City of Westlake Attorney Performance Review



Purpose of Review

The purpose of the annual review process of Charter Officer (City Attorney) conducted by the City Council is to:

- 1. Strengthen the working relationship between the Council and the City Attorney.
- 2. Provide a mechanism for regular reviews.
- 3. Identify performance objectives for the City Attorney.
- 4. Provide feedback to the City Attorney and identify areas where improvements may be needed.

Frequency

The City Council will review the City Attorney annually. The review process will take place during the budget process and will be completed before the adoption of the annual budget.

Review Procedure

The Review procedure is at the will and the direction of the City Council and may be modified at any time. In general, the review process will include the following steps:

- 1. The City Council shall set the review schedule annually.
- 2. The Mayor and Council Members shall complete the one-on-one review form and submit to the City Clerk prior to the review meeting.
- Each Council member shall meet face to face with the City Attorney prior to the special meeting review session.
- 4. The results of the individual reviews will be placed on the agenda of the special City Council meeting.
- 5. The performance review, and any subsequent actions, will be placed in the official City Records.
- The performance review process is not intended to and shall not be constructed as a re-opening or modification of the City Attorney's existing contract.

City of Westlake Attorney Performance Review



Date: 10/27/2020	
Review Period from:	To:
Submitted by: Mayor Kosli	Manning

The following pages define significant areas of responsibility for the City Attorney. In each section, examples of performance and responsibilities are articulated to better explain each subject heading. Please rate the Attorney's performance based on the following categories:

0	Unsatisfactory: Fails to meet all expectations	Performance is well below expectations in all areas of responsibility. Serious performance deficiencies that prohibit adequate performance in the position. Should be evaluated for termination of contract.
1	Poor: Fails to meet most expectations	Performance is well below expectations in most areas of responsibility. Serious performance deficiencies that inhibit adequate performance in the position. Should be evaluated for termination of contract.
2	Fair: Meets most expectations	Often fails to meet performance expectations of the position. Performance is generally adequate but is deficient in one or more key areas and will require improvement.
3	Good: Meets expectations	Meets all expectations of the position and is competent in the performance of responsibilities.
4	Very Good: Meets all expectations	Occasionally exceeds performance expectations of the position. Performs the most difficult parts of the job competently and thoroughly. Contributes significant results on their own initiative. Works with a high level of independence, initiative and concern for the quality of the service produced by the organization.
5	Excellent: Exceeds all expectations	Overall skills and abilities greatly exceed the expectations of the position. Demonstrates strong expertise within key areas of responsibilities. Occasionally receives outstanding results beyond scope of the performance plan in some key areas of responsibility over entire performance period.
6	Outstanding: Far Exceeds all expectations	Overall skills and abilities far exceed all expectations of the position. Demonstrates strong expertise within key areas of responsibilities. Delivers consistently outstanding results beyond scope of the performance plan over entire period. Anticipates needs and executes plans flawlessly.

1.	Communication: Effectively communicates (orally and in writing) complex issues to government officials, the press and the public where diverse viewpoints and goals exist. Ensures that Council members receive important information in a timely and effective manner. Presents the Council and community with clear and accurate written reports and correspondence. Responds to correspondence, requests and complaints quickly and appropriately. Facilitates open two-way communication and encourages mutual honesty and respect with the community, Council, City Manager, and staff.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:
2.	Job Knowledge: Possesses and applies a comprehensive understanding and knowledge of the City Charter, Code of Ordinances, Code of Ethics and Florida Statutes. Applies effective litigation skills before trial and appellate level courts and administrative agencies. Has an extensive understanding of legal issues faced by local governments. Has the ability to effectively formulate and advance legal strategies that further the goals of the City. 6-Outstanding5-Excellent4-Very Good3-Good2-Fair1-Poor0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:

3.	Professionalism: Deals effectively and appropriately with the public and other organizations. Devotes time and energy to the job. Demonstrates high ethical standards in the organization. Works to keep politics and personal perspectives out of the decision-making process. Stays active in professional organizations.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:
bot rela and Red	Management: Effective in the management, supervisory and interpersonal skills in the context of the alaw office and organization, including the ability to establish and maintain effective working ationships with City Manager, City Council, Contract Departments, other government officials, boards decommittees, and the general public. Creates a collaborative, team building, environment. Cognizes the accomplishments of contract staff and other agencies working on behalf of the City.
sta	oports professional growth and opportunity within the organization. Accepts full accountability for ff and the outcome of City projects or decisions. Identifies organizational problems and takes nedial action.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:

4.	Relationship with Council: Demonstrates a positive and trusting relationship with Council, both as a group and individually, by acting as an advisor to them on legal issues as they arise.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:
5.	Relationship with the Community: Understands general community issues and concerns. Remains involved and active in the community, represents the City well and in a professional and positive manner. Works effectively with the media and press, community organizations and other agencies.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:
6.	relationship with the City Manager and Department Heads in their pursuit of meeting the goals and
	objectives of the City.
	6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
	1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
	Comments:

7. Completion of Work: Completes work assignments within reasonable or set time frames. (In this context, "reasonable" means a time frame which is acceptable to Council in terms of their priorities,
and in consideration of the attorney's ongoing workload.)
6-Outstanding 5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Good 2-Fair
1-Poor 0-Unsatisfactory
Comments:
Achievements : Identify a few of the top achievements or strong points you observed in the City Attorney over the past year.
Communicates well with the city council.
Objectives : List two-to-three performance objectives that you feel are important for the City Attorney to work on for the coming year.
Work more closely with the City Manger.
Monger.