

After looking into a few issues, if the City decides to move forward with an ordinance, these are suggestions that should be considered prior to approval of an ordinance:

- Does it make sense to define RV or travel trailer? It may not be essential to do so—without a specific definition a court would look at the commonly understood meaning of the terms. Does the City want to impose size/dimensional requirements or limitations?
- Might be good to be clearer about the types of zones in which temporary occupancy is allowed. Currently, the draft says “permitted in all appropriate zones when in compliance with the following...”
- Its not clear to me whether a city can say it will only issue a permit if it has staff time to review the application (section 3). It seems like there is some uncertainty as to whether it will be a pilot project or a permanent regulation. I am not sure if this type of permit will give rise to vested rights upon filing a complete application, but I would come up with a different way to preserve the ability to limit the number of permits issued.

If you decide to move forward, you might draft changes based on the foregoing or I can do so.

Rick