

# Attachment A

## Amendment to Grant Agreement

Project Sponsor:	City of Tumwater	·	
------------------	------------------	---	--

**Project Title:** 

Percival Creek Fish Passage Barrier Removal Amer

Project Number: 22-1161R Amendment Number: 1

Amendment Type:

Cost Change

#### Amendment Description:

Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450) to 15% (\$45,450) due to change in match source. Change will not affect deliverables or timeline of project.

#### **Project Funding:**

The total cost of the project for the purpose of this Agreement changes as follows :

	Old Amount		New Amount	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
RCO - PSAR	\$257,550.00	15.00%	\$257,550.00	85.00%
Project Sponsor	\$1,459,450.00	85.00%	\$45,450.00	15.00%
Total Project Cost	\$1,717,000.00	100%	\$303,000.00	100%
Admin Limit	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.00	0.00%
A&E Limit	\$55,000.00	3.31%	\$9,705.88	3.31%

### **Agreement Terms**

In all other respects the Agreement, to which this is an Amendment, and attachments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect. In witness whereof the parties hereto have executed this Amendment.

State of Washington Recreation and Conservation Office		City of Tum	water
		AGENCY:	
<b>BY:</b> For:		BY:	
TITLE:	Director	TITLE:	
DATE:	Pre-approved as to form:	DATE:	
BY:	/S/ Assistant Attorney General	-	

	AMENDMENT APPROVAL FOR	M	Da	ate	Initials
		Grant Mgr:			
<b>Project #: 22-1161</b>	Restoration	Section Mgr:			
Amendment #: 1 - Cost Chan	Fiscal:				
Project Title: Percival Creel	< Fish Passage Barrier Removal	Deputy:			
Project Sponsor: Tumwater City	/ of	Director:			
AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION:					
AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION: Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project.	)) to 15% (\$45,450) due to change in match sou	rce. Change will not affect			
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project.	0) to 15% (\$45,450) due to change in match sou	rce. Change will not affect			
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project.	0) to 15% (\$45,450) due to change in match sou Prior Time Extensions: 0	rce. Change will not affect	ount:	\$257	,550.00
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project. PROJECT SUMMARY:		- -			,550.00 ,450.00
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project. PROJECT SUMMARY: Board Funded Date: 07/01/2023	Prior Time Extensions: 0	RCO Amo Sponsor Match Amo		\$1,459	
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project. PROJECT SUMMARY: Board Funded Date: 07/01/2023 Project Start Date: 07/01/2023	Prior Time Extensions: 0 Other External Amendments: 0	RCO Amo Sponsor Match Amo	ount: otal:	\$1,459	,450.00 ,000.00
Decreasing match from 85% (\$1,459,450 deliverables or timeline of project. PROJECT SUMMARY: Board Funded Date: 07/01/2023 Project Start Date: 07/01/2023 Original End Date: 01/31/2026	Prior Time Extensions: 0 Other External Amendments: 0 Sponsor Active Projects: 1	RCO Amo Sponsor Match Amo 1	ount: otal: 0%):	\$1,459 \$1,717	,450.00

The City of Tumwater is seeking restoration funding to remove and replace the only full fish passage barrier culvert on Percival Creek in Thurston County, WRIA 13. Surveyed by WDFW in 2015, the culvert that conveys Percival Creek under Sapp Road is a full fish passage barrier due to slope. Removing and replacing the culvert with a 19-foot four sided box culvert will provide access to 2,225 meters of main stem habitat 841 square meters of spawning habitat, and 82,008 square meters of rearing habitat with cool water for Chinook, chum, coho, steelhead, sea run cutthroat, and resident trout. The City will

rearing habitat with cool water for Chinook, chum, coho, steelhead, sea run cutthroat, and resident trout. The C complete final designs, permitting and implementation of this project under this grant.

PROJECT FUNDING (CURRENT):												
Bien	Fund	Appn	Reapp Ind	Orig Bien	Orig Appn	Grant	Activity	SubActiv	so		Amount	
23-25	057	D13	New				PSARP	PROJ	NZ	\$2	\$257,550.00	
QUEST	IONS:							Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)	
Is the a	mendmen	it request	consistent with	n the original	project intent?							
Did the	sponsor p	provide ad	lequate justific	ation for the	proposed chang	je?	νη του το πολογιστικό το μαριο του το του τη της τη θερατική Τ					
Did the	sponsor e	exhaust al	l practical alter	natives befo	re requesting th	e amendment?			۵			
Is the current project meeting its milestone obligations to RCO?			, tare na la ela tarida da analia									
Is the c	urrent pro	ject meeti	ng its billing ot	bligations to l	RCO?							
Did the	sponsor ł	nave little (	control over th	e condition c	ausing the ame	ndment?						
Does th	e sponso	r have a g	ood track reco	rd of implem	enting projects?							
Will the proposed change help implement the project faster?												
Is the p	roject cha	nge a res	ult of a design	and /or perm	nitting requireme	ent?					Π.	
						n alexandro de Contra A						

AMENDAPPROVALFORM.RPT

	Yes	No	N/A	Other (see notes)	
Will this action cause funding to be re-appropriated?					
Is staff recommending approval of this amendment request?				<b>D</b>	
Does the Lead Entity support the proposed change and is the documentation provided (letter or e-mail)?					
Is the proposed change technically sound? Has the Review Panel reviewed the change? If so, have their comments been incorporated into the request (i.e. conditioning)?				. 🗆	

NOTES AND ANALYSIS:

•