

Daniel Buchholtz

From: Jeff Baker
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 4:23 PM
To: Daniel Buchholtz
Subject: FW: 518 Rosedale Road Variance

From: Karen Hedtke <karen_a1971@live.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 3:13 PM
To: Jeff Baker <jbaker@slpmn.org>
Subject: 518 Rosedale Road Variance

Hi Jeff,

It is my understanding that you are the person to contact with concerns regarding the variance request for 518 Rosedale Road.

I have a few things I would like to address with you regarding this variance.

The first meeting I watched of the planning commission there were 2 for it and 3 were not but I felt after Kelsey made a statement relating to "if we are unable to get the variance, we would likely have to move and take our kids away from their friends, etc. The issue I have with the Hollihan's statements at the meeting is that they seem to be giving the city an ultimatum - either you allow for the variance or we'll move and we will no longer be able to donate to the school programs we currently do. This seems like a threat to me - either I get what I want or I'm gone -

While I do not live on Rosedale Road, I can see down Rosedale Road from my back yard. Please consider not allowing the variance - I believe if there are neighbors who object, that should hold some weight in the decision. I did see on the MLS home listings that after the meeting a couple weeks ago a home on that street was put on the market. I also believe that the home owner spoke at the first meeting objecting to the variance. 560 Rosedale Road is the address.

I am not in favor of the variance being approved for the following reasons:

1. I feel it will look out of place with EVERY home in SLP. Not to mention the city code does not allow for more than a 6' porch or deck on the front of the house.
2. The Firearms business they run out of this home seems risky for the neighborhood and surrounding area. Plus, I remember the homeowner stating that she contacted several commercial locations and were told that they would not lease to them because they wanted to lease it for the firearms business. If a commercial property will not lease to them because of the nature of the business - it is appropriate in a residential neighborhood??

3. If there should be a fire and/or explosion -
- 4.
5. a. Are they required to have a sprinkler system in the home to prevent possible explosion caused by any ammo?
6. b. If not, should they be required to?
7. c. If there were to be an explosion and damage to surrounding properties - whose insurance would be responsible to repair/replace others properties?

I drove past the property to take a closer look at it to see what they currently have on it and if they do receive the variance to add the 12' x 40' porch, it looks to me like it will come out almost as far as their concrete parking pad - which from the street looks like almost to the middle of the front yard the front yard isn't flat, there is an incline down to the street, just short of the trees planted there.

It also appears that they have an oversized garage which takes up a lot of the back yard. By the looks of it they won't have much yard left with the garage, front porch and all the concrete.

I also looked at their website for the firearms business and it appears they have business hours from 5-9pm, Monday - Friday, as well as all day Saturday and Sunday hours. This is a residential area not commercial - this creates a lot of unnecessary traffic where families are raising small children/teens and retired residents are just trying to enjoy their retirement. Who wants all that extra traffic buzzing around and all those extra people hanging around?

Sorry this is so long but it is a very serious situation here.

Thanks
Karen