### Attachment A



City Hall 555 Israel Road SW Tumwater, WA 98501-6515 Phone: 360-754-5855 Fax: 360-754-4138

# Memorandum

Date:	January 11, 2022
То:	City Council and Mayor
From:	Brad Medrud, Planning Manager
Subject:	Tumwater Housing Action Plan – Potential Measures for Addressing Tenant Protections

#### Issue

After the City Council adopted Resolution No. R2018-016 in the summer of 2018, the City has undertaken a number of actions to address homelessness, increase affordable housing, and continue to work with other jurisdictions and agencies to explore regional solutions to these issues.

Since 2018, the City has been reviewing tenant protections to look for ways to make it easier for people in the City who rent to access housing and stay housed.

In September 2021, the City Council adopted the *Tumwater Housing Action Plan*, which will inform the City's Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations and guide implementation strategies to help the City meet its housing needs.

This memorandum discusses potential actions that could be taken by the City alone or on a regional basis to address tenant protection issues.

#### Background

Since 2018, a City work group has been guided by the following action item in Resolution No. R2018-016:

#### GOAL: Boost Housing Affordability

Action #9 – Enact policies to protect tenants experiencing housing instability, which may include:

- a. Review current eviction/renter protection policies, laws, and legal services and assess possible actions.
- b. Assess need for/knowledge of landlord-tenant conflict resolution services.
- c. Support renter resources (mediation, etc.).

Tumwater Housing Action Plan – Potential Measures for Addressing Tenant Protections January 11, 2022 Page 2

The work group, which included Councilmember Michael Althauser, City Administrator John Doan, City Attorney Karen Kirkpatrick, Community Development Director Michael Matlock, Housing Consultant Paul Knox, and Planning Manager Brad Medrud, has explored a range of potential measures to address Resolution No. R2018-016Action #9, so that the City Council could make an informed decision on which actions to pursue.

The work group met in 2018 and 2019 to review the City's current tenant protections found in TMC Chapter 5.70 *Unfair Housing Practices*, as well as the state's requirements and what other jurisdictions are doing to address tenant protections. The work group prepared a draft list of measures that the City could undertake. The City Council discussed the draft list of measures at a worksession on March 26, 2019 and moved one potential measure to an action item.

The draft list of measures was updated on April 8, 2019 to address additional upfront costs to tenants and to change immigration status to citizenship status.

Since 2019, the state legislature has passed a number of bills on the state level addressing tenant protections in the Residential Landlord-Tenant Act (RLTA) (RCW 59.18).

The draft list of measures was updated on May 14, 2019 to address actions taken by the state legislature and governor:

- ESHB 1138 "Concerning the armed forces exceptions for giving notice of termination of a tenancy" effective April 17, 2019
- ESHB 1440 "Providing longer notice of rent increases" effective April 23, 2019
- ESSB 5600 "Concerning residential tenant protections" effective May 9, 2019
- HB 1462 "Providing notice of plans to demolish, substantially rehabilitate, or change use of residential premises" effective July 28, 2019

The draft list of measures was updated on November 15, 2021 to address taken by the state legislature and governor:

- ESHB 1236 "Residential Tenancies—Various Provisions" effective May 10, 2021
- ESSHB 1272 "Eviction Prevention—Recorded Document Surcharge" effective July 25, 2021
- EHB 1694 "Tenants—Payments in Installments" effective June 11, 2020
- HB 2535 "Past Due Rent—Tenant Grace Period" effective June 11, 2020
- ESSSB 5160 "Landlord and Tenant Relations" effective April 22, 2021
- ESSB 6378 "Residential Tenants—Various Provisions" effective June 11, 2020, Except for sections 5 through 8, which become effective April 2, 2020

The draft list of measures was updated on December 12, 2019 to reflect the Washington Supreme Court decisions on November 14, 2019 in Yim v. Seattle I (No. 98513.-1) and Yim v. Seattle II (No. 96817-9) which found first in time preference for rental housing applicants constitutional.

In December 2019, the work group shared its list of potential tenant protection measures with staff at Thurston County and the cities of Olympia and Lacey with the intent of working on some of the measures regionally. There were some regional discussions, but no actions were taken before the COVID pandemic started in early 2020 and the state had to undertake emergency statewide tenant protection actions.

### **Potential Measures**

With the end of the state moratorium on evictions, in the fall of 2021 the work group discussed the draft list of measures in the tables below (Table 1: *Measures Considered for Amendments to Tumwater Municipal Code* and Table 2: *Measures Considered for Education and Communication*) evaluated what measures should be developed further.

The two tables outlined the potential measures the City Council could consider to address the Action #9 in Resolution No. R2018-016. The first table summarizes the measures that could be considered in amendments to the Tumwater Municipal Code and the second table goes over measures that could be addressed through education and communication efforts led by the City. The actions that the City has agreed to undertake with the adoption of the Housing Action Plan are found in Table 3. *Tumwater Housing Action Plan – Actions Related to Landlords, Tenants, and Rentals*). Further discussion of the schedule and staffing to address the actions identified in Table 3 is recommended.

The work group suggests that there should be conversations with tenant, housing advocates, such as Together! and Homes First, and property owners, landlords, and real estate management companies, to review and provide comment on the measures considered. The updated draft list of measures could also be sent to housing staff at Thurston County and the cities of Lacey and Olympia to get their thoughts. Some of the measures would potentially involve registration of property owners providing rental units to gather information on number of units and a contact for education and updates on City programs.

#### **Additional Notice Required**

Pursuant to EHB 2971, passed by the 2016 state legislature and codified at RCW 64.06.080 and RCW 43.110.030(2)(e), the Municipal Research and Service Center is directed to provide the following on its website:

- A summary of all requirements imposed by cities, towns, and counties on landlords or sellers of real property to provide information to a buyer or tenant "pertaining to the subject property or to the surrounding area"; and
- An internet link to the ordinances, resolutions, or policies imposing those requirements.

For that reason, City should provide to Municipal Research and Service Center a summary of any pertinent ordinance, resolution, or policy that impose requirements on sellers or landlords to disclose designated information to purchasers or renters; and an internet link to the ordinance, resolution, or policy.

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
1	Prohibit use of online bidding platforms	<ul> <li>Easy policy decision</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Is this addressing an issue in the City?</li> <li>Potentially difficult to enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not clear if this is an issue in the City</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> </ul>	Originally Go Recommend No Go as this has not been demonstrated to be an issue in the City
2	Require landlords to distribute certain housing related information, including rights and responsibilities to tenants	<ul> <li>Easy policy decision</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>May need to require landlord registration to identify landlords operating in the City</li> <li>Would need to develop set of standard required information (See footnote on the City of Auburn's requirements)<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Better landlord and tenant education</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>

<sup>• &</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As an example, the following is what is required by the City of Auburn as of 2020 at three different phases of the rental process:

- Local code enforcement action relating to the property
- Website address to the Washington Secretary of State for the purposes of registering to vote or changing address if already registered to vote.
- 2. When a rental agreement/lease is offered, the landlord must provide the tenant with a written copy of the summaries prepared by the City, which includes information on the following:
  - Rental Housing Code (ACC 5.23)

<sup>1.</sup> At time of application the landlord must provide the tenant with their written rental criteria and the website address designated by the City for the purpose of obtaining information on:

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
3	Require that deposits, as well as recurring and one time fees be in written agreements	<ul> <li>Required in RLTA (RCW 59.18.260) for deposits or securities for leases one year and longer</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> <li>Could include in as part of Measure #2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How would this be enforced?</li> <li>How would this address monthto-month leases and leases of less than a year?</li> <li>Not clear if necessary</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not clear if required for leases less than one year</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>

- Auburn Building and Property Maintenance Code
- Washington State Residential Landlord-Tenant Act (RLTA) (RCW 59.18)
- Forcible Entry and Forcible Unlawful Detainer (RCW 59.12)
- 3. During tenancy, landlords must provide tenants with a notice of resources prepared by the City when the landlord serves any notice to a tenant under RCW 59.12.030 which include:
  - 14-day pay or vacate
  - 3-day for waste or nuisance
  - 10-day comply or vacate
- Notice to terminate tenancy

Landlords are required to provide copies of summaries to existing tenants within 30 days of them being made available by the City of Auburn. The initial distribution of information to tenants must be in written form and landlords must obtain tenant's signature documenting receipt of such information. If a tenant refuses to provide a signature documenting the tenant's receipt of the information, the landlord may draft a declaration stating when and where the landlord provided tenant with the required information. After the initial distribution of the summaries to tenants, a landlord shall provide existing tenants with updated summaries by the City, and may do so in electronic form unless a tenant otherwise requests written summaries.

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
4	Make landlord failure to comply a renter defense to eviction and the landlord subject to liability and penalties	<ul> <li>Would be tied to other municipal code measures</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Only applicable to municipal ordinances</li> <li>Would likely be applicable only if a court case is filed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Right to council for indigent tenants per RCW 59.18.640, may need to update forms</li> <li>If City provides education packet and requires information</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> <li>Civil penalties would likely be available a deterrent</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>
5	Prohibit waiving of city requirements	<ul> <li>Same waiver protections for municipal requirements as RLTA (RCW 59.18.230)</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	• Court case	<ul> <li>Is this needed if policies are set?</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> <li>Civil penalties would likely be available a deterrent</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>
6	Prohibit retaliation	<ul> <li>Protects those who seek to pursue their legal rights for municipal law as RLTA (RCW 59.18)</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Addressed by RCW 59.18.240 <i>Reprisals or retaliatory</i> <i>actions by landlord—Prohibited</i></li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> <li>Civil penalties would likely be available a deterrent</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>No Go</mark> as this has been addressed at the state level

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
				<ul> <li>State law requires a 60-day notice period to end tenancy by landlords unless specific conditions are met per ESHB 1236 "Residential Tenancies—Various Provisions" effective May 10, 2021 (RCW 59.18)</li> </ul>	
				• Would 90 days be more useful and when would be the best situations?	
	Require notification a	<ul> <li>Could set policy for all rent increases</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>May incentivize regular percentage increases under</li> </ul>	• For month to month, 120-day notice required for change to condominium, demolition, or substantial rehabilitation by landlord (RCW 59.18.200) added by HB 1462	Originally <mark>Go</mark>
7	set number of days prior to eviction due	<ul> <li>Makes the most</li> </ul>	threshold	Related to the no cause eviction	Recommend
	and of no-cause eviction	•	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	Would need major communications strategy	Go
				• Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"	
				• May address the new "Fourteen-Day Notice to Pay Rent or Vacate the Premises" that is a part of ESSB 5600	
				• May address the new requirements for a 60-day notice for increase in rent in RCW 59.18.140 added by ESHB 1440 in 2019	
				• 60-day notice for increase in rent in RCW 59.18.140 added by ESHB 1440 in 2019	
	Require notification a	<ul> <li>Makes the most</li> </ul>	Potentially	• Do we want to go to 90 days?	Originally Co
8	set number of days	umber of daysimpactto any rent• Best if cross	difficult to	See City of Kenmore example	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend
	prior to any rent increase		communicate or enforce	Would need major communications strategy	Go
				• Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"	

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
9	Require a landlord to allow a tenant to pay the deposit and move in and administrative fees over a period of up to a number of months and allow tenants the ability to pay security and last month rent over a period of three months after moving in in lieu of upfront so there is less initial barrier to entry	<ul> <li>Would help address the economic issues</li> <li>Very helpful for low or moderate income renters</li> <li>Address month to month costs</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has this been challenged?</li> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RCW 59.18.253 limits the deposit to hold to 25% of first month's rent</li> <li>RCW 59.18.610 allows for payments in installments any deposits, nonrefundable fees, and last month's rent without penalty or interest</li> <li>Tied to term of lease</li> <li>Payment period could be over three to four months</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>Could be addressed as a potential code amendment as part of a new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend No Go as this has been addressed at the state level
10	Add source of income protections in housing rental code	<ul> <li>Easy policy change</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Source of income protected under state law (RCW 59.18.255) in 2018</li> <li>"Fair chance housing"</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> <li>Proposed code amendments to TMC 5.70 "Unfair Housing Practices" and as part of new "Rental Housing Code" chapter in TMC Title 5 "Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations"</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>No Go</mark>
11	Add citizenship status protections in housing rental code	<ul> <li>Easy policy change</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> <li>Potential conflict with federal law</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Citizenship status protections found in RCW 49.60.222 Unfair practices with respect to real estate transactions, facilities, or services.</li> <li>Source of income protected under RLTA, but not citizenship status</li> <li>"Fair chance housing"</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>No Go</mark>

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or No Go
12	Limit fees a landlord could charge	<ul> <li>Would help address economic issues</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regulating actual business decisions</li> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> <li>How would fees be justified?</li> <li>Needs further research</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Did not find a cap on a security deposit in RLTA</li> <li>Possible limits on nonrefundable fees</li> <li>Security deposit would not be more than one month's rent</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>No action will be taken on this option for now</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No Go</mark> Recommend No Go
13	First-in-time tenancy required	<ul> <li>First qualified applicant accepted</li> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Difficult to communicate and enforce</li> <li>May not address economic issues</li> <li>Would need source of income</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Equity issue – See Seattle example</li> <li>Can the City purchase a database of owners of rental property?</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>Washington Supreme Court decisions on November 14, 2019 in the Yim v. Seattle I (No. 985131) and Yim v. Seattle II (No. 96817-9) which found first in time preference for rental housing applicants constitutional.</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No Go</mark> Recommend <mark>No Go</mark>
14	Add criminal conviction status protections in housing rental code	<ul> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommended that this be addressed at state level</li> <li>Source of income protected under state law, but not criminal conviction status</li> <li>"Fair chance housing"</li> <li>Seattle includes criminal conviction status</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>No action will be taken on this option</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No</mark> Go Recommend No Go

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
15	Require landlords to show good cause to terminate a month- to-month tenancy and to refuse to renew a fixed term tenancy	<ul> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Often tenants appreciate month to month flexibility</li> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> <li>Takings analysis required</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State law requires a 60-day notice period to end tenancy unless specific conditions are met per ESHB 1236 "Residential Tenancies—Various Provisions" effective May 10, 2021</li> <li>Add this to notice requirements discussion elsewhere in this table</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>Is this legal?</li> <li>No action will be taken on this option</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No</mark> Go Recommend No Go
16	Tenant relocation assistance fund	<ul> <li>Addresses economic relocation</li> <li>Not for cause</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Would need more study to determine criteria and funding</li> <li>Current City of Portland litigation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HB 1277 in 2021 established a \$100.00 surcharge on certain recorded documents to fund various housing services. It also creates the Eviction Prevention Rental Assistance Program and requires Commerce to develop performance metrics for each county receiving funding from the surcharge and to dedicate a portion of funding to performance-based allocations</li> <li>Could be property owner funded</li> <li>Some programs go through a municipality, while other directly to a tenant</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>No action will be taken on this option</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No Go</mark> Recommend No Go

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No Go</mark>
17	Provide relocation payment for any low- income tenant displaced by the reasons in Measure #7	<ul> <li>Best if cross jurisdictional</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to communicate or enforce</li> <li>Could be a disincentive to property fixes or improvements</li> <li>Would need an income standard</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HB 1277 in 2021 established a \$100.00 surcharge on certain recorded documents to fund various housing services. It also creates the Eviction Prevention Rental Assistance Program and requires Commerce to develop performance metrics for each county receiving funding from the surcharge and to dedicate a portion of funding to performance-based allocations</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Would need major communications strategy</li> <li>No action will be taken on this option</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>No</mark> Go Recommend No Go

Table 2: Measures Considered	for Education and Communication
------------------------------	---------------------------------

	Measures	Potential Positives	Potential Negatives	Discussion	Staff <mark>Go</mark> or <mark>No</mark> Go
1	Create a list of landlords for communication regarding notices and enforcement	<ul> <li>A list will be needed to make existing and proposed regulations effective</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially difficult to generate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>List would include name, contact information, number of units, and location of units</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>
2	Contract with Dispute Resolution Center for tenant and landlord conflict resolution services	<ul> <li>Easy service to test for use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No clear incentives for sides to engage once in conflict</li> <li>Landlord has upper hand in power dynamic</li> <li>Cost of Dispute Resolution Center services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Landlord-Tenant Act (RCW 59.18) has remedies and procedures to resolve most landlord-tenant issues. Mediation of disputes by an independent third party (RCW 59.18.315) is an option both parties can agree to, and it is free of charge. Dispute Resolution Centers serve this purpose and operate in all counties. There are also statewide organizations like the Tenants Union of Washington State that can help tenants connect with legal services.</li> <li>City would pay full or subsidized cost up to a particular amount</li> <li>Prices range on length of interaction</li> <li>Would need communications strategy</li> </ul>	Originally <mark>Go</mark> Recommend <mark>Go</mark>

## Table 3. Tumwater Housing Action Plan – Actions Related to Landlords, Tenants, and Rentals

Strategy 1: Increase the supply of permanent, income-restricted affordable housing. Action		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
1.k.	<ul> <li>Enhance enforcement of property maintenance codes to keep housing in good repair.</li> <li>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</li> <li> Image: Constraints</li></ul>	(Ĩ)	Н	Μ	The City is currently implementing this action and may look at moving beyond the current complaint driven process, to consider periodic inspections of rental property such as mold/vermin inspections. Suggest starting as a voluntary program. Review City of Lacey, City of Pasco, and City of Aberdeen's programs and look at cost to tenant.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>

Strategy 2: Make it easier for households to access housing and stay housed. Action		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
2.a.	Have developers provide tenants displaced by redevelopment with relocation assistance. <i>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</i>	E	Η	Μ	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action, but the work has not been scheduled. More details would need to be provided for the action. It may be best implemented through funding to nonprofits, CDBG funding, and work through policies from the Regional Housing Council. Research housing impact fee.	<ul> <li>Funding for displacement assistance from developers.</li> <li>Funding for running program through the City Council's biennial budgeting process</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>

Strategy 2: Make it easier for households to access housing and stay housed. Action		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
2.c.	Adopt short-term rental regulations to minimize impacts on long-term housing availability. Gaps/Needs Addressed:	Шō	Η	L	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action if we start to see a problem, but the work has not been scheduled. Consider requiring on site ownership for accessory dwelling units used as short-term rentals.	<ul> <li>Time for staff to review and develop ordinance updating development code.</li> <li>Time for the Planning Commission to review and the City Council to review and approve an ordinance.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>
2.d.	Support down payment assistance programs for homeownership and programs that assist people entering the rental market. <i>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</i>	Шō	Η	Μ	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action if we start to see a problem, but the work has not been scheduled. While it is beyond the financial capacity of the City to manage such programs and it would be better as state or regional programs, the City could support regional programs with non-profit management.	<ul> <li>Time for staff to review and develop ordinance updating development code.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>

Strategy 2: Make it easier for households to access housing and stay housed. Action		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
2.e.	Identify and implement appropriate tenant protections that improve household stability. <i>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</i> $\overrightarrow{end}$	₹¥)	Η	Μ	The City has begun the work necessary to implement the action, but it is not yet fully implemented as part of Rental Housing Amendments. Resolution No. R2018-016 Homelessness and Affordable Housing identified Action 9) <i>Enact</i> <i>policies to protect tenants experiencing housing</i> <i>instability</i> under B) <i>Boost Housing Affordability</i> – <i>Actions to increase affordable housing</i> to address this action. Tenant protections can mean putting a burden on small owners, who often are unable to afford to subsidize their rental property. Start this program with larger rental properties.	<ul> <li>Time for staff to review and develop ordinance updating development code.</li> <li>Time for the Planning Commission to review and the City Council to review and approve an ordinance.</li> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>
2.f.	Develop a technical assistance or education program for small landlords. Gaps/Needs Addressed:	<u>∎</u> ∂	Μ	Μ	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action, but the work has not been scheduled.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>

Strategy 2: Make it easier for households to access housing and stay housed. Action		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
2.g.	Assist non-profits in the process of acquiring mobile home parks to turn them into public trusts so that lot rental fees can be controlled. Gaps/Needs Addressed:		н	Μ	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action, but the work has not been scheduled.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>
2.h.	Fund an energy assistance program for rental housing/make landlords do upgrades when the unit is sold. <i>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</i>	E	Μ	L	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action, but the work has not been scheduled.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>

Strategy 5: Continually build on resources, collaboration, and public understanding to improve implementation of housing strategies.		Implementation Status	Effort to Implement	Effect on Housing Supply	Comment	City Resources Needed
5.b.	Fund Housing Navigators to assist households, renters, homeowners, and landlords with housing issues. <i>Gaps/Needs Addressed:</i>	Шõ	н	Μ	The City will consider the work necessary to implement the action, but the work has not been scheduled. It would be good to have an ombudsperson resource for renters established through the Regional Housing Authority or another regional organization. Use trained volunteers were possible.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>
5.d.	Establish a rental registration program to improve access to data and share information with landlords. Gaps/Needs Addressed: Gaps/Needs Addressed:		Η	L	The City has begun the work necessary to implement the action, but it is not yet fully implemented as part of Rental Housing Amendments. Resolution No. R2018-016 Homelessness and Affordable Housing identified Action 9) <i>Enact</i> <i>policies to protect tenants experiencing housing</i> <i>instability</i> under B) <i>Boost Housing Affordability</i> – <i>Actions to increase affordable housing</i> to address this action. This may be best considered as a regional action. A rental registration program will give the City a way to educate landlords about issues.	<ul> <li>Funding through the City Council's biennial budgeting process.</li> <li>Time for staff to develop and run such a program.</li> </ul>