



The existing police station at 150 S. 13th Street is dated and insufficient for <u>current and future</u> staff.

- Built in 1971, when population was 6,200
- No change (other than modular building added in 2018) \rightarrow today's population 14,400 \rightarrow 130% increase!!!
- Main building is 2,200 office space/garage \rightarrow smaller than many homes!
- ADA deficient
- Antiquated seismic standards
- Poor digital storage accommodation \rightarrow Not an issue in 1971
- Entire vehicle fleet cannot be securely stored
- Lack of private interview and training space
- No armory
- Conditions thwart grant efforts





USE PER ZONING: Public Safety Facility, a conditional use

"Public safety facilities" means providing protection pursuant to fire, life, and safety code sections together with the incidental storage and maintenance of necessary vehicles. Typical uses include fire stations, police stations, and ambulance services.

CONDITIONAL USE CRITERIA includes:

The characteristics of the site are suitable for the proposed use considering size, shape, location, topography, and natural features.

USE PER FLOOD POLICY: Critical facility

"Critical facility" means a facility for which even a slight chance of flooding might be too great. Critical facilities include, but are not limited to, schools, nursing homes, hospitals, police, fire and emergency response installations, and installations which produce, use or store hazardous materials or hazardous waste.

CRITICAL FACILITY POLICY:

Construction of new critical facilities shall be, to the extent possible, located outside the limits of the special flood hazard area (SFHA). Construction of new critical facilities shall be permissible within the SFHA only if no feasible alternative site is available. Critical facilities construction within the SFHA shall have the lowest floor elevated at least three feet above the base flood elevation (BFE) or to the height of the 500-year flood, whichever is higher. Access to and from the critical facility shall also be protected to the height utilized above. Floodproofing and sealing measures must be taken to ensure that toxic substances will not be displaced by or released into floodwaters.

LOCATON AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS MATTERS \rightarrow Site is anticipated to be impacted by flood waters, <u>at least access</u>

The Planning Commission denied this proposal based on:

- SHMC 17.100.040(1)(b) regarding Conditional Use Permit criterion:

- The characteristics of the site are suitable for the proposed use considering size, shape, location, topography, and natural features.
- Site selection still pertinent to help answer "why."
- Comes down to weighing pros and cons.
- SHMC 17.40.040(1) regarding significant wetland protection zone impacts.

Some protection zone impact due to secondary access. Moot issue if Council finds site is ok for Police Station.

- SHMC 17.46.050(6) regarding critical facilities in flood hazard areas.
 - Alternatives analysis (facility v. building).
 - Building and surrounding improvements elevated
 - Secondary access
 - Is the slightest chance of flood too great?

- SHMC 17.100.040(1)(f) regarding Conditional Use Permit criterion—applicable policies of the comprehensive plan.

19.08.060(3)(i). Development in a hazardous area is required to meet strict standards to reduce or eliminate public harm.

The Cons











St. Helens Police Station Proposal FIRM Flood Boundaries v. Actual Elevations October 13, 2023

Cross Section Image



70'+ (NAVD88) 100-Year Flood Elevation

65' (NAVD88) Ground Elevation

The 70' Base Flood Elevation from the Flood Insurance Rate Map data aligns (more-or-less) with this art pole. Ground elevation here is approximately 65'. Elevations based on the NAVD88 Vertical Datum.

The 63' Base Flood Elevation from the Flood Insurance Rate Map data alings (more-or-less) with this sign. Ground elevation here is approximately 65'. Elevations based on the NAVD88 Vertical Datum.







The farther backward you can look ...

... the farther forward you are likely to see.





Task 3: Site Evaluations

RANKED: 1 - 4 (1: LEAST SUITED: 4: MOST SUITED)

	SITE I:	SITE 2:	SITE 3:	SITE 4*:
	1771 COLUMBIA BLVD	1271 COLUMBIA BLVD	OLD PORTLAND ROAD	OREGON STREET
			1	
1. COST OF LAND / SITE DEVELOPMENTS	2	3	4	1
2. SIZE OF SITE	1	2	3	4
3. SHAPE OF SITE	4	3	1	2
4. POTENTIAL FOR MULTI - USE	2	3	1	4
5. PUBLIC ACCESS TO SITE - VEHICLE	4	3	2	1
6. PUBLIC ACCESS TO SITE - TRANSIT	4	3	2	1
7 PUBLIC ACCESS TO SITE - PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE	4	3	2 1	1
8. VISIBILITY AND PROMINENCE	4	3	2	1
9. PROXIMITY TO GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONS	3	4	2	1
10. NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT	-4	3	2	1
11. POSITIONING FACILITY ON SITE	3	2	1	4
12. SECURITY	4	3	2	1
13. TRAFFIC CONGESTION	3	2	4 1	1
14. EXPANSION TO ADJACENT SITES	3	2	1	4
15. PROXIMITY TO GEOGRAPHIC CENTER	4	3	2	1
16. CURRENT OWNERSHIP	t	2	4 1	3
17. LAND USE	3	4	2	1
18. RESPONSE TIME	3	2	4	1
ASSESSMENT SCORE	56	50	41	33
CUMULATIVE RANK manes on addressent scores	40.	201	390	Alter:

INDUSTRIAL PARK - Site 6 Vacant Property

Initial Facts:

- Owned by City
- 1-Story Size Potential 66,000 Sq. Ft.

Decision – MOVE TO PHASE 2

Deciding Factors:

- City ownership
- Potential space/expansion needs
- Wetlands *could* be an issue
- Access ease to Hwy 30
- No one-way streets





Public Safety Facility Plans

Site Selection Process

City staff worked alongside Mackenzie Architecture to identify possible locations for a new Public Safety Facility. Originally identifying 10 locations around the community that were both owned already by the city and privately owned, the list was reduced to a Top 3 based on a variety of topics and categories. Once the Top 3 were identified, Mackenzie Architecture did a further analysis of each property to identify any potential issues that could arise for the facility and test-fit a model for a potential station on each property. Results were shown to City Council along with recommendations from our current Police staff and Mackenzie Architecture. There was a unanimous decision by City Council of the city-owned property at the corner of 18th and Old Portland Road (across from the recreation center where the industrial business park sign is).

Here are some of the specific reasons this site was chosen:

- 1. Site is already owned by the City, which would reduce costs of purchasing any needed property that could be \$600,000 to \$1,000,000.
- 2. <u>Officer's liked the access ability to get in and out of the station.</u> At the current Police Station, there is often issues with one-way streets leaving the facility.
- 3. The new site provides opportunity for expansion in the future if needed.
- 4. The new station allows easy access to Hwy 30 and access to alternative routes if there is a train.

Contact Infor

City Hall 265 Strand Street St. Helens, OR 970

503-397-6272 Pho 503-397-4016 Fax

Hours:

Monday - Friday, 8 Closed daily from

View Full Conta

Upcoming Ev

New Years Day Ob 12/31/2021 (All day











"Mentionables"







Shift bike lanes via taper —

Provide connection to existing trail

McCormick Park

Transition cycle track to sidewalk level in advance, and provide opportunity for bicyclists to take vehicle lane via ramp or driveway transition

> Provide opportunities for bicyclists to exit cycle track and use circulatory roadway, and reenter cycle track after using circulatory roadway

Provide detectable warning and align bike path to be an oblique (non-parallel) spur to guide pedestrians with low vision toward pedestrian path

Proposed Police Station Site





ACCEPTABLE ALTERNATIVE TO 120' HAMMERHEAD



