Update on RFA Financial Plan Work in Progress

Olympia Tumwater RFA Planning Committee

May 9, 2022

Neil Blindheim, Bill Cushman, Karen Reed – Project Consultants

Agenda

- Review of what the financial plan is for, key inputs, next steps
- Review of Fire Benefit Charge, update/review of data collection, next steps

Our target is to present the initial model at the May 23 Committee Meeting

Purpose of the 7-Year Financial Plan

- Model the **expenses** for the RFA in its first 7 years—
 - To maintain services (Baseline)
 - To consider/build in service enhancements
 - Consider different ways of providing services (contract?)
- Model the **revenues** needed to support the level of service desired.
 - Fire levy
 - Fire benefit charge
 - Fees generated (fire permits, fire inspections, etc.)
 - Grants and other revenues

Expenses: 4 major components

Today, the Fire Departments are supported by City administrative services, reserves and cash flow needs. Only Operations costs are in the Fire Department Budgets.



Reserves can reduce/eliminate the need to go to voters for additional revenues—to address both expected and unexpected expenditures.



expenditures in the planning period

Cash flow is needed each year to pay expenses between the time tax revenues are received

Under the target timeline, the RFA starts operation August 1, 2023.

The first RFA tax revenues will be received in April-May of 2024.

Most 2023 funding will come from the balance of City Fire Dept operating budgets.

Cash flow is needed to fund operations between the times that tax revenues are received—each spring and fall. This will likely come in the form of a loan from the Cities to the RFA, to be repaid over a few years as reserves of cash are slowly built up.



Administrative services can be provided either by adding staff, or a combination of staff and contract services.



We've previously shared 4 different examples of administrative staffing.

Staff are working to explore both how to provide services **initially**—which will likely be by contract and **over the initial planning period of 7 years.**

Where can we save money and still provide the needed administrative support?

RFA Revenues:

Fire Levy Fire Benefit Charge EMS Levy share



Graph shows 2 approaches to RFA funding

- The combination of a fire benefit charge (FBC) and a \$1.00/\$1,000 AV fire levy can generate more revenue than the alternative, which is a \$1.50 fire levy.
- In exchange for having the ability to impose an FBC, the maximum fire levy drops one-third to \$1.00/\$1,000 AV
- FBC collections in any year cannot exceed 60% of operating budget
- Unlike property taxes, FBC is not subject to the 1% collections cap: revenue stabilization tool
- If an FBC is requested when the RFA is formed, the vote threshold to establish the RFA and authorize the FBC (one ballot) is 60% approval.

Fire Benefit Charge

- Is a fee, not a tax
- Is based on the fire risk associated with the size and use of physical structures
 - If your structure will need more fire resources to put out a fire, your FBC will be more
 - If your structure is small and need fewer fire resources, your FBC will be less
- Can be adjusted annually by the RFA Governing Board in terms of both (1) the total amount collected, and (2) the formula for allocating the total amount between different properties

With an FBC...

- Your budget decisions change:
 - What's your total projected spending?
 - How much will the fire levy generate (\$1.00 max)?
 - What other revenues are available?
 - What's the gap? this is the amount of total FBC collections
- The FBC formula basically "solves" for the gap funding and allocates the cost to each parcel based on the formula to ensure the full gap amount is collected.
 - Amount collected can change every year
 - Allocation must be based on statutory requirements, confirmed by RFA Commissioners
 - Not subject to 1% collections cap



How does the FBC work?

Square Footage x Fire Flow x Cost per Gallon x Structure Category Weight Factor x Discount or Additional Risk Charge = FBC

Everyone uses the **same basic formula**; what changes are the **structure categories** and the **weights for each category**. **Fire flow & cost per gallon are** based on your water system and fire assets

 Identify categories of structures you will use in your FBC formula. Typical set below. 	2. Identify square footage and type of each structure in your jurisdiction and place it in the appropriate category	3. Determine the weighting for each category (Board policy action)	4. Identify any discounts/ exemptions applicable to the property, e.g. sprinklers, senior citizen/disabled	5. Do the math!
Mobile Home Single Family Residential Multifamily Small commercial Medium Commercial Large Commercial	County assessor records provide this information.	Weights increase with the size and complexity of the structure and its use. It's not a straight line— some small commercial establishments may have an FBC like a single-family residence. The weighting reflects the additional resources needed to put out a fire at these different types of structures.	Count assessor records provide this information	Determine the bill for each parcel / structure

The revenue picture for the RFA changes over time. We will build a 7-year plan.



Revenue from fire levy

Revenue from EMS levy, grants, fees

Initial Data Review

• Combining the current departments cost results in a shared tax reliant cost of \$1.33/\$1,000 AV in 2022 across the combined area.

Olympia Fire Budget	\$18,812,866	Tumwater Fire Budget	\$8,178,028
LESS: Fire Revenue	\$5,957,576	LESS: Fire Revenue	\$2,871,103
Tax-Dependent Fire Budget	\$12,855,290	Tax-Dependent Fire Budget	\$5,306,925
Tax-Dependent Fire Budget		Tax-Dependent Fire Budget	
Levy Equivalent	\$1.43	Levy Equivalent	\$ 1.14

RFA Fire Budget	\$26,990,894
LESS: Fire Revenue	\$8,828,679
Tax-Dependent Fire Budget	\$18,162,215
Tax-Dependent Fire Budget	
Levy Equivalent	\$1.33

Yet to be added: Admin Structure, Reserves, Cash Flow

Key inputs in the financial model that the Planning Committee will need to confirm (staff will bring recommendations)

- Inflation rate assumption
- Growth rate of assessed value assumption
- Labor cost assumptions in model
- Operational programs to be added in order to maintain service levels
- # of FTEs in starting RFA
- Reserves to be funded, at what levels
- How cash flow will be provided
- Approach to providing administrative services (staffing + contracts)
- Do we need an FBC? If so, what is the FBC formula?
 - What structure categories should be included?
 - What weights (cost share) should be assigned to each structure category?
- Do we plan for a levy lid lift? When?
- ...and more...

Fire Benefit Charge Initial Data Collection is Complete. What does it tell us?



FBC formula decisions are where the Committee will recommend how the cost is shared







2. and 3. --- Two hypothetical examples of cost share if weights for commercial are increased as compared to the other classifications

Another FBC decision: How many classifications?

• 4..?

- Residential
- Mobile home
- Multi-family (4+ units)
- Commercial

• More?

- Most FBC formulas have multiple classes of commercial structures—based on sq. footage.
- Many FBC formulas have more than one class of residential and multifamily.

Database Overview: Hypothetical FBC collection amount

		Fire B	enefit Charge	Facto	rs and To	otals for 202	22	
Fire District OT	Factor	Max Sq Ft		Factor	Max Sq Ft		Commercial	Subtotals
Residential 1	0.57	2,000	Commercial - 1	0.9	5,000	1,318 Comm	ercial 1 \$561,281.26	3,244,3
Residential 2	0.57	3,200	Commercial - 2	1.4	20,000	635 Comm	ercial 2 \$813,211.13	5,988,2
Residential 3	0.57	No Max	Commercial - 3	2	50,000	149 Comm	ercial 3 \$483,382.94	4,740,4
Apartments	1.44		Commercial - 4	3	100,000	56 Comm	ercial 4 \$394,110.50	3,811,
Mobile Homes	0.4		Commercial - 5	4	200,000	31 Comm	ercial 5 \$404,454.45	4,037,
Balancing Factor	0.01		Commercial - 6	5	No Max	8 Comm	ercial 6 \$193,684.41	2,157,
Cost Per Gallon	55.6							
Sprinkler	0.9	# Parcels	FBC \$	Sc	quare Feet	# Parcels	FBC \$	Square Fee
		20,282 Re	sidential \$5,610,639	.38	51,961,374		Residential	Subtotals
		2,197 Co	mmercial \$2,850,124	.68	23,979,927	5,739 Reside	ential 1 \$1,241,375.05	9,078,
		454 Mot	bile Home \$52,067.	.02	527,061	10,506 Reside	ential 2 \$2,940,394.54	26,689,
Olympia Tumw	ater Both	364 M	ulti Unit \$494,404	.05	4,443,856	4,037 Reside	ential 3 \$1,428,869.78	16,193,
Both	~	23,297 Tota	al District \$9,007,235.	12	80,912,218			
Boun		23,237 100	a District \$9,007,235.	.12	00,912,210			

Information is gathered on each parcel

PARCEL#	ME		ite ADDR		57 - T								Tumwal
arcel# 12704110301 Total Sq	State of the local division of the	and the second se	xpr ADOR										
ea Gode 440 MF # Unit	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	FB Charge \$	\$404.98			Comme	ts						
xpayer SHIPE, JOSHUA D		Taxable Impri	352,800	Sr / Disabled			-						
e Addr 6002 GLENWOOD DR SWOLY	MP1A98512	Taxable Land	164,800	Exempt Code		*							
dress 1 6002 GLENWOOD DR SW		Parcel Type	R	Use Code	N.								
dress 2		Sprinkler		Appeal									
y State TUMWATER WA	98512	Spr Percent	and the second se										
and the second se	100 million 100	Shi Helicelli	0	ReCalcu	late								
and the second		Spreecom	0	ReCalcu	late	Record: 1	1 of 1	.	l-SZ ile	nni []3	Search	-	
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer				Carport CovPo	urch Op	10		-	h Stor		Attic	Balcon	 zeway 0
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer spur-centrey 1764 0 996	t Unfinish	d Att Garag	e Garage	Carport CovPe	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer SPLIT-ENTRY 1764 0 996	t Unfinishe	:d Att Garag	e Garage 768	Carport CovPc	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer SPLIT-ENTRY 1764 0 996 ord: H = 1 of 1 H = Y2Um tached Structures	t Unfinish 0 ittered Searc Square	d Att Garag	e Garage 768 Width	Carport CovPe 0 2 Depth	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer SPUT-ENTRY 1764 0 996 cord: IN 1 of 1 IN IN SUM tached Structures FRAME-GARAGE	t Unfinishe 0 illered Searc Square 2 8	d Att Garag	e Garage 768 Width 30	Carport CovPe 0 2 Depth 28	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		
uare Feet Main Area Upper Basemer <u>SPLIT-ENTRY</u> 1764 0 996 cord: It of 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	t Unfinish 0 ittered Searc Square	d Att Garag	e Garage 768 Width 30 12	Carport CovPo 0 2 Depth 28 28	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		
Main Area Upper Basemer SPUT-ENTRY 1764 0 996 tord: H = 1 of 1 = H = Y tached Structures FRAME-GARAGE LEAN-TO	t Unfinishe	d Att Garag	e Garage 768 Width 30	Carport CovPe 0 2 Depth 28	urch Op	Parch I	nclPorch	ScrPor	h Stor	age	Attic		

Next steps

- Staff will develop 1+ recommendations for how to frame out the FBC formula and bring it to the Committee for consideration/ recommendation
 - What classifications should there be and why? (4 or more?)
 - What should the weights be for these classifications and why?
 - What exemptions / credits / surcharges should be added, if any?

Questions?