# CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN ELECTRONIC PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING COUNCIL CHAMBERS April 9, 2024

Present: Chair Michele Hollist, Commissioner Laurel Bevans, Commissioner Steven

Catmull, Commissioner Nathan Gedge, Commissioner Ray Wimmer,

Commissioner Sam Bishop, Assistant City Attorney Greg Simonsen, City Planner Greg Schindler, Deputy City Recorder Cindy Valdez, Deputy City Engineer Jeremy Nielson, GIS Coordinator Matt Jarman, IS Specialist Ken Roberts, Planner Damir Drozdek, Planner Miguel Aguilera, Meeting Transcriptionist

Diana Baun

Others: Chris Watson, Michael Walker, Justin Jones, Patrick Lowdes, Terry Bouck, Chris

Rowlins, Jackson, badam's iPhone, Samantha W., Judy

Absent:

### <u>6:33 P.M.</u>

**REGULAR MEETING** 

### A. WELCOME AND ROLL CALL - Chair Michele Hollist

Chair Michele Hollist welcomed everyone to the Electronic Planning Commission Meeting.

### B. MOTION TO APPROVE AGENDA

Chair Hollist motioned to amend tonight's agenda as published. Commissioner Gedge seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor. Commissioner Wimmer was absent from the vote.

### C. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

# C.1. March 26, 2024 - Planning Commission Meeting Minutes

Chair Hollist noted that Commissioner Gedge was missing from the attendee list on the minutes, and staff noted he will be added to the final draft.

Commissioner Gedge motioned to approve the March 26, 2024 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes with the noted amendment. Commissioner Catmull seconded the motion; vote was 5-0, unanimous in favor. Commissioner Wimmer was absent from the vote.

Commissioner Ray Wimmer joined the meeting.

### **D. STAFF BUSINESS - None**

- E. COMMENTS FROM PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS None
- F. SUMMARY ACTION None
- **G. ACTION** *None*
- H. ADMINISTRATIVE PUBLIC HEARINGS None

### H.1. THE MIX AT SOUTH JORDAN SUBDIVISION SITE PLAN

Address: 11147 S. Redwood Rd. File No.: PLSPR202300200

Applicant: Justin Jones, Civil Science

Planner Damir Drozdek reviewed background information from the Staff Report.

Chair Michele Hollist asked who will be responsible long-term for the water retention areas of the property, and if there is a chance the city could have to take those over in the future.

Deputy City Engineer Jeremy Nielson responded the HOA will be responsible. There is a process for that type of public takeover, and the process is all spelled out in the city code including approvals from the City Manager and other staff.

Commissioner Nathan Gedge asked for specifics about the drainage system under Beckstead Lane.

Engineer Nielson reviewed those details, much of the water will be detained in underground storm water chambers, with what is released going into a pipe that flows to the north, and eventually to the east down 1030 South into a creek on Cody Circle off 1300 West which is an existing system.

Commissioner Laurel Bevans asked about a shared parking agreement and whether the buildings facing Beckstead will have rooftop decks.

Planner Drozdek responded there will be a note on the plat versus an agreement for simplicity. He also noted that any restrictions on rooftop deck locations didn't make it into the agreement, but the applicant can answer that question when he speaks.

Chair Hollist invited the applicant forward to speak.

**Justin Jones (Applicant – Civil Science)** – regarding the rooftop decks on the units adjacent to Beckstead, there were back and forth discussions with the result being they raised the wall on

those decks to be higher to restrict views. The project, with those walls, is still under the 35 foot height limit.

Chair Hollist opened the public hearing for comments.

Chris Rowlins (Resident) – I am the owner of the land to the southeast of this development with the cutout. I appreciate a lot of the things I see in here, like the privacy trees and things like that. I had a question similar to Commissioner Bevans about the end units, the ones that will overlook my property, I don't believe there are any rooftop decks on those. I am looking at page 19 and don't see any stairs leading up to the end units and that's why I'm asking. There was also a note on the plat that might impact me that I had a question about, which is the fence along the south side where it mentions there is a water line underneath and that the fence will be moved five feet away; I am not sure if that refers to the fence or just the footing of the fence that might interfere with the water line. That is a question, if that means that fence will be moved closer to those buildings, and would that imply as well that the waterline cuts across. I appreciate the steps they have taken to make sure the property works with my intended use of building a house there.

Mr. Jones noted the end units similarly will have that taller parapet wall around the balconies to shield Mr. Rowlins' property. Regarding the water line, that was a last minute comment from staff, realizing that there is an existing water line that runs down that property line. Across their frontage it is closer to the property line than across Mr. Rowlins' frontage, so by the time it gets to his property it veers back to the south. Potentially their wall will need to shift north, but they haven't worked through that needing marking by Blue Stakes and actual locations identified still. There is potential that wall will need to shift to the north along their frontage, but he believes once it gets to Mr. Rowlins' property, any fencing he puts up would still be the necessary five feet away.

Commissioner Gedge motioned to approve File No. PLSPR202300200, Subdivision Plan. Commissioner Catmull seconded the motion.

# **Roll Call Vote**

Yes – Commissioner Gedge Yes – Commissioner Catmull

**Yes - Commissioner Bishop** 

Yes – Chair Hollist

Yes – Commissioner Wimmer

**Yes – Commissioner Bevans** 

Motion passes 6-0, unanimous in favor.

### H.2. DOLLAR TREE SITE PLAN APPLICATION

Address: 10494 S River Heights Dr

File No.: PLSPR202300203 Applicant: Lance Ridges Planner Miguel Aguilera reviewed background information from the Staff Report.

Commissioner Sam Bishop asked about the planned bike racks and what kind of requirements or guidance the city gives in terms of their construction, if any.

City Planner Greg Schindler responded there are no city standards for types or locations of bike racks. The city always encourages them, but they are not required anywhere in the city.

Chair Hollist invited the applicant forward to speak, he had nothing to add to the Staff Report. She then opened the public hearing for comments.

Commissioner Bevans motioned to approve File No. PLSPR202300203, Site Plan Application. Commissioner Bishop seconded the motion.

### **Roll Call Vote**

**Yes - Commissioner Bevans** 

Yes - Commissioner Bishop

**Yes – Commissioner Gedge** 

**Yes - Commissioner Catmull** 

Yes - Chair Hollist

Yes - Commissioner Wimmer

Motion passes 6-0, unanimous in favor.

### H.3. CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR NEW HOT YOGA STUDIO

Address: 10975 S. Sterling View Dr. #100

File No.: PLCUP202400047 Applicant: Severyn Jack Hughes

Planner Miguel Aguilera reviewed background information from the Staff Report.

Chair Michele Hollist invited the applicant forward to speak, they were not present. She then opened the Public Hearing for comments. There were no comments and the hearing was closed.

Commissioner Gedge motioned to approve File No. PLCUP202400047, Conditional Use Permit, based on tonight's discussion and the Staff Report at the location listed above, specifically Suite #100. Chair Hollist seconded the motion.

### **Roll Call Vote**

**Yes – Commissioner Gedge** 

Yes – Chair Hollist

Yes - Commissioner Bishop

Yes – Commissioner Catmull Yes – Commissioner Wimmer Yes – Commissioner Bevans

Motion passes 6-0, unanimous in favor.

### I. LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARINGS

# I.1. TAMMY LOERSTCHER/CHARLES BOUCK REZONE FROM A-5 TO R-2.5

Address: 905 W 10400 S File No.: PLZBA202400042

Applicant: Charles Bouck/Tammy Loerstcher

Commissioner Nathan Gedge asked to be recused and to join the public as a resident for this item.

Planner Miguel Aguilera reviewed background information from the Staff Report.

Commissioners and staff discussed the need for a concept plan on this rezone and it was explained that it was only necessary for the lot being rezoned, and there were currently no development plans for that lot.

Commissioner Laurel Bevans asked about emergency access on the private road.

City Planner Greg Schindler responded that a hammerhead was proposed as an option for that road, and will be required when development begins.

Chair Michele Hollist invited the applicant forward to speak.

**Tammy Loerstcher and Charles Bouck (Applicants)** – Ms. Loerstcher noted that this is her father, Mr. Bouck's, property, and she was given a small part of it to build on.

Mr. Bouck added that he has no current plans to develop anything on the big lot. The rezone is being done because Salt lake County is taxing him Commercial-Residential anyway since it's not in compliance with A-5, and none of the lots in the A-5 zoning area are five acre lots. He also explained the reason for the small piece on the property, it had to do with the adjacent development and a new survey that caused the parcel to be marked as his property since the developer at the time went under, it was given to someone else who went under as well and was eventually given to the bank, who then tried to get him to buy it but it was already his. He ended up using it, through purchase, to pay off back taxes and it is now his land. He then asked about keeping his current animals on the lot once rezoned.

Planner Aguilera responded that if the rezone goes through, the property will lose its animal rights.

City Planner Greg Schindler added that animals currently on the property can stay until the begin development.

Chair Hollist opened the public hearing for comments.

Terry Bouck (Resident) – I live at the end of the road on the dirt lane, to the south of the lane. I am just curious how they are going to access that property back there. On his deed for the property there is only a 15 foot access that goes for 440 feet down to my father's house, which is the first house on the lane, and then it widens to 30 feet and goes down into his field. Are they going to widen and make it a public road like they did across the street with sidewalk, curb and gutter, making it 45 feet. I own almost two-thirds of the lane that everyone is driving on; we have been to court and had surveys done. Surveys are the interpretation of the man doing the survey, they are not an exact science, so there are probably seven or eight surveys going along and if you look at the survey just shown it doesn't show him going clear to the subdivision fence, and that is a part of his property; that was proven in court in 2020.

Nathan Gedge (Resident) – I am the property owner directly to the north of Lot 2. I am in favor of the rezone to 2.5, and I am glad that staff answered one of my concerns, which was to protect the animal rights of Mr. Bouck for his remnant of Lot 2. My other concern is the road, which was just addressed by Mr. Terry Bouck. Lastly, thank you to staff for answering some questions regarding the maps in the Staff Report referencing a survey the County has from 2009. Mr. Chuck Bouck also has a survey that was conducted earlier this year which alleviates all concerns I have regarding properties, including my own property, and I have no concerns with this application. This is probably the best venue for my personal residence.

Chair Hollist closed the public hearing.

Deputy City Engineer Jeremy Nielson addressed the private lane, noting this is a fairly long, dead end road, but it is in existing condition so staff is trying to be sensitive to land owners. There is no concern with adding one more home to the street and a hammerhead at the end. He has no information regarding the ownership of the private lane and that's a civil matter.

Commissioner Catmull motioned to approve File No. PLZBA202400042, Rezone from A-5 to R-2.5, based on tonight's discussion and the Staff Report. Chair Hollist seconded the motion.

### **Roll Call Vote**

Yes - Commissioner Catmull

**Yes – Chair Hollist** 

**Yes – Commissioner Bishop** 

Yes - Commissioner Wimmer

**Yes - Commissioner Bevans** 

Abstain – Commissioner Gedge

Motion passes 5-0, unanimous in favor.

### J. OTHER BUSINESS

City Planner Greg Schindler reviewed potential items for the next meeting's agenda.

# **ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Hollist motioned to adjourn the April 9, 2024 Planning Commission Meeting. Commissioner Gedge seconded the motion; vote was 6-0, unanimous in favor.

The April 9, 2024 Planning Commission Meeting adjourned at 8:04 p.m.