# Fiscal Year 2026: Mill Rate Determination

July 10, 2025



### **Contextual Background**

Currently, one (1) mill in Westwood generates approximately \$50,000. Any increase in the mill levy would provide additional revenue for personnel and capital projects. The City last raised the mill levy for FY 2012.

Currently, the City's ½ cent sales tax funding the CIP generates approximately \$375,000 annually. This local sales tax is set is set to expire in 2028, and should be renewed for at least the remaining half of the 2020 GO Bond. This provides an opportunity to consider a sales tax increase.

Each street/streetlight project segment ranges in cost from **2 mill to 15** mill.

Currently, the equivalent of **4+ mill (\$200K) is transferred annually from the General Fund to the CIP Fund**. This rate of \$575K annual revenue to the CIP maintains a **current pace of two – three + years behind** the aspirational plan created from the independent condition studies conducted over the past several years.





# 2024 Community Survey Findings (Priorities)

#### **Ranked Priorities**

#### Top Three Priorities by Age Cohort

Priority area	All residents	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Street maintenance	67%	55%	55%	78%	73%	70%
Tree care and planting	36%	38%	40%	47%	35%	29%
Streetlights	32%	23%	21%	31%	31%	43%
Environmentally sustainable practices	27%	38%	28%	29%	27%	22%
Rebate program for City property taxes	26%	15%	9%	18%	26%	44%
Bicycle/pedestrian facilities	18%	25%	36%	18%	11%	10%
Recreation programs and community events	18%	38%	28%	22%	8%	9%
Grants for resident housing improvements	18%	28%	21%	20%	16%	13%
City building improvements	15%	5%	19%	10%	18%	19%
Public art	12%	18%	17%	14%	11%	7%
Community room	6%	5%	4%	4%	6%	7%
Organized volunteer program	4%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%
Bike share program	2%	3%	9%	0%	2%	0%

#### **Funding Approach Preferences**

Willingness To Pay Additional Taxes for Capital Improvements (Very + Somewhat Willing) by Income Group and Housing Type >30% 20-29% 10-19% <10%

60% 50-59% 40-49%

7

Priority area	All <\$60K \$6		\$60-\$120K	\$120- \$200К	>\$200K	Owners	Renters	
0.5 mill in property taxes	82%	69%	84%	84%	90%	82%	87%	
1 mill in property taxes	70%	45%	70%	80%	80%	69%	80%	
1.5 mill in property taxes	57%	39%	54%	67%	70%	57%	56%	
2 mill in property taxes	49%	34%	46%	53%	65%	49%	53%	
.5% in sales tax	64%	54%	62%	71%	67%	65%	53%	
.75% in sales tax	49%	33%	49%	52%	61%	50%	38%	
1% in sales tax	42%	23%	41%	48%	56%	44%	24%	
1.25% in sales tax	34%	15%	28%	37%	52%	35%	19%	
1.5% in sales tax	30%	22%	23%	31%	48%	31%	18%	



# Long-range Operating Financial Factors





╋

0

# Five-year review of select actions taken to address fiscal sustainability (administrative and legislative)

2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Expense Control Leave payout at separation (capped and drew down employees' max carryover) Medical benefits employee- employer cost restructuring to create parity between plan styles and reduce City cost of traditional co-pay plan. Sought quotes for alternate medical benefits carrier and transitioned to public risk pool, reducing premiums in the first year (0% increase for following two plan years, 3% increase in rates for this upcoming plan year)	<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<ul> <li>Expenses Control</li> <li>Property &amp; liability insurance bid; transitioned to carrier with richer benefits at lower cost</li> <li>New leaf vacuum purchase; savings on employee efficiency and dumping fees</li> <li>Revenue Generation</li> <li>Increased Stormwater Utility Fee (net \$120K to SUF annually)</li> <li>Negotiated 50% rebate of Woodside IRB Sales Tax Abatement savings (net \$345K to CIP)</li> <li>Moved idle funds from business checking to investment accounts, increasing interest earnings</li> </ul>	Expense Control Eliminate pet license program (costs outweighed benefit) Revenue Generation Increased fees for permits and licenses Secured Woodside Village CID2 receipts to serve as local match of County CARS funds for W. 47 <sup>th</sup> PI. street improvements (\$1.2M to cover local share of project (remainder funded by County cost-shared)	Expense Control Solid waste contract rebate of dumping fees Cyber liability insurance bid out, resulting in 50% cost savings in premium and higher limits



# City Facilities: Deferred Maintenance Needs

#### **City Hall**

Priority	Description	Estimated Cost (2024)	Remaining Useful Life	Estimated Years to Failure
1.	Replace & Upgrade HVAC	\$190,500	0 years	< 2 years
2.	Replace Metal Roof	\$165,000	0 years	Failed
3.	Resurface Parking Lot	\$25,500	0 years	Failed
4.	Replace Windows/Glazing	\$288,750	0 years	Failed
5.	Rebuild Bay Window (Chief's Office)	\$7,500	0 years	Failed
6.	Replace EIFS Facade	\$83,600	0 years	Failed
7.	Replace Flat Roof	\$90,000	0 years	< 2 years

#### **Public Works**

Priority	Description	Estimated Cost (2024)	Remaining Useful Life	Estimated Years to Failure
1.	Add Exhaust to All Areas of Building		0 years	Existing Health Risk
2.	Add Drainage in Truck Bay		0 years	Existing Safety Risk
3.	Replace Exterior Metal Facade	\$362,180	0 years	Failed
4.	Coat Roof & Add Snow Guards		0 years	Failed
5.	Repair Concrete Foundation		0 years	Unknown
6.	Expand Yard	¢500.012	0 years	Inadequate
7.	Rebuild Salt Shed	\$500,913	0 years	Inadequate

TOTAL Immediate Need: \$850,850

#### TOTAL Immediate Need: \$863,093

### Capital Improvement Fund

As of Date

Unbudgeted on State Forms, multi-year capital fund

- Reflects expenses outpacing revenues if no additional revenue streams
- Reflects tree canopy maintenance funding
- Reflects incremental capital investment in existing Public Works facility

• Funding for park improvements and City Hall replacement pursued through private-public-non-profit partnerships

		6/30/2025 Capital Improvements Fund								
		Year Ending 12/31/2023			r To Date 30/2025	Current Year Budget 12/31/2025	Next Year'	's Budget Fi 2026	uture Year 2027	Future Year 7 20
		Actual	12/31/2024 Actual		ctual Forecast	Adopted		Proposed	Forecast	
	Beginning Fund Balance	\$ (313,412)	\$ 306,230	\$ 958,8	399 \$ 958,899	\$ 958,899	\$	802,381 \$	\$ (277,938)	) \$ (952,1
	Taxes									
		\$ 353,625 \$ 353,625	\$ 385,198 \$ 385,198	\$ 186,6 \$ <b>186</b> ,6	\$01         \$360,000           \$01         \$360,000	\$ 353,625 \$ 353,625	\$ \$	375,000 \$	\$ 375,000 \$ 375,000	\$ 375, \$ 375,
	Restricted Fees JoCo SMAC Program									\$ 169,
	4440 - JoCo CARS Program	\$ 259,000 \$ 259,000	\$- \$-		- \$ 341,384 - <b>\$ 341,38</b> 4		\$ - <b>\$</b>	- \$	<u>i</u> -	\$
	Miscellaneous		ə -	\$.	- \$ 341,384	\$ 455,000 \$	- >	- 9	\$-	\$ 169,
	4720 - Other Income 4750 - Reimbursements	\$ 28,484 \$ 95,627	\$ - \$ -	\$. \$.	- <u>\$</u> -		s	- 4	s -	s
		\$ 124,111	\$ -	\$	. \$ .	\$ -	\$	- 3	\$ -	\$
	4810 - Transfer from General Fund	\$ 100,000	\$ 545,242	\$	- \$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	\$	274,771 \$	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,
	Mission Rd. Complete Street 50th Street, Mission Rd. to Belinder				\$ 50,904	\$ 100,000	\$	135,573		+
	50th Street, Belinder to Rainbow 51st Terrace. Mission to Belinder							200	\$ 136,543	
	51st Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow						\$	81,172		-
	51st Street, 51st Ter to Rainbow W. 50th Place - W.51st St. (Horseshoe) + W. 50th Ter. (Cul De Sac); W. 50thTerrace and 51st St. Mission to Belinder						\$	7,826	\$ 158,936	+
	47th Terrace, Mission to Belinder									\$ 17,0 \$ 20,0
	47th Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow 48th Ter. Cul De Sacs (off Mission and off Belinder)									\$ 24,
	49th Street Cul De Sac 4840 - Transfer from Stormwater Fund	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$	- \$ 50,904	\$ 100,000	\$	224,771	\$ 295,479	\$ \$ 63,
		\$	\$ - \$ 570,242	\$.	- \$ 50,000 - <b>\$ 450,904</b>	\$ -	\$	50,000 \$	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,
'										
		\$ 523,324	\$ 1,261,671	\$ 1,145,4	\$ 2,111,186	\$ 2,215,524	\$ 1	,726,922 \$	\$ 642,541	\$ (94
	Professional Fees 5290 - Engineering/Design Services					\$ 491,288				
	Streets & Streetlights					φ 491,200				
	Mission Rd. Complete Street 50th Street, Mission Rd. to Belinder		\$ 38,982		\$ 85,610 \$ 58,665		\$	39,110		+
	50th Street, Belinder to Rainbow 51st Terrace, Mission to Belinder				\$ 23,780		\$	58,142 \$ 15,900	\$ 38,762	
	51st Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow				\$ 52,025		\$	34,681		
	51st Street, 51st Ter to Rainbow W. 50th Place - W.51st St. (Horseshoe) + W. 50th Ter. (Cul De Sac); W. 50thTerrace and 51st St. Mission to Belinder				\$ 23,680		\$	15,785 60,000 \$	\$ 39,000	-
	47th Terrace, Mission to Belinder 47th Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow								\$ 89,215 \$ 38,214	
	48th Ter. Cul De Sacs (off Mission and off Belinder)								\$ 19,368	\$ 12,
	49th Street Cul De Sac Facilities							3	\$ 20,633	\$ 13,
	5295 - Professional Services - Other	\$ 406	\$ 70,050 \$ -	s .	\$ 2,000					
	Total Professional Fees	\$ 406	\$ 109,032	\$ .	- \$ 245,760	\$ 491,288	\$	223,619	\$ 245,192	\$ 111,
	Equipment and Maintenance 5545 - Stone Wall Repairs	\$ 15,929	\$ 18,740	\$	- \$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$	10,000 \$	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,0
	5575 - Street Lights Total Equipment and Maintenance	\$	\$ - \$ 18,740		- \$ 5,000 - <b>\$ 15,000</b>		\$	5,000 \$ 15,000 \$		
	Street and Stormwater	,,	•		+					
	5610 - Capital Improvement Expense Streets & Streetlights					\$ 42,094				
	Belinder Ct. & Booth St. Streetlights 47th Street Complete Street	\$ 25,759	\$ -	s .	\$ 145,000 - \$					—
	Mission Rd. Complete Street	\$ -	\$ -	Ş .	\$ 628,046					
	50th Street, Mission Rd. to Belinder 50th Street, Belinder to Rainbow						\$	635,400 \$	\$ 484,520	-
	51st Terrace, Mission to Belinder 51st Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow						<u>\$</u>	200,000		
	51st Street, 51st Ter to Rainbow W. 50th Place - W.51st St. (Horseshoe) + W. 50th Ter. (Cul De Sac); W. 50thTerrace and 51st St. Mission to Belinder						\$	197,325	£ 500.000	
	47th Terrace, Mission to Belinder								\$ 500,000	\$ 743,
	47th Terrace, Belinder to Rainbow 48th Ter, Cul De Sacs (off Mission and off Belinder)									\$ 318, \$ 161,
	49th Street Cul De Sac	¢ 05 750	s -	\$	- \$ 773,046	¢	\$ 1	,466,241 \$	¢ 094 500	\$ 171, \$ 1,395,
	Total Streets & Streetlights Facilities	\$ 25,759	\$-	ۍ د	- ə //3,046	φ -	<u>پ</u> 1	,+00,241	<del>۽ ∍84,520</del>	ə 1,395,
	Public Works Building Repairs Park Improvements				\$ 100,000		\$	100,000 \$	\$ 100,000 \$ 50,000	
	Total Facilities	\$-	\$-	\$	- \$ 100,000	\$-	\$	100,000		
	Tree Canopy Tree Canopy Maintenance						\$	25,000 \$	\$ 25,000	
	Total Tree Canopy Interfund Transfers	\$-	\$ -	\$	- \$ -	\$ -	\$	25,000 \$		
	5960 - Debt Service Transfer	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	\$ .	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	\$	175,000 \$	\$ 175,000	
	Total Interfund Transfers	\$ 175,000			- \$ 175,000		\$		\$ 175,000	
		\$ 217,094	\$ 302,772	\$ .	- \$ 1,308,806	\$ 681,288	\$ 2	2,004,860 \$	\$ 1,594,712	\$ 1,871
	TOTAL	\$ 306,230	\$ 958,899	\$ 1,145,4	199 \$ 802,381	\$ 1,534,236 \$	- \$	(277,938) \$	(952,172)	) \$ (1,966,

6/30/2025



-19.04

-5.56

-39.33

### Street

### Improvements & Streetlight Installation Aspirational

- The map reflects a ten-year program recommended for maintenance of streets, sidewalks, stormwater, and streetlight replacement
- The CIP currently is underfunded to implement this plan within a 10-year time horizon; est. projects costs for 2026 2028 projects shown
- 47<sup>th</sup> Pl. funded using entirely outside dollars
- Deficits (annual) =
  - FY 2026: \$275K (5.5 mills)
  - FY 2027: \$950,000 (19 mills)
  - FY 2028 \$2,000,000 (40 mills)





### Street Improvements & Streetlight Installation Flat Mill Rate

- General Fund Reserves maintained around 20% of fund balance after transfer to CIP
- 2025 (on target)
- 2026 (1/2 target streets)
- 2027 (no target streets; one 2026 target street)
- 2028 (no target streets; remaining 2026 streets)
- 2029 (no target streets; allow fund balance to rebuild)



### Street Improvements & Streetlight Installation 2 Mill Rate Increase

- General Fund Reserves maintained around 20% of fund balance after transfer to CIP
- 2025 (on target)
- 2026 (1/2 target streets + ½ 2027 target streets)
- 2027 (one 2026 target street)
- 2028 (no target streets; remaining 2026 streets)
- 2029 (no target streets; allow fund balance to rebuild)



### Street Improvements & Streetlight Installation 4 Mill Rate Increase

- General Fund Reserves maintained around 20% of fund balance after transfer to CIP
- 2025 (on target)
- 2026 (2/5 target streets + ½ 2027 target streets)
- 2027 (2/5 2026 target streets)
- 2028 (no target streets; remaining 2026 streets + 2027 cul de sac (50<sup>th</sup> Ter.))
- 2029 (no target streets; allow fund balance to rebuild)



# Mill Rate Increase Impact to Westwood Homeowners

2025 Typical Ho	me Valu	е	\$	400,000.00		Revenue Increase Forecasted in FY2026				
Assessment Ra	te			11.5%			\$210,044.16			
Assessed Value			\$ .	52,511,041.00						
Value of One Mi	ll in FY20	)26	\$	52,511.04		Mill Le	vy Increase (n	nonthly cost to r	esident in med.	. value home)
						0	1	2	3	4
					Rate	21.199	22.199	23.199	24.199	25.199
		Annual Co	st	Monthly Cost						
\$400,000.00	21.199	\$ 975.3	15	\$ 81.26		81.26	85.10	88.93	92.76	96.60
φ 100,000.00	211100	ф 0700.	-0	φ 01.20						
						Chang	ge in Resident	Cost (monthly b	based on typical	l value home)
						\$ -	\$ 3.83	\$ 7.67	\$ 11.50	\$ 15.33

# Select Options for City Council Consideration

Reduce	Do Nothing	Increase by 2	Increase by 4	Increase by 5+
Reduce the mill levy or pass a revenue neutral budget	Do nothing (leave the mill levy flat)	Increase the mill levy by 2 mills	Increase the mill levy by 4 mills	Increase the mill levy by 5+ mills
City operational expenses, capital improvement costs, and maintaining satisfactory reserves outpace the previous year's ad valorem revenue every year; reducing the amount of ad valorem tax received would result in greater delays in funding streets and streetlight projects	Although property valuations are increasing and the City has taken steps to diversify revenues and control costs, the amount of ad valorem tax created by incremental increase in property valuations does not generate enough to tackle streets projects, which range in estimated cost from the equivalent of 2 to 15+ mill each.	Increasing the mill levy by 2 mills would generate approximately \$100,000 more in annual revenue for the CIP. This minor amount would aid in funding the CIP but would not generate enough to keep pace with the recommended Capital Improvement Plan.	Increasing the mill levy by 4 mills would generate approximately \$200,000 more in annual revenue for the CIP. This amount would aid in modestly increasing funding to the CIP but would still not generate enough to keep pace with the recommended Capital Improvement Plan.	Increasing the mill levy by 5 or more mills would generate over \$250,000 more in annual revenue for the CIP and would create an increased pace in keeping up with the recommended Capital Improvement Plan. An increase of 5 or 5.5 mills, would set Westwood's mill rate in line with Merriam and Lenexa; however, this may be too large of a change in one year for Westwood homeowners.

# Budget Calendar



