Professional Service Provider Monitoring Tool

General Information

Advisor Name/
Firm Name
Contract Start Date
Contract Expiration Date
Lead Contact at Firm
Review Period
Staff Reviewer(s)

Service Delivery & Responsiveness

Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1-5) Comments/Examples
Timely delivery of reports and	
analysis	
Responsiveness to emails and calls	
Availability for meetings and	
consultations	
Ability to meet deadlines and	
commitments	

Technical Expertise & Strategic Guidance

Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1-5) Comments/Examples
Accuracy and clarity of data or	
financial projections	
Knowledge of municipal laws and	
regulations	
Quality of debt issuance support	
(financial advisor only)	
Usefulness of recommendations to	
staff and council	
Proactive identification of risks and	
opportunities	

Collaboration & Communication

Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1-5) Comments/Examples
Works well with internal staff and	
leadership	

Understands and supports the				
municipality's goals				
Communicates complex information				
clearly				
Compliance & Documentation				
Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1-5) Comments/Examples			
Adherence to contractual terms and				
deliverables				
Consistent record-keeping and				
reporting				
Disclosure of potential conflicts of				
interest				
Benchmarking & Cost				
Evaluation Criteria	Rating (1-5) Comments/Examples			
Fees are reasonable compared to				
similar firms				
Demonstrates value for cost				
Services align with original contract				
scope				
 Staffs Overall Comments and Recommendations What are the advisor's strengths? What areas need improvement? 				
·				
 Do you recommend continuing the engagement? Why or why not? Should this service go out for RFP in the next cycle? 				
Should this service go out for him the next cycle:				
Reviewer Certification				
I certify that the above evaluation is based on observed performance and documented interactions with the advisor during the stated review period.				
Staff Signature				
Date				