SOUTH JORDAN CITY PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

Application: RIDGECREST ESTATES SUBDIVISION AMENDMENT

Address: 892 W Brookcrest Circle

File No: PLPLA202100128

Applicant: Jerry Ohrn

Submitted By: David Mann, Long Range Planning Analyst

Shane Greenwood, Supervising Senior Engineer

Staff Recommendation (Motion Ready):

Approve file no. PLPLA202100128 to create a 2-lot subdivision, located at 892 W Brookcrest Circle, as presented to the Planning Commission.

ACREAGE: 1.15 acres
CURRENT ZONE: R-2.5
CURRENT USE: Residential

FUTURE LAND USE PLAN: Stable Neighborhood

NEIGHBORING

LU DESIGNATIONS,

(ZONING)/USES North - Agricultural Preservation, (A-5) / Agriculture

South - Stable Neighborhood, (R-2.5) / Single Family

Meeting Date: 5/10/22

Residential

East - Stable Neighborhood, (R-2.5) / Single Family

Residential

West - Stable Neighborhood, (R-2.5) / Single Family

Residential

STANDARDS FOR SUBDIVISION REVIEW

The Planning Commission shall receive public comment at the public hearing regarding the proposed subdivision. The Planning Commission may approve, approve with conditions or if the project does not meet city ordinances or sanitary sewer or culinary water requirements, deny the preliminary subdivision plat application. (City Code § 16.10.060)

BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:

On February 28, 2022, the Applicant submitted a complete application seeking approval to create a 2-lot subdivision from the existing parcel. The existing house on the south side of the subject property will remain and a new residential lot will be created on the north side. The new lot will be accessed from a private driveway connected to Brookcrest Circle. Half of the subject property is within the Ridgecrest Estates subdivision, so the new lot will be added to the subdivision and the

border will be amended. A six foot tall masonry wall is required to be installed along the property line that borders the agricultural property to the north.

STAFF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS:

Findings:

• The proposed subdivision will meet the requirements of the R-2.5 Zone, including the maximum density.

Conclusions:

• Based on the application materials and the findings listed above, the proposal is consistent with the City's General Plan and with the purposes and objectives of the pertinent requirements of the City's Planning and Zoning Code (specifically Chapters 16.10 and 17.40).

ALTERNATIVES:

- Recommend denial of the application.
- Propose modification(s) to the application.
- Schedule the application for a decision at some future date.

SUPPORT MATERIALS:

- Location Map
- Zoning Map
- Subdivision Plat





