## Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

### Influent Flow and Loading

Influent No. 701		ent Monthly Je Flow, MGD	X Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L		, 	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day	
January		3.3810	x	221		x	8.34	=	6,235
February		3.9989	x	205		x	8.34	=	6,840
March		5.4968	x	142		x	8.34	=	6,499
April	4	4.8348	x	168		x	8.34	=	6,788
May		3.4572	x	245		x	8.34	=	7,050
June		2.6864	x	279		x	8.34	=	6,241
July		2.4440	x	294		x	8.34	=	5,984
August		2.4693	x	310		x	8.34	=	6,376
September		2.2365	x	315		x	8.34	=	5,872
October		2.5418	x	338		x	8.34	=	7,172
November		2.4334	x	375		x	8.34	=	7,613
December		2.4654	x	334		x	8.34	=	6,877
	Design			corgin r accor		90			
Design			D	Design Factor x		0	6	=	% of Design
	-	MCD					-		
	-	w, MGD		8.8	x	-	0	=	7.92
Max Month De	esign Flo	w, MGD		8.8	x x	1(	0	=	7.92 8.8
Max Month De	esign Flo	w, MGD			x	1( 9	0 00 0		7.92
Max Month De Design BOD, I	esign Flo Ibs/day			8.8	x x x x	10 9 10	0 00 0 00	= =	7.92 8.8 5940
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the	esign Flo Ibs/day number Months	r of times the Number of tir	flow mes	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time	x x x ded	1( 9 1( 90% or Numbe	0 00 0 00 100% (	= = of de	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the	esign Flo Ibs/day number Months of	r of times the Number of tir flow was grea	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 0 00 100% o r of time as great	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the and score:	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent	of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% of	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of	x x x ded	1( 9 1( 90% or Numbe	0 00 00 100% of as great % of des	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the and score: January	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent	of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% o 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% ( r of time as great 6 of des 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0
Max Month De Design BOD, 1 2.2 Verify the and score: January February	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent	of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% of	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% 0 r of time as great 6 of des 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the and score: January	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% o 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% ( r of time as great 6 of des 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1
Max Month De Design BOD, 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March	esign Flo lbs/day number number of Influent 1 1	of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% o 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% of as great % of des 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January January February March April	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% o 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% 0 r of time as great 6 of des 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tim flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% 0 r of time as great 6 of des 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 00 00 100% 0 r of time as great 6 of des 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September	Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 0 0 0 0 0 100% of 100% of as great 6 of des 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, 1 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September October	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was grea than 90% o 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Max Month De Design BOD, 1 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September October November	Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September October November December	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 99 90% or Numbe BOD wa	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Max Month De Design BOD, 1 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September October November December Points per ea	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tim flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 90% or Numbe BOD wa han 90%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2
Max Month De Design BOD, 1 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September October November	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	8.8 6600 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	10 90% or Numbe BOD wa than 90%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	= = of de es er	7.92 8.8 5940 6600 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Wate	ertown Wastewat	er Treatment Fa	cility	Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting Fo 2023	or:
3.1	Yes E		ed in the last year? n date (MM/DD/YYYY) ]			
If	No, please explain:					
4.1 exc ind • •	essive conventiona ustries, commercia Yes	ity have a sewer us I pollutants ((C)BC I users, hauled was	se ordinance that limited or prob D, SS, or pH) or toxic substanc ste, or residences?			
• ` 0	Was it necessary t Yes No <sup>T</sup> Yes, please explai		nance?			
	businesses with tar	get limits in place.	s four (4) active industrial pre-t One (1) of those facilities has equirement to meet all of those	established Federa		
5.1			otage at your facility? Grease Traps			
• `	Yes	• Yes	• Yes			
0	No	○ No	○ No			
Se	Did you receive se ptic Tanks Yes	eptage at your facil	ity? If yes, indicate volume in ga	allons.		
Hc ●	No Ilding Tanks Yes	146,067	gallons			
Gr o	No ease Traps Yes		gallons			
5.2	No 2.1 If yes to any of y of these wastes.	the above, please	explain if plant performance is	affected when rece	iving	
Р	lant performance d	oes not appear to	be negatively impacted.			
6.1 or h con o `	nazardous situation nmercial or industri Yes No	is in the sewer syst ial discharges in th			oncerns,	
If	yes, describe the s	situation and your	community's response.			

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?  $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  Yes  $\,$ 

• No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	45
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	55
Section Grade	F

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

## Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
----------------------------

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

	% Permit Limit							
001   Average   Permit Limit   Average (mg/L)   Discharge   Exceedance	Limit							
	ceedance							
January 30 27 7 1 0 0								
February         30         27         6         1         0	0							
March 30 27 4 1 0	0							
April         30         27         5         1         0	0							
May 30 27 5 1 0	0							
June 16 14.4 4 1 0	0							
July 12 10.8 6 1 0	0							
August         10         10         7         1         0	0							
September         10         10         7         1         0	0							
October 12 10.8 6 1 0	0	0						
November         25         22.5         4         1         0	0							
December 29 26.1 5 1 0	0							
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10								
Months of discharge/yr 12								
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge 7	3							
Exceedances 0	0							
Points 0	0							
Total number of points 0								
NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly								
exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divide								
the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 of the year, the multiplication factor is $12/6 = 2.0$	months							
1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?								
<ol> <li>Flow Meter Calibration</li> <li>Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?</li> </ol>								
• Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)								
2023-10-26								
0 No								
If No, please explain:								
3. Treatment Problems								
3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?								
Daphnia magna aquatic insect infestations in clarifiers.								
<ul> <li>4. Other Monitoring and Limits</li> <li>4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?</li> </ul>								

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

#### If Yes, please explain:

4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?

o Yes

• No

If Yes, please explain:

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?

o Yes

o No

• N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
5/24/2024	2023

# Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

	otal Suspended		s e effluent values, e	exceedances, a	and points for <sup>-</sup>	TSS:		
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance		
January 30 27 3 1 0 0								
February	30	27	3	1	0	0	11	
March	30	27	3	1	0	0	1	
April	30	27	4	1	0	0	1	
May	30	27	3	1	0	0	1	
June	16	14.4	4	1	0	0	1	
July	12	10.8	6	1	0	0	1	
August	10	10	4	1	0	0		
September         10         10         3         1         0         0								
October 12 10.8 4 1 0								
November         25         22.5         5         1         0							0	
December 29 26.1 4 1 0 0								
	* Equals limit if limit is <= 10							
Months of Discharge/yr 12								
Points per	Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: 7 3						1	
Exceedance	Exceedances 0 0							
Points 0 0								
Total Number of Points 0								
NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?								

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 2023

#### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3 Limit	NH3 Limit	Average NH3	Limit Exceed	Average	Average	Average	Average for Week	Limit Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance		2	3	4	ance
					_				
January	20	20	1.136	0	.121	.128	2.436	2.491	0
February	20	20	.54	0	.32	.463	.341	1.035	0
March	20	20	.158	0	.052	.12	.295	.212	0
April									0
May									0
June	17	17	.108	0	.081	.191	.077	.088	0
July	9	9	.118	0	.086	.084	.132	.152	0
August	6.4	6.4	.072	0	.112	.075	.055	.062	0
September         8.9         8.9         .068         0         .046         .046         .12         .06								0	
October 9.3 13 .053 0 .062 .063 .038 .047								0	
November         20         20         .057         0         .053         .062         .072         .049							0		
December         20         20         .049         0         .042         .04         .047         .07								0	
Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:							10		
Exceedances, Monthly:							0		
Points:							0		
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):							2.5		
Exceedances, Weekly:							0		
Points:						0			
Total Number of Points						0			
NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?									

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
5/24/2024	2023

# **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

			Manthaaf	De weeit Liveit	
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus	Months of Discharge with a	Permit Limit Exceedance	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	Exceedance	
January	1	0.333	1	0	-
February	1	0.259	1	0	
March	1	0.336	1	0	
April	.8	0.345	1	0	
Мау	1	0.457	1	0	
June	.8	0.591	1	0	
July	1	0.660	1	0	
August	1	0.441	1	0	
September	1	0.329	1	0	
October	1	0.223	1	0	
November	1	0.161	1	0	
December	1	0.251	1	0	
Months of Dischar	ge/yr		12		
Points per each	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10	
Exceedances				0	
Total Number of	Points			0	
exceedance for th the number of mo	is section shall be ba onths of discharge.	ermittently to waters of used upon a multiplicat charging only 6 month	ion factor of 12 mor	iths divided by	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

# **Biosolids Quality and Management**

<ol> <li>Biosolids</li> <li>1.1 How d</li> <li>∠and a</li> <li>Publich</li> <li>Haulec</li> <li>Landfil</li> <li>Inciner</li> <li>Other</li> <li>NOTE: If as lagoor</li> <li>1.1.1 If y</li> </ol>	lid yo pplie y Dis l to a led rated you o	u use d unc tribut nothe did no ed be	e or dis ler you red Exc er perr ot rem eds, re	ove l	rmit onal d fac pioso lating	Quali ility lids f g sar	rom ity Bi	osoli your ers,	ds syst					e you	r sys	tem t	ype su	ich	
																			┼──
2. Land Ap	-																		
2.1 Last Y							d Ap	plicat	tion S	Sites									
2.1.1 Hov		ny ac	res di	d you	ı hav	e?													
2033 ac		nv	roc di	d voi		2													
2.1.2 Hov 109.8	w IIId		acr		i use	:													
2.2 If you	did r	not ha	ive en	ough	acre	es for	you	r land	d app	licati	on n	eeds,	, wha	it act	ion v	vas ta	ken?		
2.3 Did yo		erann	lv nitr	nden	0n 2	anv o	f vou	ır anı	orove	d lar	nd an	nlica	tion (	sites	VOLL	used I	ast ve	ar?	0
• Yes (30			iy ilici	Sych	011 0		. ,	n ap	51000	24 141	u up	Prica		51003	you	uscu i	astyc	ur .	
● No	, beu	,																	
-		:+-			1		foul												
2.4 Have a years?		e site	s you	useu	last	year	IOP 1	anu a	appine	ation	i bee	n soi	rtesi	.eu ir	i the	previo	Jus 4		
• Yes																			
• No (10	noin	te)																	
-	pom	15)																	
o N/A																			
3. Biosolids																			
Number of	f bios	olids	outfal	ls in	your	WPD	es p	ermi	t:										
3.1 For ea	ich ou	utfall	tested	, ver	ify th	ne bio	osolid	ls me	etal q	ualit	y val	ues f	or yo	ur fa	cility	durin	g the	last	
calendar y													-		,				
Outfall No.	004	- CAI	KE SH	JDGF	-														
Parameter	80%		Ceiling		Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80%	Hiah	Ceiling	
	of	Limit		Jun	1.00	1 101	, 'bi	, iay			, ag	Jep		110 0			Quality		
	Limit									24			22						
Arsenic		41	75	40			22			31			33				0	0	
Cadmium		39	85	.79			.78			.58			.6				0	0	
Copper		1500	4300	360			290			350			380				0	0	
Lead		300	840	18			20			22			18				0	0	
Mercury	<u> </u>	17	57	<.34			.39			.39			.69				0	0	
Molybdenum			75	8.1			7.8			10			10			0		0	
Nickel	336		420	57			56			61			58			0		0	
Selenium	80	2022	100	<28			<15			9.6			<11			0		0	
Zinc		2800	7500	670			570			670			710				0	0	

## Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

														5	/24/	2024		2023	
Outfall N	o. 00	2 - L	IQUII	D SL	UDG	E													
Parameter			Ceiling		Feb		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling	
Arsenic		41	75							36							0	0	
Cadmium		39	85							<.49							0	0	
Copper		1500	4300							310							0	0	
Lead		300	840							11							0	0	
Mercury		17	57							<3.4							0	0	
Molybdenum			75							8.8						0		0	
Nickel	336		420							51						0		0	
Selenium	80	2800	100							<31						0	0	0	
Zinc       2800       7500       680       0       0         3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0         Exceedence Points       0       0       0         0       (0 Points)       0       0       0         0       (10 Points)       0       0       0         3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)       0       Yes         0       N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)       0       N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)         3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0       Exceedence Points       0       0         0       (0 Points)       0       1       10 Points)       0       1       10 Points)         0       1       10 Points)       0       1       15 Points)       3.1.4 Were biosolids land appli										0									
4. Pathoge 4.1 Verify under the	the f	ollow	ing inf	forma	ation				natio	n is ir	ncorr	ect, I	use t	he Re	eport	: Issue	butto	'n	
Outfall Nu	Imber	:										004	ŀ						
Biosolids (	Class											В							
Bacteria T	ype a	and L	imit:								Feca	al Co	liforn	n					
Sample D	ates:						01/	01/2	023 ·	- 12/	31/2	023							
Density:							200	,000											
Sample C	oncer	ntratio	on Am	ount			CFL	J/G T	S										
Requirem	ent M	et:					Yes												
Land Appl	ied:						Yes												
Process:							Ana	erob	ic Di	gestio	on								
Process D	escrip	otion:					req	uiren	nents	gestic s prio <u>5 to 9</u>	r to l	and a	appli	catio	n. Op	: 3 perateo	t		

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024

	5/24/2024	2023
Outfall Number:	004	
Biosolids Class:	В	1
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	1
Sample Dates:	01/01/2023 - 12/31/2023	1
Density:	31,000	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	1
Requirement Met:	Yes	1
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Aerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	
Outfall Number:	004	]
Biosolids Class:	В	1
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	-
Sample Dates:	01/01/2023 - 12/31/2023	-
Density:	31,000	-
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	-
Process:	Aerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	
Outfall Number:	004	1
Biosolids Class:	B	-
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	-
Sample Dates:	01/01/2023 - 12/31/2023	-
Density:	22,000	-
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	1
Requirement Met:	Yes	1
Land Applied:	Yes	-
Process:	Aerobic Digestion	-
	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3	-
Process Description:	requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024

	5/24/2024	202
Outfall Number:	004	]
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	01/01/2023 - 03/31/2023	
Density:	200,000	1
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	1
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	1
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	
Outfall Number:	004	]
Biosolids Class:	В	1
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	1
Sample Dates:	04/01/2023 - 06/30/2023	
Density:	31,000	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	
Outfall Number:	004	1
Biosolids Class:	B	1
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	1
Sample Dates:	07/01/2023 - 09/30/2023	1
Density:	200,000	1
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	1
Requirement Met:	Yes	1
Land Applied:	Yes	1
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	1
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Report
5/24/2024	20

	5/24/2024	2023
Outfall Number:	004	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	10/01/2023 - 12/31/2023	
Density:	22,000	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion is utilized to meet list 3 requirements prior to land application. Operated mesophilic 95 to 98 degrees Fahrenheit.	0
	meet the process criteria at the time of land application ocess criteria not met at the time of land application?	in.
button under the Options header in the	ny of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issu left-side menu.	e
Outfall Number:	004	
Method Date:	01/10/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38 61.3	
Results (if applicable):	01.3	
Outfall Number:	004	
Method Date:	04/19/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	55.1	
Outfall Number:	004	
Method Date:	07/18/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	43.2	

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024

2023

0

	5/24/2024	2023
Outfall Number:	004	7
Method Date:	10/12/2024	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	-
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	43	
		_
Outfall Number:	004	
Method Date:	01/10/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	61.3	
[		_
Outfall Number:	004	
Method Date:	04/19/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	55.1	
Outfall Number:	004	7
Method Date:	01/10/2023	_
	Volatile Solids Reduction	_
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:		-
Requirement Met:	Yes	_
Land Applied:	Yes	_
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	_
Results (if applicable):	61.3	
Outfall Number:	004	7
Method Date:	10/12/2023	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	1
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	1
Results (if applicable):	43	┥

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? • Yes (40 Points)

• No

If yes, what action was taken?

Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting For 2023	:
<ul> <li>6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your facility have either on-site or off-site?</li> <li>&gt;= 180 days (0 Points)</li> <li>0 150 - 179 days (10 Points)</li> </ul>	wastewater treat	ment	

0

• 120 - 149 days (20 Points)

0 90 - 119 days (30 Points)

 $\circ$  < 90 days (40 Points)

• N/A (0 Points)

6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

#### 7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

We have concerns regarding PFAS/PFOS and disposal options as looking into the future is a moving target for regulations.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

## Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

)

	<b></b>
<ol> <li>Plant Staffing</li> <li>Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?</li> </ol>	
• Yes	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
• Yes	
○ No	
If No, please explain:	
2 Dreventative Maintenance	┼──┤
<ol> <li>Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on</li> </ol>	
major equipment items?	
• Yes (Continue with question 2) $\Box \Box$	
○ No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	
• Yes	0
• No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
• Yes	
• Paper file system	
• Computer system	
<ul> <li>Both paper and computer system</li> </ul>	
○ No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used	
as a reference when needed?	
• Yes • No	
	┼──┤
<ul><li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li><li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li></ul>	
• Excellent	
• Very good	
o Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Staff here in Watertown takes great pride in their work and our facilities, the results are very good. I take regular tours and visit of other facilities on an annual basis, I also talk to other plant managers and find that our program is better than most others.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 2023

## **Operator Certification and Education**

- 1 Operate	r In Charge					
	r-In-Charge ou have a designated operator-ir	n-charge during the	report year?			
• Yes (0						
○ No (2	0 points)					
Name:	· · ·					0
PE	TER A HARTZ					
Certificat	tion No:					
	32167					
	ition Requirements					
	cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 ass(es) were required for the op					
	t plant and what level and subcla					
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х			X	
A2	Attached Growth Processes				X	
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				Х	
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Х			X	
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X	
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х			X	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Х			X	
L	Laboratory	Х			X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					0
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	Х	NA	NA	
2.2 Was t	he operator-in-charge certified a	at the appropriate le	vel and subc	lass(es) to o	perate this	
plant? (No	ote: Certification in subclass SS					
• Yes (0	. ,					
○ No (2)						
	astewater treatment facilities wi that works in the laboratory cert					
• Yes	that works in the laboratory cert					
○ No						
0 N/A –	Wastewater treatment facility do	es not have a regist	ered or certi	fied laborato	ory	
	astewater treatment facilities the					
has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and						
certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass? ● Yes						
o No						
<ul> <li>N/A – Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage</li> </ul>						
collection system						
	ion Planning					
3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan						
to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?						
$\boxtimes$ One or more additional certified operators on staff						

Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting <b>2023</b>	
<ul> <li>An arrangement with another certified operator</li> <li>An arrangement with another community with a certified operator</li> <li>An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your be certified within one year</li> <li>A consultant to serve as your certified operator</li> <li>None of the above (20 points)</li> <li>If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:</li> </ul>	plant and is exp	pected to	0
<ul> <li>4. Continuing Education Credits</li> <li>4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge Education Credits at the following rates?</li> <li>OIT and Basic Certification: <ul> <li>Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.</li> </ul>	e earning Contin	uing	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility Las 5/

st Updated:	Reporting For:
/24/2024	2023

Financial Management			
1. Provider of Financial Information			
Name:			
Peter Hartz			
Telephone: 920-262-4085		(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address			
(optional):		7	
phartz@watertownwi.org			
<ul> <li>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues</li> <li>2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cove treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?</li> <li>Yes (0 points) □□</li> <li>No (40 points)</li> <li>If No, please explain:</li> </ul>	r O&M ex	kpenses for your wastewater	
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue s Year: 2023	source(s)	) last reviewed and/or revised?	0
<ul> <li>● 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□</li> <li>○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□</li> </ul>			
• N/A (private facility)			
<ul> <li>2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required s financial resources available for repairing or replacing equiplant and/or collection system?</li> <li>Yes (0 points)</li> </ul>			
○ No (40 points)			
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES S	HALL CO	MPLETE QUESTION 3]	
<ol> <li>Equipment Replacement Funds</li> <li>When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last revie Year:</li> </ol>	ewed and	d/or revised?	
2023			
• 1-2 years ago (0 points) $\Box\Box$			
• 3 or more years ago (20 points) $\Box$			
○ N/A If N/A, please explain:			
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity			
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR		\$ 1,576,248.82	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	-	\$ 600,819.32	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		\$ 975,429.50	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	\$ 1,302,074.05	

Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting Formation Reporting Formation Formation Report Formation Formation Reporting Formation Report	or			
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box         3.2.6.1 below*)       -         3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR	1,302,074.0	5				
Reporting Year \$	975,429.5	D				
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.						
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repair	s from 3.2.5 ab	ove.				
Collections system project engineering & repairs, new turbo blower inst new mixers, new lift station pumps, electrical work for new emergency pump and install, RAS pump rebuild, WAS pump rebuild, facilities plann new spiral sludge heat exchangers	generator, new	sludge	•			
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 975,	429.50					
<ul> <li>Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.</li> <li>3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If No, please explain.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?</li> <li>Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already li o No</li> </ul>		bilitating,				
Project Project Description #		oproximate onstruction Year				
1 Install new interceptor sewer for new drainage basin development, but only for part of the west side interceptor service area to include an extension to Highway A / River Rd. from Hoffmann Drive.	\$5,000,000	2026				
2 GIS enhancements	\$30,000	2025				
3 Continuance of hydraulic study for the sanitary sewer service area. Specific drainage basin model updates for areas anticipated to see development.	\$15,000	2025				
4 Biosolids dryer, design & installation. To include solar array for electricity generation.	\$5,225,000	2025				
5 Alerman lift station engineering & rehab - controls and pumps	\$2,000,000	2025				
6 WWTP facilities planning update project engineering, design, and process upgrades (yet to be determined)	\$10,000,000	2025				
5. Financial Management General Comments						
A sewer rate study is being considered for the 2025 budget to support.						
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE						
6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage						
6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:						

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 2023 **COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed** Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 18 Electricity Consumed **Natural Gas Consumed** (kWh) (therms) January 18,278 14,912 February March 17,297 18,253 April 15,417 May June 10,833 July 8,798 August 9,174 8,724 September October 7,967 9,740 November December 13,750 Total 153,143 0 12,762 0 Average

6.1.2 Comments:

6.2	Energy	Related	Processes	and	Equipment
-----	--------	---------	-----------	-----	-----------

- 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):
- Comminution or Screening
- □ Extended Shaft Pumps
- $\boxtimes$  Flow Metering and Recording
- □ Pneumatic Pumping
- SCADA System
- □ Self-Priming Pumps
- Submersible Pumps
- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- □ Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

• No

o Yes

Year:

By Whom:

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

Describe and Comment:

#### 6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

New stand-by emergency generators for Grandview, Riverlawn, and Carlson lift stations are planned for 2024.

#### 7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

#### **TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month**

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	230,935	104.81	2,203	193.29	1,195	10,877
February	209,585	111.97	1,872	191.52	1,094	11,840
March	225,612	170.40	1,324	201.47	1,120	8,345
April	223,835	145.04	1,543	203.64	1,099	6,835
Мау	248,200	107.17	2,316	218.55	1,136	4,054
June	242,739	80.59	3,012	187.23	1,296	1,110
July	240,361	75.76	3,173	185.50	1,296	1,047
August	279,924	76.55	3,657	197.66	1,416	1,415
September	254,856	67.10	3,798	176.16	1,447	1,992
October	217,403	78.80	2,759	222.33	978	2,674
November	177,556	73.00	2,432	228.39	777	3,321
December	222,634	76.43	2,913	213.19	1,044	10,462
Total	2,773,640	1,167.62		2,418.93		63,972
Average	231,137	97.30	2,584	201.58	1,158	5,331

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

- □ Aerobic Digestion
- $\boxtimes$  Anaerobic Digestion
- □ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- □ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- I Fine Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- Mechanical Sludge Processing

Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting For 2023
⊠ Nitrification		
🛛 SCADA System		
UV Disinfection		
☑ Variable Speed Drives		
Other:		
7.2.2 Comments:		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned treatment facility?	l for the future for	your
Possibly solar panels to operate and offset electric consumption for new	v biosolids dryer.	
8. Biogas Generation		
<ul> <li>8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):</li> </ul>		
⊠ Flared Off		
Building Heat		
Process Heat           Generate Electricity		
□ Other:		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?		
• No		
<ul> <li>○ Yes</li> <li>□ Entire facility</li> </ul>		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		
□ Part of the facility		]
Year:		
By Whom:		

Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/24/2024	2023

Describe and Comment:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems
<ul> <li>1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program</li> <li>1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
If No, explain:
<ul> <li>1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?</li> <li>● Yes</li> </ul>
• No (30 points)
If No or N/A, explain:
<ul> <li>1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)</li> <li>☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]</li> </ul>
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
We continue to push forward a private lateral replacement program. In 2026 Dewey Ave is being completely redone and we hope to have a new private lateral replacement program in place for that project.
Did you accomplish them? • Yes
• No If No, explain:
Clear water discharge to the sanitary sewer is a violation of the city code 508-8, and should be eliminated entirely; the wastewater utility hopes to move forward with private side lateral replacements in the future to pair with our private lead lateral replacement program, and the annual CIP program pending sufficient funding.
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☐ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
$\boxtimes$ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public $\boxtimes$ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system? Watertown Municipal Code 508
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2015-06-05
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Private property inflow and infiltration
$\boxtimes$ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection $\boxtimes$ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
☑ Fat, oil and grease control
Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance

Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]

Watertown Wastewater Tr	eatment Facility		Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting For <b>2023</b>
information for O&M ad	ement part inventorie tem map n (computer database ctivities, investigation ne operation and main program sment and correction e Provisions [NR 210.3 edures are established em, including building DNR NR 110 Standar	es e and/or file system) for c and rehabilitation atenance activities (see qu 23 (4) (e)]□□ d for the design, construct	ollection system uestion 2 below) ction, and inspect ewers on private	
<ul> <li>Overflow Emergency Reposes your emergency resonance</li> <li>Responsible personne</li> <li>Response order, timin</li> <li>Public notification protection</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Emergency operation</li> <li>Annual Self-Auditing of</li> <li>Special Studies Last Yea</li> <li>Infiltration/Inflow (I/I</li> <li>Sewer System Evaluation</li> <li>Sewer Evaluation and</li> <li>Lift Station Evaluation</li> </ul>	ponse capability inclu l communication proc g and clean-up tocols protocols and implem your CMOM Program ar (check only those th ) Analysis tion Survey (SSES) Capacity Managment	nentation procedures [NR 210.23 (5)] hat apply):		O
2. Operation and Maintenan 2.1 Did your sanitary sewe maintenance activities? Cor Cleaning Root removal	r collection system m	and indicate the amount r		
Flow monitoring	10	% of system/year		
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year		
Sewer line televising	7.5	% of system/year		
Manhole	27.1	0/ of overame /vear		
inspections	27.1	% of system/year # per L S /year		
Lift station O&M	18	# per L.S./year		
Manhole rehabilitation	0.5	% of manholes rehabbe	d	
Mainline rehabilitation	0.12	% of sewer lines rehabl	bed	

Watertown Wastewater	Treatment Facility		Last Updated: 5/24/2024	Reporting For 2023
Private sewer inspections Private sewer I/I removal River or water crossings Please include addition	0 %	of system/year of private services of pipe crossings evalu nitary sewer collection s		ined
31.06       To         36.02       Ai         109       M         109       M         10       N         0       N         0       N         0       N         104       N         3.1996       Ai         10.433       Pe         0       N         3.1996       Ai         10.433       Pe         0.00       Si         0.13       Co         3.3       Pe	g collection system and flow otal actual amount of precipi nual average precipitation iles of sanitary sewer umber of lift stations umber of lift station failures umber of sewer pipe failures umber of basement backup umber of complaints verage daily flow in MGD (if eak monthly flow in MGD (if av	itation last year in inche (for your location) s occurrences available) available) vailable) vailable) vear) ures/sewer mile/yr) mber/sewer mile/yr) sewer mile) nile) nthly:Annual Daily Avg)	es	
	WER (SSO) AND TREATMEN	, , , ,		
Date	Location			stimated Volume
None reported           ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.				
<ul> <li>5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/</li> <li>5.1 Was infiltration/inflo</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If Yes, please describe</li> </ul>	w (I/I) significant in your co	ommunity last year?		

## Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated:

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

During normal or dry times, even this past year being hot and dry, we average approximately 1,000,000 gallons per day of clear water infiltration into the sanitary sewer system. This number comes from the daily drinking water numbers vs the wastewater influent numbers.

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

- Yes
- o No

If Yes, please describe:

When it rains more than 2" a day our flows spike - look at February 26 - 28th as an example. We got 1.82" of rain and the flows went from 3.6 MGD to 10.4 MGD in a few hours. Flows did not get back down to 3.6 MGD until early May; more than 2 months later.

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Not much if anything has changed regarding the amount of I/I we have entering the sanitary sewer system during wet weather events.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

While the details need to be worked out, we continue to discuss moving forward with private lateral inspections and disconnections of the drain tiles to the sanitary laterals with development of a new private sanitary lateral replacement program.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

#### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0028541

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	F	0	3	0
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	136
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.68				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

### Watertown Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/24/2024 **2023** 

### **Resolution or Owner's Statement**

Name of Governing
Body or Owner: City of Watertown Common Council
Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:
2024-06-18
Resolution Number:
Date of Submittal:
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR
SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = F
Watertown hired Applied Technologies Inc., to update the wastewater facilities plan. The results
of the plan updates will be available later in 2024; after which we will review and discuss with the
Public Works Commission members and take any necessary action pending available funds. Even
with the high influent BOD loadings, the plant effluent met permit limits all of 2023.
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A
Effluent Quality December and A
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A
Staffing: Grade = A
Operator Certification: Grade = A
Financial Management: Grade = A
Collection Systems: Grade = A
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)
Watertown continues to support staff at the treatment facility with equipment and infrastructure improvements when and where necessary; pending available funding.
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL
<b>GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS</b> (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)
G.P.A. = 3.68