City Manager Performance Evaluation – Hybrid Outline

Purpose

The City Manager evaluation process is intended to:

- Provide constructive feedback on performance, strengths, and areas for improvement.
- Align performance expectations with Council priorities and the ICMA Practices for Effective Local Government Leadership.
- Support accountability, transparency, and professional growth.

Section 1: City Manager Self-Evaluation

(Completed by the City Manager and provided to Council in advance) Short Answer Reflection

- What accomplishments this year best demonstrate leadership and progress toward City goals?
- What challenges did you face, and how did you address them?
- Where do you see opportunities for growth or improvement in your leadership?
- What support, resources, or feedback from Council would strengthen your ability to serve?
- What professional development or training would you like to pursue in the next year?

Section	n 2: Councilmember Evaluation
(Comp	pleted individually by each Councilmember)
For ea	ich category, rate on a 1-3-5 scale:
1 = Ne	eds Improvement 3 = Meets Expectations 5 = Exceeds Expectations
Perfor	mance Categories
1.	Individual Characteristics
	 Integrity, judgment, adaptability, professionalism, and demeanor.
	Score: Comments:
2.	Professional Skills & Leadership
	o Knowledge of local government management, creativity, problem-solving,
	and innovation.
	Score: Comments:
3.	Council Relations
	 Accessibility, responsiveness, provision of accurate/timely information,
	support of Council decisions.
	Score: Comments:
4.	Policy Execution & Strategic Direction
	o Implements Council policy effectively, advances strategic plan goals, offers
	alternatives when needed.
	Score: Comments:
5.	Communication & Reporting
	 Clear, accurate, and proactive reports; keeps Council and public informed;
	demonstrates transparency.
	Score: Comments:
6.	Community Relations & Engagement

 Responsiveness to citizens, representation of the City, building partnerships, positive media/public engagement. Score: Comments:
 7. Organizational Management & Culture o Promotes teamwork, inclusion, recognition, staff development, and succession planning. Score: Comments:
8. Fiscal Stewardship
 Budget preparation and management, responsible resource use, long-term financial planning. Score: Comments:
 Section 3: Narrative Feedback (Completed by each Councilmember) Strengths: What key strengths or results stand out from this year? Areas for Growth: What areas are most critical for improvement? Suggestions: What constructive feedback would help enhance performance? Council Priorities: What priorities or expectations should guide the City Manager in the next review period?
 Section 4: Compilation & Discussion (Tabulated and summarized by HR; reviewed in executive session with the City Manager) Composite scores and comments from Councilmembers will be summarized. Council and the City Manager will discuss results, clarifying expectations and setting forward goals. The evaluation will conclude with a shared understanding of priorities for the next year.
Section 5: Goal Setting (Completed jointly by Council and the City Manager) • Review progress on prior year's goals. • Identify goals and priorities for the next evaluation period. • Outline a professional development plan (next 1-3 years).
Acknowledgment By signing, participants confirm that the evaluation process and discussion have occurred. City Manager Signature: Date: Council Signatures (or Attestation): Date: