## **Administration Professional Services Rating Sheet**

Gran	nt Recipient Name of Res	Name of Respondent		
Eval	uator's Name Date of Rati	Date of Rating		
necessary by contac	Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by awarding point y to assess the Respondent on these criteria may be gathered ei ting past/current clients of the Respondent. Respondents propos be scored only on those services.	ther from past experience wit	h the Respondent	and/or
Expe	<u>erience</u>			
	<u>Factors</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Related Experience / Background with federally funded, CDBG pro	ojects 15		
2.	Related Experience / Background with grant-funded comprehensive	e planning 15		
3.	Related experience/background with specific services:			
	a. Grant Administration and coordination with GLO	10		
	b. Disaster Recovery and Mitigation Project Management	10		
4.	References from current/past clients	5		
	Subtotal, Experience	55	<u></u>	
Wor	k Performance			
	<u>Factors</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
1.	Submits requests to client/GLO in a timely manner	5		
2.	Responds to client/GLO requests in a timely manner	5		
3.	Past client/GLO projects completed on schedule	5		
4.	Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors	5		
5.	Past client/GLO projects have low level of monitoring findings/cond	erns 5	<del></del>	
6.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
	Subtotal, Performance	30		
Capa	acity to Perform			
<del></del>	<u>Factors</u>	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Qualifications / Experience of Staff			
	a. Grant Administrative	3		
	b. Comprehensive Planning	3		
2.	Present and Projected Workloads	2		
3.	Demonstrated understanding of scope of the RCP Project	2		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	10		
Prop	posed Cost			
	Factors	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Proposed cost is in line with independent estimate and compared with all creceived	ost proposals 5		
	A = Lowest Proposal \$ A ÷ B X 5 = Respondent's	Score 5		
	B = Respondent's Proposal \$			
TOTA	AL SCORE			
	<u>Factors</u>	Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>	
	Experience	55		
	Work Performance	30		
	Capacity to Perform	10		
	Proposed Cost	5		
	Total Score	100	<del></del>	