

Please sign in for review of Engineering Services Proposals – Bid # 2025-044WL

NAME	ORGANIZATION	EMAIL
DON LEWIS	CITY OF TUPELO	DON.LEWIS@TUPELOMS.GOV
Johnny Timmons	City of Tupelo	Johnny.Timmons@Tupeloms.gov
Abby Christian	City of Tupelo	abby.christian@tupeloms.gov
Chris Lewis	City of Tupelo	chris.lewis@tupeloms.gov
DENNIS BONDS	CITY OF TUPELO	dennis.bonds@tupeloms.gov

CC-99.6

ESI-92.4

STW-70.4

REVIEW & RANKING OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS
ENGINEERING SERVICES #2025-044WL

FIRM	Weight=>	RANK			SCORE	RANK
		1 40% (0.40)	2 40% (0.40)	3 20% (0.20)		
Cook Coggin		RATE 10	10	9	98%	
		VALUE 0.40	0.40	0.18		
ESI		RATE 10	10	9	98%	
		VALUE 0.40	0.40	0.18		
STW, LLC.		RATE 9	9	8	88%	
		VALUE 0.36	0.36	0.16		


 Signature of Evaluator
 1/8/26
 DATE


Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Qualifications – List of qualifications of person to be assigned to project.
- Experience – Information regarding the firm’s experience and the projects previously undertaken, including the type and amount of grants awarded, the projects activities, and the status of projects.
- Capacity for Performance – Identify the number and title of staff assigned to provide services.

REVIEW & RANKING OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS
ENGINEERING SERVICES #2025-044WL

FIRM	Weight=>	1 2 3			SCORE	RANK																								
		40% (0.40)	40% (0.40)	20% (0.20)																										
Cook Coggin		<table border="1"> <tr><td>RATE</td><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>VALUE</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>2</td></tr> </table>	RATE	10	10	10	VALUE	4	4	2	<table border="1"> <tr><td>RATE</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>VALUE</td><td>4</td><td>3.2</td><td>1.4</td></tr> </table>	RATE	8	8	8	VALUE	4	3.2	1.4	<table border="1"> <tr><td>RATE</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>VALUE</td><td>3.2</td><td>2.4</td><td>1</td></tr> </table>	RATE	8	6	5	VALUE	3.2	2.4	1	10	1
RATE	10	10	10																											
VALUE	4	4	2																											
RATE	8	8	8																											
VALUE	4	3.2	1.4																											
RATE	8	6	5																											
VALUE	3.2	2.4	1																											
ESI					8.8	2																								
STW, LLC.					6.6	3																								


 Signature of Evaluator
 1/8/26
 DATE

Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Qualifications – List of qualifications of person to be assigned to project.
- Experience – Information regarding the firm’s experience and the projects previously undertaken, including the type and amount of grants awarded, the projects activities, and the status of projects.
- Capacity for Performance – Identify the number and title of staff assigned to provide services.

REVIEW & RANKING OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS
ENGINEERING SERVICES #2025-044WL

FIRM	Weight=>	1	2	3	SCORE	RANK
		40%(0.40)	40%(0.40)	20%(0.20)		

Cook Coggin	RATE	10	10	10	100
	VALUE	40	40	20	

ESI	RATE	10	9	10	96
	VALUE	40	36	20	

STW, LLC.	RATE	9	9	8	88
	VALUE	36	36	16	


 Signature of Evaluator

JUN 8 2026
 DATE

Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Qualifications – List of qualifications of person to be assigned to project.
- Experience – Information regarding the firm's experience and the projects previously undertaken, including the type and amount of grants awarded, the projects activities, and the status of projects.
- Capacity for Performance – Identify the number and title of staff assigned to provide services.

REVIEW & RANKING OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS
ENGINEERING SERVICES #2025-044WL

FIRM	Weight=>	1	2	3	RANK
		40% (0.40)	40% (0.40)	20% (0.20)	
		1.40	1.40	1.20	

Cook Coggin	RATE	10	10	10
	VALUE	4	4	2
10				

> tied

ESI	RATE	10	10	10
	VALUE	4	4	2
10				

STW, LLC.	RATE	10	2	2
	VALUE	4	.8	.4
5.2				

3

alsh
Signature of Evaluator

11/8/20
DATE

Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- 1 Qualifications – List of qualifications of person to be assigned to project.
- 2 Experience – Information regarding the firm's experience and the projects previously undertaken, including the type and amount of grants awarded, the projects activities, and the status of projects.
- 3 Capacity for Performance – Identify the number and title of staff assigned to provide services.

REVIEW & RANKING OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS
ENGINEERING SERVICES #2025-044WL

FIRM	Weight=>	1 2 3			SCORE	RANK
		40% (0.40)	40% (0.40)	20% (0.20)		
Cook Coggin	RATE	10	10	10	10	1
	VALUE	4	4	2		
ESI	RATE	8	8	8	8	2
	VALUE	3.2	3.2	1.6		
STW, LLC.	RATE	5	7	5	5.8	3
	VALUE	2	2.8	1		


 Signature of Evaluator
 1/8/2026
 DATE

Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

Qualifications – List of qualifications of person to be assigned to project.

Experience – Information regarding the firm's experience and the projects previously undertaken, including the type and amount of grants awarded, the projects activities, and the status of projects.

Capacity for Performance – Identify the number and title of staff assigned to provide services.