



Memorandum

To: Mayor Nelson and Members of the City Council

From: Daniel R. Buchholtz, MMC, Administrator, Clerk/Treasurer

Date: September 12, 2024

Subject: Imagine 2050 Policy Plan

The Metropolitan Council has begun a public comment period on the new Imagine 2050 Policy Plan. This plan will guide the update of the City's Comprehensive Plan in 2027.

The full Imagine 2050 Plan is available at <https://metro council.org/Planning/Imagine-2050.aspx>. I have included the Draft 2050 Land Use Policy for your review.

The biggest change impacting the City of Spring Lake Park is the community designation. In the current Thrive 2040 plan, the City is guided as suburban. In the proposed Imagine 2050 plan, the City is guided as urban edge. Under the Urban Edge designation, the City will face more emphasis on higher-density development and integration with transit systems. This new designation encourages Spring Lake Park to shift away from its more traditional suburban character to embrace more urban characteristics.

The public comment period is open until October 7, with a public hearing planned for the September 25 Metropolitan Council meeting.

Staff is seeking direction from the City Council on if the City Council would like to submit formal comments on the Imagine 2050 Plan.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 763-784-6491.

DRAFT 2050 LAND USE POLICY

A CHAPTER OF THE REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT GUIDE, IMAGINE 2050



IMAGINE²⁰₅₀
the region's plan for an equitable and resilient future

A prosperous, equitable, and resilient region
with abundant opportunities for all to live,
work, play, and thrive.

Regional core values

Equity | Leadership | Accountability | Stewardship

Regional goals

Our region is equitable and inclusive

Racial inequities and injustices experienced by historically marginalized communities have been eliminated; and all people feel welcome, included, and empowered.

Our communities are healthy and safe

All our region's residents live healthy and rewarding lives with a sense of dignity and wellbeing.

Our region is dynamic and resilient

Our region meets the opportunities and challenges faced by our communities and economy including issues of choice, access, and affordability.

We lead on addressing climate change

We have mitigated greenhouse gas emissions and have adapted to ensure our communities and systems are resilient to climate impacts.

We protect and restore natural systems

We protect, integrate, and restore natural systems to protect habitat and ensure a high quality of life for the people of our region.



Table of Contents

Guiding Growth and Development in the Region	1
Looking ahead to a growing and changing region	1
Recent land use and development trends	2
Considering the implications of different scenarios in policy development.....	4
Key findings of scenarios analysis	5
Infrastructure and landscape factors that continue to influence planning choices	6
Water Considerations	7
Climate and Natural Resources	7
Transportation and Infrastructure	7
Economic Development and Employment Centers	7
Urban and Rural Service Areas	8
Community Designations	9
Community Designations Descriptions	11
Urban.....	11
Urban Edge	11
Suburban	12
Suburban Edge.....	12
Rural Center	13
Diversified Rural	14
Rural Residential	14
Agricultural.....	15
Land Use Objectives, Policies, and Actions	16
Objective 1: Respect the relationship with land and water as a foundation for regional growth.....	17
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	17
Objective 2: Maximize opportunities for growth in places well-served by transit, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure.....	24
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	25
Objective 3: Establish vibrant, inclusive, connected, and safe communities for people to live, work, and recreate in.	27
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	27
Objective 4: Prioritize land use and development activities that protect, restore, and enhance natural systems at all scales.	29
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	30
Objective 5: Ensure that people in all types of communities find housing opportunities that align with their needs.	31
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	31
Objective 6: Remedy past and present discriminatory land use practices.....	32
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	33
Objective 7: Implement land use and development practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, embed climate adaptation, and create resilient communities.....	35
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	35
Objective 8: Support the economic wellbeing of our region and its communities.	38
Policies (P) and Actions (A)	39

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Generalized land use composition, 2020	3
--	---

Figure 2. 2050 Community Designations, Draft for public comment 10

Table of Tables

Table 1. 2050 Regional forecasts of population and employment 2
Table 2: Overall Density expectations by community designation and service area 19
Table 3. Future affordable housing need minimum density requirements 21
Table 4. Transit station area minimum density requirements by community designation, mode, and geography..... 21

Guiding Growth and Development in the Region

In the late 1960s, community leaders saw value in collaborating to solve the issues facing the region. At that time, the Twin Cities region was facing challenges resulting from rapid population growth and uncoordinated and disconnected urban sprawl:

- Rapid growth was threatening ecosystems and natural areas better suited for preservation as parks and open space.
- Inadequately treated wastewater was emptying into lakes, rivers, and waterways.
- The Twin Cities' privately owned bus company was rapidly deteriorating, a victim of rising fares, declining ridership, and an aging bus fleet.
- Growing fiscal disparities were making it difficult for communities with inadequate tax capacity to fund essential services.

The Minnesota Legislature took unprecedented action to address these challenges. In 1967, the Legislature created the Metropolitan Council and gave it responsibilities for planning and coordinating the region's growth and setting policies to deal with regional issues. On signing the bill, then Governor Harold LeVander observed that the Council "was conceived with the idea that we will be faced with more and more problems that will pay no heed to the boundary lines which mark the end of one community and the beginning of another."

In 1976, through the passage of the Metropolitan Land Planning Act (MLPA), the Legislature took further steps to connect the Council's efforts around regional infrastructure planning with local comprehensive planning. As we approach the 50th anniversary of the passage of the MLPA, the region has decades of experience of working together to solve regional issues. As we look toward 2050, we are faced with new challenges around post-covid economic recovery, changes in the way we travel and work, water supply contamination and shortages particularly in the east metro, impacts from climate change including increasing rainfall amounts and increasing heat, and continued racial disparities across many factors. As a regional plan, Imagine 2050 addresses issues greater than any one neighborhood, city, or single county can tackle alone to build and maintain a prosperous region.

At the same time, the future's increasingly complex challenges demand that we tap into our imaginations to develop new strategies and greater collaboration. Building on our region's past planning successes, the Council took an integrated approach to developing Imagine 2050 across all of its planning areas in an effort to seek strategies and solutions that have co-benefits across multiple dimensions. And it will take all of us in our continued and new partnerships to effectively address the demanding challenges facing the region.

Imagine 2050 guides the growth and development, both public and private, in the region. The Council sets the framework for land use patterns and guides the orderly and economical development of the region, as directed by the Metropolitan Land Planning Act (Minn. Stat. 473.145). To be fiscally responsible, the Council guides land uses and development patterns that leverage the region's infrastructure investments and private development to the benefit of both. Directing growth where infrastructure already exists also reduces the need to add roads and expand the regional wastewater system to support the same growth elsewhere.

Looking ahead to a growing and changing region

The Twin Cities region will continue to grow, but at a slower pace than in previous decades. Our region will gain 657,000 residents between 2020 and 2050, bringing the region's total population to 3,820,000. Though the region's population grew 11% between 2010 and 2020, growth rates of 6% to 7.5% per decade are expected through 2050. Our region's population will continue to age, and the share of the

region’s population age 65 and older will nearly double by 2050 to comprise 22% of the region’s population. Further, the region’s population will become more racially and ethnically diverse, a shift that we already see today in schools and workplaces. The share of Black communities, American Indian communities, and populations of color will nearly double between 2020 and 2050, going from 29% to 45% of the region’s population. Together, both of these demographic trends will fuel a transformation of the region’s schools and workplaces.¹ These demographic trends also drive the plans we make across all aspects of the built environment including housing needs, transportation choices, recreational needs, and the design of our neighborhoods and cities.

The Twin Cities region added 238,000 jobs between 2010 and 2019, before temporarily losing these gains in 2020 due to the economic disruption of the COVID-19 pandemic. (Employment has since rebounded; mostly.) Participation rates (the share of the population working or seeking work) are expected to reach a ceiling around 2024, as employers struggle to replace aging workers. This results in an employment slowdown between 2025 and 2040, before employment accelerates again in the 2040s. The total number of jobs is forecasted to reach over two million by 2050.

Table 1. 2050 Regional forecasts of population and employment

	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050
Population	2,850,000	3,163,000	3,364,000	3,555,000	3,820,000
Employment	1,541,000	1,581,000	1,802,000	1,895,000	2,074,000

Recent land use and development trends

The seven-county metro contains about 1.9 million acres of land, with just over half of the region’s acres in agriculture or undeveloped land uses. Residential development, primarily single-family homes, take up about one quarter of the region’s acres, while parks, recreation, and natural preserves account for 10% of the region’s land uses (Figure 1).² This distinction between the developed portion of the region and the rural portion of the region is reflected and advanced through the decades of regional planning that has distinguished between the urban and rural service areas.

In this last decade, the region has accommodated growth in a more compact fashion, consuming 290 acres per 1,000 households, down from 490 acres per 1,000 new households from 2000-2010. Single family detached housing remains the main driver of land consumption, accounting for nearly two thirds of the growth in developed acres from 2016-2020 (approximately 12,500 acres) and constituting nearly two thirds of all developed land in the region. At the same time, the region has also seen an increase in the acreage of land dedicated to parks, open space, and natural preserves, as formalizing those land uses often accompanies land development.³


This growth in more compact development has also been buoyed and furthered by local comprehensive plans. During the 2010-2020 decade, local governments amended their plans frequently to accommodate new development on land that had been previously guided for non-residential uses or to accommodate development at higher densities than had been previously planned.

¹ Metropolitan Council. (2023). *The Regional Forecast: Population and Employment in the Twin Cities Region in 2050*. <https://metro council.org/Data-and-Maps/Publications-And-Resources/MetroStats/Land-Use-and-Development/The-Regional-Forecast-Update-2023.aspx>

² Metropolitan Council. (2021). *2020 Generalized Land use Inventory*. <https://metro council.org/Council-Meetings/Committees/Committee-of-the-Whole/2021/08-04-21/Info-Item-Release-of-Land-Use-Inventory-PPT.aspx>

³ Ibid.

Figure 1. Generalized land use composition, 2020



Source: Metropolitan Council, 2020 Generalized Land Use Inventory

About 25% of the total housing units constructed during this period were facilitated through a comprehensive plan amendment.⁴

At the same time, local governments were developing their 2040 comprehensive plans, which on the whole planned for densities well above the minimum densities required in Thrive MSP 2040, particularly in the more urbanized portions of the region. Cities planned to accommodate growth on fewer acres, while at the same time increasing the allowable densities. These planning efforts resulted in increased land capacity to support forecasted growth.⁵ As the rate of projected population and employment growth slows, recent Council analysis of the existing capacity within the Metropolitan Urban Service Area shows that the region has more than 100 years' worth of land supply.

Housing development was relatively slow during the early 2010s as the region recovered from the great recession. But the latter half of the decade was marked by rapid development, particularly multifamily. On average, 12,900 housing units were added per year from 2010 to 2020⁶. Strong housing development has continued into the 2020s, with over 20,000 housing units added in both 2021 and 2022⁷.

Considering the implications of different scenarios in policy development

Imagine 2050 considers several factors when localizing the regional forecasts and developing policies to guide growth across the region. As part of preparing for Imagine 2050, the Metropolitan Council initiated a scenario planning process to ensure that it can advance toward its regional goals in the face of uncertainties. The main purpose of this process was to identify policies and investments that can help the Council navigate those uncertainties and be prepared for them. In the face of those uncertainties, understanding the implications of these different scenarios can aid in developing policies and strategies to advance the regional goals as well as in developing policies and strategies to mitigate undesired impacts of different scenarios.⁸

The scenario process started by identifying uncertainties that the Council should explore. Staff from multiple divisions collectively examined a set of uncertainties and settled on two that had the most impact on the Council's main role of planning for regional growth: "How much will the region grow?" and "Where will residents and employers decide to locate in the region?"

Most of the region's growth depends on external factors such as immigration rates and the performance of the national economy. Since the region has very little impact on these larger-scale factors, the amount of growth in the region presents an uncertainty for which the region needs to be ready. Council staff simulated alternative futures with varying levels of regional growth to explore the future impacts of

⁴ Metropolitan Council. (2018). *Trends in Comprehensive Plan Amendments, 2010-2017*. <https://metro council.org/Council-Meetings/Committees/Community-Development-Committee/2018/February-5,-2018/Trends-ppt.aspx>

⁵ Metropolitan Council. (2021). *2040 Comprehensive Plan Composite*. <https://metro council.org/Council-Meetings/Committees/Committee-of-the-Whole/2021/04-07-21/Info-Item-Comp-Plan-Composite-PPT.aspx>

⁶ Metropolitan Council. (2021). Residential Development Trends. [Residential Development Trends \(metro council.org\)](#)

⁷ Metropolitan Council. (2021). Lessons from 2023 Population Estimates. [A Growing, Changing Region \(metro council.org\)](#)

⁸ For additional details about the methodology and further discussion on the analysis, see Metropolitan Council (2024). *Scenario Planning Consolidated Findings and Connections to Policy*. <https://metc1new.metctest.state.mn.us/Council-Meetings/Committees/Metropolitan-Council/2024/3-27-2024/INFO-1.aspx>

this uncertainty. They analyzed three growth levels - business as usual (continuation of current growth levels), higher growth, and lower growth.

Similarly, the Council and local jurisdictions do not know exactly where people and businesses will decide to locate and what demand in real estate markets will look like. While the Council works with local jurisdictions to make land available for development, unforeseen dynamics in the real estate markets play a big role in determining where actual development occurs. Once again, planners need to be prepared for alternative futures where market demand plays out differently in the region.

These variables yielded five future scenarios: business-as-usual growth; high growth compact; high growth dispersed; low growth compact; low growth dispersed. In forming these scenarios, staff used the region's current planned density ranges within locally adopted comprehensive plans, its existing transportation and wastewater infrastructure, as well as its current housing and land use policies.

To ensure plausibility, staff utilized the Council's forecast and land use models and simulated alternative futures with realistic growth rates based on conditions experienced in the past. While plausible, the simulated scenarios were also different enough from each other that staff could identify significantly different impacts on the Council and the region.

Key findings of scenarios analysis

The results of this analysis showed that compact scenarios compared to dispersed scenarios would bring the region closer to achieving the regional goals across all policy areas. To summarize:

Land Use: Compact development uses land more intensely and efficiently, regardless of how much the region grows. It also puts less pressure on the region's agricultural areas and natural systems. These findings suggest that compact development makes it easier to protect the region's natural systems by reducing the footprint of development. They also imply that compact growth patterns can make the region more dynamic and resilient by preserving its agricultural economy.

Transportation: Compared to dispersed growth, compact growth results in lower vehicle miles traveled, lower transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions, greater job accessibility, and better transit access, regardless of how much the region grows. These findings show that compact growth patterns are in alignment with the Council's goal of being leaders in climate mitigation, adaptation, and resilience. They also imply that compact growth is more conducive to generating a more dynamic and equitable region.

Housing: The cost of meeting the affordable housing needs of the population is lower in compact growth scenarios than dispersed ones. As such, compact growth promotes a more dynamic and equitable region. However, compact growth might lead to gentrification and displacement of low-income residents in areas where growth pressures make housing more expensive. If not addressed, gentrification and displacement risks can undermine equity in the region.

Water Quality: One type of growth is not better in terms of surface water runoff and quality. Each pattern of growth generates different types of pollutants and the results of each scenario depend on the type of pollutant. For instance, dispersed growth scenarios produce larger reductions in nitrate runoff while compact growth scenarios produce lesser amounts of chloride runoff. Regionwide differences in scenario results do not tell the whole story since surface water impacts occur locally on small streams and lakes.

Water Supply: In all scenarios, industrial development increases in very highly vulnerable areas of water supply. More industrial development occurs in high-growth scenarios. In dispersed growth

scenarios, the region relies more on groundwater than surface water and this brings the region closer to the sustainable groundwater limits. These scenarios also increase the pressure to use additional private wells in areas not served by municipal systems. In compact growth scenarios, the region relies more on existing water systems such as the Mississippi River, which has higher monitoring, treatment, and costs. These scenarios create higher risk of impact from sudden drought, making the region less resilient.

Wastewater Management: In compact growth scenarios, the region utilizes its existing wastewater infrastructure more efficiently. Dispersed growth scenarios have the greatest potential to shift resources toward early and unplanned expansion efforts. They also increase the use of septic tanks and rural local treatment plants, which can lead to long-term environmental degradation if they are not appropriately managed. This implies that the region's goal of protecting natural systems in the region may be harder to achieve in dispersed growth scenarios.

Parks and Trails: The amount of growth rather than its location plays a more important role in determining park and trail acquisition, protection, and use outcomes. High growth scenarios increase the cost of acquisition, constitute a challenge for conservation, and might lead to overuse of parks and trails. In addition, higher growth could imply higher visitation, which might lead to increases in funding appropriations. The specific challenges and opportunities associated with acquisition, protection, and use of parks and trails depend on where growth happens, in mature or developing parks and trails.

Climate: Compact growth produces lower GHG emissions than dispersed growth, no matter how much the region grows. This is also the case for transportation and residential building energy related GHG emissions. These findings suggest that compact growth patterns would serve the region better in terms of reaching its climate goals.

Natural Systems: Dispersed development patterns pose a higher risk of natural systems loss, fragmentation, and species loss than compact patterns. This means that compact growth patterns are better aligned with the region's goal of protecting and restoring natural systems.

The findings suggest that, compared to dispersed scenarios, compact growth scenarios offer more opportunities for achieving the Council's regional goals. However, compact growth scenarios also pose some challenges, such as gentrification and displacement risk, that can get in the way of achieving these regional goals. These challenges need to be addressed for the region to be sufficiently prepared for all scenarios.

Infrastructure and landscape factors that continue to influence planning choices

As part of the long-range planning process, a number of land use and infrastructure features were identified as important variables that play an integral role in long range planning. Many of these variables are factors in different parts of the long-range planning process, including factoring into localizing the regional population and employment forecasts, transportation planning within jurisdictions, water planning, housing planning, and others. Local governments may also find these data sources important as they commence their future land use planning and in determining the most optimal location for various uses within their communities.

These factors include considerations across water supply, climate and natural resources, transportation infrastructure, and economic development and employment. As local governments dive into local planning processes, there may be additional localized features that also influence their planning decision-making.

Water Considerations

- Metropolitan Region Water Supply Planning Atlas
- Source Water Protection and Pollution Prevention
- Drinking Water Supply Management Area (DWSMA) and Vulnerability Ratings
- Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) and Emergency Response Area (ERA)
- MPCA Groundwater Contamination Atlas
- County Geologic Atlases
- Minnesota Hydrogeology Atlas (MHA)
- Groundwater infiltration
- Wastewater Service Area – regional and local, including the Long Term Sewer Service Area

Climate and Natural Resources

- Regionally Significant Ecological Areas
- Extreme Heat
- Localized Flooding
- Tree Canopy
- Prime Agricultural Soils
- Aggregate resources

Transportation and Infrastructure

- Transitways
- Existing and Planned Station Areas
- Freight terminals and related infrastructure

Economic Development and Employment Centers

- Job Concentrations
- Manufacturing/Distribution Locations
- Educational Institutions
- Housing Affordability
- Redevelopment Opportunities

The *Local Planning Handbook* will provide datasets, tools, and resources to aid local governments as they conduct their local comprehensive planning processes.

Urban and Rural Service Areas

The region consists of a range of local characteristics, from the agricultural areas to the urban center, and the Met Council applies different policies tailored to each one. Imagine 2050 sets the visionary strategic direction for incorporating and implementing regional development goals in local comprehensive plans. This direction provides specific land use and development strategies for implementation but provides flexibility for local governments to determine how best to align with regional policies and work toward regional outcomes while still accomplishing local goals. The Met Council recognizes that variation exists in development patterns, neighborhoods, and land uses within each jurisdiction. Through its comprehensive plan, each local government determines how to implement the regional land use and development strategies in a manner that best meets local goals and suits the variation within their jurisdiction.

The Met Council has distinguished between urban and rural areas with the Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA) as a means to manage land consumption, to deliver efficient regional services, and to preserve valued rural and agricultural areas. Examples of regional services differentiated in this manner include metropolitan wastewater services, the regional highway system, and the regular route transit system. In the Rural Service Area, the Met Council has discouraged high development densities typically seen in urban and suburban areas to ensure the orderly development of the region, efficient use of regional investments, and to protect agricultural land, natural resources, the quality and quantity of our water sources, and the rural landscape.

While the Urban Service Area, including Rural Centers, constitutes about half of the land in the region, in 2020 about 93% of the population lived within this area. The Urban Service Area has the highest level of investment in regional and local services, including regional wastewater services. The Urban Service Area includes a diverse set of municipalities ranging from the urban downtowns in Minneapolis and St. Paul to developing areas planning for staged growth and expansion with varying challenges and development expectations. A variety of residential neighborhoods, housing types, and densities, along with a varying mix of commercial and industrial areas that developed at different times in the region's history, serve different development patterns and needs. The Met Council will continue to provide these regional services and will work with local governments to support growth that best capitalizes on regional systems and infrastructure investments.

About half of the Twin Cities metropolitan area land is within the Rural Service Area. These areas include a range of uses including cultivated farmland, vineyards, hobby farms, gravel mines, woodlands, small towns, scattered and clustered housing, open spaces and significant expanses of the region's natural systems. Aside from the investments in the regional parks system, investments in regional services are limited in the Rural Service Area. The Met Council strives to protect the vital agricultural lands and natural amenities in the Rural Service Area, and to recognize the desire for rural and small-town residential choices.

Community Designations

Recognizing the variety of landscapes, in local jurisdictions and across the region, the Met Council sets the strategic direction using *community designations* and regional land use policies to accommodate regional forecasted growth, respond to development trends, and adapt the regional planning landscape to current challenges. These community designations continue the longstanding history of distinguishing between the Urban and Rural Service Areas while adding policy guidance to form the geographic planning areas that will guide the orderly and economical development of the region. Imagine 2050 builds upon the foundation of community designations by categorizing cities and townships based on defining characteristics essential for effective regional planning. (see Figure 1)

As part of the regional development guide, community designations work in concert with land use policies to guide growth in areas with urban infrastructure; establish distinct land use policies and density expectations; protect agricultural land and natural amenities; and outline strategies to meet the region's forecasted growth. Community designations are further used to plan and implement regional policies at the local level.


The Met Council assigns a community designation to each city and township, although cities and townships along the developing edge of the region may have more than one community designation based on forecasted growth and current or planned development patterns. This designation indicates the overall state of development and regional issues faced by that city or township. Community designations are also used to guide growth and development to areas that have urban infrastructure in place and capacity to accommodate development or redevelopment, to establish land use and density expectations for different planning areas, and to outline the roles of the Met Council and the individual local governments. Land use policy sets overall densities, by community designation, that planned development patterns of a city or township can be expected to achieve, along with planning considerations that local governments incorporate into their planning processes.

Regional land use policies are framed around common characteristics of different jurisdictions. These common characteristics are the basis for community designations. These community designations are informed by key variables that guide development towards areas equipped with the necessary urban infrastructure to support forecasted growth. Key variables used to define community designations include:

- **Age of Infrastructure:** Acts as a proxy for the infrastructure's overall condition and anticipated service life.
- **Planned Residential Density:** Extracted from 2040 local comprehensive plans; this variable helps in anticipating future growth patterns.
- **Intersection Density:** Serves as an indicator of connectivity, urban form, and accessibility within the city or township.

By incorporating these main variables, Imagine 2050's designations are tailored to implement regional policies effectively at the local level, accommodating projected growth in a structured and foresightful manner. Community designations not only support the planning of local policies but also help implement these strategies effectively, accommodating the region's projected growth in a sustainable and efficient manner.


Figure 2. 2050 Community Designations, Draft for public comment



Community Designations Descriptions

Urban


Urban community designations represent the densest and most centrally located local governments within the metro area, proven by extensive development that includes mixed-use areas combining residential, commercial, and cultural uses. Urban communities are fully developed and most of their housing stock was built prior to 1965. They experience the most opportunities for redevelopment, have interconnected neighborhoods, and are more conducive to high frequency transit use and reducing reliance on personal vehicles. At the same time, they also confront significant environmental challenges, including elevated median surface temperatures that often exceed 93 degrees Fahrenheit, necessitating strategies for urban cooling and greening to mitigate urban heat island effects.



Local governments with an Urban community designation include Columbia Heights, Hilltop, Hopkins, Minneapolis, Osseo, Richfield, Robbinsdale, St. Louis Park, and St. Paul.

Urban Edge


These cities are characterized by growth that occurred just before the economic downturn of 1973-1974, which contributes to their transitional character between dense urban cores and more spread-out suburban areas. Similar to Urban areas, they experience rapid growth and redevelopment at high densities, have high job densities as well, and offer good access to transit, supported by their relatively high street connectivity. Urban Edge areas face similar urban challenges, such as managing increased surface temperatures and safeguarding drinking water quality, which requires tailored environmental and infrastructural solutions.



Local governments with an Urban Edge community designation include Anoka, Birchwood Village, Bloomington, Brooklyn Center, Crystal, Edina, Excelsior, Falcon Heights, Fridley, Golden Valley, Landfall, Lauderdale, Long Lake, Maplewood, Mounds View, New Brighton, New Hope, North St. Paul, Roseville, South St. Paul, Spring Lake Park, St. Anthony, West St. Paul, and White Bear Lake.

Suburban

Developed during the housing expansions of the 1980s and 1990s, Suburban areas are primarily residential, featuring auto-oriented, medium-density housing developments. These areas are mainly designed with expansive subdivisions that prioritize vehicle access and exhibit limited intersection density, which affects the efficiency of public transit. Additionally, these jurisdictions often include small amounts of undeveloped land, providing opportunities for future development or green space conservation. Many Suburban areas also feature park-and-ride facilities to enhance connectivity to larger transit networks, supporting commuter needs. As these areas continue to grow, they face challenges such as rising surface temperatures and strains on local water supplies, emphasizing the importance of integrated resource management strategies.




Local governments with a Suburban community designation include Apple Valley, Arden Hills, Bayport, Blaine, Brooklyn Park, Burnsville, Champlin, Circle Pines, Coon Rapids, Eagan, Eden Prairie, Hastings, Lexington, Lilydale, Little Canada, Loretto, Mahtomedi, Maple Plain, Medicine Lake, Mendota, Mendota Heights, Minnetonka, Mound, Newport, Oak Park Heights, Oakdale, Plymouth, Shoreview, Spring Park, St. Paul Park, Stillwater, Tonka Bay, Vadnais Heights, Wayzata, White Bear Township, and Willernie.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Suburban Edge

Suburban Edge cities are on the edge of the Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA) and primarily developed after the 1990s. These areas feature extensive undeveloped land planned for low to medium residential densities. The planning challenge in Suburban Edge areas is to improve street connectivity and integrate sustainable development practices, ensuring that growth and conservation effectively coexist. Suburban Edge cities may have more than one community designation based on forecasted growth and current or planned development patterns. This happens generally when areas in a city either have an historic development pattern more appropriate for a different designation or portions of the city are not currently planned for future development.




Local governments with a Suburban Edge community designation include Andover*, Carver, Centerville, Chanhassen, Chaska, Columbus*,

Corcoran*, Cottage Grove, Credit River*, Dayton, Deephaven, Empire*, Farmington, Forest Lake*, Gem Lake, Greenwood, Hugo*, Independence*, Inver Grove Heights*, Lake Elmo*, Lakeville, Lino Lakes, Maple Grove, Medina*, Minnetonka Beach, Minnetrista*, North Oaks*, Orono*, Prior Lake, Ramsey, Rogers*, Rosemount, Savage, Shakopee, Shorewood, St. Bonifacius, Victoria, Waconia, Woodbury, and Woodland.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Rural Center

Rural Center cities serve as vital commercial, employment, and residential hubs for the surrounding rural and agricultural areas. While these centers are developed at higher densities similar to urban areas within the MUSA, they maintain a unique character that supports the rural economy. They traditionally operate their own municipal wastewater treatment services, facilitating denser land uses. Most of these areas were developed prior to 2000 and have relatively higher intersection density, compared to the surrounding agricultural areas. Similar to their Urban counterparts, they face the challenges of higher surface temperatures and include less than 5% prime agricultural land. Rural Center cities may have more than one community designation due to surrounding township areas that were included in an orderly annexation agreement where growth was planned in previous planning cycles.




Local governments with a Rural Center community designation include Belle Plaine, Bethel, Cologne, East Bethel*, Elko New Market, Greenfield*, Hamburg, Hampton*, Jordan, Mayer, New Germany, Norwood Young America, Scandia*, St. Francis*, Vermillion*, and Watertown.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Diversified Rural

These cities and townships include some prime agricultural land and farms, as well as large-lot residential development and clustered housing. Most of these areas were developed just prior to 2000 with lower residential densities. This pattern of development is most conducive for future expansion of urban infrastructure, as some of these areas are within the Met Council's Long-Term Service Area. Considering the long-term plans for orderly growth of these areas, urbanized levels of residential development is discouraged to avoid premature demand for wastewater expansion. Diversified Rural cities and townships may have more than one community designation based on forecasted growth and current or planned development patterns.




Local governments with a Diversified Rural community designation include Afton, Andover, Belle Plaine Twp.*, Cedar Lake Twp., Coates, Columbus*, Corcoran*, Dellwood, Denmark Twp., East Bethel*, Forest Lake*, Grant, Greenfield*, Grey Cloud Island Twp., Helena Twp.*, Hugo*, Independence*, Laketown Twp., Linwood Twp., Louisville Twp., Marine on St. Croix, May Twp., Medina*, Miesville, Minnetrista*, New Market Twp.*, New Trier, Orono*, Pine Springs, Randolph, Randolph Twp.*, Ravenna Twp., Rogers*, Sand Creek Twp., Scandia*, Spring Lake Twp.*, St. Francis*, St. Lawrence Twp., and Stillwater Twp.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Rural Residential

These are areas with larger lot developments which preclude the provision of urban infrastructure, such as the regional wastewater service. Rural Residential areas represent historic development patterns of average lots sizes of 1-2.5 units per acre that were mostly built in the 1980s and 1990s. The growth pattern in Rural Residential areas is not aligned with the Met Council's mission of orderly and economic growth and therefore they generally plan to accommodate minimal growth while preserving natural areas. Rural Residential cities and townships often have more than one community designation representing the historic development patterns which exist alongside newer planned development areas which may be anticipating regional sewer service or are part of orderly annexation areas in nearby cities.




Local governments with a Rural Residential community designation include Andover, Baytown

Twp., Credit River*, Ham Lake, Inver Grove Heights*, Lake Elmo*, Lake St. Croix Beach, Lakeland, Lakeland Shores, New Market Twp.*, North Oaks*, Nowthen, Oak Grove, Spring Lake Twp.*, St. Mary's Point, Sunfish Lake, and West Lakeland Twp.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Agricultural

Agricultural cities and townships mostly include more than 50% prime agricultural land with large areas dedicated to farming. These farming activities support the economic competitiveness of the region and promote local food production. Most of these areas are enrolled in the Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Program and are discouraged from higher density development. While most Agricultural areas have lower surface temperatures compared to the rest of region, they also include some higher vulnerability drinking-water areas, as well as high potential for groundwater and surface water interaction. Preservation of these agricultural areas and implementing best management practices in farming operation is important for overall water quality improvement. Agricultural cities and townships often have more than one community designation representing the existing patterns of development within and nearby. Some jurisdictions have orderly annexation agreements with adjacent cities and some represent the transition from areas with large agricultural production to smaller farms or homesteads.



Local governments with an Agricultural community designation include Belle Plaine Twp.*, Benton Twp., Blakeley Twp., Camden Twp., Castle Rock Twp., Dahlgren Twp., Douglas Twp., Empire Twp.*, Eureka Twp., Greenvale Twp., Hampton*, Hampton Twp., Hancock Twp., Helena Twp.*, Hollywood Twp., Independence*, Marshan Twp., Minnetrista*, Nininger Twp., Randolph Twp.*, San Francisco Twp., Sciota Twp., Vermillion*, Vermillion Twp., Waconia Twp., Waterford Twp., Watertown Twp., and Young America Twp.

** indicates that there is more than one community designation for this jurisdiction*

Land Use Objectives, Policies, and Actions

The land use objectives, policies, and actions in this chapter balance both urban and rural characteristics of the region to create the most healthy, sustainable, and welcoming environment for the people in this region. The land use objectives in this chapter are intended to support the regional vision and achieve the 2050 goals while addressing key land use and regional growth issues. Each objective should achieve more than one regional goal and the land use direction in this chapter sets the stage for development throughout the region. Crafted with both an equity and environmental justice focus, the land use objectives, policies, and actions center the voices of community members in the same measure as stakeholders like local governments and partners like the American Indian Advisory Council. Outcomes for land use policy will be measured with both quantitative and qualitative efforts.

To achieve the five cross-cutting regional goals established in Imagine 2050, the Met Council identifies eight land use objectives with accompanying land use policies and actions for both local governments and the Met Council to implement. Each objective has specific policies and actions and while nested under a specific objective, in practice they serve multiple purposes. Land use objectives impact all planning and policy areas and serve to guide how growth and development occur in the region.

The land use objectives are:

1. Respect the relationship with land and water as a foundation for regional growth.
2. Maximize opportunities for growth in places well-served by transit, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure.
3. Establish vibrant, inclusive, connected, and safe communities for people to live, work, and recreate in.
4. Prioritize land use and development activities that protect, restore, and enhance natural systems at all scales.
5. Ensure that people in all types of communities find housing opportunities that align with their needs.
6. Remedy past and present discriminatory land use practices.
7. Implement land use and development practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, embed climate adaptation, and create resilient communities.
8. Support the economic wellbeing of our region and its communities.

As a policy, land use is interrelated with all aspects of the built form and the people that live and move within the region. Alignment of land use with housing, transportation, parks, natural systems, water, climate mitigation and adaptation, and more is critical to achieving regional goals of Imagine 2050. One objective or policy alone cannot achieve the outcomes the people of this region need from the regional development guide. Instead, a comprehensive approach to address all the objectives will set the stage for people's individual success. While an objective may appear to directly support some of the regional goals more than others, all of the land use objectives, policies, and actions are interrelated.

Each of the following land use objectives applies to all local governments albeit application in urban and rural areas will and must look different in order to be successful. Community Designations will be used to refine requirements for individual cities, townships, and counties. The Local Planning Handbook, the PlanIt program, Sector Representatives, and a full suite of technical assistance tools will provide guidance and resources to help local governments implement specific local planning expectations.

Objective 1: Respect the relationship with land and water as a foundation for regional growth.

Land and water are essential for sustaining all life. We recognize that planning for the region is about more than accommodating a growing human population, but also about respecting the integrity and needs of all living beings in the region. This approach to growth management emphasizes the importance of stewardship and interconnectedness. By acknowledging and respecting this connection, a broad and shared understanding of the impact humans have on the environment and all living beings within it can change the relationship between development, people, and the environment. Development doesn't have to disturb or disrupt, but instead can care for and protect the region's assets, both natural and human.

To achieve this balance, regional growth requires an orderly and efficient approach to land development to meet evolving and expanding needs. A development pattern that reduces the amount of land used to accommodate growth supports this outcome by leveraging the region's existing resources and limiting impacts to existing habitats. These land use patterns exist throughout the region in cities and townships of all sizes.

Directing growth within local governments to areas where infrastructure already exists promotes efficient use of available infrastructure capacity in the urban and rural service areas and reduces the need for infrastructure expansion. The concentration of growth in existing service areas also prevents premature development of agricultural areas and destruction of natural systems. Managing growth in this manner allows our region to preserve its agricultural economy and rural lifestyles while accommodating the needs of residents and businesses.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Incorporate Indigenous approaches, values, and practices in management of land and water sources. Sovereignty of Tribal nations requires a government-to-government relationship, which compels the Met Council to take a leadership role in elevating the needs and contributions of American Indian community members in the region. Actions that integrate Indigenous perspectives towards caring for the land, water, and living beings are intentional and attempt to alleviate some of the historic harms that American Indians continue to experience. (Met Council)
 - A1. Adopt regional land management guidelines co-created with American Indian community partners to share with local governments and interested partners as a resource for planning purposes and implementation in areas where there may be local discretion or desire to use such tools. Resources at the regional scale can help provide consistent, clear guidance to a large area of Dakota land and reduce the burden on small American Indian community organizations overtaxed with many individual requests to engage with multiple governments, organizations, or community groups. (Met Council)
 - A2. Establish an American Indian Advisory Council with authority and dedicated resources to implement land management guidelines in areas of Met Council influence or ownership. This Advisory Council would need to be established by the Met Council and participants with a mutually agreed upon structure, purpose, and role in the Met Council's decision-making process. This Advisory Council is intended to focus on Imagine 2050 implementation, issues of regional importance, and does not interact with or assume responsibilities of others in established review of cultural or archeological assets. (Met Council)
 - A3. Provide educational resources for non-native populations about American Indian practices as it relates to caring for the land and water. (Met Council)

- A4. Encourage more broad application of American Indian land and water management practices at the individual, neighborhood, community, and regional level. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P2. Accommodate orderly and economical regional growth through efficient land use practices to reduce the cost of infrastructure expansion and service improvements.
- A1. Promote more compact development in areas with existing infrastructure. Infrastructure investments at all scales, in all community types, need to be planned for a more compact development pattern. Compact development occurs in all areas with existing infrastructure, from rural downtowns to the growing suburban areas to the most densely developed urban neighborhoods. Planning for compact development patterns at all scales maximizes the benefits of investment, mitigates impacts of climate change, and builds localized resilience. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Using a rolling land supply analysis, the Met Council will evaluate requests to connect a new municipality outside of the Met Council's long-term sewer service area - or a new area within an already served municipality - to the regional wastewater system. The evaluation will be based on the regional need for additional land to accommodate growth and local development trends. Identifying a 20-year rolling land supply ensures that there is sufficient land within the planned Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA) to accommodate the region's forecasted growth without having an oversupply that leads to premature infrastructure investments. This evaluation helps prevent inadvertent restriction of land supply that can drive up land prices, while also carefully evaluating MUSA expansion requests within a local jurisdiction and across the region. Analysis shows that there is more than adequate land supply within the current MUSA boundary to accommodate the 2050 regional growth forecasts. (Met Council)

To ensure efficient and cost-effective growth management, the Met Council standard criteria for MUSA expansion where growth had not previously been planned at a regional or local scale, is described herein. The Met Council will consider the following criteria:

- i Requests must meet system conformance requirements and maintain consistency with regional policies and goals.
- ii Proposed additions must demonstrate a need for additional land supply, including the need for a change to adopted forecasts. The Met Council will review requests to ensure a 20-year rolling land supply considering both regional and local market demand.
- iii For local governments already served by regional wastewater services, planned sewer-served densities must be consistent with regional density policy for the applicable community designation, including existing planned densities and the planned densities for the new area to be served.
- iv Any previous conditions related to Met Council-authorization of comprehensive plan or plan amendments must be fulfilled and program participation (Plat Monitoring Program, building permit survey, etc.) must be current and complete.
- v Past performance must meet density expectations. The Met Council will consider a shorter look-back period for performance and/or measure performance against rules in place at the time.
- vi When calculating land capacity, the following will be excluded: publicly protected areas, water bodies, wetlands, steep slopes, areas with limited depth to bedrock, depth to water table, and areas protected by public ownership/easement.

- A3. Residential density requirements are based on adopted community designations for both the rural and urban services areas (see community designations section). Local governments and land within the MUSA receive a higher level of regional services and investments than those in the rural service area, such as regional wastewater services, regional highways, transit service, and programs that support redevelopment. In return, the Met Council expects jurisdictions in the MUSA to plan for and build the higher levels of development that economically support those regional services. Compact development also reduces the pressure on agricultural land areas and decreases the impact of development on natural systems which helps manage the region’s land supply consistently and more effectively.

In addition, density requirements are necessary planning tools to meet the legislative requirement for NetZero by 2050 as well as the regional goal to lead in addressing climate change. While not the only tool needed to achieve the legislative requirement, every tool available must be used. Compact development has been shown to lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, irrespective of the development trajectory, which is crucial in meeting the state's new GHG reduction targets and regional climate goals.

The Met Council analyzed current and projected development densities across local governments within the MUSA. The conclusions from the density analysis highlight differences between actual developed densities that exist in the built environment and planned minimum densities that are authorized as part of local comprehensive plans. Additional information on the methodology, analysis, and possible policy and planning approaches that were assessed can be referenced in the [Density Analysis for Imagine 2050](#). The overall density requirements for both the Urban and Rural Service Areas based on the adopted community designations are included in the table below. (Local Government)

Table 2: Overall Density expectations by community designation and service area

Metropolitan Urban Service Areas Minimum Average Net Density	
Urban	25 units per acre
Urban Edge	14 units per acre
Suburban	7 units per acre
Suburban Edge	4 units per acre
Rural Service Area Maximum Allowed Density, except Rural Centers	
Rural Center	3 units per acre minimum
Rural Residential	1-2.5 acre lots existing, 1 unit/10 acres where possible
Diversified Rural	4 units per 40 acres
Agricultural	1 unit per 40 acres

Average minimum and maximum densities apply to all areas planned to accommodate forecasted growth in the planning period.

The following standards implement regional density policy: (Met Council/Local Government)

- i Plan for the overall minimum average net density expectations across all land within the city or township guided to support growth within the planning period.
- ii Each local government determines how and where density is guided consistent with regional policies. Setting minimum average densities for new development provides municipalities with the flexibility to determine which areas are best suited for higher or

- lower density development under the framework of meeting that overall minimum on available developable lands. (Local Government)
- iii Measure minimum net density by taking the minimum number of planned housing units and dividing by the net acreage. Net acreage does not include land covered by wetlands, water bodies, public parks and trails, public open space, arterial road rights-of-way, and other undevelopable acres identified in or protected by local ordinances such as steep slopes. This applies to local governments within the MUSA and Rural Center communities. (Local Government)
 - iv Plan for forecasted growth and land supply by decade in local comprehensive plans, meeting the community designation minimum density requirements within each planning decade. (Local Government)
 - v Evaluate the practical feasibility of demand and development to ensure that both the intensity (density range) and location of planned developments are practical. Local governments need to plan land uses that are realistically marketable within the planning period, focusing on plausibility and long-term viability. This helps prevent the overestimation of development outcomes, thereby reducing the risk of underutilized infrastructure, inefficient land management, and implausible planned densities. This differentiates between what is merely allowed and what is plausible, providing a realistic framework for land use planning. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - vi Use a programmatic and performance-based approach to flexible application of density requirements to support local implementation of regional goals. The structure and requirements where flexibility may be acceptable must not jeopardize conformance with regional system plans or consistency with Met Council policies. Past plans and actions including regional goal and regional policy consistency, existing development patterns, plat monitoring data, adopted plans and ordinances as well as other relevant data may be used to determine eligibility. Criteria will be established in partnership with local governments and other regional stakeholders as part of the implementation work plan. (Met Council)
 - vii In the Rural Service Area, the Met Council discourages higher development densities to ensure the orderly development of the region, promote the efficient use of regional investments, and protect agricultural land, water resources, and the rural landscape. At the region's developing edge, some local governments are split between the Urban Service Area and the Rural Service Area. All jurisdictions in the Rural Service Area are expected to set maximum allowable densities, except Rural Centers. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - viii In the Rural Service Area, the development pattern in Rural Residential community designations reflects both historic large lot subdivisions and areas with environmental limitations to development. This effectively precludes provision of urbanized infrastructure in an effective, connected, and efficient manner and in some cases increases the potential for damage to the environment. These areas need to accommodate minimal growth while protecting natural areas, water quality and quantity, and ensuring sufficient public infrastructure. Expansion of the Rural Residential community designation is inconsistent with regional goals and objectives and considered a departure from regional system plans. (Met Council)
 - ix Evaluate the need for and updates to administrative guidelines including the MUSA Implementation Guidelines and Flexible Development Guidelines to align with regional goals and to effectively advance the policies in Imagine 2050. (Met Council)

- A4. Guide an adequate supply of land at the following appropriate minimum densities to meet their allocation of the regional Future Affordable Housing Need. This applies to local governments with forecasted sewer service. Refer to section 4 of the Housing Policy Chapter on Land Guided for Affordable Housing for more information. (Local Government)

Table 3. Future affordable housing need minimum density requirements

Local Options	Minimum density requirement
Option 1	Guide sufficient land at a minimum density of 10 units/acre to meet the city or township’s total Future Need.
Option 2	Guide sufficient land at a minimum density of 12 units/acre to meet the city or township’s Future Need for 30% AMI or less and a minimum density of 8 units per acre to meet the Future Need at 31-60% AMI.

- A5. Focus local-level growth near high frequency transit service and station areas, to leverage infrastructure investments, support transit ridership, and encourage compact and vibrant mixed-use, walkable areas. Housing is a vital element of a transit supportive land use mix, and average residential density is a common metric employed in transit-oriented development plans regionally and nationally. The appropriate scale of residential density around stations varies by community designation and transit mode, Residential development around station areas, existing and planned, accounts for nearly half of all multi-family residential development in the region. Between 2009 and 2023, new residential development around station areas frequently ranged from 30 to 40 units per acre, with higher average densities at stations in the urban areas. (Met Council/Local Government)
- i Jurisdictions with transit investments need to meet higher average minimum densities within station areas, depending on the transit type. The average minimum density requirements for all areas planned to accommodate forecasted growth for each transit type and geography by community designation are in the table below. (Local Government)
 - ii Residential density is measured as an average of all new residential development and redevelopment in the station areas, calculated on the basis of net developable acres. The average provides flexibility for individual jurisdictions to plan for a range of residential types and densities, mixed with other uses in the station areas. (Met Council/Local Government)

Table 4. Transit station area minimum density requirements by community designation, mode, and geography

Transit Type	Geography	Urban Min. Required	Urban Edge Min. Required	Suburban Min. Required	Suburban Edge Min. Required
Light Rail	1/2-mile radius	50	35	30	25
Dedicated BRT / Commuter Rail	1/2-mile radius	50	30	25	20
Highway BRT	1/2-mile radius	30	25	20	15
Arterial BRT	1/4-mile radius	30	25	20	15
Modern Streetcar	1/4-mile along	30	25	20	15
High Frequency Bus	1/4-mile along	25	15	10	10

Average minimum densities apply to all areas planned to accommodate forecasted growth in the planning period.

- A6. Allow for more than one housing type in land use categories with residential uses. To accommodate this, residential densities for land use categories need to provide a wide enough range of densities so that more than one housing type can be developed within individual land use categories. Single-use residential districts, particularly on the lower density end, limit the diversity of housing within the region and prevent more efficient use of land. Also, single-family residential districts occupy more land than any other use within the Urban Service Area. Limiting such a large land supply to one housing product impacts land use efficiency, diversity of housing stock within neighborhoods and across the region, and availability of options for residents to stay within the community of their choice as their needs and abilities change.
- A7. Encourage orderly annexation agreements between growing Rural Centers and adjacent townships to encourage planned and orderly development in rural areas, where applicable. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - i Local governments may only plan for areas within their municipal boundaries unless an orderly annexation agreement authorizes another jurisdiction to assume planning authority. Planning to use the annexation-by-ordinance process does not convey long-term planning authority and will not be considered as part of comprehensive plan review. As annexations-by-ordinance occur, a comprehensive plan amendment should be submitted to integrate new growth areas into the local plan and update land uses, forecasts, and other changes as needed. Local planning that encompasses areas outside of jurisdictional authority will not be considered as part of the Met Council’s review and authorization of local comprehensive plans. (Local Government)
 - ii Orderly annexation agreements must encompass the planning horizon and identify needed updates that occur within the planning period. Plan authorization may only apply to timelines permitted within the orderly annexation agreement. (Local Government)
 - iii The Met Council supports a cooperative, orderly planning approach that protects the long-term viability of growth and development of Rural Center communities. Where appropriate and requested, the Met Council may act as a convener between jurisdictions to help facilitate discussion and resolution of annexation-related issues. (Met Council)
 - iv Where the extension of long-term regional sewer service may be under consideration or planning, the Met Council will evaluate the overall impact of interim uses in rural and agricultural areas to ensure conformance with regional system plans and avoid departures from those plans. (Met Council)
- P3. Protect rural and agricultural areas from premature development and retain the ability to provide urban services based on regional system plans and long-term needs. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Promote enrollment in the Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Program including supporting legislative changes to strengthen the program. Promote enrollment in the Green Acres Program for properties not enrolled in the Metropolitan Agricultural Preserves Program. (Met Council)
 - A2. Encourage preservation of land identified as prime agricultural soils from uses other than agricultural production and its ancillary uses. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Discourage solar developments on areas identified as prime farmland or farmland of statewide importance. (Local Government)

- A4. Encourage promulgation of right-to-farm policies and ordinances at the local level (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A5. Support the growth of urban agriculture by encouraging the use of vacant and underutilized parcels for this purpose and by providing model ordinances that promote urban agriculture. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - i Encourage creation of small (less than 40 acres) farms within Diversified Rural communities.
 - ii Support changes to the definition of “farm” to expand benefits to smaller (less than 10 acres) farmsteads.
 - iii Support changes to the building code to reduce the barriers for constructing hoophouses and similar agricultural structures on non-farm properties.
 - A6. Evaluate rural development patterns and proposals in the Long-Term Sewer Service Area for future economical expansion of regional service. (Met Council)
- P4. Encourage redevelopment, infill, and adaptive reuse as part of development priorities. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Establish a redevelopment monitoring program using existing surveys to obtain information through streamlined data collection efforts. (Met Council)
 - A2. Evaluate building permit survey information that may be necessary to inform frequency and success of adaptive reuse of buildings. (Met Council)
 - A3. Support funding and incentives for redevelopment projects and adaptive reuse to address the finance gaps that are prevalent in these efforts. (Met Council)
 - A4. Encourage mitigation of the impact to natural systems as redevelopment and infill occur. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A5. Provide technical support as part of established grant programs to support redevelopment, infill, and adaptive reuse projects. (Met Council)
 - i Repurpose existing vacant or underutilized buildings.
 - ii Explore opportunities to incentivize adaptive reuse of existing buildings, such as repurposing vacant schools or commercial properties into affordable or senior housing developments.
 - A6. Identify opportunities to streamline local development review processes, programs, and incentives that may help realize adaptive reuse for affordable or senior housing developments. (Local Government)
 - A7. Update land use guidance and regulations to incentivize de-paving in infill and redevelopment opportunities. (Local Government)
- P5. Direct growth away from sensitive ecosystems and water sources to prioritize protection of natural systems. Responsible management of growth can include practices which respect and value the region’s ecological assets. Considerations as to where and how development occurs can positively impact ecological health and accommodate development. Areas with high biodiversity or ecological significance require sensible land use approaches to development. The Met Council promotes development and land use stewardship that integrates natural systems in design and implementation. (Met Council/Local Government)

- A1. Prevent groundwater contamination from development, especially in highly vulnerable Drinking Water Supply Management Areas. (Local Government)
 - A2. Community-wide growth shall consider water supply constraints in the management of growth and development across the region. (Met Council)
 - A3. Adopt regional guidelines to protect vulnerable, regional priority waters and aquifers from development and restore water quality as part of development practices. (Met Council)
 - A4. Local water supply plans, as part of the local comprehensive plan, shall adequately demonstrate availability of clean, safe drinking water in areas where forecasted growth will be accommodated. Amendments to local water supply plans are needed when changes exceed the Met Council-adopted threshold for change which will be established through updated guidelines for comprehensive plan amendments. Consideration of a percentage of change similar to local forecast changes may be used. (Local Government)
 - A5. Encourage development design using best management practices for stormwater runoff from the site to protect any surface water where sites may drain. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P6. In staging areas for new development, local governments need to plan for aggregate resource extraction prior to development where viable deposits remain accessible, as mapped in *Minnesota Geological Survey Information Circular No. 46* (Minn. Stat. 473.859). Aggregate resources are needed for not only construction of new roads and buildings but also maintenance and repair. Accessing these resources locally reduces the costs for local construction projects compared to shipping resources in from outside of the region. (Local Government)
- A1. Where regionally significant ecological areas or other locally protected natural areas overlay aggregate deposits, the Met Council prioritizes preservation of natural systems over aggregate extraction. (Met Council)

Objective 2: Maximize opportunities for growth in places well-served by transit, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure.

Land use and transportation are closely interrelated – changes in land use affect transportation; changes in transportation affect how land can be used. Transportation systems connect people to housing, work, services, and recreation opportunities. The region is well-served by an existing system of extensive roadways that provide connections for people choosing or able to use a personal vehicle. A transportation system that is well connected with uses and services close to an individual’s daily needs provides opportunities for choices in travel options that can help to decrease driving. Both planning and investment need to be coordinated to ensure thoughtful growth and development while continuing to serve people’s mobility needs throughout the region.

The region also has a robust network of existing and planned regional transit, bicycle, and walking/rolling infrastructure responding to increasingly diverse travel preferences and needs. Many residents use these options for some or all of their daily travel needs due to cost, ability, health goals, climate concerns, or preference. Additional housing, jobs, and services in places that support these travel modes can have many benefits, including:

- Increased accessibility of the region for those without access to a personal vehicle.
- Reduced need for vehicle trips and/or their cost and duration.
- Reduced GHG emissions related to transportation and land use.
- Efficacy of regional investment in regional transit/bicycling infrastructure.
- Increased positive health outcomes due to greater physical activity.

Places well-served by transit, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure can be identified in several ways. Comprehensive plans and other local plans often call out these places specifically. Transit market areas, an analysis maintained at the Met Council, are areas with density, walkability, and levels of car ownership that favor various levels of transit service. Population analysis such as age (youth/older adults), ability, income, and personal preferences can also identify areas with likely non-automobile-using residents.

Local governments in the region can support, reinforce and create these areas through planning and implementation that encourages additional density around activity centers and along corridors, a greater mix of uses, and improvement to the walkability and livability of the area. A critical piece of local planning is the development of interconnected local street networks that provide more opportunities to support travel by modes other than a car. The design of the networks, the street layout, the relationship with the surrounding land uses, and the space for people to travel without a car is important in creating effective and safe places for people.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Maximize opportunities for residential growth and supportive commercial growth in areas with mixed land uses that offer multiple travel choices.
 - A1. Focus planned residential and commercial development near available and planned transportation and transit infrastructure. (Local Government)
 - A2. Develop and implement transit-oriented development (TOD) policies and design at all stages of development (site plan, subdivision, long-range plan). (Local Government)
 - A3. Plan higher-density residential developments around public transportation hubs and corridors. (Local Government)
 - A4. Provide technical assistance for retrofitting/transitioning from one transit market area to another. (Met Council)
 - A5. Provide technical resources related to accommodating additional density, reducing parking and parking impacts, travel demand management (TDM), placemaking/placekeeping, pedestrian networks, and related considerations. (Met Council)
- P2. Plan auto- or truck-oriented growth, such as industrial, shipping, or warehousing development, around existing infrastructure availability, such as highways, rail, waterways, airports, water supply, and wastewater services to minimize impacts on pedestrian/bike/transit areas. (Local Government)
 - A1. Analyze and coordinate the effects of land uses and transportation infrastructure on each other during local comprehensive plan development. (Local Government)
- P3. Support community-led planning and anti-displacement efforts to ensure community cohesion during change resulting from public investments and market demand, at all scales of development.
 - A1. Consider mitigation measures for displacement due to changes in the built environment including relocation assistance programs, land-disposition practices, anti-displacement funding programs, support of commercial and residential land trusts, and others. (Local Government)
 - A2. Identify appropriate mitigation measures for different scales of development to provide a consistent and transparent level of planning and engagement. (Local Government)

- A3. Consider implementation of new policies or retrofitting existing ones to better meet the needs of communities vulnerable to displacement resulting from public investments. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A4. Support community-centered engagement practices and community-led decision-making as part of development and public investment. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P4. Plan for and build an interconnected system of local streets, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities that prioritize the individual experience in planning for transit, bicycling, walking, and rolling.
- A1. Invest in local efforts to encourage growth in locations that support improved access, such as strategic land acquisitions, infrastructure investments, and community/developer engagement. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Identify regional, state, and federal funding sources to pair with local efforts to access funding through programs like the Regional Solicitation for transportation funding, regional trail acquisition and development funding, federal programs, and new opportunities as they become available. (Met Council)
 - A3. Include market studies in local implementation strategies and priorities. (Local Government)
 - A4. Support a comprehensive pedestrian network assessment for integration into land use planning and development projects. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A5. Address network connectivity gaps to improve accessibility and personal safety. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A6. Pursue partnerships to collaborate on funding programs that enhance biking, walking, and rolling access to centers of economic activity. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A7. Identify opportunities to adjust parking requirements to encourage alternative modes of transportation and to achieve additional benefits such as improved water quality, more efficient use of rights-of-way, and increased greenspace. (Local Government)
 - A8. Ensure that all forms of transportation (transit, bicycling, walking, and rolling) at the neighborhood level support the individual communities, cultures, abilities, ages, and needs within each jurisdiction.
- P5. Support regional centers of economic activity through pollution clean-up, preservation, accessibility improvements, land acquisition for public investment and other planning support.
- A1. Coordinate with Met Council programs including Livable Communities programs, water quality grant programs, transportation funding, and housing investments. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P6. Prioritize the preservation, restoration, and enhancement of environmental and natural systems near transportation features and areas where transit is available.
- A1. Integrate environmental and natural features in road rights-of-way, along highways and corridors, and other public transportation features, including near transit where available. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Integrate planning for nonmotorized access to transit locations, neighborhoods, employment centers, and parks through various regional, state, and federal funding sources. (Met Council/Local Government)

- A3. Leverage the development process to integrate natural features that can provide bicycle and pedestrian protections as part of planning for local roads, intersections, connections, trails, sidewalks, and bikeways. (Local Government)
- A4. Coordinate infrastructure planning efforts to minimize disruptions to habitat connectivity, integrating wildlife crossings into transportation and utility projects.

Objective 3: Establish vibrant, inclusive, connected, and safe communities for people to live, work, and recreate in.

Peoples’ experiences of the places where they live, work, and recreate reflect the livability of the region. Feelings of safety and connection in one’s community promote a sense of belonging and ownership. A mix of uses make it possible for residents to take advantage of opportunities close to home, like parks and shopping. Planning for future growth presents the opportunity to design places where new and existing residents can gather and connect while meeting their daily needs. Communities can use public spaces to celebrate local culture and history through events that bring together people from different backgrounds. Thoughtful land use decisions can create a built environment that supports safety, physical activity, commerce, housing choice, and convenient access to amenities and services within cities, townships, and counties.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Prioritize planning policies and practices that support mixed-use development, walkable neighborhoods, easy access to transit, and enhanced connectivity through biking and other sustainable transportation options.
 - A1. Develop transportation, land use, and open space plans that prioritize human-scale planning in both urban and rural environments. (Local Government)
 - A2. Integrate creative street design within road rights-of-ways in collaboration with other transportation partners. (Local Government)
 - A3. Consider form-based zoning codes to ensure that new developments enhance neighborhood aesthetics, increase walkability, and strengthen community cohesion. (Local Government)
 - A4. Implement a holistic infrastructure design review process that assesses transportation, utilities, and other public amenities together for coherent and connected public spaces. (Local Government)
 - A5. Adopt a [Living Streets](#) policy in the land use plan and integrate this policy into zoning ordinances, transportation plans, and development regulations to ensure its consistent application in all projects and street redesigns. (Local Government)
 - A6. Consider incorporating [Crime Prevention through Environmental Design](#) principles, or similar, in local controls and encourage integration of these principles into new developments. (Local Government)
 - A7. Track and monitor both residential and commercial components in mixed-use districts and develop guidelines to assess the extent of residential diversity within the districts. If local governments use large mixed-use districts, they must establish a precise methodology for tracking and monitoring uses for effective evaluation of system impacts and regional trends. (Local Government)
 - A8. Support a comprehensive pedestrian network assessment, coordinated with transportation planning, and incorporate it into development projects to address network connectivity gaps.

- P2. Revitalize and strengthen communities at the neighborhood level by planning and maintaining public spaces for community gatherings to foster a sense of belonging and ownership.
 - A1. Incorporate placemaking initiatives, such as street vending, pop-up parks, farmers markets, and community art installations, to inject vibrancy and encourage social interaction in public spaces. (Local Government)
 - A2. Encourage creative and purposeful placemaking that celebrates diversity and enhances community spirit for all residents, incorporating universal design to exceed standard accessibility where practical. Universal design is a concept in which products and environments are designed to be usable by all people, to the greatest extent possible, without the need for adaptation or specialized design. It aims to make the built environment inclusive, accommodating diverse needs and preferences, including those of individuals with disabilities, older adults, children, and people with temporary or situational impairments. (Local Government)
 - A3. Facilitate creation and maintenance of free and accessible public gathering spaces and community gardens. (Local Government)
 - A4. Facilitate update of zoning ordinances that encourage public spaces, including specific locations with access to water, restrooms, public transit, and parking. (Local Government)
 - A5. Support culturally significant design elements that animate the public landscape to promote cultural retention and connection. (Local Government)
 - A6. Support public art installations that draw residents to public spaces. (Local Government)
 - A7. Develop partnerships with local organizations to facilitate cultural programs and initiatives within public spaces, enhancing community identity and inclusivity. (Local Government)
- P3. Prioritize and plan for creation and preservation of green public spaces in developed areas.
 - A1. Support neighborhood-scale public open space and use of green spaces for public benefit. Create safe and inclusive green spaces for all abilities, ages, and needs by enhancing and adding parks, community gardens, and recreational amenities, especially in underserved areas. (Local Government)
 - A2. Consider innovative and emerging practices to adapt underutilized land or buildings for development of green infrastructure. (Local Government)
 - A3. Explore incentivizing preservation and integration of existing green spaces in new developments or redevelopments for public use. (Local Government)
 - A4. Support the development of community-based urban agriculture initiatives and community gardens. (Local Government)
 - A5. Adopt preservation ordinances to protect and maintain private green spaces, such as tree preservation or wetland buffer ordinances. (Local Government)
 - A6. Integrate green infrastructure, food growing spaces, and nature-based solutions, like Living Streets, into local planning processes and development implementation. (Local Government)
- P4. Protect and preserve historic and cultural assets to enhance community heritage and identity in alignment with the unique needs of each community.
 - A1. Develop and implement criteria for the designation of historic and cultural assets, considering factors such as architectural significance, historical importance, and cultural relevance,

ensuring alignment with evolving community standards and development goals. (Local Government)

- A2. Local governments must identify historic and cultural assets within their jurisdiction, incorporating measures to respect and protect the confidentiality and cultural significance of sensitive sites, such as American Indian burial mounds. (Local Government)
 - A3. Local governments must develop policies to protect and preserve historic and cultural assets and should additionally adopt culturally expansive ordinances to further enhance restoration and preservation efforts. Culturally expansive ordinances may include provisions related to language access, cultural celebrations, religious practices, heritage preservation, and other aspects of cultural expression and identity. The goal is to ensure that public policies and regulations are sensitive to the diverse backgrounds and experiences of all community members. (Local Government)
 - A4. Provide technical assistance to local governments on culturally expansive historic preservation practices. (Met Council)
- P5. Incorporate universal design principles that consider the needs of all community members of various cultural backgrounds, age groups, languages, abilities, and gender identities.
- A1. Plan for developments that comprehensively address the needs of people with disabilities beyond minimum ADA requirements. (Local Government)
 - A2. Support and partner on age-friendly initiatives, including the Governor's Council on Age-Friendly Minnesota and Age-Friendly Minnesota's Multisector Blueprint on Aging with keen attention to the needs of all older adults in the region. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Collaborate with schools to enhance safety measures around schools. (Local Government)
 - A4. Integrate [Safe Routes to Schools](#) into planning strategies to improve infrastructure safety and land use efficiency around schools. (Local Government)
 - A5. Promote universal design for all residential use types to provide more housing opportunities for residents of all abilities. (Local Government)
 - A6. Support small-scale, locally-driven development projects that reflect and serve the diverse needs of residents, particularly in underserved areas. (Local Government)

Objective 4: Prioritize land use and development activities that protect, restore, and enhance natural systems at all scales.

Abundant natural systems contribute to the vibrancy of our region, but stewardship of these regional assets has historically conflicted with growth and development. The Met Council promotes development and land use stewardship that integrates natural systems in design and implementation. This requires a balancing of natural systems protection, restoration, and integration with development practices for mutual benefit. Although regional parks, conservation areas, and lakes and rivers stand out as obvious focus areas, preservation and restoration of natural systems must also take place at a more local and site-specific scale. Smaller scale efforts may include implementing environmentally sensitive design practices, integrating green infrastructure, re-meandering streams, restoring wetlands, and reducing impervious surfaces. Integrating aspects of the natural environment into urbanized areas helps create livable areas and desirable places to visit, while making sure that biodiversity is enhanced through establishment of wildlife corridors. On a larger scale, protecting contiguous areas of high-quality natural systems from development helps preserve habitat corridors across the region and maintain regional biodiversity.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Identify natural systems within and connecting cities, townships, and counties to assess areas for protection, restoration, and enhancement. (Met Council)
 - A1. Measure the value of natural systems to assist local governments in protection, restoration, and enhancement efforts. (Met Council)
 - A2. Inventory natural systems within and between local jurisdictions, using mapping and data collection methods to inform conservation and land use planning decisions. (Met Council)
 - A3. Prioritize areas for protection, restoration, and enhancement based on ecological significance, potential ecosystem improvement, and equity considerations. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A4. Utilize the natural systems inventory to develop action plans to implement preservation, restoration, and enhancement, while leveraging resources and monitoring progress. (Local Government)

- P2. Establish and connect natural systems corridors through land use, water resources, and conservation planning. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Identify and prioritize key habitat corridors, including land and water connectivity, through mapping and analysis, considering ecological data and stakeholder input. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Update land use planning regulations to incorporate measures for protecting, restoring, and enhancing natural systems corridors, such as conservation easements and wildlife-friendly development standards. (Local Government)

- P3. Prioritize the protection, restoration, and enhancement of natural systems in overburdened communities to build local resilience. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Engage communities in restoration projects tailored to their needs, such as native plantings, food-growing opportunities, restoring impaired soils, and stormwater management improvements. (Met Council)
 - A2. Provide environmental education and capacity-building opportunities for residents to advocate for and participate in protecting, restoring, and enhancing natural systems. (Met Council)

- P4. Working with partners, build a shared regional understanding and identify strategies that address risks to public and ecosystem health. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Establish an interagency task force to coordinate efforts and develop integrated strategies for addressing environmental risks. (Met Council)
 - A2. Support joint research and monitoring programs to collect data and assess ecosystem and community health to inform evidence-based decision-making. (Met Council)

- P5. Utilize planning and development processes to enhance wildlife habitat and pollinator plantings, including native and climate adaptive species, across land and water. (Local Government)
 - A1. Develop guidelines for integration of pollinator habitat and native plantings across various land uses, including aquatic habitat and climate adaptive species. (Met Council)

- A2. Encourage land use and ordinance updates to establish, enhance, and maintain pollinator and native plant habitat on public and private land through incentives and technical assistance. (Met Council)
- A3. Create interconnected networks of habitat across land and water using pollinator corridor initiatives. (Met Council/Local Government)

Objective 5: Ensure that people in all types of communities find housing opportunities that align with their needs.

Historically inequitable land use planning has had a disparate impact on people and places within our region. Discriminatory land use planning practices have perpetuated systemic racial inequalities, resulting in limited access to resources, opportunities, and fair housing options for American Indians, Black communities, and communities of color. Inflexible low-density residential land use categories often mandate large lot sizes and prohibit even low-density multi-family housing, making it unaffordable to many. Discriminatory lending practices, such as redlining, systematically denied mortgages to people of color, particularly those living in predominantly non-white neighborhoods. Single-family land use planning played a role in this process by restricting the types of housing available in these areas, further entrenching racial segregation. By concentrating affluent residents in predominantly single-family neighborhoods, while restricting affordable housing options elsewhere, land use planning contributed to racial segregation.

Residential land use categories that support more than one type of housing product offer a variety of housing types and accommodate the needs of diverse populations, including large families, immigrants, and older adults, all within one neighborhood. By providing a mix of housing options, including single-family homes, townhouses, duplexes, or apartments, local governments can offer affordable housing choices to a broader range of residents and help prevent socio-economic segregation to ensure that individuals of all income levels can access housing where they would like.

The region must plan to support housing options and services for those who are most vulnerable to housing insecurity. As the population ages, there is a growing need for housing that is accessible, affordable, and designed to meet the unique needs of older adults. For people with disabilities, accessible housing options are essential for individuals to live independently. These housing options must be integrated within all scales of development close to supportive services, healthcare facilities, culturally responsive social services, and recreational programs to ensure that people are protected from isolation and have access to essential services.

Revitalizing and strengthening the built form at the neighborhood level, along with repurposing existing vacant or underutilized buildings, fosters a sense of community pride and belonging by improving the functionality of and cultural connection to one's neighborhoods.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Allow for more than one housing type within residential land use categories to encourage mixed-income developments, diversity of housing types within neighborhoods, and broader access to housing for more people. (Local Government)
 - A1. Support local controls and fiscal devices which allow mixed use developments and diverse housing types by right, and incentivize the creation of affordable housing opportunities, including modular homes in single-family districts, cooperative housing, and multi-generational housing options.
 - A2. Accommodate and preserve manufactured and modular home communities and educate residents about manufactured and modular housing benefits.

- A3. Support revision of local regulations and fiscal controls as well as local policies, procedures, and practices to remedy historic land use and housing discriminatory practices.
 - A4. Provide guidance and technical assistance to support housing and land use policy implementation in local comprehensive planning.
 - A5. Invest in locally-led development initiatives that actively counteract the adverse effects of gentrification, ensuring long-term affordability, cultural vibrancy, and stability of gentrifying neighborhoods.
- P2. Support the specific housing needs of the region’s aging population, people living with disabilities, and individuals experiencing housing insecurity. (Local Government)
- A1. Support the local integration of supportive services, healthcare facilities, culturally responsive social services, and recreational programs.
 - A2. Support the creation of local controls and fiscal devices that address the needs of individuals experiencing housing insecurity that connect them with emergency housing services during a public health or climate crisis.
 - A3. Support the creation and enforcement of local controls that ensure the maintenance of healthy and safe properties for tenants.
 - A4. Support the creation and enforcement of local controls that permit the construction of accessory dwelling units in areas within the MUSA.
- P3. Prioritize a variety of housing types across all income levels close to local destinations including neighborhood centers, public parks, transit nodes where applicable, and community gathering spaces. (Local Government)
- A1. Improve transportation choices to connect senior housing and affordable housing to essential services, healthcare facilities, and recreational amenities.
 - A2. Support fair housing initiatives and housing programs that remediate historic inequities in land use policy implementation and development practices.
 - A3. Explore opportunities to design and retrofit neighborhoods to reduce auto-dependency.
 - A4. Incentivize public transportation hubs and multimodal connections within and adjacent to housing developments, where transit services are available.
 - A5. Ensure equitable access to safe and healthy housing planning for residential uses away from manufactured or environmental harms.

Objective 6: Remedy past and present discriminatory land use practices.

Our region has a history of discriminatory planning and policy decisions that have contributed to persistent racial inequities and disparities. Discriminatory practices such as redlining, racially restrictive covenants, and disproportionate investment have left lasting impacts on Black, American Indian, and people of color across the entire region. Such practices have resulted in:

- Disparities in income and homeownership rates
- Hindrance to generational wealth-building opportunities
- Disparities in generational wealth between white and Black, American Indian, and people of color
- Disproportionate exposure to environmental injustices that contribute to health disparities

- Inadequate provisions and access to green spaces
- Stifled community connection and cultural connection
- Adverse impacts on job access and educational opportunities
- Constrained transportation options across the region

Although the government has prohibited overly discriminatory planning and policy decisions, gaps between white people and Black, American Indian, and people of color continue to widen. These policies have been replaced by seemingly race-neutral policies that reinforce barriers to more equitable outcomes. As we move forward, it is imperative to not only acknowledge the historical faults embedded in our land use policies but also to actively engage in collaboration with communities that have borne the brunt of discriminatory decisions to work towards an equitable region.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Take ownership of past harms, provide transparent communication, and hold all government partners accountable to plans and actions. Communities should hold the Met Council accountable to action on these issues. (Met Council)
- A1. Provide technical assistance, tools, and resources for equitable land use planning and development practices. This includes exploration of potential funding sources to encourage more intentional planning for equity issues in local comprehensive plans beyond improved community engagement practices at the local level. (Met Council)
 - A2. Develop a public dashboard to share progress toward equity goals and ensure that the data is regularly updated. Regular public reporting would ensure visibility of regional progress and identify any needed re-evaluation of actions where progress is lacking. (Met Council)
 - A3. Provide technical assistance for developing and implementing decision-making tools to prioritize equitable outcomes across capital projects. (Met Council)
 - A4. Acknowledge inequities and disparities that exist within local jurisdictions and across the region and identify strategies to address them. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A5. Support and partner with Just Deeds (Just Deeds Project) to discharge racially restrictive covenants from housing deeds. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A6. Evaluate existing and proposed programs and policies, procedures, and planned development for disproportionate impacts on marginalized and underrepresented communities. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P2. Prioritize engagement with underrepresented populations to collaboratively develop equitable and inclusive land use planning policies and programs that reflect diverse perspectives and lifestyles, steering away from imposing norms derived solely from dominant culture and class values. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Expand representation of marginalized and underrepresented populations on boards, commissions, and committees. (Met Council)
 - A2. Partner with organizations that represent marginalized and underrepresented populations to inform program and policy development with a focus on cultivating trust, forming long-standing relationships, and valuing lived experiences consistent with the Community-Centered Engagement Framework in the Equity Chapter. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Invest in and partner with community organizations to support building community capacity to understand and engage successfully with local and regional government processes. (Met Council)

- i Work to eliminate barriers to individual and community-based organization participation in planning processes, including developing a streamlined and accessible procurement process created in partnership with community. (Met Council)
 - ii Provide an example of how the procurement process can be accomplished as a technical resource to others. (Met Council)
 - A4. Identify and eliminate barriers to engagement participation including location, timing, transportation, and access to childcare. (Met Council, Local Government)
 - A5. Build staff and partner capacity to conduct culturally responsive and inclusive engagement, including language services, ADA services, community assessments, and best practices. (Met Council)
 - A6. Reimburse community members participating in engagement for their time and expertise. (Met Council)
- P3. Promote equitable development and distribution of public investments to benefit communities disproportionately harmed by past and present policies and land use planning practices to eliminate racial disparities and discrimination. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Develop strategies to restore community connections in areas negatively impacted by planning and investment decisions. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Explore the opportunity to establish a reparative action fund to finance mitigation measures for known and acknowledged past harms to the environment, to American Indian communities, to Black communities, and communities of color unjustly harmed by past local or Met Council actions. (Met Council)
 - A3. Apply the Anti-Displacement Framework identified in the Equity Goal chapter to ensure Met Council investments and policies center and align with community needs and reduces harm to communities. (Met Council)
 - A4. Provide technical assistance to create an analysis methodology utilizing the Equity and Environmental Justice Framework outlined in the Equity Goal chapter to evaluate how projects benefit or harm different communities and demographics. (Met Council)
 - A5. Create/design a set of criteria to measure equitable impacts of development and local investments on Black, American Indian, people of color, and other vulnerable communities. (Met Council)
- P4. Center the American Indian experience in decision-making and implement the actions included the Met Council's land, water, and people commitments. Acknowledge and value the work of the American Indian Advisory Council established as part of Imagine 2050 policy development through implementation of the actions and commitments recommended from their work. (Met Council)
- A1. Work with Tribal nations and American Indian partners to develop regional tools, resources, and guidelines that may benefit local planning practices to mitigate the volume of individual requests received by American Indian organizations.
 - A2. Engage in Tribal consultation and collaborate with adjacent and affected Tribal governments throughout project planning and regional planning processes.
 - A3. Create a power sharing structure to partner with American Indian organizations and community members in planning processes.

- A4. Update the Met Council's processes for Tribal consultation and partnership with the American Indian communities in the region.
- A5. Include accountability measures and a public reporting structure to evaluate implementation of the Met Council's commitments to the region's American Indian communities.
- A6. Produce data and metrics that are clear, accessible, inclusive, and relevant to American Indian communities.

Objective 7: Implement land use and development practices that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, embed climate adaptation, and create resilient communities.

The effects of climate change transcend jurisdictional boundaries and impact the entire region, at all scales. People organize themselves into all sorts of different communities, from cities, to neighborhoods, ethnic and cultural communities, and communities based around interests or a particular geography. All scales and types of community are affected by climate change impacts. We must also recognize that under-resourced and historically disinvested communities often suffer the worst impacts of climate change. Climate change and environmental justice (equity considerations) are inextricably linked. Climate action must center environmental justice outcomes to build community and neighborhood scale resilience.

It is important to implement mitigation measures to stop climate impacts associated with climate change from worsening. At the same time, we must use nature-based and built solutions to adapt to current and future climate impacts and strengthen our resilience in the face of these challenges. The way our region develops impacts our ability to construct resilient cities, townships, and counties in the face of climate impacts. Development patterns that reduce impervious surface coverage and promote the use of green infrastructure can help reduce flooding during more intense rain events and cool areas impacted by the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect. Preserving and restoring tree canopies, especially in urban areas, supports cooling efforts while sequestering carbon. Adaptation and mitigation measures work hand in hand to increase climate resilience, which ultimately makes our region more livable, healthy, and economically strong.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the region to achieve the emissions reduction goal in state statutes. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Establish regional climate change strategies with state, regional, and local partners including setting regional emissions reductions targets for the different emissions sectors and actions to accomplish those goals. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Update and maintain the Met Council's Climate Action Work Plan on a regular cycle and dedicate resources to the operational climate action plan implementation. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Explore and pursue funding opportunities for climate initiatives at the state and federal level as new opportunities emerge. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A4. Identify local climate planning needs and emerging goals with local partners to establish 2050 climate planning requirements in alignment with state statutes. Develop and provide needs-based technical assistance to local governments. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A5. Establish performance metrics and evaluate implementation measures for climate reporting at both the local and regional scales and provide regular public progress reports. (Met Council)

- A6. Local governments must include in their comprehensive plans a greenhouse gas emissions inventory and projections generated from activity within the local government's jurisdiction and covers the range of applicable emissions sectors. (Local Government)
 - A7. Local governments must plan for strategies that reduce or naturally sequester greenhouse gas emissions. (Local Government)
- P2. Support local planning decisions to restore, enhance, and maintain the urban tree canopy. (Met Council)
- A1. Conduct urban tree canopy assessments to identify priority areas for preservation, restoration, and maintenance efforts. (Met Council)
 - A2. Develop model urban forest management goals and strategies to protect and enhance the tree canopy, soil health, water quality, and overall biodiversity. (Met Council)
 - A3. Implement tree planting, preservation, and maintenance initiatives at the local level. The Met Council will provide technical assistance, training, and resources to support local governments and residents. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A4. Assess the adaptation, mitigation, and public health value of the regional urban tree canopy to support local level decision-making and policy development. (Met Council)
 - A5. Support species diversity and climate adaptive planting on public and private land, including support for management of Emerald Ash Borer. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P3. Incentivize urban design and development that maximizes renewable energy readiness and enhances energy efficiency, especially for energy-burdened households. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Establish a target percentage of a local government's energy needs to be met by sustainable energy. (Met Council)
 - A2. Promote green building certification and energy benchmarking to encourage sustainable construction practices. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Pursue funding to establish a local government grant program to accelerate building decarbonization through weatherization and building retrofits. (Met Council)
 - A4. Provide support for renewable energy and energy efficiency measures in lower-income communities and affordable housing. (Met Council)
 - A5. Implement renewable energy programs to support renewable energy deployment, especially for energy-burdened households. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P4. Encourage solar panel installations in underutilized land areas, on industrial land, on rooftops, and accessory to complimentary uses. Protect agricultural areas by encouraging co-location and integration of solar within sites. (Local Government)
- A1. Provide technical assistance to local governments on methods and means to maximize solar energy protection and development potential. (Met Council)
 - A2. Form public-private partnerships to develop solar energy projects, leveraging resources and expertise. (Local Government)
 - A3. Simplify permitting and promote co-location of solar panels with compatible land uses to maximize efficiency, renewable deployment, and minimize land use conflicts. (Local Government)

- A4. Local governments must ensure that local comprehensive plans and ordinances protect and enable the development of solar resources, as required by the Metropolitan Land Planning Act, and consider the use of other alternative energy sources as part of the planning process. Local comprehensive plans must include strategies needed to implement local solar protection policies. (Local Government)
- P5. Support integration of climate adaptation measures into development to prepare for current and projected climate impacts on our region.
 - A1. Encourage adaptation measures to reduce the effects of extreme heat, manage stormwater, and lessen human vulnerability. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A2. Prioritize water conservation practices such as wastewater and stormwater reuse in development and redevelopment projects. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A3. Provide incentives for projects integrating climate adaptation solutions, to encourage wider adoption. (Met Council)
 - A4. Establish clear performance criteria to measure and evaluate the success of adaptation projects, guiding program improvements and funding priorities. (Met Council)
 - A5. Prioritize planning practices that support nature as a climate adaptation solution to build local resilience to potential climate impacts. Nature based solutions are sustainable approaches that utilize natural ecosystems and biodiversity to address various environmental, social, and economic challenges. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A6. Identify human, built, and natural vulnerabilities to climate change, and prioritize nature-based adaptation solutions to enhance local resilience. (Met Council)
 - A7. Ensure that new construction projects and existing infrastructure upgrades prioritize the incorporation of nature-based solutions to reduce the likelihood and intensity of potential climate impacts. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A8. Develop policy incentives and allocate dedicated funding to support the adoption of nature-based climate adaptation solutions at the local level. (Local Government)
- P6. Partner with American Indian and overburdened communities to collaborate on climate solutions. (Met Council)
 - A1. Strengthen partnerships with American Indian and overburdened communities to support collaborative climate and natural systems work, respecting Tribal sovereignty, self-determination, and cultural practices. (Met Council)
 - A2. Facilitate regular forums for knowledge-sharing between Tribal Nations, American Indian communities, and underrepresented communities to hear their perspectives on climate and natural systems work. (Met Council)
 - A3. Co-create regionally actionable climate solutions with Tribal Nations, American Indian communities, and underrepresented communities. (Met Council/Local Government)
- P7. Integrate local food systems and land use planning to build community resilience, access to healthy food, and food security. (Met Council/Local Government)
 - A1. Assess land use and transportation policy implications as they relate to food access, including food deserts. (Met Council)

- A2. Support farm-to-table initiatives and local food markets, facilitating direct connections between producers and consumers and promoting regional food systems. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A3. Implement supportive urban agriculture policies to designate areas for community gardens and urban farms, streamline permitting processes and provide incentives to remove barriers to food-growing spaces on public and private land. (Local Government)
- A4. Partner with immigrant groups, Tribal Nations, and American Indian communities to establish, or join, coalitions that address urban agriculture and harvesting that respects cultures and spiritual practice. (Met Council)/Local Government)
- A5. Determine food insecurity locations through assessment of the food safety net system (SNAP, food shelves, free and reduced lunch percentage) to better align land use planning and food access and security. (Met Council)

Objective 8: Support the economic wellbeing of our region and its communities.

The Twin Cities region boasts numerous economic assets that contribute to its robust and dynamic economy including a vibrant cultural scene, nation leading healthcare and technology sectors, and a concentration of fortune 500 companies. The region has consistently been recognized for its high levels of educational attainment, workforce retention, productivity, and relative affordability, as well as low unemployment rates. While our region boasts numerous assets, systemic racial disparities undermine our economic vitality and threaten community stability. Disparities in employment, poverty, income, and homeownership by race and ethnicity persist in the Twin Cities and - in some cases - are the largest nationwide. To ensure a thriving future for all residents, we must address these inequities and build a more resilient economy.

The economic resilience of the region is threatened by several factors including workforce instability and climate change. Despite nationally low unemployment rates, the region is struggling to fill thousands of jobs. Existing racial disparities in educational attainment levels and unemployment rates continue to limit the growth of the local workforce. The region is dependent on immigrant labor, which is vulnerable to fluctuating federal policy. Immigrants also face additional challenges such as credential recognition, language barriers, and discrimination. Undocumented immigrants also fear deportation, limiting their job and education opportunities.

Climate change also creates new economic risks for the region which will grow over time. Climate change harms the economy by disrupting agriculture, damaging infrastructure, and impacting vulnerable communities and industries.

The Met Council promotes reinvestment and innovation in underutilized areas, aiming to revitalize and maximize the potential of all places in the region. We also strive to enhance the accessibility of jobs for the region's workforce, facilitating greater opportunities for employment and economic participation. With our commitment to inclusive growth, we focus on meeting the needs of industries and enhancing the earning potential of workers through education, training, and mentoring programs which can be accomplished through partnership and collaboration. We seek to eliminate income and wealth disparities by race, advocating for equity and justice in economic opportunities. We are dedicated to creating accessible economic pathways for immigrants, acknowledging their valuable contributions to our region's diversity. Through these multifaceted efforts, we strive to build a resilient, inclusive, and thriving economy that benefits all people.

Policies (P) and Actions (A)

- P1. Support efforts to keep the region attractive and affordable for residents, visitors, and businesses. (Met Council)
- A1. Conduct and disseminate ongoing research to understand the dynamics, and place-based needs of the region's existing industries, businesses, and communities.
 - A2. Develop and support programming that preserves the affordability of housing and commercial space in places experiencing significant investment.
 - A3. Develop programming that reduces the cost-burden and uncertainties for industries, businesses, and entrepreneurs seeking to locate and/or expand in fully developed areas (i.e., redevelopment / renovation / re-use).
 - A4. Explore opportunities to bolster global competitiveness in innovative and developing fields.
- P2. Support industries that directly contribute to addressing climate change and promoting environmental sustainability. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Partner and collaborate with other agencies, stakeholders, and partners to expand workforce development opportunities across climate and environmental sustainability fields.
 - A2. Explore partnerships that support and provide tools, resources, and technical assistance to businesses and entrepreneurs seeking to develop business models that integrate sustainable and eco-friendly business practices.
- P3. Value and promote a just economy through the economic growth and wellbeing of Black communities, American Indians, people of color, immigrants, and people of all ages and abilities in the region through equitable access to economic resources. (Met Council/Local Government)
- A1. Remove barriers and promote equitable access to quality education, skill-building, mentorship programs, and diverse job training programs for all residents regardless of age, race, disability, or immigration status. Where possible, the Met Council will model skill building, mentorship, and internship programs that support equitable workforce development.
 - A2. Encourage businesses and developers to adopt diversity and inclusion initiatives aimed at recruiting, retaining, and promoting employees from diverse racial and immigrant backgrounds.
 - A3. Support culturally responsive access to financial services and resources for underserved communities, including immigrants and people of color (ex. credit building, sharia compliant financing, ITIN acceptance.).
 - A4. Collaborate with agencies and other regional stakeholders on planning and programming that promote the economic wellbeing of the region, prioritizing efforts that reduce racial economic disparities.
- P4. Advance economic equity and wellbeing in historically underserved communities by fostering growth of small and local businesses, entrepreneurship, and diverse industries in all places. (Met Council)
- A1. Integrate arts and cultural considerations into regional planning processes to ensure that cultural assets are recognized, preserved, and integrated into the fabric of the community.
 - A2. Collaborate with historically underserved communities to identify local economic development strategies that preserve and enhance their local business districts and resources.

- A3. Establish local controls and fiscal devices to support and preserve business districts that have historically served and been focused on the needs of historically marginalized or vulnerable communities.
- A4. Create opportunities for the Met Council to support and partner with organizations that support entrepreneurship and small business development among historically marginalized and immigrant communities by providing access to affordable loans, mentorship programs, business incubators, and technical assistance.

Policy Plan Contacts

LisaBeth Barajas

Executive Director, Community Development Division

Angela R. Torres, AICP

Senior Manager, Local Planning Assistance



390 Robert Street North
Saint Paul, MN 55101-1805

651-602-1000
TTY 651-291-0904
public.info@metc.state.mn.us
metro council.org/ imagine2050

**IMAGINE
2050**