

**Warrenton Commission on Open and Transparent Government**  
**Discovery and Records Review Plan**

**PREAMBLE:**

The purpose of this Discovery and Records Review Plan is to articulate the ability of the Warrenton Commission (“the Commission”) on Open and Transparent Government to obtain records for its legislatively defined purposes, as well as set out any applicable limitations and a process for deciding said issue. It follows both state law and the principles of the U.S. Constitution and Virginia Constitution, which each envision an open and transparent government.

The Commission has two potential sources of records:

1. Town of Warrenton (“Town”) records;
2. Records from Third Parties

In terms of Town records, the Commission – as authorized by state law and chartered by the Town Council -- enjoys immediate access to any records available to the public under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”). For those records which are exempt from FOIA, such as personnel records, attorney-client privilege communications, or subject to third-party agreement, the Commission – as an arm of the Town -- enjoys a presumptive ability to review said records, with the recognition that there must be a process in place, overseen by the Town Attorney, by which (i) searches are subject to reasonable limitation, and (ii) privacy of certain records, as designated by FOIA, can be maintained unless and until the Commission releases its findings to the public. That process will balance the privacy interest of the individual with the right of the Commission to obtain information relevant to its intended purpose.

In terms of Third-Party records, the Commission shall enjoy those rights which are stated under Rule 4:1 of the Rules of the Virginia Supreme Court regarding civil cases, namely the Commission shall have the ability to seek records relevant to its purposes.

## **Introduction:**

Upon the resignation of Mr. Robinson as Special Council on January 9, 2026 and previously Mr. Chap Petersen as Town Attorney, the Town Council at its regularly scheduled meeting on February 11, 2026 directed the Commission to review the original Resolution 25-001-08 (“Resolution”) formally establishing the Commission Resolution 24-03-03 appointing Whitson W. Robinson as Special Counsel, the Commission's Mission Statement, Discovery Plan and Records Review Plan as adopted by the Commission. .

Pursuant to the Council’s direction, the Commission held a public meeting on March 5, 2026, at which it unanimously approved revisions to these documents such that the Commission assigned to itself the task of investigating three prior land-use applications with the assistance of the Town Attorney to develop a record of relevant correspondence, applications, meetings, news reports and any other materials relevant to the selected applications.

The two principal distinctions between the original documents and their revisions are:

- 1) The Town Attorney will be responsible for assisting the Commission in discharging its primary delegated function to conduct discovery on and review of selected development applications, including the issuance of *subpoenae ducus tecum*
- 2) Research will be conducted by designated and individual members of the Commission according to the consolidated Discovery Plan and Records Review Plan.

This document presents a consolidated discovery and record review plan consistent with the revised resolution and mission statements defining the scope and process by which the Commission will (i) collect public files, documents, and other records and (ii) interview persons related to the applications.

## **I: Scope of Commission**

Section 15.2-1409 of the Code of Virginia “authorizes the governing body of a locality to (i) seek the attendance of witnesses and production of records to provide evidence under oath and (ii) apply to its Circuit Court for a subpoena or *subpoena duces tecum* so as to order that production if it is not produced voluntarily.”

Accordingly the Commission's investigation, with the assistance of the Town Attorney, includes discovery, screening, and review of all written and electronic communications, files, documents, other items relevant to the Projects, and may include interviews with and requests from persons involved in the Projects, its legislative review or administrative processing. Such items and persons may include:

1. **Town Records (Internal):** Internal hard-copy and electronic records maintained by the Town on its servers or stored onsite or offsite in Town-controlled facilities, **which are relevant to the Projects**. Such records include, but are not limited to:
  - (a) Electronic messages, phone text messages, fax messages and any other electronic records or communications concerning the Projects, the applications and the legislative record, including e-mail backups and archives on Town server(s).
  - (b) Hard-copy files and documents concerning the Projects, including the applications and the legislative record;
  - (c) Phone text messages, electronic mail, electronic files, and telephone call records on Town government phones pertinent to the Projects; and
  - (d) Town personnel folders and files on Town server(s), **only if it is determined** by the Town Attorney that there is a probable likelihood of accessing material to both the Projects and the underlying purpose of the Commission;
2. **Town records:** Hard-copy and electronic documents, correspondence, communications, e-mails, text messages, phone call records and logs, and other records of Town employees, agents or elected officials **which are relevant to the Projects and are located either by the Town on its servers or on third-party archive systems established by the Town**.
3. **Third Party records:** E-mail messages, phone text messages, electronic files, and telephone call records held by persons with an interest in the Projects, including developers,

property owners, attorneys, witnesses, and other third parties with knowledge, which are relevant to the Projects and the underlying goals of the Commission and not subject to an applicable legal privilege.

4. **Interviews:** In-person, telephone and video interviews with persons deemed to be relevant to the Projects and the underlying goals of the Commission.

## **II: Process**

The Commission, with the assistance of the Town Attorney, may use one or more of the following methods to obtain the pertinent records for the subject properties defined above:

1. Requests to individuals and organizations as described in Section 1 above (“Third Parties”). Where necessary, requests may take the form of subpoenas or subpoenas *duces tecum* filed by the Town Attorney and approved by the local circuit court.
2. Town server and hard copy storage. The Commission will be provided with access to the Town computer servers and hard copy storage systems at a sufficient security access level necessary to independently and confidentially access, search for, collect, review, and examine all files and documents on Town servers for the purpose of determining the files and documents that fall within the scope described in (I) above, subject to the following:
  - (a) Access to the Town’s servers shall be limited to members of the Commission, the Town attorney, and staff as designated by the Town Manager;
  - (b) In order to locate the highest possible number of relevant records defined by the Commission's scope in (I) above, access described in (a) above shall be a combination of both AI and natural language processing (NLP) searches of broad retrieved collections of Town records, and targeted Boolean keyword searches, to produce a final selection of retrieved records that are relevant to the scope of the Commission;
  - (c) Commissioners will employ best practices to ensure the security and confidentiality of all information accessed on Town servers throughout this discovery process;
  - (d) As stated above, the Commission is immediately entitled to any document which is relevant to its investigation and subject to disclosure pursuant to FOIA. For those documents which are subject to FOIA limitations, the Commission, as an agent of the

Town, is presumptively entitled to review its own records as long as they are relevant to the underlying investigation. That entitlement shall be balanced with any privacy concerns or potential harm from unconsented disclosure. On balance, it is presumed that the Town has the right to review its own records, recognizing that the public disclosure of said records can still be limited by the express terms of FOIA and as further determined by the Town Attorney.

3. In the event of any dispute concerning the relevance of any sought document vis-à-vis the scope of discovery or other legal concerns, the Town Attorney shall determine whether or not the information shall be provided, based if necessary upon his confidential review of each specific document or file.
4. If the Commission as determined by majority vote disputes the Town Attorney's finding in (4.) above, then the ultimate decision on whether a record will be included in the discovery process will be determined by a vote of the Town Council, subject to compliance with state and federal laws.

### **III: Disclosure**

This section covers the processing of information, final disposition, and disclosure of information obtained as a result of the investigation defined in this document:

1. The Town Attorney and/or members of the Commission will provide ongoing updates on the discovery process to the Commission's Chair, who in turn will report the discovery of any materially significant information immediately on to the entire the Commission.
2. Subject to the limitations of FOIA as described herein, any materially significant information obtained during the discovery process having a public interest impact will be released to the public immediately upon review by both sitting Council members on the Commission, and upon legal review by the Town Attorney.

3. Any information obtained during the discovery process that the Town Attorney deems may require referral to the Commonwealth Attorney shall be held in strict confidence, shared only with parties with a “need to know,” for final disposition by the Town Attorney.
4. Otherwise, information obtained as a result of the discovery scope and process as defined in (I) and (II) above shall remain confidential during the discovery process until it is presented to the Commission at either its next scheduled quarterly meeting, or during a special meeting held at an earlier date.
5. All other information gathered in the discovery process will first be reviewed by Councilman Semple, Councilman Gagnon, and the Town Attorney for a final determination of relevancy pursuant to the scope of (I) above and will be publicly released pursuant to the terms of this section and a final VFOIA review as described in (VI) below. Files, records, and other documents and information not relevant to the scope of this discovery plan will not be disclosed to the public, and such information will be securely redacted or deleted.
6. Information reviewed, gathered and processed by Councilman Semple, Councilman Gagnon, and the Town Attorney, will be forwarded to the Commission for review, disclosed to the public, and included in the final report to be published by the Commission.

#### **IV. Records Review Plan**

The Records Review Plan details the procedures to be followed by Commissioners for access, review, classification, and public disclosure of town or third-party records as defined above (hereinafter “Review Plan” or “Plan”).

The intent of the Review Plan is to provide the Commission as a whole, members of the Commission (“Members”) and the Town Attorney with the widest possible access to all records responsive to their requests, with the understanding that such access, subject to the parameters as defined above and as follows, is a prerequisite to ensuring a thorough discovery process and maintaining public confidence in the integrity of the investigation.

This Plan is also intended to protect the Town's employees, vendors, and the public by safeguarding proprietary or confidential information against unintended release that could compromise their rights to privacy, breach the Town's data systems or violate state law.

Accordingly, the Review Plan provides specific guidelines regarding the monitoring and in-camera review of any record accessed by the Commission, Members and the Town Attorney prior to their use by the Commission or release to the public.

#### **V: Town E-Mail Access, Review, Monitoring, and Disclosure**

Commission Members shall have broad initial access to all e-mail records of current and former Town employees, elected officials, or other individuals stored on the Town's e-mail archive (i.e., the Intradyn third-party archive system), except for those emails subject to specific exclusions as detailed further below.

#### **E-Mail Archive System (Intradyn) Access for Selection of Town Records**

The preferred means of access will be that designated Commission Members, in concert with the designated Custodian of Records, the Town Clerk, shall directly access the Intradyn System, to select and retrieve e-mails for initial review subject to the exclusions detailed below. The initial scope of the selection and retrieval process shall consist of any and all e-mails of current and former Town employees, elected officials, or other individuals stored on the Intradyn system, within date ranges specified by Commission members, and subject to a further confidential, in-camera search process, described in (VI) below, to narrow the initial selection down to records which are relevant to the Commission's scope as defined in (I) above.

If technically possible on the Intradyn system, during this initial records retrieval process all searches will be screened against exclusion files of e-mail addresses for Town police, County sheriff, and other law enforcement organizations to screen all e-mails send from these e-mail addresses to individuals whose e-mails are being retrieved. If this is not technically possible using Intradyn, this screening will take place as the initial step in VI below, using automated AI features, prior to any other search being performed.

Alternatively, the Town Clerk may conduct searches and retrieval of e-mail records in response to requests of Commission Members.

The compiled archives resulting from such searches will be transferred to the Town's One Drive system for initial review (see below). Neither the Town Clerk nor any other individual with access to the One Drive System may open or access any archives produced by these searches prior to their transfer to the One Drive System.

#### **VI: Initial Review of E-Mail Records (Determination of Relevancy)**

The Commission Members responsible for initial review shall be The Vice-Mayor and Committee Chair, William Semple, and the Commission's Secretary, Eric Gagnon ("Designated Members"), who together will conduct an initial search and in-camera review of the retrieved e-mail archives produced either by themselves or by other members of the Commission, selecting only those e-mails deemed relevant to the land-use applications within the scope of the Discovery Plan.

This search process shall include an in-camera review of individual e-mail messages, batch collections of e-mail messages, and automated text searches of archives. To ensure the highest possible accuracy in retrieval of relevant records, a combination of both AI, natural language processing (NLP), and targeted keyword searches will be used to select a final collection of records which are relevant to the scope of the Commission as defined in (I) above. E-mails that fall outside of the scope of the Discovery Plan shall be discarded.

Any e-mails that are not directly relevant to the scope of the Discovery Plan, or where relevance to a land use application is in doubt will be reviewed by the Town Attorney, who without objection will determine the relevancy or applicability of each e-mail to the scope of the Commission's inquiry.

At all times such review of e-mail records will be conducted in a secure environment following IT industry best practices to ensure confidentiality. The disclosure of all e-mails retrieved by the Commission will be subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act (VFOIA) and or other restrictions, e.g, non-disclosure agreements or contractual agreements, e.g., the Fusion Center

or the Criminal Justice Information System. To that extent, certain e-mail addresses and domains will be selected for non-inclusion during the search process, to minimize the chances of retrieving any e-mail subject to agreement or by law.

Councilman Semple and Councilman Gagnon shall be authorized to conduct a final review of the final selection of relevant records to remove any records which are exempt from release subject to VFOIA, or any other restrictions described in the preceding paragraph, prior to public dissemination of this final selection of relevant records.

### **VII: Town Phone Records**

Commissions shall be provided with access to requested phone records, including call logs, text messages, voicemail, or any other telephone information possessed by the Town, available from third-party services, or outside telecommunications providers.

Commissioners conducting a review of these phone records shall provide the records which are relevant to the scope of the Commission's Discovery Plan to the Town Attorney, and designated Members.

The designated Members will make the final determination of the relevance of phone records to the scope of land use applications covered by the Discovery Plan, and whether such records shall be included in the Commission's final report or other work product developed by the Commission.

### **VIII: Personnel Records and Other Potentially Sensitive Town Records**

If the Commissioners require access to Town personnel records in either hard-copy or electronic format, such access will be monitored by the Town HR manager and a designated Commission member, and information obtained from these files must be relevant to the scope of the Commission's Discovery Plan. Additionally, access to and dissemination of information from Town personnel files by the Commission will be subject to prior review and approval by the Town Attorney.

Access to and dissemination of information from other Town files deemed sensitive by either the Town Attorney or the Commissioners will be subject to prior review and approval by the Town Attorney on a case-by-case basis.

#### **V: Additional Town Records**

If, during their discovery process, the Commissioners require access to additional Town records, such access shall be granted, subject to prior approval by the Town Attorney.

#### **VI. Retention and/or Disposition of Records After Review**

The Commission shall verify that any and all files downloaded from the Open Drive in their position shall be destroyed in their entirety upon completion of the investigation and the publication of the Commission's report.

ATTACHMENT A

I, \_\_\_\_\_, hereby acknowledge that I will receive confidential information provided to me in connection with the Town of Warrenton, VA Commission on Open and Transparent Government (“Transparency Commission”) in its official investigation into the land use applications selected for review by the Commission. I certify that I have read the Discovery Plan propagated by the Transparency Commission, and I agree to use the documents, files, records, or other information obtained through my role in aiding the Transparency Commission and the Town Attorney, only for the purposes of the Transparency Commission’s scope, and not for any other purpose. I further agree not to disclose any documents, files, records, or other information I obtain in connection with the Transparency Commission’s investigation to any other person. I expressly agree to be bound by this Acknowledgement and by the Discovery Plan, and to be subject to the personal jurisdiction of Fauquier County Circuit Court where that any dispute may arise in relation this Acknowledgement or with the Discovery Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

Business Address:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_