

*Option A:* Maintains the goal to improve the safety and provide a minimum level of service standard for all city streets, focusing on gravel roads.

- The city's 1972 Austin Grader has been excised as it has exceeded its useful life with a cracked engine and brake failure. Replacement cost and frequency of use were factors in the decision to remove it from the fleet without immediate plans in the equipment management plan for replacement. Any future grading will require a private contract or be included with the other maintenance requests to Skamania County. Road condition improvement and maintenance request for remaining gravel streets, moving forward, will take more time to address, due to the reliance on others for support.
- This option addresses absent or failing infrastructure and will provide all city residents with a minimum level of service.
- To advance this goal, the proposed project for 2023 begins transitioning the eight currently gravel roads by evaluation, designing to a minimum standard, and producing cost estimates for each road.
- The first road proposed to be paved is Lakeview, followed by Ash Alley (downtown core), Holly Street (requested by Cemetery District) and the Kanaka Underpass (to address ongoing maintenance concerns). The remaining streets are to be constructed in later years of this TIP.
- Maintaining all eight currently gravel roads on the TIP allows the city to acquire additional right of way as part of a short plat (SMC 16.02.210(A)), if necessary.

*Option B:* Does not further the city goal of identifying and progressing a minimum safety and operational standard for currently owned city streets.

- Streets listed for improvement have only been requested by neighboring property owners or users. The timing of design and construction of improvements remain the same as Option A.
  - $\circ \quad \text{Ash Alley} \quad$
  - Holly Street
  - o Kanaka Creek Underpass
  - o Lakeview Road

TIP Year: 2023-8 (all) Project Type: Improvement Project Cost: \$815k(all) Funding: 100% City

Average Priority Score from Workshop: Scored by individual projects.

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Start downtown. Move outwards.
- Prioritize on residences and safety.
- Set aside budget for this project as a whole. Figure out priorities as infrastructure needs develop.
- Consider public benefit.
- Consider how to develop the policy to complete this project. Keep it open enough to address specific situations.
- Move Forward
- High Priority
- Low Priority
- Yes. Start downtown and move outward as time and \$ allow.



## Ash Alley (between Russell and Seymore) (.08 miles)

Currently there are multiple infill commercial developments proposed between First and Second street. As part of these projects, we anticipate public infrastructure improvements will be required. By combining improvements into one contract or a series of contracts within a short timeframe, we take advantage of potential cost savings as well as consistent aging of the infrastructure. Ash Alley is a secondary access for 6 residences as well as multiple commercial properties. It is tied for second longest section of unpaved roadway in the city, 0.08 miles. A stormwater catchment basin concern has been recently corrected and the urgency to address this alley is lessened.



TIP Year: 2024 (const) Project Type: Improvement Average Priority Score from Workshop: 3.4 (mid)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Near downtown and government buildings
- Downtown/near government offices
- Business activity increase



Upper Left-Ash Alley at Seymore intersection looking east.

Above-Mid-Ash Alley looking west.

Lower Left-Ash Alley looking east at intersection with Russell.

Project Cost: \$95k

Funding: 100% City



## Del Rey (.02 miles)

This section of Del Rey serves two developed properties and provides access to multiple undeveloped properties.





Above – Del Rey (east) looking westAbove – Del Rey (west) looking westTIP Year: 2028 (const)Project Type: ImprovementProject Cost: \$95kFunding: 100% City

# Average Priority Score from Workshop: 1.1 (low)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Not convinced this is a good use of City resources. Landowners on either end do not want this paved.
- Traffic engineer wrote a memo/report on this street. People may think this is a through street and it is not. GPS thinks it is a street and sends people down it.
- Residents do not want a road there.
- No one seems to want this road paved
- Residents don't want it paved
- Unless property is developed

### **Additional Comments Received:**

Written comments have been received from four individuals, owning two lots abutting Del Ray, opposing paving the road, and a verbal comment was received from an additional property owner adjacent to the road opposing paving the road. The written comments are included in the packet as well as their engineer's report on the matter.



# Gropper Park Loop (.08 miles)

This road provides access to two residential properties, and surrounds Gropper Park. The photos below were taken before the sidewalks were installed along Gropper.





TIP Year: 2028 (const) Project Type: Improvement Project Cost: \$95k Funding: 100% City

## Average Priority Score from Workshop: 2.3 (mid-low)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> most residents
- Property access only



H&H Avenue (.05 miles)

H&H Avenue serves 1 developed property and multiple undeveloped properties.



TIP Year: 2028 (const) Project Type: Improvement Project Cost: \$95k Funding: 100% City

Average Priority Score from Workshop: 1.8 (low)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Less Residents
- Single residence at this time

### **Additional Comments Received:**

"I've mentioned it before, but in case I don't get to the meeting, I prefer not to have H H Ave. paved, although I'm only one voice for the street."



## Holly Street (.05 miles)

Holly Street is the access to Iman Cemetery. There are undeveloped lots on the east side of the street which may have alternative access on H&H Avenue when developed.



TIP Year: 2025 (const) Project Type: Improvement

Project Cost: \$95k

Funding: 100% City

## Average Priority Score from Workshop: 1.8 (low)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Less Residents
- Single lane-existing chip seal

### Additional Comments Received:

"The Cemetery District is in favor of Holly Street being paved, preferably two lanes wide to accommodate public access to the Iman Cemetery as well as the adjoining lots."



### Lakeview Road (.05 miles)

Lakeview Road provides primary access for 5 residences. Paving this currently unpaved City roadway would be the most impactful for residents on unpaved roadways.



TIP Year: 2023 (const) Project Type: Improvement Average Priority Score from Workshop: 3.5 (mid)

### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Have more developed properties
- Most residents, most concerned

Project Cost: \$95k

Funding: 100% City



## Maple Alameda (.08 miles)

There are multiple reasons Maple Alameda should be considered priority in the 6 year TIP. Tied for second longest section of unpaved roadway, at 0.08 miles, Maple Alameda is a primary access (currently) for 3 residences. It is also a secondary access for 2 residences. There are multiple buildable lots for which Maple Alameda is the primary access and property owners have indicated a desire for development. Lastly, the Catholic Church reservoir is one of the City's water reservoirs. Adequate access should be provided for this valuable and critical public asset.



**TIP Year:** 2028 (const)**Project Type:** Improvement**Project Cost:** \$95k

Funding: 100% City

## Average Priority Score from Workshop: 2.9 (mid)

## Workshop Comment Summary:

- Have more properties (developed)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> most residents



## Kanaka Creek Underpass (.15 miles)

The longest of the City's gravel streets (at double the length of the next longest) is 0.15 miles. Roadway surface condition was also evaluated (compared to others) and existing geometry, as it relates to safety. The street that rose to the top as a priority for improvement was Kanaka Creek underpass (aka Cascade Avenue).

The feasibility of reconstruction and realignment of Cascade Avenue to current city standards is being evaluated, however, given the other stakeholders (BNSF and environmental regulators), both planning and construction would take considerable time and expense.

#### Geometric deficiencies:

- · Width (12 ft vs. 22 ft)
- · Radius (25 ft vs. 165 ft)
- · Sight Distance (210 ft req)

### Current Safety Concerns:

- · Pedestrian/vehicle conflicts
- · Vehicle/vehicle conflicts
- · Vehicle/EMS conflicts



TIP Year: 2027 (const) Project Type: Improvement

Project Cost: \$100k Funding: 100% City

Average Priority Score from Workshop: 4 (mid-high)

#### Workshop Comment Summary:

- Safety
- Needs maintenance & potholes fixed. Because of access issue should be high priority to get drivable by all vehicles.
- Safety for residents and workers to get out if railroad crossing is blocked.
- Pave only no rebuild required

### **Additional Comments Received:**

A petition was submitted to the city and as of 6/14/22 the summarized results are on the next page. The detailed comments are included as part of the record.



SUMMARY	
Total Commenters	148
Total Written Comments	114
Number of Comments saying "what"	
should happen to the road	36
Maintain/Repair	19
Grade	3
"Improve", generally	6
Mirrors at Underpass	2
Limit SR 14 Speed	2
Pave	1
Improve Underpass	2
Sign	1
Number of Comments saying "why" the	
road should remain open	71
Safety	
(including evacuation & first responders)	42
Evacuation Need	8
First Responder Access	7
Livability/Inconvenience	29
Livability/inconvenience	29