAL, GAME (INCLUDES BIRDS; NOT DEER OR ELK) |
| 035 | DEER ELK | DEER OR ELK, WAPITI |
| 036 | AnML Veh | ANIMAL-DRAWN VEHICLE |
| 037 | CULVERT | CULVERT, OPEN LOW OR HIGH MANHOLE |
| 038 | Atenuatn | IMPACT ATTENUATOR |
| 039 | PK METER | PARKING METER |
| 040 | CURB | CURB (ALSO NARROW SIDEWALKS ON BRIDGES) |
| 041 | JIGGLE | JIGGLE BAR OR TRAFFIC SNAKE FOR CHANNELIZATION |
| 042 | GDRL END | LEADING EDGE OF GUARDRAIL |
| 043 | GARDRAIL | GUARD RAIL (NOT METAL MEDIAN BARRIER) |
| 044 | BARRIER | MEDIAN BARRIER (RAISED OR METAL) |
| 045 | WALL | REtAINING WALL OR TUNNEL WALL |
| 046 | BR RAIL | BRIDGE RAILING OR PARAPET (ON BRIDGE OR APPROACH) |
| 047 | BR ABUTMNT | BRIDGE ABUTMENT (INCLUDED "APPROACH END" THRU 2013) |
| 048 | BR COLMN | BRIDGE PILLAR OR COLUMN |
| 049 | BR GIRDR | BRIDGE GIRDER (HORIZONTAL BRIDGE STRUCTURE OVERHEAD) |
| 050 | ISLAND | TRAFFIC RAISED ISLAND |
| 051 | GORE | GORE |
| 052 | POLE UNK | POLE - TYPE UNKNOWN |
| 053 | POLE UTL | POLE - POWER OR TELEPHONE |
| 054 | ST LIGHT | POLE - Street light only |
| 055 | TRF SGNL | POLE - TRAFFIC SIGNAL AND PED SIGNAL ONLY |
| 056 | SGN BRDG | POLE - SIGN BRIDGE |
| 057 | STOPSIGN | Stop OR YIELD SIGN |

## EVENT CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| CODE | DESCRIPTION | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 058 | OTH SIGN | OTHER SIGN, INCLUDING STREET SIGNS |
| 059 | HYDRANT | HYDRANT |
| 060 | MARKER | DELINEATOR OR MARKER (REFLECTOR POSTS) |
| 061 | MAILBOX | MAILBOX |
| 062 | tree | tree, Stump or shrubs |
| 063 | VEG OHED | tree branch or other vegetation overhead, etc. |
| 064 | WIRE/CBL | WIRE OR CABLe ACROSS OR OVER THE ROAD |
| 065 | TEMP SGN | TEMPORARY SIGN OR BARRICADE IN ROAD, ETC. |
| 066 | PERM SGN | PERMANENT SIGN OR BARRICADE IN/OFF ROAD |
| 067 | SLIDE | SLIDES, FALLEN OR FALLING ROCKS |
| 068 | FRGN OBJ | FOREIGN OBSTRUCTION/DEBRIS IN ROAD (NOT GRAVEL) |
| 069 | EQP WORK | EQUIPMENT WORKING IN/OFF ROAD |
| 070 | OTH EQP | OTHER EQUIPMENT IN OR OFF ROAD (INCLUDES PARKED TRAILER, BOAT) |
| 071 | MAIN EQP | WRECKER, STREET SWEEPER, SNOW PLOW OR SANDING EQUIPMENT |
| 072 | OTHER WALL | ROCK, BRICK OR OTHER SOLID WALL |
| 073 | IRRGL PVMT | OTHER BUMP (NOT SPEED BUMP), POTHOLE OR PAVEMENT IRREGULARITY (PER PAR) |
| 074 | OVERHD OBJ | OTHER OVERHEAD OBJECT (HIGHWAY SIGN, SIGNAL HEAD, ETC.); NOT BRIDGE |
| 075 | CAVE IN | BRIDGE OR ROAD CAVE IN |
| 076 | HI WAter | HIGH WATER |
| 077 | SNO BANK | SNOW BANK |
| 078 | LO-HI EDGE | Low OR HIGH Shoulder at Pavement edge |
| 079 | DITCH | CUT SLOPE OR DITCH EMBANKMENT |
| 080 | OBJ FRM MV | STRUCK BY ROCK OR OTHER OBJECT SET IN MOTION BY OTHER VEHICLE (INCL. LOST LOADS) |
| 081 | FLY-OBJ | STRUCK BY ROCK OR OTHER MOVING OR FLYING OBJECT (NOT SET IN MOTION BY VEHICLE) |
| 082 | VEH HID | VEhicle ObSCURED view |
| 083 | VEG HID | VEGETATION OBSCURED VIEW |
| 084 | BLDG HID | VIEW OBSCURED BY Fence, Sign, Phone booth, etc. |
| 085 | WIND GUST | WIND GUST |
| 086 | IMMERSED | VEHICLE IMMERSED IN BODY OF WATER |
| 087 | FIRE/EXP | FIRE OR EXPLOSION |
| 088 | FENC/BLD | FENCE OR BUILDING, ETC. |
| 089 | OTHR CRASH | CRASH RELATED TO ANOTHER SEPARATE CRASH |
| 090 | TO 1 SIDE | TWO-WAY traffic on divided roadway all routed to one side |
| 091 | BUILDING | BUILDING OR OTHER STRUCTURE |
| 092 | PHANTOM | OTHER (PHANTOM) NON-CONTACT VEHICLE |
| 093 | CELL PHONE | CELL PHONE (ON PAR OR DRIVER IN USE) |
| 094 | VIOL GDL | teenage driver in violation of graduated license pgm |
| 095 | GUY WIRE | GUY WIRE |
| 096 | BERM | BERM (EARTHEN OR GRAVEL MOUND) |
| 097 | GRAVEL | GRAVEL IN ROADWAY |
| 098 | ABR EDGE | ABRUPT EDGE |
| 099 | CELL WTNSD | CELL PHONE USE WITNESSED BY OTHER PARTICIPANT |
| 100 | UNK FIXD | FIXED OBJECT, UNKNOWN TYPE. |
| 101 | OTHER OBJ | NON-FIXED OBJECT, OTHER OR UNKNOWN TYPE |
| 102 | TEXTING | TEXTING |
| 103 | WZ WORKER | WORK ZONE WORKER |
| 104 | ON VEhicle | PASSENGER RIDING ON VEhICLE EXTERIOR |
| 105 | PEDAL PSGR | PASSENGER RIDING ON PEDALCYCLE |
| 106 | MAN WHLCHR | PEDESTRIAN IN NON-MOTORIZED WHEELCHAIR |
| 107 | MTR WHLCHR | PEDESTRIAN IN MOTORIZED Wheelchair |
| 108 | OFFICER | LAW ENFORCEMENT / POLICE OFFICER |
| 109 | SUB-BIKE | "SUB-BIKE": PEDALCYCLIST INJURED SUBSEQUENT TO COLLISION, ETC. |
| 110 | N-MTR | NON-MOTORIST STRUCK VEHICLE |
| 111 | S CAR VS V | Street Car/Troliey (on Rails or overhead wire system) Struck vehicle |
| 112 | v VS S CAR | VEhicle struck street Car/trolley (on Rails or overhead wire system) |
| 113 | S CAR ROW | AT OR ON STREET CAR OR TROLLEY RIGHT-OF-WAY |

## event code translation list

SHORT
CODE DESCRIPTION LONG DESCRIPTION

| 114 | RR EQUIP | VEHICLE STRUCK RAILROAD EQUIPMENT (NOT TRAIN) ON TRACKS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 115 | DSTRCT GPS | DISTRACTED BY NAVIGATION SYSTEM OR GPS DEVICE |
| 116 | DSTRCT OTH | DISTRACTED BY OTHER ELECTRNIC DEVICE |



| CLASS | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- |
| 01 | RURAL PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE |
| 02 | RURAL PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - OTHER |
| 06 | RURAL MINOR ARTERIAL |
| 07 | RURAL MAJOR COLLECTOR |
| 08 | RURAL MINOR COLLECTOR |
| 09 | RURAL LOCAL |
| 11 | URBAN PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE |
| 12 | URBAN PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - OTHER FREEWAYS AND EXP |
| 14 | URBAN PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL - OTHER |
| 16 | URBAN MINOR ARTERIAL |
| 17 | URAN MAJOR COLLETOR |
| 18 | URBAN MINOR COLLETTOR |
| 19 | URBAN LOCAL |
| 78 | UNKNOWN RURAL SYSTEM |
| 79 | UNKNOWN RURAL NON-SYSTEM |
| 98 | UNKNOWN URBAN SYSTEM |
| 99 | UNKNOWN URBAN NON-SYSTEM |

## INJURY SEVERITY CODE TRANSLATION LIST

## SHORT

| CODE | DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | KILL | FATAL INJURY (K) |
| 2 | INJA | SUSPECTED SERIOUS INJURY (A) |
| 3 | INJB | SUSPECTED MINOR INJURY (B) |
| 4 | INJC | POSSIBLE INJURY (C) |
| 5 | PRI | DIED PRIOR TO CRASH |
| 7 | NO<5 | NO INJURY- O TO 4 YEARS OF AGE |
| 9 | NONE | NO APPARENT INJURY (0) |

## MEDIAN TYPE CODE TRANSLATION LIST

|  | SHORT |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| CODE | DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| 0 | NONE | NO MEDIAN |
| 1 | RSDMD | SOLID MEDIAN BARRIER |
| 2 | DIVMD | EARTH, GRASS OR PAVED MEDIAN |

## LIGHT CONDITION CODE TRANSLATION LIST

## SHORT

| CODE | DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | UNK | UNKNOWN |
| 1 | DAY | DAYLIGHT |
| 2 | DLIT | DARKNESS - WITH STREET LIGHTS |
| 3 | DARK | DARKNESS - NO STREET LIGHTS |
| 4 | DAWN | DAWN (TWILIGHT) |

5 DUSK DUSK (TWILIGHT)
mileage type code translation list

| CODE | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | REGULAR MILEAGE |
| T | TEMPORARY |
| Y | SPUR |
| $Z$ | OVERLAPPING |

MOVEMENT TYPE CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| CODE | DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | UNK | UNKNOWN |
| 1 | STRGHT | STRAIGHT AHEAD |
| 2 | TURN-R | TURNING RIGHT |
| 3 | TURN-L | TUANING LEFT |
| 4 | U-TURN | MARING A U-TURN |
| 5 | BACK | BACKING |
| 6 | STOP | STOPPED IN TRAFFIC |
| 7 | PRKD-P | PARKED - PROPERLY |
| 8 | PRKD-I | PARKED - IMPROPRLY |
| 9 | PARKNG | PARKING MANEUVER |

PARTICIPANT TYPE CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| CODE | SHORT <br> DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |

## traffic control device code translation list

| CODE | SHORT DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 000 | NONE | NO CONTROL |
| 001 | TRF SIGNAL | TRAFFIC SIGNALS |
| 002 | FLASHBCN-R | FLASHING BEACON - RED (STOP) |
| 003 | FLASHBCN-A | FLASHING BEACON - AMBER (SLOW) |
| 004 | STOP SIGN | STOP SIGN |
| 005 | SLOW SIGN | SLOW SIGN |
| 006 | REG-SIGN | REGULATORY SIGN |
| 007 | YIELD | YIELD SIGN |
| 008 | WARNING | WARNING SIGN |
| 009 | CURVE | CURVE SIGN |
| 010 | SCHL X-ING | SCHOOL CROSSING SIGN OR SPECIAL SIGNAL |
| 011 | OFCR/FLAG | POLICE OFFICER, FLAGMAN - SCHOOL PATROL |
| 012 | BRDG-GATE | BRIDGE GATE - BARRIER |
| 013 | TEMP-BARR | TEMPORARY BARRIER |
| 014 | NO-PASS-ZN | NO PASSING ZONE |
| 015 | ONE-WAY | ONE-WAY STREET |
| 016 | CHANNEL | CHANNELIZATINN |
| 017 | MEDAN BAR | MEDIAN BARRIER |
| 018 | PILOT CAR | PILOT CAR |
| 019 | SP PED SIG | SPECIAL PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL |
| 020 | X-BUCK | CROSSBUCK |
| 021 | THR-GN-SIG | THROUGH GREEN ARROW OR SIGNAL |
| 022 | L-GRN-SIG | LEFT TURN GREEN ARROW, LANE MARKINGS, OR SIGNAL |
| 023 | R-GRN-SIG | RIGHT TURN GREEN ARROW, LANE MARKINGS, OR SIGNAL |
| 024 | WIGNG | WIGWAG OR FLASHING LIGHTS W/O DROP-ARM GATE |
| 025 | X-BUCK WRN | CROSSBUCK AND ADVANCE WARNING |
| 026 | WW W/ GATE | FLASHING LIGHTS WITH DROP-ARM GATES |
| 027 | OVRHD SGNL | SUPPLEMENTAL OVERHEAD SIGNAL (RR XING ONLY) |
| 028 | SP RR STOP | SPECIAL RR STOP SIGN |
| 029 | ILUM GRD X | ILLUMINATED GRADE CROSSING |
| 037 | RAMP METER | METERED RAMPS |
| 038 | RUMBLE STR | RUMBLE STRIP |
| 040 | AUTO. FLAG | AUTOMATED FLAGGER ASSISTANCE DEVICE |
| 090 | L-TURN REF | LEFT TURN REFUGE (WHEN REFUGE IS INVOLVED) |
| 091 | R-TURN ALL | RIGHT TURN AT ALL TIMES SIGN, ETC. |
| 092 | EMR SGN/FL | EMERGENCY SIGNS OR FLARES |
| 093 | ACCEL LANE | ACCELERATION OR DECELERATION LANES |
| 094 | R-TURN PRO | RIGHT TURN PROHIBITED ON RED AFTER STOPPING |
| 095 | BUS STPSGN | BUS STOP SIGN AND RED LIGHTS |

## VEhicle type code translation lis

WEATHER CONDItION CODE TRANSLATION LIST

| CODE | SHORT DESC | LONG DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 00 | PDO | NOT COLLECTED FOR PDO CRASHES |
| 01 | PSNGR CAR | PASSENGER CAR, PICKUP, LIGHT DELIVERY, ETC. |
| 02 | BOBTAIL | TRUCK TRACTOR WITH NO TRAILERS (BOBTAIL) |
| 03 | FARM TRCTR | FARM TRACTOR OR SELF-PROPELLED FARM EQUIPMENT |
| 04 | SEMI TOW | TRUCK TRACTOR WITH TRAILER/MOBILE HOME IN TOW |
| 05 | TRUCK | TRUCK WITH NON-DETACHABLE BED, PANEL, ETC. |
| 06 | MOPED | MOPED, MINIBIKE, SEATED MOTOR SCOOTER, MOTOR BIKE |
| 07 | SCHL BUS | SCHOOL BUS (INCLUDES VAN) |
| 08 | OTH BUS | OTHER BUS |
| 09 | MTRCYCLE | MOTORCYCLE, DIRT BIKE |
| 10 | OTHER | OTHER: FORKLIFT, BACKHOE, ETC. |
| 11 | MOTRHOME | MOTORHOME |
| 12 | TROLLEY | MOTORIZED STREET CAR/TROLLEY (NO RAILS/WIRES) |
| 13 | ATV | ATV |
| 14 | MTRSCTR | MOTORIZED SCOOTER (STANDING) |
| 15 | SNOWMOBILE | SNOWMOBILE |
| 99 | UNKNOWN | UNKNOWN VEHICLE TYPE |

## Appendix B Traffic Data

## QUALITY COUNTS REPORT

----------------------

Type: Volume Data
Location: Boeckman Rd 250-700' w/o Canyon Creek Rd
Specific Location:
City/State: Wilsonville OR
QCJobNo: 15502817
Date:
Direction: EB
Comments:

| Start Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Average Weekday Hourly Traffic | Sat | Sun | Average Week Hourly Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 8-Jul-21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 AM |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| 1:00 AM |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 2:00 AM |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 3:00 AM |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |  |  | 9 |
| 4:00 AM |  |  |  | 18 |  | 18 |  |  | 18 |
| 5:00 AM |  |  |  | 33 |  | 33 |  |  | 33 |
| 6:00 AM |  |  |  | 92 |  | 92 |  |  | 92 |
| 7:00 AM |  |  |  | 126 |  | 126 |  |  | 126 |
| 8:00 AM |  |  |  | 206 |  | 206 |  |  | 206 |
| 9:00 AM |  |  |  | 163 |  | 163 |  |  | 163 |
| 10:00 AM |  |  |  | 152 |  | 152 |  |  | 152 |
| 11:00 AM |  |  |  | 192 |  | 192 |  |  | 192 |
| 12:00 PM |  |  |  | 182 |  | 182 |  |  | 182 |
| 1:00 PM |  |  |  | 186 |  | 186 |  |  | 186 |
| 2:00 PM |  |  |  | 203 |  | 203 |  |  | 203 |
| 3:00 PM |  |  |  | 249 |  | 249 |  |  | 249 |
| 4:00 PM |  |  |  | 323 |  | 323 |  |  | 323 |
| 5:00 PM |  |  |  | 331 |  | 331 |  |  | 331 |
| 6:00 PM |  |  |  | 245 |  | 245 |  |  | 245 |
| 7:00 PM |  |  |  | 111 |  | 111 |  |  | 111 |
| 8:00 PM |  |  |  | 101 |  | 101 |  |  | 101 |
| 9:00 PM |  |  |  | 79 |  | 79 |  |  | 79 |
| 10:00 PM |  |  |  | 44 |  | 44 |  |  | 44 |
| 11:00 PM |  |  |  | 35 |  | 35 |  |  | 35 |
| Day Total |  |  |  | 3087 |  | 3087 |  |  | 3087 |
| ADT |  |  |  | 3087 |  | 3087 |  |  | 3087 |

\%Weekday Average
\%Week Average

AM Peak
Volume

PM Peak
Volume
100.00\%
100.00\%

8:00 AM
206

5:00 PM
331
100.00\%

8:00 AM 206

5:00 PM 331

8:00 AM 206

5:00 PM
331

## QUALITY COUNTS REPORT

$\qquad$

Type: Volume Data
Location: Boeckman Rd 250-700' w/o Canyon Creek Rd
Specific Location:
City/State: Wilsonville OR
QCJobNo: 15502817
Date:
Direction: WB
Comments:

| Start Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Average Weekday Hourly Traffic | Sat | Sun | Average Week Hourly Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 8-Jul-21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 AM |  |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| 1:00 AM |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 2:00 AM |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 3:00 AM |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| 4:00 AM |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |  |  | 9 |
| 5:00 AM |  |  |  | 12 |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 6:00 AM |  |  |  | 62 |  | 62 |  |  | 62 |
| 7:00 AM |  |  |  | 128 |  | 128 |  |  | 128 |
| 8:00 AM |  |  |  | 186 |  | 186 |  |  | 186 |
| 9:00 AM |  |  |  | 142 |  | 142 |  |  | 142 |
| 10:00 AM |  |  |  | 141 |  | 141 |  |  | 141 |
| 11:00 AM |  |  |  | 187 |  | 187 |  |  | 187 |
| 12:00 PM |  |  |  | 172 |  | 172 |  |  | 172 |
| 1:00 PM |  |  |  | 179 |  | 179 |  |  | 179 |
| 2:00 PM |  |  |  | 215 |  | 215 |  |  | 215 |
| 3:00 PM |  |  |  | 270 |  | 270 |  |  | 270 |
| 4:00 PM |  |  |  | 328 |  | 328 |  |  | 328 |
| 5:00 PM |  |  |  | 366 |  | 366 |  |  | 366 |
| 6:00 PM |  |  |  | 188 |  | 188 |  |  | 188 |
| 7:00 PM |  |  |  | 125 |  | 125 |  |  | 125 |
| 8:00 PM |  |  |  | 103 |  | 103 |  |  | 103 |
| 9:00 PM |  |  |  | 61 |  | 61 |  |  | 61 |
| 10:00 PM |  |  |  | 31 |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |
| 11:00 PM |  |  |  | 18 |  | 18 |  |  | 18 |
| Day Total |  |  |  | 2942 |  | 2942 |  |  | 2942 |
| ADT |  |  |  | 2942 |  | 2942 |  |  | 2942 |


| \%Weekday Average | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \%Week Average | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| AM Peak | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 187 |
| Volume | 187 | 187 | $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| PM Peak | $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 366 |



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

|  | HV\% | PHF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EB | $1.5 \%$ | 0.90 |
| WB | $3.3 \%$ | 0.92 |
| NB | $1.6 \%$ | 0.82 |
| SB | $0.3 \%$ | 0.81 |
| All | $1.7 \%$ | 0.90 |

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | Boeckman Rd Eastbound |  |  |  | Boeckman Rd Westbound |  |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd Northbound |  |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 106 | 1,142 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 4 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 83 | 1,148 |
| 4:10 PM | 0 | 5 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 92 | 1,172 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 85 | 1,184 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 96 | 1,201 |
| 4:25 PM | 0 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 94 | 1,201 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 94 | 1,202 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 4 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 90 | 1,214 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 92 | 1,215 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 85 | 1,219 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 113 | 1,214 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 7 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 112 | 1,190 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 112 | 1,165 |
| 5:05 PM | 0 | 2 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 107 |  |
| 5:10 PM | 0 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 104 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 102 |  |
| 5:20 PM | 0 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 96 |  |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 5 | 95 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 106 |  |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 3 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 91 |  |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 96 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 80 |  |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 89 |  |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 87 |  |
| Count Total | 0 | 94 | 486 | 88 | 0 | 127 | 478 | 111 | 0 | 50 | 187 | 118 | 0 | 176 | 274 | 118 | 2,307 |  |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 0 | 62 | 250 | 56 | 0 | 28 | 90 | 70 | 0 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 1,219 |  |

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

| Interval | Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Bicycles on Roadway |  |  |  |  | Interval Start Time | Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |  | EB | NB | WB | SB | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:05 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:10 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:10 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 4:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:25 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:05 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5:05 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:05 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:10 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:10 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:20 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:20 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:25 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:35 PM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:35 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:40 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:50 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5:55 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 9 | 7 | 23 | 2 | 41 | Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | Count Total | 7 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 21 |
| Peak Hour | 5 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 21 | Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Peak Hour | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 |

## Appendix C Traffic Signal Truck Turning Figures




## Appendix D Roundabout Truck Turning Figures

## Roundabout Bus Turning Paths



## Roundabout Truck Turning Paths
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## Appendix E <br> Operational Analysis Worksheets

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman RdControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
All-way stop

HCM 7th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
17.8

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
0.660

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  | $7$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 25 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 19 | 14 | 68 | 12 | 17 | 69 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 31 | 100 | 78 | 111 | 169 | 78 | 58 | 272 | 49 | 69 | 278 | 62 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 2022 (SP 0-7)

| Intersection Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 442 | 489 | 458 | 504 | 473 | 512 | 474 | 515 |
| Degree of Utilization, x | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.66 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.23 | 1.65 | 0.94 | 2.67 | 0.42 | 4.28 | 0.51 | 4.78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 5.63 | 41.19 | 23.50 | 66.70 | 10.39 | 106.97 | 12.65 | 119.56 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 13.81 |  | 15.47 |  | 19.38 |  | 20.44 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 17.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Intersection Level Of Service Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman Rd |  |  |  |
| Control Type: | Signalized | Delay (sec / veh): |  |  |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 7th Edition | Level Of Service: | 8.8 |  |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | A |  |
|  |  | 0.616 |  |  |

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $75$ |  |  | $7 \$$ |  |  | $7$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Proportion of CAVs [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 25 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 19 | 14 | 68 | 12 | 17 | 69 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 31 | 100 | 78 | 111 | 169 | 78 | 58 | 272 | 49 | 69 | 278 | 62 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing m | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing r | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | Lead Green - Beginning of First Green |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 12.00 |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Delayed Vehicle Green [s] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | L | C | L | C | L |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.21 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1036 | 1550 | 1103 | 1607 | 951 | 1639 | 953 | 1617 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 391 | 497 | 442 | 516 | 386 | 612 | 400 | 604 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 11.18 | 7.67 | 11.02 | 8.02 | 11.38 | 7.19 | 11.11 | 7.32 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 0.18 | 0.70 | 0.20 | 0.83 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 0.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 11.26 | 8.10 | 11.32 | 8.71 | 11.55 | 7.89 | 11.31 | 8.15 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | A | B | A | B | A | B | A |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.14 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.88 | 0.24 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.90 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 3.53 | 14.90 | 12.70 | 21.92 | 6.05 | 20.60 | 7.04 | 22.48 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.25 | 1.07 | 0.91 | 1.58 | 0.44 | 1.48 | 0.51 | 1.62 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 6.36 | 26.83 | 22.86 | 39.46 | 10.89 | 37.09 | 12.68 | 40.46 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 11.26 | 8.10 | 8.10 | 11.32 | 8.71 | 8.71 | 11.55 | 7.89 | 7.89 | 11.31 | 8.15 | 8.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | B | A | A | B | A | A | B | A | A |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.57 |  |  | 9.52 |  |  | 8.45 |  |  | 8.68 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 8.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.616 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 5.74 | 5.74 | 5.74 | 5.74 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.127 | 2.138 | 2.244 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | B |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 1431 | 1431 | 2044 | 2044 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 1.19 | 1.19 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 1.904 | 2.150 | 2.185 | B |
| Bicycle LOS | A | B | B |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | - | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman RdControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

> Roundabout

Delay (sec / veh):
7.7

A

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Proportion of CAVs [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 8 | 25 | 19 | 28 | 42 | 19 | 14 | 68 | 12 | 17 | 69 | 16 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 31 | 100 | 78 | 111 | 169 | 78 | 58 | 272 | 49 | 69 | 278 | 62 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)

## Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 446 |  |  | 388 |  |  | 352 |  |  | 192 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 291 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 395 |  |  | 466 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 28 | 90 | 70 | 100 | 152 | 70 | 52 | 245 | 44 | 62 | 250 | 56 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 31 | 100 | 78 | 111 | 169 | 78 | 58 | 272 | 49 | 69 | 278 | 62 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | No | No | No | No |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| A (intercept) | 1380.00 | 1380.00 | 1380.00 | 1380.00 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00102 | 0.00102 | 0.00102 | 0.00102 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 212 | 360 | 386 | 422 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 876 | 930 | 964 | 1135 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 864 | 925 | 948 | 1101 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.37 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.95 | 1.85 | 1.95 | 1.74 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 23.69 | 46.16 | 48.66 | 8.31 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 6.71 | 8.26 | A | A |
| Approach LOS | A | A |  |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman Rd

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
All-way stop
HCM 7th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
40.0

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $7 F$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  | $7 F$ |  |  | $7 F$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 11 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 51 | 24 | 15 | 81 | 13 | 22 | 92 | 18 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 44 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 206 | 94 | 61 | 322 | 50 | 89 | 367 | 72 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Intersection Settings
Lanes

| Capacity per Entry Lane $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}]$ | 390 | 427 | 400 | 434 | 406 | 433 | 406 | 439 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree of Utilization, x | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.42 | 0.69 | 0.15 | 0.86 | 0.22 | 1.01 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.38 | 4.13 | 2.01 | 5.16 | 0.52 | 8.61 | 0.83 | 13.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 9.46 | 103.25 | 50.22 | 128.95 | 13.09 | 215.20 | 20.65 | 326.93 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 22.60 |  | 24.23 |  | 39.95 |  | 64.16 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | C |  | E |  | F |  |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 39.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Intersection Level Of Service Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| Control Type: | Delay (sec $/$ veh $):$ | 12.1 |  |
| Analysis Method: | Signalized | Level Of Service: | B |
| Analysis Period: | HCM 7th Edition | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.631 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $75$ |  |  | $7 \$$ |  |  | $7$ |  |  | $71$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 200.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Curb Present | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Proportion of CAVs [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 11 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 51 | 24 | 15 | 81 | 13 | 22 | 92 | 18 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 44 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 206 | 94 | 61 | 322 | 50 | 89 | 367 | 72 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No | No |  | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing m | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing r | i 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group | - |
| Cycle Length [s] | 90 |
| Coordination Type | Free Running |
| Actuation Type | Fully actuated |
| Offset [s] | 0.0 |
| Offset Reference | Lead Green - Beginning of First Green |
| Permissive Mode | SingleBand |
| Lost time [s] | 12.00 |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Group | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Delayed Vehicle Green [s] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rest In Walk |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |
| Maximum Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | L | C | L | C | L | C | L |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |  |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.27 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 987 | 1534 | 1018 | 1608 | 869 | 1644 | 909 | 1623 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 365 | 597 | 384 | 626 | 287 | 664 | 335 | 655 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 14.51 | 9.82 | 16.08 | 9.98 | 17.34 | 10.00 | 15.98 | 10.61 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.42 | 1.19 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.12 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 14.65 | 10.34 | 16.86 | 10.55 | 17.70 | 10.74 | 16.40 | 11.80 |
| Lane Group LOS | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| Critical Lane Group | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.33 | 1.53 | 1.40 | 1.75 | 0.49 | 2.01 | 0.68 | 2.56 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 8.14 | 38.24 | 35.05 | 43.83 | 12.35 | 50.18 | 17.06 | 63.95 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.59 | 2.75 | 2.52 | 3.16 | 0.89 | 3.61 | 1.23 | 4.60 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 14.65 | 68.83 | 63.09 | 78.89 | 22.23 | 90.32 | 30.70 | 115.12 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)
Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 14.65 | 10.34 | 10.34 | 16.86 | 10.55 | 10.55 | 17.70 | 10.74 | 10.74 | 16.40 | 11.80 | 11.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 10.95 |  |  | 12.81 |  |  | 11.72 |  |  | 12.58 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 12.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.631 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 12.16 | 12.16 | 12.16 | 12.16 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 2.237 | 2.223 | 2.367 | B |
| Crosswalk LOS | B | B | B |  |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 965 | 965 | 1378 | 1378 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 5.84 | 5.84 | 2.11 | 2.11 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 2.071 | 2.330 | 2.274 | B |
| Bicycle LOS | B | B | B |  |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | - | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 1: SW Canyon Creek Rd/Boeckman RdControl Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Roundabout

Delay (sec / veh):
10.9

Level Of Service:
B

Intersection Setup

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | SW Canyon Creek Rd |  |  | Boeckman Road |  |  | Boeckman Rd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 |
| Proportion of CAVs [\%] | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 11 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 51 | 24 | 15 | 81 | 13 | 22 | 92 | 18 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 44 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 206 | 94 | 61 | 322 | 50 | 89 | 367 | 72 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 2022 (SP 0-7)

## Intersection Settings

| Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h] | 556 |  |  | 513 |  |  | 466 |  |  | 242 |  |  |
| Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h] | 350 |  |  | 274 |  |  | 516 |  |  | 628 |  |  |
| Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 40 | 120 | 120 | 150 | 185 | 85 | 55 | 290 | 45 | 80 | 330 | 65 |
| Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h] | 44 | 133 | 133 | 167 | 206 | 94 | 61 | 322 | 50 | 89 | 367 | 72 |

Lanes

| Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway | No | No | No | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User-Defined Critical Headway [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time | No | No | No | No |
| User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s] | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| A (intercept) | 1380.00 | 1380.00 | 1380.00 | 1380.00 |
| B (coefficient) | 0.00102 | 0.00102 | 0.00102 | 0.00102 |
| HV Adjustment Factor | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| Entry Flow Rate [veh/h] | 314 | 470 | 441 | 545 |
| Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h] | 783 | 818 | 859 | 1079 |
| Pedestrian Impedance | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h] | 773 | 815 | 844 | 1046 |
| X, volume / capacity | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.50 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| Lane LOS | A | B | B | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 1.95 | 3.72 | 2.99 | 2.93 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 48.63 | 92.88 | 74.74 | 73.17 |
| Approach Delay [s/veh] | 9.75 | 13.06 | 11.24 | 9.42 |
| Approach LOS | A | B | B | A |
| Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 10.91 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |

## Appendix F Signal Warrant Worksheet

| Project \#: | 27376 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: | Boeckman Rd DB |  |  |
| Analyst: | Keisuke \& Kelly |  |  |
| Analysis Date: | 10/17/2022 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Project\Task 8. Alternatives Analysis\Analysis\Signal |  |  |
| Intersection: | W/arrant $\backslash$ 「Sional-M/arrant- <br> Boeckman \& Canyon Creek |  |  |
| Scenario: | 2040 PM |  |  |
| Data Date: | N/A |  |  |
| Warrant Summary |  |  |  |
| Warrant | Name | Analyzed? | Met? |
| \#1 | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | Yes | Yes |
| \#2 | Four-Hour Vehicular volume | Yes | Yes |
| \#3 | Peak Hour | Yes | Yes |
| \#4 | Pedestrian Volume | No | - |
| \#5 | School Crossing | No | - |
| \#6 | Coordinated Signal System | No | - |
| \#7 | Crash Experience | No | - |
| \#8 | Roadway Network | No | - |
| \#9 | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing | No | - |


| Hour |  | Major Street |  | Minor Street |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | End | EB | WB | NB |  |

## Input Parameters

| Volume Adjustment Factor = | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| North-South Approach = | Minor |
| East-West Approach = | Major |
| Major Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Minor Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Speed > 40 mph? | Yes |
| Population < 10,000? | No |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |
| Peak Hour or Daily Count? | Peak Hour |
|  |  |
| Major Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $62 \%$ |
| Major Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $52 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $62 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $52 \%$ |



## Appendix G <br> Conceptual Cost Estimates



| ALTERNATE to Leave Ex. Conc Pavement at Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1020 Concrete Road Removal including hauloff | -16000 SF | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | $(48,000.00)$ |
| 1060 Excavation Incl Haul | -600 CY | \$ | 29.00 | \$ | $(17,400.00)$ |
| 1065 Grading Costs for Asphalt | -16000 SF | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | $(8,000.00)$ |
| 1070 Aggregate Base | -1025 TN | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | $(35,875.00)$ |
| 1080 Asphalt 6" | -600 TN | \$ | 130.00 | \$ | $(78,000.00)$ |
| 1140 Storm Piping - 12" | -400 LF | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | $(48,000.00)$ |
| 1150 Storm Catch Basin | -6 EA | \$ | 3,000.00 | \$ | $(18,000.00)$ |
| 1160 Storm Manhole | -3 EA | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | $(15,000.00)$ |
| 1210 Traffic Control for Construction | -180 HR | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | $(13,500.00)$ |
| 1990 Resurfacing Concrete Intersection | 16000 SF | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 32,000.00 |
| 1995 Reseal Ex. Concrete Joints | 16000 SF | \$ | 0.75 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | $(237,775.00)$ |
| ALTERNATE to treat storm from intersectio |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1175 Larger Storm Facility at City Property | 3375 SF | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 135,000.00 |

## BRCP Alternative Analysis Package 1 - \#12B

| Bid Item | Description | Qty Unit | Unit Price | Extended Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAB Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Asphalt Removal | 6400 SF | \$ 1.25 | \$ | 8,000.00 |
| 2020 | Concrete Road Removal including hauloff | 28000 SF | \$ 3.00 | \$ | 84,000.00 |
| 2030 | Curb Removal | 1550 LF | \$ 6.00 | \$ | 9,300.00 |
| 2040 | Sidewalk Removal | 8500 SF | \$ 1.50 | \$ | 12,750.00 |
| 2050 | Clearing \& Grubbing (Tree Removal) | 1 LS | \$ 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 2060 | Excavation Incl Haul | 2550 CY | \$ 29.00 | \$ | 73,950.00 |
| 2065 | Grading Cost for Road | 31184 SF | \$ 0.50 | \$ | 15,592.00 |
| 2070 | Aggregate Base | 3067 TN | \$ 35.00 | \$ | 107,345.00 |
| 2080 | Asphalt 6" | 1184 TN | \$ 130.00 | \$ | 153,920.00 |
| 2090 | Concrete Bike Path 6" | 1070 SF | \$ 12.00 | \$ | 12,840.00 |
| 2100 | Sidewalk \& Ramps 4" | 31184 SF | \$ 10.00 | \$ | 311,840.00 |
| 2110 | Truncated Dome Installation | 128 SF | \$ 35.00 | \$ | 4,480.00 |
| 2120 | Stamped/Colored Vehicle Concrete at RAB | 3050 SF | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 91,500.00 |
| 2130 | Center RAB Island Feature (Concrete?) | 3341 SF | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 100,230.00 |
| 2135 | Median Island - concrete | 5670 SF | \$ 12.00 | \$ | 68,040.00 |
| 2140 | Concrete Driveway | 563 SF | \$ 15.00 | \$ | 8,445.00 |
| 2150 | Curb \& Gutter | 1396 LF | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 41,880.00 |
| 2160 | Mountable Curb | 490 LF | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 14,700.00 |
| 2170 | Standard Curb | 1294 LF | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 38,820.00 |
| 2180 | Landscape Area | 10683 SF | \$ 7.00 | \$ | 74,781.00 |
| 2190 | Storm Piping - 12" - 5' average depth | 760 LF | \$ 120.00 | \$ | 91,200.00 |
| 2200 | Storm Catch Basin | 8 EA | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | 24,000.00 |
| 2210 | 48" Storm Manhole | 4 EA | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 2220 | Stormwater Planter LIDA | 1455 SF | \$ 125.00 | \$ | 181,875.00 |
| 2230 | Illumination-8 illuminaires | 1 LS | \$ 267,500.00 | \$ | 267,500.00 |
| 2240 | Signage/Striping | 1 LS | \$ 30,000.00 | \$ | 30,000.00 |
| 2250 | Traffic Control for Construction | 1 LS | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ | 50,000.00 |
| 2260 | Church Parking Lot Reconfiguration | 1 LS | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 2270 | Relocation of existing utilities due to ROW expansion | 1 LS | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ | 100,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | \$ | 2,091,988.00 |
| Owner's Rep/ConsultantRIGHT-OF-WAY |  |  |  | \$ | 50,000.00 |
|  |  | SF 11,750 | \$ 17.80 | \$ | 209,150.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING/DESIGN (Task 14) |  |  |  | \$ | 157,000.00 |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEY WORK |  |  | 1.50\% | \$ | 31,379.82 |
| CONTINGENCY |  |  | 20.00\% | \$ | 418,397.60 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,957,915.42 |
| ALTERNATE to build pond vs. planters |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2220 | Stormwater Planter LIDA | -1455 SF | \$ 125.00 | \$ | $(181,875.00)$ |
| 2990 Larger Storm Facility at City Property |  | 3375 SF | \$ 40.00 | \$ | 135,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | (46,875.00) |

# Appendix H Documentation of Cost per Crash Calculation 

## APPENDIX H. DOCUMENTATION OF COST PER CRASH CALCULATION

The Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) tool used for this analysis is a modified version of the Life-Cycle Cost Estimating Tool (LCCET) that was developed as part of NCHRP Project 03-110. The objective of NCHRP Project 3-110 was to develop a spreadsheet-based tool that can be used to compare the life-cycle costs of different intersection control strategies. This tool relies on a cost per crash value to calculate the safety benefit, or cost, of alternatives. The methodology uses a cost per fatal and injury crash, as well as a cost per property damage only (PDO) crash.

ODOT provides the economic value per crash in the ODOT Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Guide from February 2021. The costs are broken up into fatal and serious (injury A) crashes, moderate (injury B) and minor (injury C) crashes, and PDO crashes. The values are shown in Figure $\mathrm{H}-1$.

Figure H. 1 Comprehensive Economic Value Per Crash

| Highway Type | Urban | Rural |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal and Serious (Injury A) Injury Crashes |  |  |  |
| Interstate | $\$ 1,150,000$ | $\$ 2,330,000$ |  |
| Other state highways | $\$ 1,170,000$ | $\$ 1,680,000$ |  |
| Local Roads | $\$ 870,000$ | $\$ 1,670,000$ |  |
| Moderate (Injury B) and Minor (Injury C) Injury Crashes |  |  |  |
| Interstate | $\$ 69,300$ | $\$ 79,200$ |  |
| Other state highways | $\$ 70,600$ | $\$ 81,900$ |  |
| Local Roads | $\$ 72,400$ | $\$ 83,900$ |  |
|  | Property Damage Only (PDO) Crashes |  |  |
| All facilities | $\$ 19,400$ | $\$ 19,400$ |  |

* Calculated using the cost (updated to 2012 dollars) and procedures shown in Appendix 4A of the Highway Safety Manual
Source: Table 3-1, ODOT HSIP Guide, February 2021
Both the LCCET and ODOT's Guide separate out PDO crashes, with an economic value of $\$ 19,400$ provided in ODOT's guide. However, fatal and injury crashes are split up differently between the LCCET tool and ODOT's Guide. Therefore, the economic values in ODOT's Guide were used to determine a value for fatal and injury crashes to use in the LCCET tool. In order to develop this value, a weighted average was calculated based on the crash history at Boeckman Road and Canyon Creek Road. Table H-1 summarizes the crash history at the intersection and calculates a comprehensive economic value for fatal and injury crashes as $\$ 271,800$.

Table H-1: Crash Summary (January 2011 - December 2020)

| Crash Severity | Reported Crashes | Comprehensive Economic Value per Crash | Tołal Comprehensive Economic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatal | - | \$870,000 | \$0 (0x \$870,000) |
| Injury A | 2 | \$870,000 | \$1,740,000 (2 $\times 870,000$ ) |
| Injury B | 1 | \$72,400 | \$72,400 (1 $\times 72,400$ ) |
| Injury C | 5 | \$72,400 | \$362,000 ( $5 \times \$ 72,400$ ) |
| Total Fatal/Injury | 8 | \$271,800 (\$2,174,400/8) | \$2,174,400 |

Although this method does not account for the change in crash severity distribution (among fatal/injury crashes) that may be associated with different alternatives, it provides a reasonable method for estimating the cost per fatal or injury crash for use in the LCCET.

## Appendix I Documentation of Cost per Crash Calculation Life-Cycle Cost Analysis Outputs

| Agency: | City of Wilsonville |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Boeckman Rd PDB Project |
| Project Reference: | 27376 |
| Intersection: | Boeckman Rd \& Canyon Creek Rd |
| City: | Wilsonville |
| State: | Oregon |
| Performing Department or <br> Organization: | Kittelson |
| Date: | 12.7 .2022 |
| Analyst: | Kelly Laustsen |
| Analysis Type | At-Grade Intersection |

Analysis Summary





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Measured centerline to centerline

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Based on the guidance in ODOT's Traffic Signal Policy and Guidelines (Reference 3)

[^2]:    6 | INTERSECTION CONTROL EVALUATION REPORT | Boeckman Rd \& Canyon Creek Rd| Kittelson \& Associates

[^3]:    13 | INTERSECTION CONTROL EVALUATION REPORT | Boeckman Rd \& Canyon Creek Rd| Kittelson \& Associates

