



## **SOUTH JORDAN CITY**

# **Pure SoJo Potable Reuse Study**

**Project No.:** 11271A.10

Date: October 9, 2023
Prepared By: Stetson Bassett

**Subject:** Lab Change Recommendation

## Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to discuss the laboratory issues that South Jordan City's (City) Pure SoJo Potable Reuse Study has experienced to date and provide recommendations for alternative labs.

#### Lab Issues

The City has completed extensive sampling for the Pure SoJo Study since the start of the demonstration pilot. During the first year of operation, the City evaluated approximately 330 different analytes, collecting multiple samples per week from multiple locations. The primary lab, to where the bulk of the samples were sent, was Eurofins Analytical (Eurofins). A smaller number of samples were sent to Chemtech-Ford Laboratory (Chemtech) to analyze only the parameters that Eurofins could not evaluate. The reasons to rely primarily on Eurofins was to help simplify sample collection (majority of samples sent to one lab) and streamline data storage and evaluation (majority of data results provided electronically in a single database).

Early in the project, Eurofins simultaneously implemented two major business decisions that ultimately had profound impacts on their performance: they switched to a new database software and began the process of switching laboratory locations. At that point, several issues began happening, including:

- 1. Shipment of bottles and coolers were delayed, which resulted in missed sampling events.
- 2. Turn-around time for lab results was delayed, with delays routinely exceeding 3 months.
- 3. Data results were inconsistent. For example, total organic carbon results varied by up to 300% at the two different Eurofins laboratory locations.
- 4. Holding times for samples were frequently exceeded and those sample had to be discarded without analysis/results. Some samples (e.g., hormones and pharmaceuticals and personal care products) have not been analyzed in over a year.

## Lab Recommendations

The City and Carollo met with Eurofins several times over the course of a year and were promised that these issues would be resolved. However, to date, these issues have not been resolved. Given the extent of the issues and Eurofins inability to resolve them, Carollo recommends that the City switch labs. Carollo's recommendations are as follows:

- 1. Transition the bulk of the samples from Eurofins to Chemtech-Ford. Chemtech is a local, Utah certified lab that has been responsive and prompt throughout the entire study. They have agreed to take on the majority of the samples, including:
  - a. Pathogens (coliform, E. coli, heterotrophic plate count), regulated chemicals (disinfection byproducts, nitrogen species, metals, minerals, volatile organic carbon, synthetic organic carbon, and pesticides), unregulated chemicals (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances [PFAS] and 1,4-dioxane), and general water quality (alkalinity, hardness, total organic carbon, etc.).
- 2. The remaining samples that Chemtech cannot analyze will be sent to two other labs:
  - a. Weck Laboratories (Weck) will analyze the remaining unregulated chemicals (hormones, pharmaceuticals and personal care products, and nitrosamines). Weck is an accredited lab; however, it is not a Utah certified lab. Since the only samples being analyzed are unregulated chemicals, this does not have any impact on the study.
  - b. Cel Analytics (Cel) will analyze the remaining pathogen samples (virus, Giardia, and Cryptosporidium). Cel has already been doing these samples for this project as a sub to Eurofins and has been great to work with.

All the labs are ready to take on the new samples as soon as possible. Although the City would have to send samples to three different labs, since the volume of samples to Weck and Cel are small, the impact to the City is relatively minor.

Carollo and the City have coordinated with the Utah Division of Drinking Water (DDW). They are aware of the desire to switch laboratories, they do not have any issues switching labs, and they support the decision.

### **Summary**

Due to the issues experienced with Eurofins, Carollo recommends that South Jordan City change labs (i.e., Chemtech, Weck, and Cel) with a new sampling procedure to meet their future lab needs.

Prepared by:

Author Name: Stetson Bassett

## **RESOLUTION R2023 - 47**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, UTAH, AUTHORIZING A PURCHASING POLICY EXEMPTION FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF A CITY CONTRACT FOR WATER SAMPLE TESTING FOR THE PURESOJO PROJECT WITHOUT COMPETITIVE BIDDING.

WHEREAS, the South Jordan Municipal Code § 3.04.020 allows procurement to be conducted in accordance with the rules and regulations adopted by the South Jordan City Council (the "City Council"); and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council adopted the City of South Jordan City-Wide Purchasing Policy 210-01(the "Purchasing Policy") by Resolution R2022-41; and

**WHEREAS**, the Purchasing Policy § 5 "Procurement Guidelines" provides that all purchases over \$5,000.00 go through a competitive bid process; and

**WHEREAS,** the Purchasing Policy allows the City Council, by resolution, to authorize any purchase without going through a competitive bid process; and

WHEREAS, the South Jordan Public Works Department for the past 18 months has been operating the PureSoJo water purification project and has been following the plan approved by the State of Utah Division of Drinking Water (the "State DDW"), which requires specialty testing that has been performed by an out of state company for the past 18 months; and

**WHEREAS**, the turnaround time for the City of South Jordan (the "City") to receive test results has exceeded reasonable and needed time frames; and

WHEREAS, the City has determined it would be best to split the specific water samples for testing between three different companies that have been deemed competent to perform such work, in order to meet project goals; and

WHEREAS, the three companies identified possess the expertise, capacity and reputation needed to meet the requirements of State DDW and the City has compared quotes from the three companies and the pricing offered is competitive; and

**WHEREAS,** the City Council has determined that it is in the best interest of the City to authorize a purchasing policy exemption for the procurement process.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, UTAH:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. Authorization of Purchasing Policy Exception. The City Council hereby grants an exception to the City's purchasing policy to allow the City to purchase water testing services required by the Utah Division of Drinking Water for the PURESOJO project outside of the competitive bidding process.

**SECTION 2.** Effective Date. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon passage.

	THE CITY COUNCIL OF			SOUTH JORDA FOLLOWING	
		YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
	Patrick Harris Bradley Marlor Donald Shelton Tamara Zander Jason McGuire				
Mayor:	Ramsey	Attest		y Recorder	
Approved as to for	m:				
Gregory Simons Gregory Simonsen (Oct 11, 2023 11) Office of the City	:05 MDT)				

			Chemtech-Ford		Water ARC®		JBWRF/Database								
	Method <sup>(a)</sup>	SP = 1 / JBWRF's Sample Location (in)	SP - 2	SP - 3	SP - 4	SP – şa	SP – sb	SP-6	SP-6a	SP-6b					
	1	JBWRF Effluent	Post-Ozone	BAC Filtrate	UF Filtrate	Primary GAC Filtrate	Secondary GAC	Post-UV	Product Water	Taste-Testing Tap	Cost per Sample	Locations	Frequency	Cost/yr	
PATHOGENS							Filtrate								
Total coliform	EPA 1604	Monthly	1			1				Monthly	35	,	12	840	
Ecoli	EPA 9223B	Monthly								Monthly	35	2	12		
Heterotrophic Plate Count (HPC)	EPA 9215	Monthly									35	-	12		
Total cultural virus, enterovirus, and norovirus	EPA 1615	Monthly									435				(+750 per time if cultural virus is required)
Adenovirus	EPA 1615	Monthly	1	1	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	1	1		<del>                                     </del>	435		12		
Protozoan (Giardia and Cryptosporidium	EPA 1623	Monthly	!		!	!		!	!		400				
REGULATED CHEMICALS	EFA 1023	Huntily									400	1	12	4800	
TTHMs/HAA, with SDS <sup>(c)</sup>	EPA 524/552								Monthly		0.75			-	
TTHM-FP/HAA-FP	EFM 524/552		<del> </del>			Monthly	Monthly		Mortally	<del>                                     </del>	275	1	12		
Nitrate and nitrite as N	501	Monthly	1	Monthly		Monthly	Monthly		Monthly	Monthly	335	2	12		
Nitrate and nitrite as N  Ammonia as N	EPA 200.5 EPA 350.1	Monthly Monthly	1	Monthly	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Monthly	i I	Monthly	Monthly	44	5	12 12		
Metals, from ECHO/JBWRF <sup>(4)</sup>	per JBWRF, not to Lab	JBWRF Data (Quarterly since 2018)		Monthly			Monthly	1	Monthly		43	4	12	2064	
Metals, additional (1)	EPA 200.6	Annually	<del> </del>	<del> </del>		1	<del> </del>	<del> </del>			141	1	1	141	
Minerals from ECHO/JBWRF <sup>(f)</sup>	per JBWRF, not to Lab	JBWRF Data (At least monthly since 2019)										•	-	0	
Minerals, including TDS, additional (9)	EPA 300.1	Monthly	i							TDS: Monthly	332	2	12	7968	
Cyanide	per JBWRF, not to Lab	JBWRF Data (Quarterly since 2018)	!			!				!				0	
Volatile Organic Chemicals <sup>(h)</sup>	EPA 524-4	Semi-Annual	į			i		i			200	1	2	400	)
Synthetic organic carbon (SOC) groups (II(IR)	00IR)	Once, during probable application time	İ			İ					920	1	1	920	)
Other pesticides <sup>(I)</sup>		Once, during probable application time	İ	1		1		1			1210	1	1	1210	)
OTHER WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS	İ	İ	i	i		i	i	i						c	)
Alkalinity, hardness		Quarterly	İ			İ			Quarterly		72	2	4	576	i
тос		Weekly	İ	Weekly		Weekly	Weekly		Weekly	Weekly	40	6	52	12480	)
BAC Acclimation: ATP and DOC <sup>(m)</sup>	1		1	1		İ	i	ŀ		İ				a	)
Fluorescence Excitation Emissions Matrix	Water ARC®	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly		Quarterly	Quarterly				200	5	4	4000	)
UV Absorbance at 254 nm (UVA)	Field	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly		Weekly	Weekly	i	Weekly	i				a	)
Total suspended solids (TSS)	per JBWRF, not to Lab	JBWRF Data (3-5 samples / week since 2012)	İ			İ								a	)
Turbidity	per JBWRF and Field	JBWRF Data (3-5 samples / week since 2012)	i	Weekly	Weekly									c	)
pH and temperature	Field	With every sample	With every sample	With every sample	With every sample	İ	With every sample		With every sample					c	)
Ozone Residual	Field		Weekly	1			i	i						c	)
Total and Free Chlorine	Field	Quarterly	i			i			With DBP Sampling					0	)
Conductivity	Field	Weekly		Weekly			Weekly		Weekly					0	)
UNREGULATED PARAMETERS	!					!								-	)
Hormones	EPA 539	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual		1	Semi-Annual	!	Semi-Annual	!	425	5	2	4250	
Pharmaceuticals & Personal Care Products <sup>(v)</sup>	Various	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual	i	i	Semi-Annual	i	Semi-Annual		850		2	8500	
PFAS	EPA 537-1	Monthly	İ	Monthly		Monthly	Monthly	1			450		12		
1,4 dioxane	EPA 522	Quarterly	Quarterly			İ	Quarterly				109	3	4	1308	
Nitrosamines, incl. NDMA and NPYR	EPA 921	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly		i	Quarterly	Quarterly			350	5	4		
,		1									330	,	,	7000	

Weck Laboratories

Field Instruments

Sample Matrix for Year 2

a. Methods listed are those currently proposed at this time. Equivalent EPA approved methods may be substituted at time of analysis. Samples will be analyzed at laboratories currently used JBWRF, and other NELAP-accredited labs including Chemtech Ford, Eurofins, and/or the Utah Department of Health Division of Lab Services.

Cel Analytics

- a. Methods listed are those currently proposed at this time. Equivalent EPA approved methods may be substituted at a time of analysis. Samples will be analyzed at laboratories currently used. MWFF, and other NELA-Paccendated labs including Chemister Approved the Survival Samples and the Survival Samples and the Survival Samples and the Survival Samples and the Paccendated labs included from the EVO. The Charles are substituted and survival Samples are common Samples and the Paccendate Survival Samples are confirmed and the Survival Samples and the Survival Samples are confirmed and the Survival Samples and the Survival Samples are confirmed and the Survival Samples and the Survival Sampl

- m. Weekly during BAC acclimation period. Monthly thereafter.
- Pharmaceurical and personal case products (Eurofins ESI+ and ESI-lists), which will include DEET, caffeine, gemfibroot, jopromide, and sucralose as listed in the Table 2 of the DDW Letter. The project team will consider those listed in Table 2 but may choose to consolidate down to a single PPCP method based on the lab's ability to provide them in one analysis.

\$ 103,737 (does not include shipping)