

**Consensus: Mauldin & Jenkins**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>M Uran</b>	<b>M Groake</b>	<b>Consensus</b>
<b>Qualifications &amp; Government Experience</b>	30	30	30	30
<b>Technical Approach</b>	25	23	23	23
<b>Personnel Qualifications</b>	20	20	20	20
<b>Capacity and References</b>	10	10	10	10
<b>Cost Proposal</b>	15	12	7	9.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>92.5</b>

**Strengths**

**Weaknesses**

**Additional Comments:**

**Consensus: Hillard Tax & Accounting, LLC**

Criteria	Points	M Uran	M Groake	Consensus
<b>Qualifications &amp; Government Experience</b>	30	18	20	19
<b>Technical Approach</b>	25	20	21	20.5
<b>Personnel Qualifications</b>	20	15	20	17.5
<b>Capacity and References</b>	10	6	8	7
<b>Cost Proposal</b>	15	15	12	13.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>77.5</b>

**Strengths**

**Weaknesses**

**Additional Comments:**

**Consensus: Culver, PLLC**

Criteria	Points	M Uran	M Groake	Consensus
<b>Qualifications &amp; Government Experience</b>	30	25	25	25
<b>Technical Approach</b>	25	25	25	25
<b>Personnel Qualifications</b>	20	18	20	19
<b>Capacity and References</b>	10	8	9	8.5
<b>Cost Proposal</b>	15	14	15	14.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>92</b>

**Strengths**

**Weaknesses**

**Additional Comments:**

### **Final Ranking**

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>M Uran</b>	<b>M Groake</b>	<b>Consensus</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>Mauldin &amp; Jenkins</b>	95	90	92.5	1
<b>Hillard Tax &amp; Accounting, LLC</b>	74	81	77.5	3
<b>Culver, PLLC</b>	90	94	92	2

### **Recommendation**

**Based upon the evaluation criteria established in RFP 2026-AUD and the consensus evaluation completed by the committee, the Evaluation Committee recommends award to Mauldin & Jenkins as the proposer representing the best overall value to the City of Stone Mountain.**