

City Manager Performance Evaluation - Council

City of Whitewater

Evaluation period: 2025

Each participant should complete this evaluation form and return it to the Human Resources Department. Forms may be submitted via paper or electronically to the Human Resources office or smarquardt@whitewater-wi.gov. If submitting electronically, please indicate "CONFIDENTIAL" on the subject line. The deadline for submitting this performance evaluation is December 21, 2025. Evaluations will be summarized and included on the agenda for discussion at the Common Council Meeting on Innuary 20, 2026.

Date Submitted

INSTRUCTIONS

The City Manager evaluation process is designed to provide constructive feedback, align performance with Council priorities, and support professional development. Your input is essential to ensure a fair, comprehensive, and forward-looking evaluation.

Start by reviewing the City Manager's self-evaluation, which has been provided as a separate document. This document outlines key accomplishments, challenges, and goals from the past year and is intended to provide important context to assist you in completing your evaluation.

For Section 1, Councilmembers are asked to evaluate the City Manager's performance in several key areas. For each category, assign a rating using the following scale: **NE = Needs Improvement**, **ME = Meets Expectations**, and **EE = Exceeds Expectations**. These ratings should reflect your assessment of the City Manager's performance over the evaluation period, taking into account both day-to-day responsibilities and broader leadership contributions. Consider: **How well has the City Manager advanced the Council's strategic priorities in each area?**

Indicate which areas are most critical to signal priorities by circling or highlighting High/Medium/Low. Beneath each category, provide brief written comments to support your rating. Comments help clarify the basis of your assessment, highlight specific examples, and ensure that feedback is meaningful and actionable.

For Section 2, Councilmembers should provide narrative feedback that goes beyond ratings. This section is an opportunity to reflect on and communicate the City Manager's key strengths, areas for growth, and constructive suggestions for improvement. Councilmembers are also encouraged to identify priorities or expectations that should guide the City Manager's focus in the upcoming evaluation period. Thoughtful, candid feedback in this section will help establish shared priorities and support the City Manager's ongoing professional development and alignment with Council goals.

SECTION 1: Councilmember Evaluation

Rating Scale: NE = Needs Improvement ME = Meets Expectations EE = Exceeds Expectations

#	Performance Category	Des	cription	Rating (NE/ME/EE)				
1	Individual Characteristic		egrity, judgment, adaptability, fessionalism, demeanor.					
Comm	ents:	pro	ressionansm, demeanor.					
Priority: High Medium Low								
2	Leadership & Decision Making		Knowledge of local government					
			management, creativity,					
Comm	ents:		problem-solving, innovation.					
Comments.								
Priority: High Medium Low								
3	Council Relations	Accessi						
		of accurate/timely information, support						
	of Council decisions.							
Comm	ents:							
	y: High Medium Low		T					
4	Policy Execution & Strategic		nplements Council policy					
	Direction		fectively, advances strategic pals, offers alternatives when					
		_	eeded.					
Comments:								
Priority: High Medium Low								
5	Communication & Reporting		Provides clear, accurate and					
			proactive information to Council					
			and the public; demonstrates					
transparency								
Priority: High Medium Low								

6	Community Rel		Builds partnerships, represents the				
	Engagement		City positively, engages with the				
		(community and media.				
Comm	ents:						
Priority: High Medium Low							
7			Promotes teamwork, recognition,	1			
	& Culture	J	staff development, succession				
			planning.				
Comments:							
Driorit	ry Uigh Modium	Low					
8	ty: High Medium		repares and manages the budget	T			
0	- I		esponsibly, uses resources effectively,				
			lans for long-term financial stability.				
Comments:							
domini	erres.						
	ty: High Mediun						
9	Development	Advances the City's economic development goals,					
		including housing, business retention and					
		attraction, and development initiatives					
Comments:							
Priority: High Medium Low							
rnonty. mgn medium bow							

SECTION 2: Narrative Feedback 1. Strengths – What key strengths or accomplishments stand out this year? 2. Areas for Growth – What areas are most critical for improvement? 3. Suggestions – What constructive feedback would enhance performance? 4. Council Priorities – What priorities or expectations should guide the next review period?