

Community Development Department

Emily Arteche, Director 38624 SE River St. | P.O. Box 987 Snoqualmie, Washington 98065 (425) 888-5337 | <u>earteche@snoqualmiewa.gov</u>

MEMORANDUM

То:	City Council
From:	Emily Arteche, Community Development Director
Date:	March 25, 2024
Subject:	Comprehensive Plan – Economic Development Element Policy Review

Introduction

The Washington Growth Management Act (GMA) requires King County and cities within King County to update their comprehensive plans on or before December 31, 2024. The Economic Development chapter (sometimes referred to as an "element") is an optional Comprehensive Plan Element; WAC 365-196-400 establishing local goals, policies, objectives, and provisions for economic growth and vitality and a high quality of life.

Snoqualmie has had an Economic Development Element in the Comprehensive Plan. It is a primary work component of the Economic Development Commission, SMC 2.22. Economic Development is supported under GMA as a Statewide Goal; RCW36.70A.020 to encourage economic development throughout the state.

To facilitate the review and approval of the draft Element a roundtable discussion will be held on the recommended draft goals and policies, (see Attachment 1: EDC Recommendation dated September 27, 2024. A presentation on October 16, 2024, summarizing the work of Planning Commission was presented on January 3, 2024, to the Council Community Development Committee, (see Attachment 2: Economic Development Element PC Recommendation Presentation). The Council Committee had no further edits. The roundtable will be followed by a City Council meeting with a motion on the Element at an upcoming Council meeting.

Background

The Economic Development Element draft goals and policies were discussed at a series of meetings with the public, Planning Commission, and the Parks and Public Works Council Committee on the following dates:

Legislative/Public Meeting Dates:

 On March 22, 2023, a business roundtable was held extensive with Staff and the business community.

- ✓ On April 6, 2023, City Staff and consultants held a Comprehensive Plan Open House and received input from the public on the Element.
- ✓ On May 17, 2023, City Staff and consultants introduced the Economic Development Element, and presented draft policies on policies related to Business Retention and Recruitment and Business Climate for review and discussion.
- ✓ On June 21, 2023, City Staff presented draft policies on Planning and Investment and Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets for review and discussion.
- ✓ On July 19, 2023, City Staff presented revised draft goals and policies on for review and discussion.
- On August 16, 2023, Economic Development Commission completed work on the draft Economic Development Element and the Chair of the Economic Development signed a draft recommended Economic Development for Planning Commission consideration.
- ✓ On August 21, 2023, the Draft Recommended Element Goals and Policies were presented to the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission requested that the Economic Development Commission consider an additional policy to address support for the Woodman restaurant as a key draw/anchor to the City's downtown tourism.
- ✓ On September 20, 2023, City Staff presented the Planning Commission's request to the Economic Development Commission for review and discussion.
- On September 27, 2023, the Economic Development Commission Chair signed a draft recommended Economic Development Planning Commission for consideration.
- ✓ On October 2, 2023, the Planning Commission reconsidered the revised draft recommended Economic Development Element.
- ✓ On October 16, 2023, the Community Development Council reviewed and discussed the draft recommended Economic Development Element, dated September 27, 2023.
- On October 18, 2023, City Staff and consultants held a Comprehensive Plan Open House and received input from the public on the Element.
- ✓ On November 6, 2023, the Community Development Council reviewed and discussed the draft recommended Economic Development Element, dated September 27, 2023.

 On November 20, 2023, the Community Development Council completed review the draft recommended Economic Development Element, dated September 27, 2023.

Draft goals and polices were solicited or early review at the state and regional level for GMA and Puget Sound Regional Council, (PSRC) Vision 2050 consistency. Although no review comments were received This recommendation does address new PSRC legislation on:

PSRC New Legislation Overview		
1. Retention and Recruitment		
 Local, women, and minority-owned small businesses 		
2. Access to Opportunity		
3. Commercial Displacement		
4. Environmental and Socially Responsible Business Practices		
5. Culturally and Ethnically Diverse communities		

Analysis

The below technical documentation was considered in preparation of this Element and is further referenced in the Comprehensive Plan. (Please see Attachment 3, 2044 Snoqualmie Comprehensive Plan Outline).

Technical Documentation Overview:

- <u>Economic Development Element Guide</u>
 - A summary of the local economy such as population employment, payroll, sectors, businesses, sales and other information as appropriate,
 - ✤ A summary of the strengths and weaknesses of the local economy,
 - Existing policies and programs to foster local economic growth and development.
- \checkmark A Strengths and Weaknesses and Opportunities summary of the local economy, SWOT,
- ✓ Snoqualmie Census Data.

Approximately 46 bills related to the Comprehensive Plan were passed during the time span, (see Attachment 4, GMA-amendments-1995-2023). This Element required changes to be consistent with several new bills, including HB1220, planning for and accommodating, rather than just encourage the availability of, affordable housing for the economic segments.

The Economic Development Evolution Spreadsheet, (see Attachment 5) demonstrates the evolution of goals and policies, i.e., when policies were combined, moved, updated to support new requirements, modified to address public comment, eliminated to reflect current conditions and/or adapted to fit the PSRC Vision 2050. This Element is rewritten and will fit into a reorganized Plan. The updated Element is

intended to improve clarity, remove duplicative language, update terminology, reflect the values of the community and meet recent changes to the Growth Management Act and other laws.

The Economic Development Element recommendation was developed with information from the Tribe and reflects numerous public comments received during the planning process. Tribal coordination began in the Fall of 2022 and included early review before the completion of the legislative process. Additional, extensive outreach was conducted with the business community. A business roundtable was held on March 22, 2023, with a cross section of Snoqualmie business owners and City staff. Additionally, two public open house events, with dozens of attendees were held on April 6 and October 16, 2023. A "Take the Comp Plan Update Survey" provides an active -ongoing way for the public to share thoughts on our community.

Combined public outreach efforts generated 66 public comments related to this Element since the launch of the Plan update in January 2023, (see Attachment 6: Economic Development Element Public Comment Table). Many of the comments received emphasize tourism, especially around getting workers to the City or creating affordable housing for the workforce.

Ρι	Public Comment Overview			
1.	1. Tourism			
	*	"Tourism Oriented Businesses",		
	*	"increase tourism tax revenue",		
	*	"retail tax dollars are being lost to Issaquah",		
	*	"lack of family friendly and reliable hotel space",		
	*	"Why so many medical/dental/vision/ and other health style clinics and stores?",		
	*	"Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc".		
	*	"tourist loop on SVT?"		
2.	Tra	nsportation		
	*	"lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas",		
	*	"get workers here from other places without any transit?".		
	*	" workers are coming from SR 18 - going to be crazy when it's closed".		

Next Steps

Discuss the draft Economic Development Element as recommended by the EDC.

Attachment 1: EDC Recommendation, dated September 27, 2023

Attachment 2: Economic Development Element, PC Recommendation Presentation

Attachment 3: 2044 Snoqualmie Comprehensive Plan Outline

Attachment 4: GMA-amendments-1995-2023

Attachment 5: Economic Development Element Evolution Spreadsheet

Attachment 6: Economic Development Element Public Comment Table

The Economic Development Commission unanimously recommend that the Planning Commission APROVE the proposed vision, goals, and polices for the Economic Development Element of the 2044 Snoqualmie Comprehensive Plan and transmit them to the City Council's Community Development Committee for consideration.

It is the recommendation of the Economic Development Commission to approve proposed vison, goals, and policies for the Economic Development Element as presented in Attachment A.

RECOMMENDED BY THE CITY OF SNOQUALMIE ECONOMIC DEVELOMENT COMMISSON ON THE 20TH OF SEPTEMBER 2023.

bobbi harrison (Sep 27, 2023 15:52 PDT)

Sep 27, 2023

Bobbi Harrison Commission Chair

Date

Attest by:

Ashley Wragge Ashley Wragge (Sep 27, 2023 15:50 PDT)

Ashley Wragge Planning Technician Vision: Snoqualmie aspires to be a vibrant small city offering essential goods and services for residents and visitors, a beacon for employers, and a generator of sustainable tax revenue, all while preserving its distinctive character and continuously improving quality of life.

- 1) Recruit businesses that meet the retail needs of residents, offer diverse employment opportunities, generate revenue to support city services, and enrich the City's unique character, while supporting the continued success of existing local businesses.
 - a) Encourage a diverse range of smaller-scale, locally-based, and independently-owned businesses to locate in the downtown historic district, including grocery stores, pharmacies, and restaurants.
 - b) Recruit businesses that will capture a greater share of residents' spending on retail goods and service without compromising the City's small-town look and feel.
 - c) Capitalize on emerging local economic sectors, including wine tourism, weddings, film production, and outdoor recreation and events as well as regional sectors such as aerospace, information and communication technology, and life sciences.
 - d) Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that follow environmental and socially responsible business practices.
 - e) Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that create jobs with higher-than-average wages that are accessible to a wide range of potential employees.
 - f) Strategically recruit businesses based on the features of individual vacant commercial and industrial spaces.
 - g) Identify specific industries to recruit to Snoqualmie based on sectors that are well-represented in the City such as water and ice sports, health care, and telecommunications.
 - h) Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that match local workforce skills to create more opportunities for residents to live and work in Snoqualmie.
 - i) Support the preservation and occupancy of key historic landmarks downtown including full- service restaurants.
- 2) The City fosters a business climate that facilitates economic development through expedient and equitable regulations, permit review processes, and enforcement actions, while effectively preserving and protecting the area's environmental and cultural resources.
 - a) Cultivate a policy and regulatory environment that helps to achieve the City's economic development goals.
 - b) Consider risk of physical, economic, environmental, and cultural resource disruption or displacement on local business when creating or updating policies, regulations, investments, or programs.
 - c) Explore partnerships with a Community Business Connector (CBC), Snoqualmie Valley Economic Alliance, and Snoqualmie Valley Chamber of Commerce to address challenges such as racism, language barriers, cultural differences, geographical disparities, and financial obstacles faced by small businesses to promote economic development opportunities.
 - d) Strive to provide opportunities for business owners to share challenges and opportunities of the local business environment.
 - e) Ensure business owners have accurate and timely information on permit applications and other review processes related to business operations.
 - f) Apply and enforce rules consistently across all business types and locations.

- g) Encourage local businesses to utilize evolving technologies that lead to increased competitiveness, innovation, efficiency, productivity, and job opportunities.
- h) Foster a business environment that promotes local entrepreneurship.
- 3) The City advances its economic development goals and maintains its competitiveness through land use regulations, long-range and strategic planning, and capital investments.
 - a) Ensure City investments, plans, and regulations promote economic growth and facilitate the expansion of the City's retail base equitably across all City commercial areas, business types, and communities.
 - b) Advocate for Snoqualmie's economic interests at the county, regional, state, and federal levels.
 - c) Consider creating a Meadowbrook Retail District that promotes retail and service options that enhances the future Riverwalk Trail for residents and visitors.
 - d) Collaborate with intergovernmental partners to improve transit service to, from, and within Snoqualmie, aiming for increased frequency and accessibility.
 - e) Attract businesses with the potential to create a high number of jobs and offer commercial spaces of varying sizes to accommodate businesses of different scales and provide space for small businesses to grow.
 - f) Encourage the development of housing options that are affordable for the full range of employment opportunities in the City.
 - g) Ensure that economic growth and development is balanced with environmental and cultural resource protection.
 - h) Encourage the creation of public gathering spaces near commercial areas in a manner that promotes commerce.
 - i) Preserve the Master Planned Business Park land use designation, focused on office, research and development, and light manufacturing/industrial uses, within the City's potential annexation area (PAA).
 - j) Ensure land use regulations support and promote the development of suitable forms of overnight lodging, with a particular focus on the downtown historic district.
 - k) Ensure that Snoqualmie's infrastructure supports electric vehicle charging and other emerging climatefriendly technologies adequately.

4) The City promotes desired economic development while sustaining the natural and built features that give Snoqualmie its unique sense of place that residents most value.

- a) Protect and promote the City's distinct mix of natural beauty, historic buildings, rich cultural heritage, and unique neighborhoods - including Snoqualmie Ridge, Historic Downtown, the Mill Site, and Meadowbrook, to foster desired economic development.
- b) Create wayfinding signage where Meadowbrook Way and Snoqualmie Parkway intersect with Railroad Avenue SE directing visitors to the historic downtown.
- c) Leverage Snoqualmie's distinctive sense of place and unique assets such as Meadowbrook Farm, Snoqualmie Point Park, and other large park and open spaces to attract visitors through historic and cultural events and activities.
- d) Support and celebrate the contributions of the city's existing culturally and ethnically diverse businesses, institutions, and the Snoqualmie Tribe.
- e) Maximize the Snoqualmie Riverwalk as a tourism asset that connects to the Centennial Trail and the regional Snoqualmie Valley and Preston-Snoqualmie trails while protecting downtown riverfront properties from flooding.

- f) In collaboration with the Snoqualmie Valley Chamber of Commerce, support non-profit merchant organizations in Historic Downtown Snoqualmie, Kimball Creek, and Snoqualmie Ridge to strengthen economic vitality, design, organization, and promotion.
- g) Maintain and expand a wayfinding system that effectively directs visitors to Snoqualmie's commercial and retail districts and communicates information about parking, parks, and other attractions, with a particular focus on community-friendly assets.
- h) Preserve the economic viability of Snoqualmie's historic sites, buildings, districts, landscape features and neighborhoods by identifying and preserving their character and history through collaboration with the King County Historic Preservation Program and engaging informational plaques and signs.
- i) Enrich the cultural lives of residents and visitors by placing permanent and temporary art installations in public spaces in a manner that enhances Snoqualmie's unique sense of place.
- j) Promote public art by hosting events such as Plein Air Paint Out.
- k) Encourage the creation of public murals throughout the City that are representative of the history, culture, and heritage of the Snoqualmie Valley.
- Protect and promote institutional, cultural, natural, and other unique resources such as the Northwest Railway Museum, Pacific West Rail Model Train Museum, Meadowbrook Farm, and the Snoqualmie Riverwalk.

Economic Development

Snoqualmie Planning Commission from *Economic Development Commission*

Economic Development Vision, Goals, Policies



Recruit and Retain Desired Businesses

Key Historic Downtown Landmarks Water & Ice Sports, Health Care, Telecommunications



Enabling City Business Climate

Regulations: Consistent, Encouraging, Inclusive Promote: Local Businesses, Local Entrepreneurship, Valley Partnerships



Alignment: Investments, Land Use, Planning

Expand/Invest: Meadowbrook Retail District, Riverwalk Trail, Infrastructure (e.g. Electric Vehicle Charging)

Preserve/Enhance: Cultural Resource Protection, Master Planned Business Park Designation, Public Gathering Spaces Near Commercial Areas



Unique Sense of Place

Sustain: City's Distinct Mix of Natural Beauty, Historic Buildings, Heritage

Protect & Use Signage to Attract Visitors: History, Heritage, Historic Downtown, Meadowbrook Way

Promote Tourism Assets: Maximize Riverwalk, Northwest Railway Museum, Pacific West Rail Model Train Museum, Historic Downtown, Meadowbrook Farm – 'Must See Attractions'

Snoqualmie 2044 Draft Comprehensive **Plan Outline**

Volume 1.		IV.	Т	rans
I.	Cover		•	Fu
II.	Acknowledgements		•	TII LC
111.				Tra
	Introduction: (Elk, Meadowbrook Farm, Train Museum, Falls, Riverwalk, Historic Downtown, Neighborhoods Overview and, Snoqualmie Events			No
	Overview)			Fu
IV.	History and Background: Weyerhaeuser Mill Site, Snoqualmie Tribe, Town c	of		Inv
	Meadowbrook, 1990/2009 Floods			ТА
V.	Snoqualmie Vision			
VI.	Public Engagement Summary	V.		tilit 6 ۱
VII.	Flamanta			CI
VII.	Elements Land Use			Sto
	Housing			50
	Transportation	VI.	Р	arks
	Utilities/Capital Facilities		•	PR
	Parks and Recreation	VII.	E	nvir
	Environment		•	Ell
	Shoreline		•	Flo
	Economic Development		•	Cr
			•	Ur
VIII.	Implementation		•	Flo
Volume 2.	Background Information and Appendices		•	Ri
١.	Public Engagement Plan		•	Sh
П.	Land Lice (Neighborhoods		•	Cr
11.	Land Use/Neighborhoods Land Capacity Analysis 		•	Tr
	Growth Targets	VIII.	E	con
	Planning Areas and Maps		•	Тс
	Neighborhood Profiles		•	Та
	Viewsheds		•	Lo
	Historic Sites Map		•	Lo
	Annexations		•	Re
III.	Housing			
	Housing Needs Analysis			
	Housing Strategy Plan			
	Middle Housing			

• Affordable Housing Opportunities

nsportation

- unctional Classifications
- TIP
- LOS
- Traffic Volume
- Non-Motorized
- Future Transportation Network
- nventory and Classification of Streets
- TAZ
- ities/Capital Facilities
- Year Facility Plans Summary
- CIP
- Stormwater/Surface Water Reference
- ks and Recreation
- PRO Plan
- ironment/Climate Change
 - Elk
 - Flood History
 - Critical Area Summary
 - Jrban Forestry Strategic Plan Reference
 - Flood Control Plan Reference
 - Riverwalk Plan Reference
 - Shoreline Master Plan, Reference
 - Critical Area Maps
 - Tree Canopy Map
- nomic Development
 - Tourism
 - Farget Industries
 - Local Centers
 - ocal Partners
 - Retail Opportunities Map





The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) has been amended numerous times since originally enacted in 1990. To help local governments with evaluating whether their adopted comprehensive plans and development regulations comply with the GMA, Department of Commerce, Growth Management Services, has developed a list of annual amendments to the GMA. This list summarizes amendments to Chapter 36.70A RCW ("The Growth Management Act" or "GMA"), as well as other related statutory amendments, enacted by the Washington State Legislature from 1995 to 2023.

Each amendment is listed below, by RCW citation and original bill number, according to the year of adoption, and it includes a brief description of the legislation and identification of the local jurisdictions affected.

Please note: This list has been prepared to briefly summarize legislative amendments to the GMA and to assist local governments with their periodic update process under RCW 36.70A.130 and for general research. This summary is not intended to provide a complete interpretation of all GMA amendments. Other related statutes may also help implement the GMA, and this summary is not a definitive legal guide for all planning requirements.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A.060	Counties and cities
SB 5374 – Relating to the adoption of county critical area ordinances by small cities	
Brief Description:	
The bill allows cities under 25,000 to adopt the county's critical area ordinance by reference as long as the CAO is not under appeal. Once adopted by reference, the city is not required to take further action during future GMA periodic updates. Counties are entitled to a portion of the city's grant funding that otherwise would have been used to update their CAOs.	
RCW 36.70A.130 SB 5457 – Relating to implementing growth management task force legislative recommendations regarding small cities	Cities and towns
Brief Description:	
The bill allows cities and towns to opt out of the full comprehensive plan update process, but still must update critical areas regulations and the capital facilities and transportation elements, if the following are met:	
• Has a population fewer than 500	
 Is not located within 10 miles of a city with a population over 100,000 	
• Experienced a population growth rate of fewer than 10 percent in the preceding 10 years	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
 Has provided the department of Commerce with notice of its intent to participate in a partial review and revision of its comprehensive plan 	
Ch. 36.70A (GMA), Ch. 43.21C (SEPA), Chs. 64.34, .32, .38, and .90 RCW.	
HB 1337 – Expanding housing options by easing barriers to the construction and use of ADUs	
Brief Description:	
All GMA cities and counties must allow at least two ADUs per lot within urban growth areas in zones that allow for single-family homes. The ADUs may be attached, detached, or a combination of both, or may be conversions of existing structures. Cities must implement the bill's requirements 6 months after their next comprehensive plan periodic update, or else the provisions in the bill will control.	
The bill places certain restrictions on local governments, including:	
 Local governments may not charge more than 50% of impact fees charged for the principal unit. Local governments may not require the owner to occupy the property. 	
 Local governments may not prohibit the ADU's sale as independent units. Local governments must allow an ADU of at least 100 square feet and must adjust zoning to 	
 be consistent with the bill with respect to bulk and scale regulations. Local governments must set consistent parking requirements based on distance from transit and lot size. 	
Local governments are protected from HOAs seeking to enforce private covenants against ADUs in conflict with the bill.	
RCW 36.70A.030 and .280; adding new sections to the GMA and amending SEPA. HB 1110 – Creating more homes for Washington by increasing middle housing in areas traditionally dedicated to single-family detached housing.	Cities
Brief description:	
The bill requires cities of over 25,000 in population or that are within a contiguous UGA with the largest city in county with a population of more than 275,000 to allow two housing units per lot, four if one is affordable, or it is located within ¼ mile of transit, unless higher densities are already permitted.	
For cities over 75,000 in population, the requirement is four and six units, respectively. Extensions and exemptions are available for areas with critical areas, risk of displacement, infrastructure deficiencies, and when certain transportation safety conditions exist.	
RCW 36.70A.020, .030, .070, .130, .190, .280, .320, and .480 HB 1181 – Improving the state's climate response through updates to the state's planning framework	Counties and cities
Brief Description:	
The bill adds Climate Change and Resiliency as the 14 th goal to the Growth Management Act and includes the following key changes to the GMA:	

 Adds a greenhouse gas emissions reduction sub-element that would be mandatory for 11 of the largest counties and their cities. The sub-element and implementing development regulations must identify actions the jurisdiction will take that will: Result in reductions in overall GHG emissions generated by the transportation and land use systems within the jurisdiction but without increasing emissions elsewhere. Result in reductions in vehicle miles traveled within the jurisdictions but without increasing emissions elsewhere. Prioritize reductions that would be maftory for all jurisdictions plant without increasing emissions elsewhere. Adds a resiliency sub-element that would be maftory for all jurisdictions planting under RCW 36.70A.040. This requirement can be satisfied by adopting by reference a FEMA natural hazard mitigation plan that is in substantial conformance with this sub-element. The land use, capital facilities, park and recreation, utilities, and transportation elements must be updated to include certain climate change related topics, including a prohibition for denying a development permit because a project may cause the transportation elevel of service to fall below the minimum standard where multimodal mitigation is possible. Requires consideration of environmental justice in order to avoid worsening environmental health disparities. Creates a new grant program for community-based organizations to advance participation of vulnerable populations in the planning process. Requires the Department of Transportation to maintain a summary of the per capita whick emiles traveled for cities and unincorporated portions of counties, adds multimodal concurrency. Ch. 36.70A RCW (IoAA) and Ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) encouraging pureview ' is further defined in statute. Des	RCW, I	Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other intereste parties affected
Ch. 36.70A RCW (GMA) and Ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) Counties and cities and cities and counties must have in place clear, objective, and understandable design review procedures and standards governing the exterior design of all new development. The term "design review" is further defined in statute. Counties and cities and counties must have in place clear, objective, and understandable design review procedures and standards governing the exterior design of all new development. The term "design review" is further defined in statute. Counties and cities and counties must be reviewed concurrently with two or more project permits associated with the proposal and are limited to one public meeting. The bill adds language to ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) encouraging jurisdictions to consider prompt, coordinated, and expedited project review of general project permits and specifically projects that include affordable housing. Counties and cities and cities and cities and counties and specifically projects that include affordable housing. Ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) Counties and cities and cities and cities and specifically projects that include affordable housing.	•	 of the largest counties and their cities. The sub-element and implementing development regulations must identify actions the jurisdiction will take that will: Result in reductions in overall GHG emissions generated by the transportation and land use systems within the jurisdiction but without increasing emissions elsewhere. Result in reductions in vehicle miles traveled within the jurisdictions but without increasing emissions elsewhere. Prioritize reductions that would benefit overburdened communities in order to maximize the co-benefits of reduced air pollution and environmental justice. Adds a resiliency sub-element that would be mandatory for all jurisdictions planning under RCW 36.70A.040. This requirement can be satisfied by adopting by reference a FEMA natural hazard mitigation plan that is in substantial conformance with this sub-element. The land use, capital facilities, park and recreation, utilities, and transportation elements must be updated to include certain climate change related topics, including a prohibition for denying a development permit because a project may cause the transportation level of service to fall below the minimum standard where multimodal mitigation is possible. Requires consideration of environmental justice in order to avoid worsening environmental health disparities. Creates a new grant program for community-based organizations to advance participation of vulnerable populations in the planning process. Requires the Department of Ecology to update its Shoreline Master Program (SMP) guidelines to require that SMPs address the impact of sea level rise and increased storm severity. Requires the Department of Transportation to maintain a summary of the per capita vehicle miles traveled for cities and unincorporated portions of counties; adds multimodal 	
 <i>B</i> 1293 – Streamlining development regulations Effective six months after its next periodic comprehensive plan update, GMA cities and counties must have in place clear, objective, and understandable design review procedures and standards governing the exterior design of all new development. The term "design review" is further defined in statute. Design review of development projects must be reviewed concurrently with two or more project permits associated with the proposal and are limited to one public meeting. The bill adds language to ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) encouraging jurisdictions to consider prompt, coordinated, and expedited project review of general project permits and specifically projects that include affordable housing. ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) is 5290 – Consolidating local permit review 	h 36.		Counties and citie
 project permits associated with the proposal and are limited to one public meeting. The bill adds language to ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) encouraging jurisdictions to consider prompt, coordinated, and expedited project review of general project permits and specifically projects that include affordable housing. h. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) B 5290 – Consolidating local permit review 		Effective six months after its next periodic comprehensive plan update, GMA cities and counties must have in place clear, objective, and understandable design review procedures and standards governing the exterior design of all new development. The term "design	
jurisdictions to consider prompt, coordinated, and expedited project review of general project permits and specifically projects that include affordable housing. h. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act) B 5290 – Consolidating local permit review	•		
B 5290 – Consolidating local permit review	•	jurisdictions to consider prompt, coordinated, and expedited project review of general	
			Counties and citi

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
The bill amends chapter 36.70B RCW, the Local Project Review Act, for jurisdictions planning under the GMA. The bill includes the following provisions:	
 Establishes a consolidated permit review grant program for local governments that commit to issuing final decisions for residential permit applications within specified time frames. Creates a new grant program to support local governments' transition to digital permit application systems. Requires the department of commerce to convene a work group to study statewide license and permitting software for local governments. Removes building permits for the types of project permits in the covered types of land use permits. Amends the process for jurisdictions to provide a written determination of completeness for project permit applications. Beginning January 1, 2025, jurisdictions must set certain permit decision timelines at 65, 100, and 170 days depending on the permit and other factors. When timelines are not met a portion of the permit fees must be refunded. Jurisdictions must also submit annual performance reports to commerce, which will report to the legislature. Provides additional measures that jurisdictions can take to facilitate prompt coordinate permit review. Requires commerce to provide guidance to local governments with respect to appropriate 	
fee structures, staffing-up residential permit processing, and other topics.	
Ch. 43.21C RCW (SEPA) SB 5412 – Decreasing local government workload	Counties and cities
Brief description:	
The bill allows for a SEPA categorical exemption for residential development projects within incorporated UGAs and middle housing projects within unincorporated UGAs if:	
 The local government finds the proposed development is consistent with its development regulations; and The local government has prepared environmental analysis that considers the project in the area proposed for the exemption and analyzes certain multimodal transportation impacts. 	
The environmental analysis must include documentation that the requirements for environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation for impacts have been adequately addressed for the exempted project. The local government must also document its consultation with the department of transportation regarding certain transportation impacts. Before finalizing the environmental analysis, the local government must provide at least 60 days public notice and the exemption is effective 30 days following adoptive action. Residential projects in Seattle are exempt from these requirements until September 30, 2025.	
Ch. 90.58 RCW (Shoreline Management Act) HB 1544 – SMP review schedules Brief description:	Counties and cities

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
This bill changes the Shoreline Master Program update schedule from eight years to ten years to align with local governments' comprehensive plan periodic update schedule. The bill also extends by one year the date by which the next round of SMP reviews and revisions are due.	
Ch. 44.39 RCW (Joint Committee on Energy Supply and Energy Conservation), Ch. 80.50 RCW (Energy Facilities), Ch. 43.21C RCW (SEPA), and Ch. 36.70B RCW (Local Project Review Act)	Counties and cities
HB 1216 – Clean Energy Siting	
Brief description:	
The bill establishes a new type of project designation by Commerce: Clean Energy Projects of Statewide Significance (CEPSS). The department of Ecology is responsible for coordinating an optional coordinated permitted process for CEPSS projects. Cities and counties with development projects determined as eligible for the coordinated permit process within their jurisdiction must enter into an agreement with Ecology or the project proponent for expediting the completion of projects, including expedited permit process and environmental review processing.	
The bill also directs lead agencies to complete an EIS for CEPSS projects within 24 months of a threshold determination and requires them to work collaboratively with agencies that have actions requiring SEPA review for the project to develop a schedule that includes a list of agency responsibilities, actions, and deadlines. The bill makes other SEPA changes related to the process of environmental review for CEPSS projects.	
During a review of a project to construct or improve electric generation, transmission, or distribution facilities, a local government may not require a project applicant to demonstrate the necessity or utility of the project, other than to require as part of the completed project application the submission of documentation required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or other federal agencies with regulatory authority over electric power transmission and distribution needs, or the Utilities and Transportation Commission.	
A county may not prohibit the installation of wind and solar resource evaluation equipment necessary for the design and environmental planning of a renewable energy project.	
RCW 36.70A710 and .740	Counties
SB 5353 – Relating to the Voluntary Stewardship Program	
Brief description:	
The bill removes the date by which counties must join the VSP, opening it up to currently non- participating jurisdictions. A county that elects to join the VSP is not required to implement the program in a participating watershed until new adequate funding is provided. The Conservation Commission is required to determine every two years which watersheds in the new participating counties received adequate funding. If adequate funding is not provided, the county must take one of four options:	
 Develop, adopt, and implement a work plan in the watershed that protects critical areas used for agricultural activities; Adopt development regulations that have previously been adopted by another local government for the purpose of protecting critical areas used for agricultural activities; 	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Adopt development regulations certified by commerce as protective as critical areas in	
areas used for agricultural activities; or	
Review, and if necessary, update development regulations adopted under the GMA to	
protect critical areas as they related to agricultural activities.	
Ch. 43.21C RCW (SEPA), Ch. 35.21 RCW (cities and towns), Ch. 35A.21 RCW (code cities), and Ch. 19.27A RCW (Energy-Related Building Standards)	Cities
HB 1042 – The creation of additional housing units in existing buildings	
Brief description:	
The bill prohibits cities from denying a permit application for the addition of housing units within an existing building due to nonconformity with height, setback, parking, modulation, or elevator size unless it is a building code of life safety issue. When new residential units are proposed completely within an existing building, cities must allow a density bonus of 50% more than the zone otherwise allows. Cities may not require the addition of parking spaces, permitting requirements, or design standards not applied to all residential development in the zone, and may not impose exterior design or architectural requirements to the building. Cities also may not require a transportation concurrency study or SEPA review based on the addition of housing units within an existing building.	
The changes to city codes necessary to implement the bill are categorically exempt from SEPA.	
The state building code council is required to adopt an amendment to the energy code that waives the requirement for the unchanged portions of an existing building to comply with the current energy code when additional housing units are added to the building.	
RCW 35.13.470 and RCW 82.14.415	Counties and cities
HB 1425 – Facilitating municipal annexations	
Brief description:	
The bill requires that if an interlocal agreement is used for a sales and use tax credit for annexed areas, the interlocal agreement must address:	
 The balancing of annexations of commercial, industrial, and residential properties; Development, ownership, and maintenance of infrastructure; and The potential for revenue-sharing agreements. 	
The bill removes the requirements that a city be within a county with a population of at least 600,000 to impose the tax and that an annexation area must have a population of at least 10,000 or 4,000. The bill also removes the eligibility timeline.	
The bill requires that to impose the tax, a city must have entered into an interlocal agreement with the county regarding the proposed annexation area. The bill also updates the maximum levy amounts that may be imposed based on population.	
Title 64 RCW (Real Property and Conveyances), RCW 58.17.060, RCW 82.02.060, Ch. 82.45 RCW (Real Estate Excise Tax)	
SB 5258 – Increasing the supply and affordability of condominium units and townhouses as an option for homeownership	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2023 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Brief description:	
This bill imposes new requirements on condo associations seeking to bring a construction defect claim and imposes additional pre-litigation procedural requirements with the intent to better resolve disputes and encourage the construction of more housing. The bill also created a Down Payment Assistance Account funded by the REET. Impact fee schedules must now reflect the proportionate impact of new housing units based on the square footage and number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit, to produce a proportionally lower impact fee for smaller housing units.	
All cities, towns, and counties must include in their short plat regulations procedures for unit lot subdivisions allowing division of a parent lot into separately owned unit lots.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2022 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A.130	Counties and cities
HB 1241 – Relating to planning under the GMA. (Ch. 192 Laws 2022)	
Effective date: June 9, 2022	
Brief Description:	
This bill changes the comprehensive plan periodic update from every eight years to every ten years and established the next deadline being December 31, 2024 for King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish counties and the cities within them. In addition, counties meeting certain population or growth thresholds, and certain cities within them, must provide the Department of Commerce with an implementation progress report five years after the periodic comprehensive plan adoption. Commerce must develop guidelines for the report, including:	
 The implementation of previously adopted changes to the housing element and the effect of those changes on housing affordability and availability within the jurisdiction; Permit processing timelines; and Progress toward implementing actions required to achieve reductions to meet greenhouse gas and vehicle miles traveled requirements as provided for in any element of the comprehensive plan. 	
If a covered jurisdiction has yet to implement any changes that were included in the most recent period update or has not taken legislative or administrative actions necessary to implement the changes by the implementation progress report due date, then that jurisdiction must identify the need for changes or action in its report, adopt a work plan to implement the changes, and complete all work necessary for implementation within two years of the report's submission.	
RCW 36.70A.040210.	Counties, Cities,
HB 1717 – Relating to tribal participation in GMA planning. (Ch. 252 Laws 2022)	regional planning
Effective date: June 9, 2022	authorities, and
	tribes.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2022 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Brief description:	
Federal agencies and tribes with a reservation or ceded lands within a county are required to be invited to participate in the countywide planning process. A federally recognized Indian tribe may voluntarily choose to participate in the county or regional planning process and coordinate with the counties and cities required to plan under the GMA. Once a local government receives notice from a tribe whose reservations or ceded land are in the county that the tribe has or will have a parallel planning process, the local government must enter into good faith negotiations with the tribe to attempt to reach a mutually acceptable memorandum of agreement regarding collaboration and participation in the planning process, including coordinating planning for urban growth. If such agreement cannot be reached, the local government and tribe must enter mediation. A tribe may also request that Commerce provide facilitation services to resolve issues that it has with a local government's comprehensive planning. Delay of adoption of a local government's comprehensive planning to this dispute resolution are not subject to GMHB appeal regarding the delay.	
Countywide planning policies must include policies that address the protection of tribal cultural resources in collaboration with tribes that choose to participate in the planning process. When a city's comprehensive plan includes a port element, the city must develop the element collaboratively with the port and any tribe that is participating in the planning process through a MOA.	
RCW 36.70A.540 <i>HB 2001 – Relating to expanding the ability to build tiny houses.</i> (Ch. 275 Laws 2002) Effective date: June 9, 2022	Counties and cities
Brief Description:	
The bill adds tiny house communities, which were legislatively authorized in 2017, to the type of housing eligible for affordable housing incentive programs established by local governments under the GMA through comprehensive plans and development regulations.	
RCW 36.70A.067 SB 5042 – Relating to the effective date of certain actions taken under the GMA. (Ch. 218 Laws 2022). Effective date: June 9, 2022	Counties
Brief Description:	
The bill establishes the effective date of an action that expands a UGA; removes the designation of agricultural, forest, or mineral resource lands; creates or expands a LAMIRD; establishes a new fully contained community; or creates or expands a master planned resort is the later of the following:	
 60 days after the date of public of notice of adoption of the comprehensive plan, development regulation, or amendment to the plan or regulation, implementing the action; or If a petition for review to the Growth Management Hearings Board is timely filed, upon 	
issuance of the board's final order. This eliminates a vesting loophole that previously allowed these actions to proceed due to Washington's early vesting law, even when an action is subsequently invalidated by the GMHB.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2022 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
RCW 36.70A.070 SB 5275 – Relating to enhancing opportunity in LAMIRDs. (Ch. 220 Laws 2022). Effective date: June 9, 2022	Counties
Brief Description:	
The bill amends current LAMIRD requirements by allowing for:	
 Development and redevelopment within a LAMIRD with confirmation that existing providers of public facilities and services have sufficient capacity to serve new or additional demand from the development or redevelopment. 	
• Changes to land use designations on vacant land if new development and redevelopment is consistent with the county definition of local rural character.	
• Commercial development or redevelopment within mixed-use areas to serve existing and projected rural populations with a footprint limitation of up to a maximum of 5,000 square feet. New uses of retail or food service space cannot exceed 2,500 square feet.	
RCW 36.70A.130 SB 5593 – Relating to UGA boundaries. (Ch. 287 Laws 2022). Effective date: June 9, 2022	Counties, cities, and service providers.
Brief Description:	
Each county that designates UGAs must review the patterns of development within the UGA during the periodic comprehensive plan update. If, during this review, the county determines the patterns of development have created pressure in areas that exceed the available and developable lands within the UGA, the county may revise the UGA to accommodate identified patterns of development and future development pressure for the succeeding 20-year period. Areas added to the UGA must not be designated as natural resource lands or contain more than 15 percent critical areas.v The areas added must be suitable for urban growth and contiguous. The revision may not result in an increase in the total surface area of the existing UGA.	
A jurisdiction's transportation element and capital facility plan element must identify the transportation facilities, public facilities, and related services needed to serve the added areas to the UGA, including funding sources.	
RCW 36.70A.600, .070 and Ch. 43.21C RCW (SEPA) SB 5818 – Relating to promoting housing construction in cities through amendments to and limiting appeals under SEPA and the GMA. Effective date: June 9, 2022	
Brief Description:	
Any nonproject action taken by a fully planning city to implement certain optional planning actions to increase residential building capacity is permanently exempt from administrative and judicial appeal under SEPA. The adoption of ordinances, development regulations, and amendments to such regulations and other nonproject actions taken by a fully planning city that increases housing capacity and affordability and mitigates displacement, outside of critical areas, are exempt from	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2022 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
administrative and judicial appeals under SEPA, except for nonproject actions having a probable significant adverse impact on fish habitat.	·
The SEPA exemption for project actions related to a residential, multifamily, or mixed-use development on the basis of or impacts to the transportation elements of the environment only applies if WSDOT has not found that the project will present significant adverse impacts to the state-owned transportation system. Impacts to aesthetics or light and glare are exempt from SEPA if the project is subject to adopted design review requirements.	
Ecology must undergo expedited rulemaking to modify rule-based SEPA categorical exemptions to SEPA as follows:	
 Add four attached single-family residential units to the current exemption for certain types of construction. 	
 Create a new exemption level for single-family residential project types with a total square footage of fewer than 1500 square feet in incorporated UGAs of at least 100 units. Increase the exemption level for multifamily residential project types in incorporated UGAs from 60 units to 200 units. 	
 Add the following sentence to the categorical exemptions for minor new construction: "The city, town, or county must document the result of its outreach with the department of transportation on impacts to state-owned transportation facilities, including consideration of whether mitigation is necessary for impacts to state-owned transportation facilities." 	
Any applicant whose project qualifies as exempt under SEPA is not required to file an environmental checklist if other information is available to establish that a project qualifies for an exemption.	
Title 70A RCW (Environmental Health and Safety), Ch. 36.70A RCW (GMA), Ch. 36.70 RCW (Planning Enabling Act), and related statutes HB 1799 – Relating to organic materials management	Counties and cities
Effective date: June 9, 2022	
Brief description:	
Beginning January 1, 2027, each county or city that implements a local solid waste plan must provide source-separated organic waste collection services at least either biweekly or 26 weeks annually to all residents and non-residential customers that generate at least 0.25 cubic yards of organic materials per week, and must provide for organic materials management of collected organic materials. Cities and counties may charge and collect fees or rates for these services, consistent with existing authority to impose fees and rates for solid waste collection services. These requirements do not apply to certain jurisdictions and certain areas described in the bill.	
Jurisdictions implementing local solid waste management plans may not site the increase or expansion of an existing organic materials management facility that processed more than 200,000 tons of material relative to 2019 levels, except that this limitation does not apply to anaerobic digesters.	
By January 1, 2023, cities and counties with a population of at least 25,000 or in which organic material collection services are provided must adopt a compost procurement ordinance to implement the 2020 requirement for local governments to consider the use of compost products in projects and to use compose products in a project except when availability, health, quality, safety, or price-competitive criteria are not met. They must develop strategies to inform residents	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2022 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
regarding the jurisdiction's use of compost and the value of compost and give priority to purchasing compost products that produce compost locally, are certified by a nationally recognized organization, the product products derived from municipal solid waste compost programs, and that meet quality standards. The bill creates additional procurement options for local governments.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2021 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
RCW 36.70A.020, .030, .070, .390; chapter 35A.21 RCW; chapter 35.21 RCW HB 1220 – Relating to supporting emergency shelters and housing through local planning and development regulations. (Ch. 254 Laws 2021) Effective date: July 25, 2021	Counties and cities
Brief Description:	
Commerce will provide jurisdictions with existing and projected housing needs that identify the number of housing units necessary to manage projected growth, including units for moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households as well as emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent supportive housing.	
The housing element of comprehensive plans is updated to require GMA planning counties and cities to do the following:	
 Include moderate density housing options within the UGA and include mandatory provisions for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing. Identify sufficient land and zoning capacities for the following housing types based on the housing needs provided by Commerce: moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households; emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent supportive housing; and within the UGA, consideration of duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes. Plan for and accommodate, rather than just encourage the availability of, affordable housing for the economic segments described above by doing the following: Incorporate special consideration for low, very low, extremely low, and moderate-income households; Document programs and actions needed to achieve housing availability, including gaps in local funding, barriers such as development regulations, and other limitations; Consider the role of ADUs in meeting housing needs. Identify local policies and regulations that result in racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing and implement policies and regulations to address and being to undo them. Identify areas at high risk of displacement from market forces that occur with changes to zoning, development regulations, and capital investments. Establish anti-displacement policies 	
Cities may not prohibit transitional housing or permanent supportive housing in any zones where residential dwelling units or hotels are allowed. Cities may not prohibit indoor emergency shelters or indoor emergency housing in any zones where hotels are allowed, except for cities that have	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2021 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
adopted an ordinance authorizing such shelters and housing in a majority of zones within a 1-mile proximity to transit. Cities may impose reasonable occupancy and use regulations on such shelters and housing but those regulations may not prevent the siting of a sufficient number to accommodate the need.	
RCW 36.70A.330 and RCW 43.155.070; chapters 35A.14 RCW, 36.70A RCW, 43.160 RCW, 80.36 RCW, and 43.330 RCW. SB 5368 – Relating to encouraging rural economic development. (Ch. 312 Laws 2021) Effective date: July 25, 2021	Counties and Cities
Brief Description:	
This bill allows code cities and counties to enter into an interlocal agreement for the purpose of facilitating city annexation of unincorporated UGA territory, including collaborating on the jurisdictional transfer of commercial, industrial, and residential properties and facilities.	
The bill also authorizes the Growth Management Hearings Board to refer a finding of noncompliance to Commerce to facilitate a speedy resolution.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2020 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A.130	Counties and cities
HB 2342 – Relating to aligning the timing of comprehensive plan updates required by the growth	
management act with the timing of shoreline master program updates required by the shoreline	
management act. (Ch. 113 Laws 2020)	
Effective date: 6/11/2020	
Effective date (Section 2): 7/1/2025	
Brief Description:	
This amendment updated the GMA periodic update schedule to better align the GMA update cycle	
with the census and makes associated changes to the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) schedule.	
The new GMA schedule took effect June 11, 2020. (The new SMA schedule changes, RCW 90.58.080,	
changes take effect July 1, 2025.)	
New CMA pariadic undata schodula:	
 <u>New GMA periodic update schedule</u>: The following counties, and the cities within them, are required to review and, if needed, 	
 The following counties, and the cities within them, are required to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations by June 30, 2024, and every 	
eight years thereafter: King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish.	
 The following counties, and the cities within them, are required to review and, if needed, 	
revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations by June 30, 2025, and every	
eight years thereafter: Clallam, Clark, Island, Jefferson, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit,	
Thurston, and Whatcom.	
 The following counties, and the cities within them, are required to review and, if needed, 	
revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations by June 30, 2026, and every	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2020 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
 eight years thereafter: Benton, Chelan, Cowlitz, Douglas, Franklin, Kittitas, Skamania, Spokane, Walla Walla, and Yakima. The following counties, and the cities within them, are required to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations by June 30, 2027, and every eight years thereafter: Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Garfield, Grant, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, and Whitman. 	
RCW 36.70A.600 through .620, and RCW 36.70A.030. HB 2343 – Relating to urban housing supply. (Ch. 173 Laws 2020) Effective date: 6/11/2020	Cities
Brief Description:	
 Adds to provisions of E2SHB 1923 (2019), extending timelines and adding to the list of activities that cities are encouraged to take in order to increase residential building capacity. The date by which cities must take certain planning actions to increase residential building capacity in order for those actions to be exempt from administrative or judicial appeal under the GMA and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) is changed from April 1, 2021, to April 1, 2023. Reduces requirements for bus frequency from four times an hour to two times an hour for very or extremely low income (30-50% AMI) relating to parking reductions. Adds parking reductions for market rate housing: "For market rate multifamily housing units that are located within one-quarter mile of a transit stop that receives transit service at least four times per hour for twelve or more hours per day, minimum residential parking requirements may be no greater than one parking space per bedroom or .75 space per unit. A city may establish a requirement for the provision of more than one parking space per bedroom or .75 space per unit if the jurisdiction has determined a particular housing unit to be in an area with a lack of access to street parking capacity, physical space impediments, or other reasons supported by evidence that would make on-street parking infeasible for the unit." The GMA definition of "permanent supportive housing" is modified. 	
RCW 36.70A.696 through .699 SB 6617 – Relating to accessory dwelling unit regulation. (Ch. 217 Laws 2020) Effective date: 6/11/2020	Cities
Brief Description:	
 Requires, by July 1, 2021, any city within a GMA county must adopt or amend regulations so as to not require off-street parking for accessory dwelling units (ADUs) within 0.25 mile of a "major transit stop" unless the city determines the ADU is in an area with a lack of access to street parking capacity, physical space impediments, or other reasons that would make on-street parking infeasible for the ADU. A city that has adopted or substantively amended its ADU regulations within the previous four years is exempt from the new ADU requirements regarding off-street parking. "Major transit stop" is defined as: A stop on certain high capacity transportation systems; Commuter rail stops; 	
 Commuter rail stops; Stops on rail or fixed guideway systems, including transit-ways; 	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2020 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
 Stops on bus rapid transit routes or routes that run on high occupancy vehicle lanes; or Stops for a bus or other transit mode providing fixed route service at intervals of at least 15 minutes during the peak hours of operation. 	
RCW 36.70A.200 HB 2640 – Relating to clarifying that facilities that are operated by a private entity in which persons are detained in custody under process of law pending the outcome of legal proceedings are not essential public facilities under the growth management act. (Ch. 128 Laws 2020) Effective date: 3/25/2020	Counties and cities
Brief Description: This bill updates the GMA provision governing the siting of essential public facilities, and exclude private detention facilities from the definition of essential public facilities. It further clarifies that this exclusions does not apply to mental health facilities. Those facilities remain essential public facilities. It applies to only facilities for pretrial detention. It applies retroactively as well as prospectively.	
RCW 36.70A.250 through .280 SB 6574 – Relating to clarifying the respective administrative powers, duties, and responsibilities of the growth management hearings board and the environmental land use and hearings office. (Ch. 214 Laws 2020) Effective date: 6/11/2020	Counties, cities and members of the public
Brief Description: This bill is governor request legislation designed to align the structure and practice of the Growth Management Hearings Board (GMHB) with the rest of the Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office to improve administration. The bill changes the size of the board, adjusts the qualifications of board members and the procedures for appointing board members and makes other miscellaneous changes to the composition and operations of the GMHB.	
RCW 43.21C.229 HB 2673 – Relating to exemptions for infill development under the state environmental policy act. (Ch. 87 Laws 2020) Effective date: 6/11/2020	Counties and cities
Brief Description: This bill amends RCW 43.21C.229, and changes the standard for use of optionally SEPA categorical exemption for infill development to include development in areas where population is roughly equal to projections in comprehensive plan and development regulations, rather than limiting it to areas where it is less than such projections.	
RCW 84.14.020 HB 2950 – Relating to addressing affordable housing needs through the multifamily housing tax exemption by providing an extension of the exemption until January 1, 2022, for certain properties currently receiving a twelve-year exemption and by convening a work group. (Ch. 237 Laws 2020) Governor partial veto – Section 3 not approved. Effective date: 6/11/2020	Counties and cities

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2020 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Brief Description: This bill extends the multifamily property tax exemption (MFTE) for certain properties through	
December 31, 2021.	
<u>Governor's partial veto</u> : Section 3 directs the Department of Commence to contract with a nonprofit facilitator to convene a work group to study and make recommendations on certain aspects of the multifamily property tax exemption program. The department is also required to provide a follow-up report to the Legislature and the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee by December 1, 2020. However, the work required under Section 3 is not funded in the budget. For these reasons I have vetoed Section 3 of Substitute House Bill 2950.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2019 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
RCW 36.70A.545 (and RCW 35A.63.300, and RCW 35.63.280) HB 1377 – Relating to affordable housing development on religious organization property. (Ch. 218 Laws 2019) Effective date: 7/28/2019	Counties and cities
 Brief Description: A city planning under certain planning enabling statutes, or a city or county fully planning under the GMA, must allow an increased density bonus consistent with local needs for any affordable housing development of any single-family or multifamily residence located on real property owned or controlled by a religious organization if the affordable housing development under certain conditions outlined under RCW 36.70A.545. A city or town, code city, or county may develop policies to implement the increased density bonus if it receives a request from a religious organization for the increased density bonus. The religious organization developing the qualifying affordable housing must pay all fees, mitigation costs, and other charges required and, if applicable, should work with local transit agencies to ensure appropriate transit services are provided to the affordable housing development. An affordable housing development created by a religious institution within a city or county fully planning under the GMA must be located within an urban growth area. 	
RCW 36.70A.600 through 620; and RCW 36.70A.030 HB 1923 – Relating to increasing urban residential building capacity. (Ch. 348 Laws 2019) Effective date: 7/28/2019 Effective date (Section 11): 7/1/2019	Cities
Brief Description: This is a multifaceted bill designed to increase residential capacity in larger cities.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2019 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
 Encourages fully planning cities to take certain actions designed to increase residential building capacity. The bill lists twelve qualifying measures they are encouraged to adopt. If a city intends to adopt actions before July 30, 2021 they can apply to Commerce for a grant of up to \$100,000 to support the effort. Implementation actions taken before this deadline are also shielded from SEPA and GMA appeal. Cities may also gain eligibility through development of a housing action plan. A housing action plan is an expanded version of the housing needs analysis. The bill also directs the Washington Center for Real Estate Research to produce a report every two years that compiles housing supply and affordability metrics for all fully planning cities. This data is designed for use with drafting the housing action plan. The bill also contains two mandatory requirements designed to reduce pressure on housing supply. The first is a requirement to all permanent supportive housing in all multifamily areas. The second is limitations on minimum parking requirements. In order to fund the grants and the production of the housing data profiles, the bill establishes a \$2.50 increase in the document-recording fee. 	
 RCW 43.330.515 and .520 SB 5748 – Relating to creating an account to support necessary infrastructure nearby military installations. (Ch. 404 Laws 2019) Effective date: 7/28/2019 Brief Description: The bill creates the defense community compatibility account. The account funds grants to local governments, or entities who have an agreement with a military installation under the Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration (REPI) program. Eligible projects include: Acquisition of real property or real property interests to eliminate an existing incompatible use; Projects to jointly assist in the recovery or protection of endangered species dependent on military installation property for habitat; Projects or programs to increase the availability of housing affordable to enlisted military personnel and nonmilitary residents in the local community. Projects to retrofit existing uses to increase their compatibility with existing military operations. Projects to enable local communities heavily dependent on a nearby military installation to diversify the local economy so as to reduce the economic dependence on the military base; Projects that aid communities to replace jobs lost in the event of a reduction of the military presence; 	Counties and cities, and certain entities also identified in this bill.
 Local infrastructure or facilities necessary to help a community accommodate an expanded military presence in their community; Projects that improve or enhance aspects of the local economy, environment, or quality of life impacted by the presence of military activities. Commerce must produce a biennial report with a prioritized list of projects, and may develop rules to implement this section. 	
RCW 36.70A.270 SB 5151 – Relating to requiring the growth management hearings board to topically index the rulings, decisions, and orders it publishes. (Ch. 452 Laws 2019) Effective date: 7/28/2019	Counties, cities, and members of the public.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2019 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Brief Description: Environmental & Land Use Hearings Office must coordinate with the Growth Management Hearings Board, the Department of Commerce, and other interested stakeholders to develop and maintain a rational system of categorizing rulings, decisions, and orders. The website must allow a user to search GMHB decisions and orders by topic, party, and geographic location or by natural language. All rulings, decisions, and orders issued before January 1, 2019, must be published by June 30, 2021.	

2018 Legislative Session

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2018 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A.590	Counties and cities
SB 6091 - Relating to ensuring that water is available to support development.	
(Ch.1 Laws 2018) Effective date 1/19/2018	
Brief Description:	
Addresses the availability of water to support development. For the purposes of complying with the	
GMA relating to surface and groundwater resources, a county or city may rely on or refer to	
applicable minimum instream flow rules adopted by Ecology. Development regulations must ensure	
that proposed water uses are consistent with the permit-exempt groundwater statute and with	
applicable rules when making building permit and subdivision decisions.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2017 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A.211 and .212	Pierce County
HB 1017 – Relating to the siting of schools and school facilities.	
(Ch. 129 Laws 17) Governor vetoed Section 1.	
Effective date 7/23/2017	
Brief Description (Sections 2-3):	
 Pierce County may authorize the siting of a school in a rural area to serve students from an urban area, even when otherwise prohibited by multicounty policies if the county has adopted a comprehensive plan policy concerning the siting of schools in rural areas. Such a school may not collect impact fees. 	
• Vision 2040, the multicounty planning policy document is to be amended at its next update (2020) to include a policy addressing the siting of schools in rural areas. (This policy would cover all four PSRC counties).	
• Each school district that sites schools under Section 2 must participate in the county's next	
GMA update (due in 2023 for Pierce County), to:	
 Coordinate on enrollment forecasts and projections 	
 Identify school siting criteria, with the county, cities and PSRC 	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2017 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
 Identify suitable school sites with the county and cities with priority to siting urban serving schools in existing cities and towns in locations where students can safely walk and bicycle to school from the homes, and can effectively served by transit Identify schools costs and include this in the capital facilities plan element. 	
<u>Governors' partial veto (Section 1)</u> **: First, any extension of urban services to serve a rural school must be limited to the size and scale needed to support the long-term needs of the school. Second, the land surrounding a new rural school must maintain its rural character and housing density as specified in RCW 36.70A.070(5). Finally, in order for schools to be sited outside the Urban Growth Boundary Line, school districts must demonstrate that there is no suitable land available within the Urban Growth Area. For these reasons I have vetoed Section 1 of Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1017.	
** Note: See HB 2243 (2017) below.	
RCW 37.70A.690 HB 1503 – Relating to preventing unfunded mandates involving on-site sewage systems from affecting local governments and property owners. (Ch. 105 Laws 17) Effective date 7/23/2017 Brief Description:	Counties and cities. Property owners (pertaining to self- inspection of septic systems)
 Declares that the Growth Management Act (GMA) does not preclude counties from certifying homeowners, or their family members or tenants, to inspect their on-site sewage systems (OSS). 	
 Declares that counties are not relived of the obligation to protect water quality under the GMA. Governor signed 	
RCW 36.70A.030, .060, .070, and .108 SB 5517 – Concerning rail dependent uses for purposes of the growth management act and related development regulations. Governor vetoed	Clark, Okanogan
 Brief Description: Adds definitions of "freight rail dependent uses" and "short line railroad" to the Growth Management Act (GMA). 	
 Direct the Department of Commerce to submit a report to the Legislature by November 15 of each-even numbered year, beginning in 2022 and ending in 2032, that describes any job gains, tax impact, and impacts to resource lands resulting from freight rail dependent uses sited under the GMA. 	
 Authorized Clark and Okanogan counties to allow rail dependent industrial uses on resource lands adjacent to short line railroads. 	
 Authorizes Clark and Okanogan counties to include development of freight rail dependent uses on land adjacent to railroad lines and infrastructure in the transportation element of their comprehensive plan. 	
RCW 36.70A.110 <i>HB 1683 – Addressing sewer service within urban growth areas.</i> (Chapter 305 Laws 2017) Effective date 7/23/2017	Counties and cities. Utility districts and Property owners.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2017 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities Other interested parties affected
Brief Description: Specifies that GMA fully planning counties, cities, and utilities are not obligated to install sanitary sewer systems to certain properties within urban growth areas served by on-site sewage systems.	
HB 2243-Concerning the siting of schools and school facilities Governor signed C32 L 2017 3 rd Special Session. Effective date 10/19/2017	Counties and cities
 Brief Description: Provides that the Growth Management Act (GMA) does not prohibit a county planning fully under the GMA from authorizing the extension of public facilities and utilities to serve a school located in a rural area that serves students from a rural area and an urban area, so long as certain requirements are met. Authorizes the extension of public facilities and utilities extended to a school located outside an Urban Growth Area (UGA),. Provides that the GMA does not prohibit the expansion, modernization, or placement of portable classrooms at an existing school in a rural area. Directs the Department of Commerce to submit a report to the Legislature in 2023 that reports on the schools built under this legislation. Note: how this is related to ESHB 1017: During the 2017 Legislative Session, the Legislature passed Engrossed Substitute House Bill (ESHB) 1017, which dealt with the topic of siting schools in rural areas under the GMA. Governor signed ESHB 1017 into law, but in so doing, vetoed section 1 of ESHB 1017. The vetoed provisions were signed into law as part of HB 2243. 	
SB 5254–Relating to ensuring adequacy of buildable lands and zoning in urban growth areas and providing funding for low-income housing and homeless programs Governor signed C16, L 2017 3 Rd Special Session, Effective date 10/19/2017 Brief Description:	Buildable Lands Counties: Clark, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties.
 Extends the \$40 local homeless housing and assistance surcharge to 2023. Allows revenue from the local real estate excise tax (REET II) to be used for homeless housing development through 2019, subject to certain conditions. Makes certain changes to the Growth Management Act's buildable lands program through 2030, including making Whatcom County subject to buildable lands program requirements and requiring that county buildable land reports be completed at least two years prior to scheduled comprehensive plan updates. Requires the Department of Commerce to contract for the development of buildable lands program guidance for use by local governments. Exempts projects with environmental impacts that have been addressed in a planned actions designated by local governments that encompass areas located near transit stops from further environmental review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). 	Note: Portions of the bill only affect newly added Whatcom County.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2017 Legislative Session	Counties/Cities
	Other interested
	parties affected
RCW 36.70A, .070	Cities, Counties
SSB 5790 – Concerning the economic development element of the growth management act.	
Governor partial veto – Section 3 not approved. Chapter 331, 2017 Laws PV, Effective date	
7/23/2017	
Brief Description:	
The bill amends the rural element requirement to allow innovative techniques that will	
accommodate appropriate rural economic advancement, densities, and uses. It also removes the	
following provisions from the economic development element:	
A summary of the local economy	
 A summary of the strengths and weaknesses of the local economy, and 	
 An identification of policies, programs, and projects to foster economic growth and 	
development and to address future needs.	
The Governor partially vetoed a section of the bill that would have allowed smaller counties to	
identify stagnate or deteriorating economic industries in rural areas and "seize economic	
opportunities that may deviate" from the GMA in order to encourage economic development. The	
vetoed section would have required the GMHB to afford deference to local development choices	
that prioritize economic development in rural areas for certain jurisdictions.	
	Cities and Counties
SB 5806-Concerning preliminary work to develop a process for planning for a new interstate 5	
bridge spanning the Columbia river. Governor signed. C288 L2017. Effective date 7/23/2017	
The process for designating a project of statewide significance is modified to allow for a legislative	
designation. Projects of statewide significance that are designated by the Legislature are exempted	
from the application requirements.	

2016 Legislative Session

No Growth Management Act Amendments	Cities/Counties
for 2016 Legislative Session	Affected

2015 Legislative Session

RC	W, Bill Number, Brief Description for 2015 Legislative Session	Cities/Counties Affected
	W 36.70A.035	Counties, Cities
SB	5238 – Concerning public water systems' public participation notice provisions.	
Bri	ef Description:	
•	The list of persons and entities that public participation requirements of GMA must, through notice procedures, must also be reasonably calculated to provide notice of proposed amendments to comprehensive plans and development regulations is expanded to include Group A public water systems that are required to develop water system plans. Group A water systems either have 15 or more service connections, regularly serve 25 or more people 60 or more days per year, or serve 1,000 or more people for two or more consecutive days.	
RC	W 37.70A.070	Counties, cities, and
ESI	3 5923 – Promoting economic recovery in the construction industry	towns that collect
Bri	ef Description:	impact fees
•	Obligates counties, cities, and towns that collect impact fees to, by September 1, 2016, adopt and maintain a system for the deferred collection of impact fees for single-family detached and attached residential construction.	
•	Delays the starting of the six-year frame for satisfying transportation concurrency provisions of the Growth Management Act until deferred impact fees are due.	
•	Establishes impact fee deferral reporting requirements for the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee and the Department of Commerce.	
•	Makes all provisions effective September 1, 2016.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2014	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A.040, .060, .280 EHB 1224 – Providing a process for county legislative authorities to withdraw from voluntary planning under the GMA	Counties, Cities
Brief Description:	
• Allows a county that elected to fully plan under the Growth Management Act (GMA) and that has 20,000 or fewer inhabitants to reduce the planning obligations that it and the cities within must satisfy under the GMA.	
• Expires the authority of a county to reduce planning obligations for it and the cities within on December 31, 2015.	
• Establishes that a county action to reduce the planning obligations for it and the cities within may be invalidated if the county is not in compliance with certain planning requirements of	

RC	W, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2014	Cities/Counties Affected
	GMA at the time of the county's reduction action, and if the county does not rece3ived a	
	determination of compliance from the Department of Commerce (Commerce).	
	Makes compliance determinations by Commerce subject to review by the Growth Management	
	Hearings Board.	
•	Specifies that a county that reduces the planning obligations for it and the cities within must	
	satisfy requirements for natural resource lands, critical areas, the use of best available science	
	and the requirements established in the rural element of a comprehensive plan and the	
	associated development regulations.	
RC	W 36.70A.367	Counties, Cities
ΙB	1360 – Extending the deadline to designate one or more Industrial land banks	
Bri	ef Description:	
•	Extends the deadline for certain counties planning under the Growth Management Act and with	
	the authority to designate industrial land banks to identify and approve locations and then	
	adopt regulations for industrial land banks until December 31, 2016, rather than December 31,	
	2014.	
RC		Counties, Cities
	2014.	Counties, Cities
2SI	2014. W 36.70A.460	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description:	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 IB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process.	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects.	
2SI Bri •	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060	Counties, Cities
2SI Bri P	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects.	
	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 HB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas	
	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 BB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas ef Description:	
RC ¹ RC ¹ RC ¹ 2SS	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 HB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas ef Description: Rural counties may offer a property tax exemption for multi-family housing projects within	
2SI Bri RC 2SS gro Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 BB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas ef Description: Rural counties may offer a property tax exemption for multi-family housing projects within unincorporated urban growth areas.	
2SI Bri RC 2SS gro Bri	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 HB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas ef Description: Rural counties may offer a property tax exemption for multi-family housing projects within unincorporated urban growth areas. The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee must assess the performance of the tax	
2SI Bri • RC ¹ 2SS gro	2014. W 36.70A.460 HB 2251 – Fish barrier removals ef Description: Adds three new categories of fish habitat enhancement projects to the list of projects eligible for streamlined permitting under the Department of Fish and Wildlife's hydraulic project approval process. Directs WDFW to convene a fish passage barrier removal board, with representatives from state agencies, local and tribal governments, and other interested entities to coordinate removal projects. W 84.14.007, .010, .040, .060 BB 6330 – Promoting affordable housing in unincorporated areas of rural counties within urban wth areas ef Description: Rural counties may offer a property tax exemption for multi-family housing projects within unincorporated urban growth areas.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2013	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A.340	Counties, Cities
SHB 1883– Simplifying and updating statutes related to fuel tax administration	

f Description: Inds various statutes to reflect the consolidation of the fuel tax statutes, including a change in reference to the RCW chapter addressing fuel tax revenues that may be withheld from a city or onty by the Governor upon a notification by the Growth Management Hearings Board of inued non-compliance with the GMA by that city or county. 7 36.70A.070 3 1652 - Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety c://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-TO.pdf	Counties, Cities
reference to the RCW chapter addressing fuel tax revenues that may be withheld from a city or ty by the Governor upon a notification by the Growth Management Hearings Board of inued non-compliance with the GMA by that city or county. 7 36.70A.070 3 1652 – Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety ://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	Counties, Cities
hty by the Governor upon a notification by the Growth Management Hearings Board of inued non-compliance with the GMA by that city or county. / 36.70A.070 3 1652 – Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety ://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	Counties, Cities
inued non-compliance with the GMA by that city or county. 7 36.70A.070 8 1652 – Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety ://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	Counties, Cities
7 36.70A.070 3 1652 – Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety ://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	Counties, Cities
3 1652 – Impact fee payment Governor vetoed bill in its entirety ://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	Counties, Cities
://apps.leg.wa.gov/documents/billdocs/2013-14/Pdf/Bills/Vetoes/House/1652-	
<u>TO.pdf</u>	
f Description:	
Id have required counties and cities to provide for deferred payment of impact fees, and would	
delayed the starting of the six-year time frame for satisfying concurrency provisions for the	
vth Management Act until after the county or city received full payment of all deferred impact	
/s 36.70A.200, 36.70A.300, 43.17.250, 43.155.070, 70.146.070	Counties; Cities;
	state agencies,
	commissions, and
	governing boards
Establishes that, state agencies, commissions, and governing boards may not penalize	
jurisdictions during the period of remand following a finding of noncompliance by the Growth	
Management Hearings Board (GMHB) and the pendency of an appeal before GMHB or	
subsequent judicial appeals, unless GMHB makes a determination of invalidity, IF:	
 the local government has delayed the effective date of the action subject to the 	
petition until after GMHB issues a final determination; or,	
• within 30 days of receiving notice of a petition for review by GMHB, the local	
government delays or suspends the effective date of the action until after GMHB	
issues a final determination in order to not be penalized.	
A local jurisdiction may not be deemed ineligible or otherwise penalized, in the award of a state	
agency grant or loan during the pendency of the appeal before GMHB, or during any	
subsequent judicial appeals under certain circumstances.	
/s 35.91, 35.91.020, 43.21C, 82.02.020	Counties, Cities
3 1717– Up-front environmental planning	
f Description:	
Authorizes local governments to recover reasonable expenses incurred in the preparation of	
non-project environmental impact statements (EIS) for infill actions that are categorically	
exempt from requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act, and for development or	
redevelopment actions that qualify as planned actions.	
Requires that a county, city, or town enact an ordinance, prior to the collection of fees to cover	
reasonable expenses incurred in the preparation of the EIS, which establishes the total amount	
of expenses to be recovered through fees, and provides objective standards for determining the	
fee amount imposed upon each development proposal; provides a procedure by which an	
applicant may pay the fees under protest; and makes information available about the amount	
of the expenses designated for recovery.	
Modifies provisions governing contracting between qualifying municipalities and real estate	
owners for the construction or improvement of water or sewer facilities by making the	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2013	Cities/Counties Affected
contracts mandatory, at the owner's request, and by allowing municipalities to collect associated fees.	
RCW 34.05 HB 1112– Concerning standards for the use of Science to support public policy	Department of Fish and Wildlife
Brief Description:	
Directs the Department of Fish and Wildlife to identify the sources of information reviewed an	d
relied on before taking a significant agency action. The requirement applies to actions includin	g
those resulting in species recovery plans, certain types of rulemaking, and guidance to support	
implementation of a rule or statute.	
RCW 34.05 HB 1113 – Concerning standards for the use of Science to support public policy	Department of Ecology
Brief Description:	
Requires the Department of Ecology to identify peer-reviewed science, scientific literature, and	b
other sources of information being relied upon before taking significant agency actions related	to
	to
other sources of information being relied upon before taking significant agency actions related certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475	Counties, Cities
certain agency programs.	Counties, Cities
certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool progra	Counties, Cities
certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool progra	Counties, Cities
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. 	m 30,
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. 	m Counties, Cities 30, te ve a
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 	m Counties, Cities 30, te ve a Counties, Cities,
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 HB 1644 – Concerning transportation planning objectives and performance measures for local 	m Counties, Cities m, Counties, Cities 30, te ve a Counties, Cities, al and Regional
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 HB 1644 – Concerning transportation planning objectives and performance measures for local 	m Counties, Cities 30, te ve a al and Counties, Cities, Regional Transportation
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 HB 1644 – Concerning transportation planning objectives and performance measures for local regional agencies. 	m Counties, Cities 30, te Ve a Counties, Cities, Regional Transportation Planning
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool program Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to recein state sales and use tax credit. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 HB 1644 – Concerning transportation planning objectives and performance measures for local regional agencies. 	m Counties, Cities 30, te ve a al and Counties, Cities, Regional Transportation
 certain agency programs. RCWs 39.102, 39.102.020, 39.102.140, 39.102.150, 39.102.904, 82.14.475 E2SHB 1306 – Extending the expiration dates of the local infrastructure financing tool progra Brief Description: Extends the expiration date of the Local Infrastructure Financing Tool program from June 2039, to June 30, 2044. Requires local jurisdictions to commence construction by June 30, 2017, to impose the star shared local sales and use tax. Removes the requirement that a sponsoring local government issue indebtedness to receiption. 	m Counties, Cities m Counties, Cities 30, te Counties, Cities, al and Counties, Cities, Regional Transportation Planning Organizations

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2012	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A.180	Counties, Cities
HB 2834 – Relating to providing cost savings for local governments by reducing a limited number of reporting requirements.	
Brief Description:	
Eliminates a requirement obligating jurisdictions that fully plan under the Growth Management Act	
(GMA) to submit reports to the Department of Commerce every five years regarding the progress by	
that jurisdiction in implementing the GMA is eliminated. Other county and city reporting requirements are also eliminated.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2012	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 90.58.190 EHB 2671 – Clarifying procedures for appealing department of ecology final action on a local shoreline mater program by ensuring consistency with existing procedural provisions of the growth management act, chapter 36.70A RCW, the administrative procedure act, chapter 34.50 RCW, and the state environmental policy act, chapter 43.21C RCW.	Counties, Cities
Brief Description: Amends certain standards and procedures relating to the review of shoreline master programs by the Growth Management Hearings Board, Shoreline Hearings Board, and Superior courts.	
RCW 36.70A.030 SB 5292 – Exempting irrigation and drainage ditches from the definition of critical areas.	Counties, Cities
Brief Description: Within the definition of critical areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas do not include artificial features or constructs, including irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of and are maintained by a port district or an irrigation district or company.	
RCW 36.70A, 36.70A.130 SB 5995 – Authorizing urban growth area boundary modifications for industrial land.	Counties located east of the crest of the Cascade
Brief Description: A city planning under the GMA may request that a county amend the UGA within which the city is located. A city's request to the county to amend the UGA should be done as part of the county's annual comprehensive plan amendment process and must meet the county's application deadline for that year's comprehensive plan amendment process. The requests are subject to certain conditions.	Mountains with a population of more than 100,000 and less than 200,000. (Benton County)
RCW 43.21C SB 6082 – Regarding the preservation and conservation of agricultural resource lands.	Counties, Cities planning under the GMA are to
Brief Description: Department of Ecology will conduct rulemaking by December 31, 2013, to review and consider whether the current environmental checklist ensures consideration of potential impacts to agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance.	designate and protect agricultura lands of long term commercial significance.
RCW 36.70A.490, 36.70A.500 2ESSB 6406 – Modifying programs that provide for the protection of the state's natural resources.	Counties, Cities
Brief Description: By December 31, 2013, DOE must update the thresholds for all other project actions, create categorical exemptions for minor code amendments that do not lessen environmental protection, and propose methods for more closely integrating SEPA with the Growth Management Act. Other changes to SEPA and local development provisions include authorizing money in the Growth Management Planning and Environmental Review Fund to be used to make loans, in addition to grants, to local governments for specified purposes; and authorizing lead agencies to identify within an environmental checklist items that are adequately covered by other legal authorities, although a lead entity may not ignore or delete a question.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2011	Cities/Counties
	Affected
RCW 36.70A, 36.70A.130, 36.70A.280	All counties must
ESHB 1886 - Implementing Recommendations of the Ruckelshaus Center process.	decide if they are
	going to opt-in by
Brief Description:	January 22, 2012.
The <u>Voluntary Stewardship Program</u> is established as an alternative to protecting critical areas on	
lands used for agricultural activities through development regulations adopted under RCW	Does not apply to
36.60A.060. The Program must be designed to protect and enhance critical areas on lands used for agricultural activities through voluntary actions by agricultural operators. The Washington State	incorporated cities or towns.
Conservation Commission (Commission) is charged with administering the Program.	or towns.
Click <u>here</u> to view a description of the timelines in the Program.	
RCW 36.70A.080	King, Pierce, and
ESSB 5253 - Concerning tax increment financing for landscape conservation and local	Snohomish
infrastructure.	Counties, and the Cities within.
Brief Description:	
Provides financing tool for certain cities in King, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties to invest in	
infrastructure in designated receiving areas for transfers of development rights (TDR). Eligible cities are cities with a population of 22,500 or more in the three counties. Consistent with the regional	
TDR program in Chapter 43.362, transfers must be from county sending areas to incorporated city	
receiving areas.	
RCW 36.70A.130, 36.70A.215	Counties, Cities
ESHB 1478 Delaying or modifying certain regulatory and statutory requirements affecting cities and counties.	
Brief Description:	
Extends timeframes within which local government entities must comply with requirements pertaining to reviews, revisions, and evaluations under the Growth Management Act.	
The comprehensive plan and development regulation/critical areas ordinance review and revision schedule of the Growth Management Act is modified to require counties and cities to take such	
action every eight years, rather than every seven years, and to reallocate review and revision years for some jurisdictions.	
An additional two years for meeting the review and requirements is granted to smaller and slow	
growing counties and cities. The date by which the initial review and revision requirements must be completed for the first bloc of counties and cities is June 30, 2015, rather than December 1, 2014. County reviews of decignated when growth areas must also be completed according to	
2014. County reviews of designated urban growth areas must also be completed according to this schedule, and evaluation requirements for the buildable lands program must be completed by counties and cities one year before the applicable review and revision deadline.	
Also included are extensions for the timelines for expending and encumbering impact fees; and shoreline master programs.	
RCW 36.70A.290	Counties and Cities

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2011	Cities/Counties Affected
SSB 5192 - Concerning provisions for notifications and appeals timelines under the shoreline management act.	
Brief Description : Makes numerous technical changes to effective date provisions for shoreline master programs and to notification and timing requirements governing appeals under the Shoreline Management Act.	
RCW 36.70A.340 SSB 5797 - Eliminating the urban arterial trust account.	None
Brief Description: Merges the Urban Arterial Trust Account into the Transportation Improvement Account.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2010	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A.480 EHB 1653 - Clarifying the Integration of Shoreline Management Act policies with the Growth Management Act.	All counties and cities with shorelines.
Brief Description: Modifies provisions in the Growth Management Act (GMA) pertaining to the integration of the GMA and the Shoreline Management Act. Establishes new provisions in the GMA pertaining to the regulation and protection of critical areas that are located within shorelines of the state. Declares an emergency and establishes a July 27, 2003, application date. Clarifies that, with certain exceptions, critical area regulations adopted under the GMA apply within Shoreline areas. These regulations apply until Ecology approves either a comprehensive, new shoreline management program (SMP) that meets Ecology's guidelines, or a SMP amendment specifically related to critical areas. The new law specifies that legally existing structures and uses in shoreline areas that are within protection zones created by local critical areas ordinances (CAOs) may continue as conforming uses. The law also provides criteria about how these structures and uses may be redeveloped or modified. In addition, the bill also addresses existing and ongoing farming practices.	
RCW 36.70A ESHB 2538 - Regarding High-Density Urban Development - Encourages certain cities that plan under the GMA to include compact development in their comprehensive plans. Brief Description: Requires the development of a non-project environmental impact statement for a compact development plan included in a comprehensive plan. Provides for immunity of appeals for proposals that are covered by a non-project environmental impact statement for the compact development area. Encourages establishment of a transfer of development rights program for cities that include compact development in their comprehensive plans. Provides funding incentives to assist with the cost of developing a non-project environmental impact statement for a compact development plan.	A city with a population greater than 5,000 that is required to plan under the GMA. A city of any size required to comply with the GMA and is located on the east side of the Cascade Mountain in a county with a population of 230,00 or less may elect to

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2010	Cities/Counties Affected
	development elements.
Referenced throughout the RCW	None.
E2SHB 2658	
Brief Description: The "Department of Commerce" is created to replace the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development. By November 1, 2009, the Director is to develop a report, with analysis and recommendations for the Governor and appropriate legislative committees, on statutory changes for effective operation of the department. This is to be done in collaboration with the Office of Financial Management, the Governor's Office, the Economic Development Commission, and legislators from policy and fiscal committees. Input from a broad range of stakeholders is required. The Code Reviser is directed to prepare legislation for the 2010 legislative session that changes all statutory references from the "Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development" to the "Department of Commerce."	
RCW 36.70C.020 HB 2740 - Regarding the definition of Land Use Decision in the Land Use Petition Act Brief Description: Amends the Land Use Petition Act (LUPA) to clarify when the 21-day time limit for the filing of	A county or city processing motions for reconsideration under LUPA.
judicial appeals to local land use decisions begins.	
RCW 36.70A SHB 2935 - Regarding Environmental and Land Use Hearings Boards	None.
Brief Description : Creates the Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office by consolidating the powers, duties, and functions of the Environmental Hearings Office and the Growth Management Hearings Boards. Reduces the number of state boards that conduct administrative review of environmental and land use decisions.	
RCW 36.70A.110, .130, .172, .250, .260, .270, .280, .290 SSB 6214 - Restructuring the three Growth Management Hearings Boards into one Board	None.
Brief Description: Consolidates the powers, duties, and functions of the three regional Growth Management Hearings Boards into a single, seven-member Growth Management Hearings Board. Specifies that petitions for review before the consolidated board must be heard and decided by a regional panel of three board members. Specifies provisions for the adjudicative and operational functioning of the consolidated board.	
RCW 36.70A.200 SB 6279 - Clarifying Regional Transit Authority Facilities as Essential Public Facilities.	A county or city planning under GMA.
Brief Description: Adds regional transit authority facilities to the list of essential public facilities delineated under the GMA.	
RCW 36.70A.5601	A county or city that intends to amend or

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2010	Cities/Counties Affected
SSB 6520 - Extending time to complete recommendations under RCW 36.70A.5601 conducted by the William D. Ruckelshaus Center	adopt a CAO affecting agricultural lands.
Brief Description: Extends a provision that temporarily prohibits counties and cities from amending or adopting certain changes to critical areas ordinances (CAOs) by one additional year to July 1, 2011. Specifies that counties and cities subject to the temporary prohibition are required to review and, if necessary, revise their applicable CAOs between July 1, 2011 and December 1, 2012. Grants the William D. Ruckelshaus Center, in completing its examination of the conflicts between agricultural activities and CAOs, one additional year to conclude certain examination tasks and a final report by September 1, 2010.	
RCW 36.70A.130 SSB 6611 - Extending the deadlines for the review and evaluation of comprehensive land use plan and development regulations for three years and addressing the timing for adopting certain subarea plans. Brief Description:	See below first column Brief Description on SSB 6611 for Cities/Counties Affected for this bill.
Establishes a new recurring seven-year review and revision schedule for comprehensive plans and development regulations adopted under the GMA, which includes jurisdictions that had a December 1, 2007 deadline that qualified for and used a former three year extension. (Note: These new deadlines take effect following the existing requirement by jurisdictions to complete the review of comprehensive plans and development regulations between December 1, 2004 and December 1, 2007).	
Establishes and modifies requirements applicable to subarea plans in provisions of the GMA that generally prohibit comprehensive plan amendments from occurring more frequently than annually. Such subarea plans must clarify, supplement, or implement jurisdiction-wide comprehensive plan policies, and may only be adopted after appropriate environmental review under SEPA.	
In addition, amendment of a comprehensive plan to take place more than once per year when the amendment is for a subarea plan for economic development located outside a 100-year floodplain in a county that completed a state-funded pilot project based on watershed characterization and local habitat assessment.	•
Cities/Counties Affected: On or before December 1, 2014, and every seven years thereafter, for Clallam, Clark, Jefferson, King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;	
On or before December 1, 2015, and every seven years thereafter, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, and Skamania counties and the cities within those counties;	
On or before December 1, 2016, and every seven years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those Counties;	
On or before December 1, 2017, and every seven years thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield, Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2010	Cities/Counties Affected
Exceptions include a three-year extension for qualifying counties with fewer than 50,000 residents, qualifying cities with fewer than 5,000 residents, and provisions for jurisdictions making substantial progress with certain regulatory requirements.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2009	Cities/Counti
	es Affected
RCW 36.70A	Snohomish,
2SHB 1481 - Regarding Electric Vehicles, add section or chapter	King, Pierce,
	and Thurston
Brief Description:	Counties and
Specifies that local government regulations of areas in the I-5 corridor	their cities, if
from Snohomish County to Thurston County and the King County areas around SR-520,	within I-5, I-
I-405, and I-90 must allow for electric vehicle infrastructure,	405, SR520, or
except in residential areas, by July of 2010. Requires the state, to the extent practicabl	I-90 corridors.
e, to	
install charging outlets capable of charging electric vehicles in each of the state's fleet	
parking and maintenance facilities, as well as in all state	
operated highway rest stops. Specifies that the	
Puget Sound Regional Council must seek federal or private funding related to planning	
for electric vehicle infrastructure deployment.	
RCW 36.70A	Cities of
ESHB 1959 – Concerning land use and transportation planning for marine container	Seattle and
ports, add section or chapter.	Tacoma.
For any man according to the Free states and t	
Brief Description:	
Requires cities with a qualifying marine container port in their jurisdiction to include a	
container port element in their comprehensive plans. Authorizes cities with a qualifying port	
district to include a marine industrial port element in their comprehensive plans. Requires the	
Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development to provide matching grant funds	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2009	Cities/Counti es Affected
to cities to support development of the container port elements. Declares key freight transportation corridors that serve qualifying marine port facilities to be transportation facilities and services of statewide significance.	
RCW 36.70A.030 EHB 2242 – Creating a Department of Commerce, amend section	None.
Brief Description: A Department of Commerce is created to replace the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development. By November 1, 2009, the Director is to develop a report, with analysis and recommendations for the Governor and appropriate legislative committees, on statutory changes for effective operation of the department. This is to be done in collaboration with the Office of Financial Management, the Governor's Office, the Economic Development Commission, and legislators from policy and fiscal committees. Input from a broad range of stakeholders is required. The Code Reviser is directed to prepare legislation for the 2010 session that changes all statutory references from the "Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development" to the "Department of Commerce."	
 RCW 36.70A.110 EHB 1967 – One hundred year floodplains Brief Description: Prohibiting expansions of urban growth areas into one hundred year floodplains. A county, city, or town is generally prohibited from expanding an urban growth area into the 100-year floodplain of any river or river segment that is located west of the crest of the Cascade Mountains and has a mean annual flow of 1,000 or more cubic feet per second, except under certain specified circumstances. 	Counties and cities west of Cascade Crest, if expanding urban growth areas into 100- year floodplains.
 RCW 36.70A.110, .115, .210 SHB 1825 –Identifying specific facilities planning requirements under the growth management act, amend section Brief Description: Each city within a county fully planning under the Growth Management Act must identify areas sufficient to accommodate the full range of needs and uses that will accompany projected urban growth. The land uses that must be identified include facilities for medical, governmental, institutional, commercial, service, retail, and other nonresidential uses. Countywide economic development and employment policies must include consideration of the future development of commercial and industrial facilities. A county or city that chooses to amend their comprehensive plan to accommodate projected housing and employment growth must also include sufficient land capacity to accommodate commercial and industrial uses. 	Cities and counties fully planning under the Growth Management Act.
EHB 1464 – Concerning affordable housing incentive programs.	Cities and
Brief Description: Clarifies provisions governing affordable housing incentive programs that may be enacted or expanded in jurisdictions planning under the Growth Management Act	counties fully planning under the Growth Management Act. (optional)

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2008	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A ESSB 6580- Add section or chapter – Governor partially vetoed in 2008 relating to mitigating the impacts of climate change through the growth management act; amending 36.70A.280; adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW	None.
Brief Description: Requires the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development (CTED) to develop and provide counties and cities with advisory climate change response methodologies, a computer modeling program, and estimates of greenhouse gas emission reductions resulting from specific measures. Establishes a local government global warming mitigation and adaptation program. Prohibits Growth Management Hearings Boards from hearing petitions alleging non-compliance with the mitigation and adaptation program. Requires CTED to provide a climate change report to the Governor and the Legislature by December 1, 2008	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2007	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A SHB 1135: AN ACT Relating to aquifer conservation zones in qualifying island cities without access to potable water sources outside their jurisdiction; and adding a new section to chapter 36.70A RCW.	Any qualifying island city that meets specified criteria.
Brief Description: Allows any qualifying island city to designate one or more aquifer conservation zone to conserve and protect potable water sources.	
Specifies that conservation zones may not be considered critical areas except to the extent that specific areas located within zones qualify for critical area designation and have been designated as such. Allows a city declaring one or more conservation zone to consider whether an area is within a zone when determining the residential density of that area.	
Specifies that residential densities within conservation zones, in combination with other densities of the city, must be sufficient to accommodate projected population growth.	
RCW 36.70A Amending RCW 76.09.240 SHB 1409: AN ACT Relating to the transfer of jurisdiction over conversion-related forest practices to local governments.	Counties and cities meeting qualifying criteria.
Brief Description: The process for transferring authority to approve or disapprove forest practices applications is repealed. A new mechanism with new dates is established. Some counties and cities are required to adopt forest practices approval ordinances by the end of 2008, while the other counties and cities retain the discretion to not assume the responsibility for approving forest practices. The requirements on local governments vary depending on whether a county plans under the Growth Management Act (GMA), although the path for transferring jurisdiction remains constant across all counties.	
The trigger for determining if a county or city is required to adopt these ordinances is the number of forest practices applications that have been submitted within the county for the	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2007	Cities/Counties Affected
time period between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2005, and whether the county plans under the GMA.	
For counties planning under the GMA, if more than 25 Class IV applications had been filed to the DNR between those dates for properties within a specific county, then that county, and the cities within it, are required to adopt forest practices approval ordinances.	
If the number is less than 25, or if the county does not plan under the GMA, then the transfer of jurisdiction for approvals is optional for the county and its cities.	
Counties that do plan under the GMA, and their cities, are required to adopt ordinances covering Class IV forest practices applications on the same lands that non-GMA counties may address. They must also adopt ordinances for the approval of all four class types of forest practices when those applications are submitted for land located within an urban growth area.	
The only land over which the GMA-planning counties and cities are not required to assume jurisdiction are ownerships of 20 contiguous acres or more.	
A county or city may not assume the jurisdiction for forest practices approvals without bringing their critical areas and development regulations in compliance with the current requirements and notifying both the DNR and the DOE at least 60 days before adoption of the necessary ordinances.	
	A 11 - 1. 1
 RCW 36.70A SSB 5248: Preserving the viability of agricultural lands. Brief Description: Counties and cities may not amend or adopt critical areas ordinances (CAOs) as they specifically apply to agricultural activities until July 1, 2010. This does not limit obligations of a county or city to comply with requirements pertaining to critical areas not associated with agricultural activities nor limit the ability of a county or city to adopt or employ voluntary measures or programs to protect or enhance critical areas associated with agricultural activities. 	All cities and counties, if proposing critical areas ordinance amendments.
Counties and cities subject to deferral requirements should implement voluntary programs to enhance public resources and the viability of agriculture, and must include measures to evaluate their success. By December 1, 2011, counties and cities subject to deferral are to review and revise CAOs to comply with the requirements of this chapter.	
Subject to the availability of funds, the Ruckelshaus Center is directed to commence, by July 1, 2007, a two-phase examination of the conflicts between agricultural activities and CAOs.	
The Center is to issue two reports of its fact-finding efforts and stakeholder discussions to	
the Governor and the appropriate legislative committees by December 1, 2007, and December 1, 2008. A report on the second phase including findings and legislative recommendations is to be issued to the Governor and to the Legislature by September, 1, 2009. The Center is to work to achieve agreement among participating stakeholders and to	
develop a coalition that can be used to support agreed upon changes or new approaches to protecting critical areas during the 2010 Legislative Session.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2007	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A SB 6014: Authorizing industrial development on reclaimed surface coal mine sites. Brief Description:	Lewis County
Certain qualified counties planning under the GMA may designate a master planned location for major industrial activity outside UGAs on lands formerly used or designated for surface coal mining and supporting uses. Counties authorized to designate major industrial development on former surface coal mining uses must have had a surface coal mining operation in excess of 3,000 acres that ceased operation after July 1, 2006, and that is located within 15 miles of the I-5 corridor.	
Designation of a master planned location for major industrial activities is an amendment to the comprehensive plan of the county. The master planned location must be located on land formerly used or designated for surface coal mining and supporting uses, that consist of an aggregation of land of at least 1,000 acres, and that is suitable for manufacturing, industrial, or commercial business. The master planned location must be done at the provision of new infrastructure and an environmental review must be done at the programmatic level.	
Approval of a specific major industrial activity is conducted through a local master plan process and does not require comprehensive plan amendment. The development regulations adopted must provide that the site consist of 100 or more acres of land formerly used or designated for surface coal mining; must prevent urban growth in the adjacent nonurban areas; and limit commercial development.	
36.70A.367 SHB 1965: Authorizing major industrial development within industrial land banks.	Counties meeting qualifying criteria.
Brief Description: The requirements for designating master planned locations for major industrial developments outside Urban Growth Areas are revised. A master planned location for major industrial developments may be approved through a two-step process: designation of a land bank area in the applicable comprehensive plan; and subsequent approval of specific major industrial developments through a local master plan process.	
The applicable comprehensive plan must identify locations suited to major industrial development because of proximity to transportation or resource assets. The comprehensive plan must identify the maximum size of the land bank area and any limitations on major industrial developments based on local factors, but the plan need not specify particular parcels or identify any specific use or user.	
 In selecting locations for the land bank area, priority must be given to locations that are adjacent or in close proximity to a UGA. The environmental review for amendment of the comprehensive plan must be at the programmatic level and, in addition to a threshold determination, must include: a county-conducted inventory of developable land indicating that land suitable to site qualifying industrial development is unavailable within the UGA; and an analysis of the availability of alternative sites within UGAs and the long-term 	
annexation feasibility of sites outside UGAs. Final approval of a land bank area must be by amendment to the comprehensive plan, but the amendment may be considered at any time. Approval of a specific major industrial	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2007	Cities/Counties Affected
development within the land bank area requires no further amendment of the comprehensive plan.	
 Development Regulations Amendments In concert with the designation of a land bank area, a county must also adopt development regulations for review and approval of specific major industrial developments through a master plan process. The regulations governing the master plan process must ensure, at a minimum, that specific criteria, including the following, are met: urban growth will not occur in adjacent nonurban areas; development is consistent with development regulations adopted for protection of critical areas; required infrastructure is identified and provided concurrent with development. 	
Such infrastructure, however, may be phased in with development; and an open record public hearing is held before either the planning commission or hearing examiner with notice published at least 30 days before the hearing date and mailed to all property owners within one mile of the site.	
Termination and Eligibility Provisions Separate eligibility criteria pertaining to population, unemployment, and geographic requirements for counties choosing to identify and approve locations for major industrial development in land banks are specified. Termination provisions with dates certain are deleted and replaced with provisions requiring, in part, that a county choosing to identify and approve locations for land banks must take action to designate one or more of these banks and adopt regulations meeting certain requirements on or before the last date to complete the county's next periodic comprehensive plan and development regulations review that occurs before December 31, 2014. The authority of a county to designate a land bank area in its comprehensive plan expires if not acted upon within these time limitations.	
Once a land bank area has been identified in a county's comprehensive plan, the authority of the county to process a master plan or site projects within an approved master plan does not expire.	
Public Notification and Determination Requirements New notification and written determination requirements are specified. Counties seeking to designate an industrial land bank must:	
 Provide countywide notice, in conformity with specific public participation and notification provisions of the GMA, of the intent to designate an industrial land bank. These notices must be published in one or more newspapers of general circulation that are reasonably likely to reach subscribers throughout the applicable county at least 30 days before the county legislative body begins the consideration process for siting a land bank; and Make written determinations of the criteria and rationale used by the county legislative body for siting a land bank. 	
36.70A.450 SB 5952 – Family day-care providers' home facility-County or city may not prohibit in residential or commercial area	All cities and counties.
Brief Description:	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2007	Cities/Counties Affected
Except as provided in subsections (2) and (3) of this section, no county or city may enact, enforce, or maintain an ordinance, development regulation, zoning regulation, or official control, policy, or administrative practice that prohibits the use of a residential dwelling, located in an area zoned for residential or commercial use, as a family day-care provider's home facility.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2006	Cities/Counties
RCW 36.70A ESHB 2984: Authorizing cities, towns, and counties to implement affordable housing incentive programs	Affected Counties and cities fully planning under the Growth Management A ct
Brief Description: The amendments: Authorize jurisdictions fully planning under the GMA to enact or expand affordable housing incentive programs.	(optional).
Establish optional provisions for enacted or expanded the programs. Specify that excise tax imposition limits do not limit local government authorities in the implementation of programs or the enforcement of related agreements.	
Local governments fully planning under the GMA may enact or expand affordable housing incentive programs, providing for the development of low-income housing units. Incentive programs may include, but are not limited to, provisions pertaining to: density bonuses within the urban growth area (UGA); height and bulk bonuses; mixed-use projects; fee waivers or exemptions; parking reductions; or expedited permitting, conditioned on the provision of low-income housing units.	
RCW 36.70A.130 ESSB 6427: Relating to schedules for comprehensive plan and development regulation review for certain cities and counties	Counties and cities meeting qualifying criteria.
Brief Description: The timelines bill has two main features. First, it provides a time extension to small and slow-growing jurisdictions for updates to their comprehensive plans, development regulations, and critical areas ordinances. The bill contains qualifying criteria and clarification that jurisdictions making progress on their updates will be eligible for state grants, loans, pledges, and financial guarantees. Second, it clarifies that amendments to comprehensive plans necessary to enact planned actions may occur more frequently than annually, provided that pursuit of the amendments are consistent with the jurisdictions adopted public participation program and notification is given to agencies that may comment on the proposed amendments. Part of the Governor's Land Use Agenda. CTED request legislation.	
RCW 36.70A.117 SHB 2917: Identifying Accessory Uses on Agricultural Lands Brief Description: The amendments:	Counties and cities with designated agricultural lands of long-term

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2006	Cities/Counties Affected
Revise GMA requirements regarding the use of agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance by creating more permissive guidelines governing the range of accessory uses permitted on such lands. Provide counties and cities with greater flexibility in implementing innovative zoning techniques related to accessory uses of agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance. SHB 2917 clarifies that any accessory use a city or county may allow on designated agricultural lands of long-term significance must not interfere with and must support continuation of the overall agricultural use of the property and neighboring properties. It provides policy guidepost; requiring any nonagricultural accessory use to (1) be consistent with the size, scale, and intensity of the agricultural use of the property, (2) be located	commercial significance.
within the general area already developed, and (3) not convert more than one acre of land.Part of the Governor's Land Use Agenda. Washington State Department of Agriculture request legislation.Limit to one acre the amount of agricultural land that may be converted to nonagricultural accessory uses.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2005	Cities/Counties Affected
 RCW 36.70A 2SHB 1565: Addressing transportation concurrency strategies Brief Description: The amendments specify that concurrency compliance improvements or strategies may include qualifying multimodal transportation improvements or strategies. They: Require regional transportation plans that include provisions for regional growth centers to address concurrency strategies, measurements for vehicle level of service, and total multimodal capacity. Require the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to administer a study to examine multimodal transportation improvements or strategies to comply with the concurrency requirements of the GMA. 	RTPOs
 Require the study to be completed by one or more regional transportation planning organizations (RTPOs) electing to participate in the study. Require WSDOT, in coordination with participating RTPOs, to submit a report of findings and recommendations to the appropriate committees of the Legislature by December 31, 2006. RCW 36.70A.130 ESHB 2171: Allowing counties and cities one additional year to comply with certain 	Counties and cities meeting qualifying
requirements of RCW 36.70A.130. Brief Description: Counties and cities required to satisfy the review and revision requirements of the GMA by December 1, 2005, December 1, 2006, or December 1, 2007, may comply with the requirements for development regulations that protect critical areas (critical areas regulations) one year after the applicable deadline provided in the statutory schedule. Jurisdictions complying with the review and revision requirements for critical areas regulations one year after the deadline must be deemed in compliance with such requirements.	criteria.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2005	Cities/Counties Affected
Except as otherwise provided, only those counties and cities in compliance with the statutory review and revision schedule of the GMA, and those counties and cities demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with the schedule for critical areas regulations, may receive financial assistance from the public works assistance and water quality accounts. A county or city that is fewer than 12 months out of compliance with the schedule is deemed to be making substantial progress towards compliance. Additionally, notwithstanding other provisions, only those counties and cities in compliance with the review and revision schedule of the GMA may receive preferences for financial assistance from the public works assistance and water quality accounts.	
Until December 1, 2005, a county or city required to satisfy the review and revision requirements of the GMA by December 1, 2004, that is demonstrating substantial progress towards compliance with applicable requirements for its comprehensive plan and development regulations may receive financial assistance from the public works assistance and water quality accounts. A county or city that is fewer than 12 months out of compliance with the GMA review and revision schedule for its comprehensive plan and development regulations is deemed to be making substantial progress towards compliance.	
RCW 36.70A, 36.70A.030, 36.70A.060, 36.70A.130 EHB 2241: Authorizing limited recreational activities, playing fields, and supporting facilities	Snohomish County
 Brief Description: The amendments: Authorize the legislative authority of counties planning under RCW 36.70A.040 and meeting specified criteria (Snohomish) to, until June 30, 2006, designate qualifying agricultural lands as recreational lands. Establish designation criteria, including specifying that qualifying agricultural lands must have playing fields and supporting facilities existing before July 1, 2004, and must not be in use for commercial agricultural production. Specify activities that may be allowed on designated recreational lands. 	
RCW 36.70A.200 ESSB 5121: Assessing long-term air transportation needs.	None
 Brief Description: The amendments: Require WSDOT to conduct a statewide airport capacity and facilities assessment and report results by July 1, 2006. Require WSDOT to conduct a 25-year capacity and facilities market analysis, forecasting demands for passengers and air cargo, and report results by July 1, 2007. After completion of the reports, the Governor is to appoint a ten member Aviation Planning Council to make recommendations on future aviation and capacity needs. The council expires July 1, 2009. 	
RCW 36.70A.070 SSB 5186: Increasing the physical activity of the citizens of Washington State	Counties and cities fully planning under the Growth
Brief Description: Land use elements of comprehensive plans are encouraged to consider using approaches to urban planning that promote physical activity. The Transportation Element of a comprehensive plan must contain a pedestrian and bicycle component that includes identified planned improvements for pedestrian and bicycle facilities and corridors to	Management Act.

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2005	Cities/Counties Affected
enhance community access and promote healthy lifestyles. Comprehensive transportation programs must include any new or enhanced bicycle or pedestrian facilities identified in the Transportation Element.	
The Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission is to maintain policies that increase access to free or low-cost recreational opportunities for physical activities, within allowable resources.	
The Health Care Authority, in coordination with other agencies, is authorized to create a work-site health promotion program for state employees to increase physical activity and engage individuals in their health care decision-making. The Health Care Authority must report on progress by December 1, 2006.	
RCW 35A.15 SB 5589: Providing for proceedings for excluding agricultural land from the boundaries of a charter or non-charter code city	Charter or non- charter code city.
Brief Description : The amendments create a method for property owners of agricultural land located within a code city to petition for exclusion from the incorporated area of that code city that does not require the issue to be submitted to the voters for approval.	
Property owners of agricultural land may petition the legislative body of a code city for exclusion from the incorporated area of that city. The petition must be signed by 100 percent of the owners of the land. In addition, if non-agricultural landowner residents reside within the subject area, the petition must also be signed by a majority of those residents who are registered voters in the subject area. The petition must also set forth a legal description of the territory to be excluded and be accompanied by a drawing that outlines the boundaries of the territory sought to be excluded.	
After such a petition is filed, the legislative body must set a date for public hearing on the petition within 60 days. Notice of the hearing must be published in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the city as well as in three public places within the territory proposed for exclusion. Interested persons are invited to appear and voice approval or disapproval of the exclusion.	
If the legislative body decides to grant the petition following the hearing, they must do so by ordinance. The ordinance may exclude all or any portion of the proposed territory but may not include in the exclusion any territory not described in the petition. The petition is not submitted to the voters for approval.	
The GMA defines "agricultural land" as land that has long-term commercial significance for agricultural production and is primarily devoted to the commercial production of horticultural, viticultural, floricultural, dairy, apiary, vegetable, or animal products; or of berries, grain, hay, straw, turf, seed, Christmas trees not subject to certain excise taxes, finfish in upland hatcheries, or livestock.	
RCW 36.70A.070 SB 6037: Changing provisions relating to limited development of rural areas	Counties with qualifying LAMIRDs.
Brief Description : The amendments modify GMA provisions for public services and facilities in qualifying limited areas of more intensive rural development (LAMIRDs). Until August 31, 2005, an example of a public service or facility that is permitted within recreational and tourist use LAMIRDs is a connection to an existing sewer line where the connection serves only the	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2005	Cities/Counties Affected
recreational or tourist use and is not available to adjacent non-recreational or non-tourist use parcels.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2004	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A ESSB 6401: Protecting military installations from encroachment of incompatible land uses	Counties and cities with land adjacent to military installations.
Brief Description : Legislative findings in the amendments recognize the importance of the United States military as a vital component of the Washington State economy, and it is identified as a priority of the state to protect the land surrounding military installations from incompatible development.	
Comprehensive plans, development regulations, and amendments to either should not allow development in the vicinity of a military installation that is incompatible with the installation's ability to carry out its mission requirements. A consultation procedure is established whereby counties and cities must notify base commanders during the process of adopting or amending comprehensive plans or development regulations that will affect lands adjacent to the installations.	
RCW 35.61.160 SB 6593: Prohibiting Discrimination Against Consumers' Choices in Housing	All counties and cities.
Brief Description: Cities, code cities, and counties generally are required to regulate manufactured homes in the same manner as all other homes. They may require new manufactured homes to meet requirements such as the following: (1) the foundation must meet the manufacturer's design standard, (2) the placement of concrete or a concrete product between the base of the home and the ground, and (3) thermal standards must be consistent with the standards for manufactured homes.	
RCW 36.70A.170 SB 6488: Ordering a study of the designation of agricultural lands in four counties	King, Lewis, Chelan, and Yakima counties
Brief Description: By December 1, 2004, CTED will prepare a report on designation of agricultural resource land in King, Lewis, Chelan, and Yakima counties. The report will cover how much land is designated, how much is in production, changes in these amounts since 1990, comparison with other uses, effects on tax revenue, threats to the agriculture land base, and measures to better maintain the base and the agriculture industry.	are studied.
RCW 36.70A .070 ESHB 2905: Modifying provisions for type 1 limited areas of more intensive rural development	Counties that have designated Type 1 LAMIRDs.
Brief Description:	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2004	Cities/Counties Affected
Any development or redevelopment within one category of existing LAMIRDs must be principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population. Building size, scale, use, or intensity of the LAMIRD development or redevelopment must be consistent with the character of the existing areas. Development or redevelopment may include changes in use from vacant land or a previously existing use if the new development conforms to certain requirements.	
RCW 36.70A.106 SHB 2781: Changing provisions relating to expedited state agency review of development regulations	All counties and cities (optional).
Brief Description : Proposed changes to development regulations by jurisdictions that plan under the GMA can receive expedited review by CTED and be adopted immediately thereafter, if timely comments regarding GMA compliance or other matters of state interest can be provided.	
RCW 36.70A.110 SSB 6367: Protecting the integrity of national historical reserves in the UGA planning process	Cities that are totally within a national historic reserve.
Brief Description: The existing requirement that cities and counties must include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth projected for the succeeding 20-year period does not apply to those UGAs contained totally within a national historical reserve. When a UGA is contained totally within a national historical reserve, a city may restrict densities, intensities, and forms of urban growth as it determines necessary and appropriate to protect the physical, cultural, or historic integrity of the reserve	
RCW 36.70A.177 SB 6237: Providing nonagricultural commercial and retail uses that support and sustain agricultural operations on designated agricultural lands of long-term significance	Counties. (optional)
Brief Description : Agricultural zoning can allow accessory uses that support, promote, or sustain agricultural operations and production, including compatible commercial and retail uses that involve agriculture or agricultural products or provide supplemental farm income.	
RCW 36.70A.367 SSB 6534: Designating processes and siting of industrial land banks	Counties meeting qualifying criteria.
 Brief Description: The requirements for including master planned locations within industrial land banks and for siting specific development projects are separated so that designation of master planned locations may occur during the comprehensive planning process before a specific development project has been proposed. Some of the current criteria for designating a master planned location within an industrial land bank may be delayed until the process for siting specific development projects within a land bank occurs. Designating master planned locations within an industrial land bank is considered an adopted amendment to a comprehensive plan, and approval of a specific development project does not require any further amendment to a comprehensive plan. 	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2004	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70B.080 HB 2811: Modifying local government permit processing provisions	Buildable Lands Counties: Clark, King, Kitsap,
Brief Description: Existing requirements for timely and predictable procedures for processing permit applications by local governments are clarified. For the buildable lands jurisdictions, performance-reporting requirements are reinstated and changed to an annual basis. A report on the projected costs of this reporting with recommendations for state funding must be provided to the Governor and the Legislature by January 1, 2005.	Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston and their cities with population > 20,000.
RCW 36.70 SB 6476: Designating manufactured housing communities as nonconforming uses	Cities and counties.
Brief Description : Elimination of existing manufactured housing communities on the basis of their status as a nonconforming use is prohibited.	
SSCR 8418: Creating a joint select legislative task force to evaluate permitting processes	None.
Brief Description: A joint select legislative task force is established to make recommendations regarding permitting processes by January 1, 2006, after evaluating local development regulations of selected jurisdictions among the "buildable lands" counties and their cities over 50,000. The task force is composed of the chairs and ranking minority members of the Senate Committee on Land Use and Planning and the House Local Government Committee. The	
Governor will be invited to participate and form a Five Corners Task Force. An advisory committee is also established to assist the task force and is composed of	
CTED, the Department of Ecology, the Office of Regulatory Assistance, a county, a city, the business community, the environmental community, agriculture, labor, the property rights community, the construction industry, ports, and federally recognized Indian tribes.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2003	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A SSB 5602: Concerning the accommodation of housing and employment growth	Counties and cities fully planning
under local comprehensive plans	under the Growth Management Act.
Brief Description:	
Counties and cities subject to the GMA are required to ensure that, taken collectively, actions to adopt or amend their comprehensive plans or development regulations provide sufficient capacity of land suitable for development within their jurisdictions.	
The requirement for sufficient capacity refers to accommodating a jurisdiction's allocated housing and employment growth as adopted in the applicable county-wide planning policies and consistent with the 20-year population forecast from the Office of Financial Management.	

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2003	Cities/Counties Affected
RCW 36.70A.070 SSB 5786: Clarifying the scope of industrial uses allowed in rural areas under the GMA	Counties with qualifying LAMIRDs.
Brief Description: Industrial uses are permitted under the GMA in both industrial and mixed-use areas in certain types of LAMIRDs. Industrial uses within specified LAMIRDs are not required to be principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population in order to be lawfully zoned	
 RCW 36.70A.110 S HB 1755: Creating alternative means for annexation of unincorporated islands of territory Brief Description: The amendments create an alternative method of annexation allowing jurisdictions subject to the buildable lands review and evaluation program of the GMA to enter into interlocal agreements to annex qualifying territory meeting specific contiguity requirements. It 	Snohomish, King, Pierce, Kitsap, Thurston, and Clark Counties and their cities.
creates an alternative method of annexation allowing GMA buildable lands counties to enter into interlocal agreements with multiple municipalities to conduct annexation elections for qualifying territory contiguous to more than one city or town.	
RCW 36.70A.280 SB 5507: Clarifying who has standing regarding growth management hearings board hearings	Counties and cities fully planning under the Growth Management Act.
Brief Description : The requirement under the GMA for participation standing before a growth management hearings board is that a petitioner must have participated orally or in writing before the local government. An additional requirement to obtain participation standing is added and provides that only issues "reasonably relate" to issues that the aggrieved person previously raised at the local level can be considered by the board	
RCW 36.70A.367 SB 5651: Authorizing land banks in certain counties with low population densities	Counties meeting qualifying criteria.
Brief Description: The industrial land bank program under the GMA is amended to provide that counties meeting certain geographic requirements are eligible for the program based on population density criteria, rather than unemployment criteria. The amendments clarify that Jefferson and Clallam counties are eligible for the program under this provision.	
RCW 36.70A.450 HB 1170: Limiting restrictions on residential day-care facilities	Counties, cities and towns.
Brief Description : A county cannot zone against or otherwise prohibit the use of a residential dwelling as a family day-care facility in a residential or commercial zone. The county can require the family day-care facility to comply with safety and licensing regulations and zoning conditions that are imposed on other dwellings in the same zone.	
RCW 36.70A.480 ESHB 1933: Integrating Shoreline Management Act and Growth Management Act provisions	Counties and cities subject to the

RCW, Bill Number, Brief Description for Legislative Session 2003	Cities/Counties Affected
Brief Description: The goals of the GMA, including the goals and policies of the Shoreline Management Act (SMA), continue to be listed without priority. Shorelines of statewide significance may include critical areas as designated by the GMA, but shorelines of statewide significance are not critical areas simply because they are shorelines of statewide significance. Within shoreline jurisdiction, the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) will protect critical areas and regulations will be reviewed for compliance with the SMA. However, SMP regulations must provide a level of protection of critical areas at least equal to that provided by the county or city's adopted or thereafter amended critical areas ordinances.	Shoreline Management Act.
 RCW 90.58.080 SSB 6012: Establishing limits on the adoption of state shoreline guidance and setting a schedule for local adoption Brief Description: The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) may adopt amendments to the shorelines guidelines no more than once per year and the amendments must be related to technical, procedural, or compliance issues. A staggered statutory schedule for the update of shoreline master programs, running from 2005 to 2014 and every seven years after the initial deadline, is established. Limits on grants from Ecology to local governments for master program reviews are removed and new requirements for the receipt of such grants are created 	None.

RCW 36.70A.011: Findings – Rural lands

The amendment adds a new section containing legislative finds to support the amendment to the Rural Element requirements in RCW 36.70A.070.

RCW 36.70A.020: Planning goals

The amendments change the economic development goal to add the underlined words: Encourage economic development throughout the state that is consistent with adopted comprehensive plans, promote economic opportunity for all citizens of this state, especially for unemployed and for disadvantaged persons, promote the retention and expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, recognize regional differences impacting economic development opportunities, and encourage growth in areas experiencing insufficient economic growth, all within the capacities of the state's natural resources, public services, and public facilities.

The open space goal is amended to read as follows: Retain open space, enhance recreational opportunities, conserve fish and wildlife habitat, increase access to natural resource lands and water, and develop parks and recreation facilities.

RCW 36.70A.070: Comprehensive plans - Mandatory elements

The amendments:

Change the requirements for the Rural Element of comprehensive plans to (1) authorize limited expansion of small-scale businesses in the rural area, and (2) authorize new businesses in the rural area to use sites previously occupied by rural businesses.

Change the Housing Element to require the inventory of housing needs to include the number of housing units necessary to manage projected population growth.

Change the Capital Facilities Element to require the inclusion of parks and recreation facilities.

Require comprehensive plans to include an Economic Development Element and a Parks and Recreation Facilities Element if money to implement these requirements is appropriated by the Legislature.

RCW 36.70A.103: State agencies required to comply with comprehensive plans The law is amended to cross-reference new provisions for siting secure community transition facilities for sex offenders.

RCW 36.70A.130: Comprehensive plans – Review amendments The amendments change the deadlines for reviewing and updating comprehensive plans and development regulations adopted under the GMA and clarify the requirements relating to the reviews and updates.

RCW 36.70A.200: Siting of essential public facilities - Limitation on liability

The amendments clarify that the deadline for adopting a process for siting secure community transition facilities for sex offenders must be adopted by September 1, 2002, even though deadlines for GMA reviews and updates were changed in amendments to RCW 36.70A.130. It exempts noncompliance with the September 1, 2002, deadline from challenge before the growth management hearings boards and from economic sanctions under the GMA's enforcement provisions.

RCW 36.70A.367: Major industrial developments – Master planned locations The amendment establishes a pilot program authorizing the designation of industrial land banks outside urban growth areas if specified requirements are satisfied.

Legislative Session 2001

RCW 36.70A.103: State agencies required to comply with comprehensive plans

The amendment authorizes the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) to site and operate a Special Commitment Center and a secure community transition facility to house persons conditionally released to a less restrictive alternative on McNeil Island. The state's authority to site an essential public facility under RCW 36.70A.200, in conformance with comprehensive plans and development regulations, is not affected, and with the exception of these two facilities, state agencies must comply with those plans and regulations.

RCW 36.70A.200: Siting of essential public facilities

The amendments add secure community transition facilities, as defined in RCW 71.09.020, to the list of essential public facilities typically difficult to site. Each city and county planning under RCW 36.70A.040 is required to establish a process, or amend its existing process, for identifying and siting essential public facilities, and to adopt and amend its development regulations as necessary to provide for the siting of secure community transition facilities. Local governments are required to complete this no later than the deadline set in RCW 36.70A.130. Any city or county not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 is required to establish a process for siting secure community transition facilities and amend or adopt development regulations necessary to provide the siting of these facilities.

RCW 36.70A.367: Major industrial developments - Master planned locations

The amendment extends the deadline for counties eligible to use the industrial land bank authority. Currently, Grant County and Lewis County satisfy all three criteria. Until December 2002 eligible counties may establish a process for designating a bank of no more than two master planned locations for major industrial activity outside a UGA. Eligible counties must meet statutory criteria initially specified for the authority terminating on December 1999.

Legislative Session 2000

RCW 36.70A.520: National historic towns

The amendment allows counties planning under RCW 36.70A.040 to authorize and designate national historic towns that may constitute urban growth outside UGAs, if specified conditions are satisfied. A GMA county may allocate a portion of its 20-year population projection to the national historic town to correspond to the projected number of permanent town residents.

RCW 36.70A.040: Who must plan – Summary of requirements – Development regulations must implement comprehensive plans

The amendment adds language stating that for the purposes of being required to conform to the requirements of the GMA, no county is required to include in its population count those persons confined in a correctional facility under the jurisdiction of the state Department of Corrections that is located in the county.

Legislative Session 1999

RCW 36.70A.035: Public participation - Notice provisions

The amendment adds school districts to list of entities and affected individuals to be provided with notice of comprehensive plan and development regulation amendment.

Legislative Session 1998

RCW 36.70A.040: Who must plan – Summary of requirements – Development regulations must implement comprehensive plans

The amendment adds the requirement for cities or counties to amend the Transportation Element to be in compliance with Chapter 47.80 RCW no later than December 31, 2000.

RCW 36.70A.060: Natural resource lands and critical areas – Development regulations

The requirement for notice on plats and permits issued for development activities near designated resource lands is expanded to activities within 500 feet, instead of 300 feet, of the resource lands. The notice for mineral lands is required to include information that an application might be made for mining-relating activities. Land Use Study Commission recommendation

RCW 36.70A.070: Comprehensive plans - Mandatory elements

The amendment requires cities or counties to include level of service standards for state highways in local comprehensive plans in order to monitor the performance of the system, to evaluate improvement strategies, and to facilitate coordination between the county's or city's six-year street, road, or transit program and WSDOT six-year investment program. Inventories of transportation are required to include state-owned transportation facilities.

RCW 36.70A.131: Mineral resource lands – Review of related designations and development regulations A county or city is required to take into consideration new information available since the adoption of its designations and development regulations, including new or modified model development regulations for mineral resource lands prepared by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources, CTED, or the Washington Association of Counties.

RCW 36.70A.200: Siting of essential public facilities

State or regional facilities and services of statewide significance as defined in Chapter 47.06 RCW are added to the list of essential public facilities under the GMA. Included in the definition, among others, are high speed rail, intercity high speed ground transportation, and the Columbia/Snake navigable river system.

RCW 36.70A.210 County-wide planning policies

Transportation facilities of state-wide significance are added to the minimums that county-wide planning policies are to address.

RCW 36.70A.360: Master planned resorts

Master planned resorts are expressly authorized to use capital facilities, utilities, and services (including sewer, water, stormwater, security, fire suppression, and emergency medical) from outside service providers. Any capital facilities, utilities, and services provided on-site are limited to those meeting the needs of master planned resorts. Master planned resorts are required to bear the full costs related to service extensions and capacity increases directly attributable to the resorts.

RCW 36.70A.367: Major industrial developments

Additional counties (Lewis, Grant, and Clallam) are authorized to establish industrial land banks for two master planned locations by December 31, 1999. Sunset dates are extended for Clark and Whatcom counties to December 31, 1999.

RCW 36.70A.395: Environmental planning pilot projects

Technical corrections are made to eliminate references concerning reports to the Legislature that are no longer necessary or have expired.

RCW 36.70A.460: Watershed restoration projects – Permit processing – Fish habitat enhancement project A fish habitat enhancement project meeting the criteria of this law is not subject to local government permits, inspections, or fees. Such projects, when approved and a hydraulic permit has been issued, are not required to complete a substantial development permit under the SMA. Fish habitat enhancement projects that meet the criteria of this act are considered to be consistent with local shoreline master programs.

Legislative Session 1997

RCW 36.70A.030: Definitions

The definition of urban growth is amended to expand the listed incompatible primary uses of land to include the following: rural uses, rural development, and natural resource lands designated pursuant to RCW 36.70A.170. Additionally, the following is added: A pattern of more intense rural development, as provided in RCW 36.70A.070(5)(d), is not urban growth.

The following terms "rural character," "rural development," and "rural governmental services" are defined.

The following: or "urban services" is added to the definition of "urban governmental services." (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.035: Public participation - Notice provisions

Requirements for GMA counties and cities to adopt procedures for notifying property owners and other affected or interested parties of proposed amendments to comprehensive plans and development regulations are added. The procedures generally follow the notice requirements currently in the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). (ESB 6094 amendments)

The requirement is added that a county or city considering an amendment to a comprehensive plan or a development regulation needs to allow for public comment on the proposed change before adoption. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.070: Comprehensive plans – Mandatory elements Provisions that are to apply to the Rural Element are specified. (ESB 6094 amendments.)

RCW 36.70A.110: Comprehensive plans – Urban growth areas

"Urban growth areas" is deleted from subsection (2) and the following is added: "and each city within the county" so it now reads: based on OFM projections, "...the county and each city within the county shall include areas and densities sufficient to permit the urban growth that is projected..." (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.130: Comprehensive plans - Review - Amendments

Language related to the 2002 review requirement is added to the GMA: No later than September 1, 2002, and at least every five years thereafter, a county or city shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure that the plan and regulations are complying with the requirements of this chapter. The review and evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section. (ESB 6094 amendments)

An amendment to the Capital Facilities Element of the comprehensive plan is allowed if it occurs concurrent with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget.

RCW 36.70A.165: Property designated as greenbelt or open space – Not subject to adverse possession Adverse possession is prohibited on property designated as open space to a public agency or homeowner's association. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.177: Agricultural lands - Innovative zoning techniques

The amendment allows a variety of innovative zoning techniques in designated agriculture lands of long-term commercial significance. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.215: Review and evaluation program

The Buildable Lands Program is created. Six Western Washington counties and the cities located within their boundaries are to establish a monitoring and evaluation program to determine if the actual growth and development is consistent with what was planned for in the county-wide planning policies and comprehensive plans. Measures, other than expanding UGAs, must be taken to correct any inconsistencies. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.270: Growth management hearings boards – Conduct, procedure, and compensation It amends the boards' procedures for distribution of rules and decisions to follow the Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 34.05 RCW, specifically including the provisions of RCW 34.05.455 governing ex parte communications. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.290: Petitions to the growth management hearings boards - Evidence

The board is to render written decisions articulating the basis for its holdings. The board is not to issue advisory opinions on issues not presented to the board in the statement of issues, as modified by any prehearing order. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.295: Direct judicial review

The superior court is allowed to directly review a petition for review if all parties to a case before a board agreed to direct review in the superior court. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.300: Growth management hearings boards - Final orders

The boards may extend the time for issuing a decision beyond the 180-day period to allow settlement negotiations to proceed if the parties agree to the extension. The boards may: (1) allow up to 90-day extensions that may be renewed; (2) establish a compliance schedule that goes beyond 180 days for a plan or development regulation that does not comply with the GMA if the complexity of the case justifies it; and (3) require periodic updates on progress towards compliance as part of the compliance order. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.302: Determination of invalidity – Vesting of development permits – Interim controls A clarification is made on which permits invalidity orders apply to. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.320: Presumption of validity - Burden of proof - Plans and regulations

The burden is shifted to the petitioner to demonstrate that any action by a respondent is not in compliance with the requirements of the GMA. The board is required to find compliance unless it determines that the action by the state agency, county, or city is clearly erroneous in view of the entire record before the board and in light of the goals and requirements of the GMA. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.3201: Intent - Finding

Local comprehensive plans and development regulations require counties and cities to balance priorities and consider local circumstances. The ultimate responsibility for planning and implementing a county's or city's future rests with that community. The boards are to apply a more deferential standard of review to actions of counties and cities than the previous "preponderance of the evidence" standard. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.330: Noncompliance

The board is enabled to modify a compliance order and allow additional time for compliance in the appropriate circumstances. The board is directed to take into account a county's or city's progress toward compliance in making its decision as to whether to recommend the imposition of sanctions by the Governor. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.335: Order of invalidity issued before July 27, 1997

A county or city subject to an order of invalidity issued prior to the effective date of the act may request the board to review its order in light of the changes to the invalidity provisions. If requested, the board is required to rescind or modify an order to make it consistent with the act's changes. (ESB 6094 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.362: Master planned resorts - Existing resort may be included

Counties planning under the GMA may include some existing resorts as master planned resorts under a GMA provision that allows counties to permit master planned resorts as urban growth outside of UGAs. An existing resort is defined as a resort that was in existence on July 1, 1990, and developed as a significantly self-contained and integrated development that includes various types of accommodations and facilities.

RCW 36.70A.367: Major industrial developments – Master planned locations Whatcom County is authorized, in consultation with its cities, to establish a process for designating land to be in an industrial land bank, according to certain conditions.

RCW 36.70A.500: Growth management planning and environmental review fund – Awarding of grants – Procedures

CTED is directed to encourage participation in the Planning and Environmental Review Fund (PERF) by other public agencies through the provision of grant funds. CTED is required to develop the grant criteria, monitor the grant program, and select grant recipients in consultation with state agencies participating in the grant program. Grants from PERF are to be provided for proposals designed to improve the project review process and which encourage the use of GMA plans to meet the requirements of other state programs. (ESB 6094 amendments)

Legislative Session 1996

RCW 36.70A.070: Comprehensive plans – Mandatory elements General aviation airports are added to subsection (6)(i) relating to required subelements of a Transportation Element as defined by this section.

RCW 36.70A.270: Growth management hearings boards – Conduct, procedure, and compensation The boards are required to publish their decisions and arrange for reasonable distribution of them. The Administrative Procedures Act (APA) is to be used for the boards' procedures, unless it conflicts with RCW 36.70A. The APA also is to be used to determine whether a board member or hearing examiner will be disqualified.

RCW 36.70A.280: Matters subject to board review A clarification is made on who may file petitions with the boards (i.e., standing).

RCW 36.70A.305: Expedited review

Courts are to expedite reviews on invalidity determinations made by the boards. Hearings on the issues are to be scheduled within 60 days of the date set for submitting the board's record.

RCW 36.70A.367: Major industrial developments - Master planned locations

The GMA is amended to allow a pilot project to designate an urban industrial bank outside UGAs. A county is allowed to establish the pilot project if it has a population of more than 250,000 and if it is part of a metropolitan area that includes a city in another state with a population of more than 250,000 (Clark County). The urban industrial land banks are to consist of no more than two master planned locations. Priority is to be given to locations that are adjacent to or in close proximity to a UGA. The same criteria are to be met that are required under the existing major industrial development process in the GMA, except that specific businesses to locate on the site(s) need not be identified ahead of the designation. The pilot project terminates on December 31, 1998.

RCW 36.70A.510: General aviation airports

General aviation airports are added to the list of items that all local governments must include in the land use elements of their comprehensive plans. General aviation airports include all airports in the state (i.e., public use facilities).

Legislative Session 1995

RCW 36.70A.030: Definitions

A definition of "wetlands" is added to the Shoreline Management Act that is identical to the definition under the GMA. Excluded from the wetlands definitions under both acts are wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as the result of road construction.

RCW 36.70A.040: Who must plan – Summary of requirements – Development regulations must implement comprehensive plans

The percentage of population increase required to trigger planning under the GMA is changed from 10 percent to 17 percent for a ten-year period for counties with a population of 50,000 or more.

RCW 36.70A.070: Comprehensive Plans - Mandatory elements

The following underlined text is added in subsection (5): The Rural Element shall permit appropriate land uses that are compatible with the rural character of such lands and provide for a variety of rural densities and uses and may also provide for clustering, density transfer, design guidelines, conservation easements, and other innovative techniques that will accommodate rural uses not characterized by urban growth.

The word "recognizing" is changed to "ensuring" for what the Housing Element must do as noted in the act so it now reads: "...ensuring the vitality and character of established residential neighborhoods." "Mandatory provisions" and "single-family residences" are added to the following: "...include a statement of goals, policies, objectives, and mandatory provisions for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing, including single-family residences.

RCW 36.70A.110: Comprehensive Plans – Urban growth areas

Counties are allowed to designate UGAs outside of cities. A UGA determination may include a reasonable land market supply factor and is to permit a range of urban densities. The term "in general" was added to the GMA statement that indicates urban services are to be provided by cities.

RCW 36.70A.130: Comprehensive plans – Review

Cities and counties are to broadly disseminate to the public, a public participation program.

The provision is added that amendments may be considered more than once a year under the following circumstances: (1) emergency compliance with a growth management hearings board order, (2) the initial adoption of a subarea plan, and (3) the adoption or amendment of a Shoreline Master Program according to chapter 90.58 RCW.

The requirement of public participation is added to the emergency amendment process already permitted by the GMA and the resolution of a growth management hearings board or court order as an amendment permitted outside of the comprehensive plan amendment cycle. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.140: Comprehensive Plans - Ensure public participation

The requirement of a public participation program that identifies procedures is added. Local governments must also provide public participation that is effective when responding to a board order of invalidity. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.172: Critical areas – Designation and protection – Best available science to be used The state's goals and policies for protecting critical areas functions and values are clarified. Local governments are required to include the "best available science" in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas as defined in the GMA and must give special consideration to preserving or enhancing anadromous fisheries.

RCW 36.70A.175: Wetlands to be delineated in accordance with manual

Ecology is directed to adopt by a rule a manual for the delineation of wetlands regulated under the SMA and GMA. The manual is based on the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency manual as amended through January 1, 1995.

RCW 36.70A.280: Matters subject to board review

Shoreline master programs or amendments adopted under Chapter 90.58 RCW are added as subjects for growth management hearings board review. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.290: Petitions to growth management hearings boards - Evidence

The publication date for a Shoreline Master Program or amendment is established to be the date when the Shoreline Master Program or amendment is approved or disapproved by Ecology.

RCW 36.70A.300: Growth management hearings boards – Final orders The Shoreline Master Program and amendments are added to final order procedures.

A finding of noncompliance is not to affect the validity of comprehensive plans or development regulations. The parameters of an invalidity determination by the boards, including vesting issues, are established.

RCW 36.70A.320: Presumption of validity

The Shoreline Element of a comprehensive plan and applicable development regulations adopted by a city or county are governed by Chapter 90.58 RCW and are not presumed valid upon adoption in the same manner as comprehensive plan and development regulations in general. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.330: Noncompliance

Invalidity text is added. The board is allowed to reconsider its final order and decide: (a) if a determination of invalidity has been made, whether to rescind or modify its determination as provided by RCW 36.70A.300(2), or (b) if no invalidity determination has been made, whether to issue a determination as provided by RCW 36.70A.300(2).

Language is added that a person with standing may participate in a hearing of compliance or noncompliance. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.365: Major industrial developments

Counties planning under the GMA are allowed to establish, in consultation with cities, a process for authorizing the siting of major industrial developments outside UGAs. Such a development may be approved if certain criteria are met.

RCW 36.70A.385: Environmental planning pilot projects References for the "Department of Community Development" to changed to "department."

RCW 36.70A.450: Family day-care provider's home facility – City may not prohibit in residential or commercial area

The agency responsible for certifying that a family day-care provider's facility provides a safe passenger loading area is changed from the Washington State Department of Licensing to the Office of Child Care Policy of DSHS.

RCW 36.70A.460: Watershed restoration projects – Permit processing – Fish habitat enhancement project The Washington Conservation Commission is directed to develop a single application process by which all permits for watershed restoration projects may be obtained by a sponsoring agency for its project, to be completed by January 1, 1996. Each agency is required to name an office or official as a designated recipient of project applications and inform the commission of the designation. All agencies of state and local government are required to accept the single application developed by the commission.

RCW 36.70A.470: Project review – Amendment suggestion procedure – Definitions - GMA integrated project and environmental review is to be conducted under the newly created provisions of Chapter 36.70B RCW.

RCW 36.70A.480: Shorelines of the state

Under the GMA, (1) the goals and policies of the SMA become one of the goals of the GMA under RCW 36.70A.020, and (2) the goals and policies of a Shoreline Master Program for a county or city are required to become an element of the jurisdiction's comprehensive plan. All other portions of the Shoreline Master Program including regulations are required to become part of the county's or city's development regulations. Additionally, shoreline master programs are to continue to be amended or adopted under the procedures of the SMA (Chapter 90.58 RCW).

RCW 36.70A.481: Construction

Nothing in RCW 36.70A.480 (shorelines of the state) is to be construed to authorize a county or city to adopt regulations applicable to shorelands as defined in RCW 90.58.030 that are inconsistent with the provisions of Chapter 90.58 RCW. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.490: Growth Management Planning and Environmental Review Fund – Established Moneys in the fund are required to be used to make grants to local governments for the purposes set forth in RCW 43.21C.031. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

RCW 36.70A.500: Growth Management Planning and Environmental Review Fund – Awarding of grants – Procedures Procedures are established for dispersing funds. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

Chapter 36.70B RCW: Regulatory reform - Regulatory reform amendments are made to streamline permitting

procedures in the state. (ESHB 1724 amendments)

Section	Туре	#	Text	Revision from July 19, 2023 EDC Meeting	Rob McDonald comments
				noqualmie aspires to be a vibrant small city, a beacon for employers, offering	Snoqualmie aspires to be a vibrant small city offering essential goods and
Economic	Vision	0.0		ssential goods and services for residents and visitors, generating sustainable tax	services for residents and visitors, a beacon for employers, and a generator of
Development				evenue, all while preserving its distinctive character and continuously improving	sustainable tax revenue, all while preserving its distinctive character and
				nd sustaining its quality of life.	continuously improving quality of life.
Durin and Datasetics			The City supports the continued success of local businesses and recruits		Recruit businesses that meet the retail needs of residents, offer diverse
Business Retention and Recruitment	Goal	1.0	businesses that meet the retail needs of residents, offer diverse employment opportunities, enrich the City's unique character, and		employment opportunities, generate revenue to support city services, and enrich the City's unique character, while supporting the continued success of existing
and Recruitment			generate revenue to support City services.		local businesses.
				ncourage the location of a diverse range of smaller-scale, locally-based, and	Encourage a diverse range of smaller-scale, locally-based, and independently-
Business Retention	Policy	1.1		ndependently-owned businesses to locate in the downtown historic district,	owned businesses to locate in the downtown historic district, including grocery
and Recruitment				ncluding grocery stores, pharmacies, and restaurants.	stores, pharmacies, and restaurants.
Business Retention			Recruit businesses that will capture a greater share of residents' spending		
and Recruitment	Policy	1.2	on retail goods and service without compromising the City's small-town		
and Recruitment			look and feel.		
			Position Snoqualmie to capitalize on emerging economic sectors in the	apitalize on emerging local economic sectors, including wine tourism, weddings,	
Business Retention and Recruitment	Policy	1.3	Snoqualmie Valley, including wine tourism, weddings, film production, and fil	Im production, and outdoor recreation and events as well as regional sectors such	
and Recruitment			outdoor recreation and events. as	s aerospace, information and communication technology, and life sciences.	
Business Retention			Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that follow environmental and		
and Recruitment	Policy	1.4	socially responsible business practices.		
Business Retention	Policy	1.5	Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that create jobs with higher-than-		
and Recruitment			average wages that are accessible to a wide range of potential employees.		
Business Retention	Policy	1.6	Strategically recruit businesses based on the features of individual vacant		
and Recruitment	· oney	1.0	commercial and industrial spaces.		
Business Retention	Dellas	47	Identify specific industries to recruit to Snoqualmie based on sectors that		
and Recruitment	Policy	1.7	are well-represented in the City such as water and ice sports, health care, and telecommunications.		
Business Retention and Recruitment	Policy	1.8	Recruit businesses that match the local workforce's skills to create more		Prioritize the recruitment of businesses that match local workforce skills to create
and Recruitment			opportunities for residents to live and work in Snoqualmie		more opportunities for residents to live and work in Snoqualmie
			The City fosters a business climate that facilitates economic development		The City fosters a business climate that facilitates economic development through
Business Climate	Goal	2.0	through its regulations, permit review processes, and enforcement actions, while effectively preserving and protecting the area's		expedient and equitable regulations, permit review processes, and enforcement actions, while effectively preserving and protecting the area's environmental and
			environmental and cultural resources.		cultural resources.
			Cultivate a policy and regulatory environment that helps to achieve the		
Business Climate	Policy	2.1	City's economic development goals.		
			Consider risk of physical, economic, environmental, and cultural resource		
Business Climate	Policy	2.2	disruption or displacement on local business when creating or updating		
			policies, regulations, investments, or programs.		
			Ensure that the City's actions promote opportunity for and remove		Enders as the with a Community During a Community (CDC) Community
			barriers to economic development for all residents and consider		Explore partnerships with a Community Business Connector (CBC), Snoqualmie
Business Climate	Policy	2.3	opportunities to partner with a Community Business Connector (CBC), Snoqualmie Valley Economic Alliance, and Snoqualmie Valley Chamber of		Valley Economic Alliance, and Snoqualmie Valley Chamber of Commerce to address challenges such as racism, language barriers, cultural differences,
			Commerce to address racism, linguistical, cultural, geographical and		geographical disparities, and financial obstacles faced by small businesses to
			financial barriers facing small businesses.		promote economic development opportunities.
	Dellass	2.4	Strive to provide opportunities for business owners to share challenges		
Business Climate	Policy	2.4	and opportunities of the local business environment.		
			Ensure business owners have accurate and timely information on permit		
Business Climate	Policy	2.5	applications and other review processes related to business operations.		
Business Climate	Policy	2.6	Apply and enforce rules consistently across all business types and		
			locations. Encourage local businesses to utilize evolving technologies that lead to		
Business Climate	Policy	2.7	increased competitiveness, innovation, efficiency, productivity, and job		
Susmess chindle	,		opportunities.		
Business Climate	Policy	2.8		oster a business environment that promotes local entrepreneurship.	
			T	he City advances its economic development goals and maintains its	
Planning and Investment	Goal	3.0	The City effectively advances its economic goals through its land use	ompetitiveness through land use regulations, long-range and strategic planning,	
investment			ar	nd capital investments.	
Planning and			Ensure City investments, plans, and regulations promote economic growth		
Investment	Policy	3.1	and facilitate the expansion of the City's retail base equitably across all City		
			commercial areas, business types, and communities.		

Section	Туре	#	Text	Revision from July 19, 2023 EDC Meeting	Rob McDonald comments
Planning and	Policy	3.2	Advocate for Snoqualmie's economic interests at the county, regional,		
Investment	POIICy	5.2	state, and federal levels.		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.3		Consider creating a Meadowbrook Retail District that promotes retail and service options that enhances the future Riverwalk Trail for residents and visitors.	
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.4	Work with intergovernmental partners to secure more frequent transit service to and within Snoqualmie.		Collaborate with intergovernmental partners to improve transit service to, from, and within Snoqualmie, aiming for increased frequency and accessibility.
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.5	Attract businesses with the potential to create a high number of jobs and offer commercial spaces of varying sizes to accommodate businesses of different scales and provide space for small businesses to grow.		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.6	Encourage the development of housing options that are affordable for the full range of employment opportunities in the City.		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.7	Ensure that economic growth and development is balanced with environmental and cultural resource protection.		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.8	Encourage the creation of public gathering spaces near commercial areas in a manner that promotes commerce.		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.9	Preserve the Master Planned Business Park land use designation, focused on office, research and development, and light manufacturing/industrial uses, within the City's potential annexation area (PAA).		
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.10	Ensure land use regulations support and promote development of appropriate forms of overnight lodging for the downtown historic district.		Ensure land use regulations support and promote the development of suitable forms of overnight lodging, with a particular focus on the downtown historic district.
Planning and Investment	Policy	3.11	Ensure that Snoqualmie has sufficient infrastructure to support electric vehicle charging and other emerging climate-friendly technology.		Ensure that Snoqualmie's infrastructure supports electric vehicle charging and other emerging climate-friendly technologies adequately.
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Goal	4.0	The City promotes desired economic development while preserving the unique features of Spoqualmie that residents most value	The City promotes desired economic development while sustaining the natural and built features that give Snoqualmie its unique sense of place that residents most value.	
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.1	Protect and promote the City's distinct mix of natural beauty, historic buildings, rich cultural heritage, and unique neighborhoods - including Snoqualmie Ridge, Historic Downtown, the Mill Site, and Meadowbrook, to foster desired economic development.		
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.2	Create wayfinding signs that director visitors to the Historic Down where Meadowbrook Way and Snoqualmie Parkway intersect with Railroad Avenue SE.		Create wayfinding signage where Meadowbrook Way and Snoqualmie Parkway intersect with Railroad Avenue SE directing visitors to the historic downtown.
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.3	Leverage Snoqualmie's distinctive sense of place and unique assets such as Meadowbrook Farm, Snoqualmie Point Park, and other large park and open spaces to attract visitors through historic and cultural events and activities.		
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.4	Support and celebrate the contributions of the city's existing culturally and ethnically diverse businesses, institutions, and the Snoqualmie Tribe.		
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.5	Maximize the Snoqualmie Riverwalk as a tourism asset that connects to the Centennial Trail and the regional Snoqualmie Valley and Preston- Snoqualmie trails while protecting downtown riverfront properties from flooding.		
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.6	encourage the formation of non-profit merchant organizations at Kimball	In collaboration with the Snoqualmie Valley Chamber of Commerce, support non- profit merchant organizations in Historic Downtown Snoqualmie, Kimball Creek, and Snoqualmie Ridge to strengthen economic vitality, design, organization, and promotion.	
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.7	Maintain and expand a wayfinding system that effectively directs visitors to Snoqualmie's commercial and retail districts and communicates information about parking, parks, and other attractions, with a particular focus on community-friendly assets.		
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.8	Identity and preserve the character and economic viability of Shoqualmie's historic sites, buildings, districts, landscape features and neighborhoods through collaboration with the King County Historic Preservation Program.	Preserve the economic viability of Snoqualmie's historic sites, buildings, districts, landscape features and neighborhoods by identifying and preserving their character and history through collaboration with the King County Historic Preservation Program and engaging informational plaques and signs.	
Enhancing and Leveraging Community Assets	Policy	4.9	Enrich the cultural lives of residents and visitors by placing art in public spaces in a manner that enhances Spoqualmia's unique sense of place	Enrich the cultural lives of residents and visitors by placing permanent and temporary art installations in public spaces in a manner that enhances Snoqualmie's unique sense of place.	

Section	Туре	#	Text	Revision from July 19, 2023 EDC Meeting	Rob McDonald comments
Enhancing and			Create apportunities for public art by bosting events such as Plein Air Paint		
Leveraging	Policy	4.10	Create opportunities for public art by hosting events such as Plein Air Paint Out.	Promote public art by hosting events such as Plein Air Paint Out.	
Community Assets					
Enhancing and			Encourage the creation of public murals throughout the City that are		
Leveraging	Policy	4.11	representative of the history, culture, and heritage of the Snoqualmie		
Community Assets			Valley.		
Enhancing and			Protect and promote institutional, cultural, and other unique uses such as	Protect and promote institutional, cultural, natural, and other unique resources	
Leveraging	Policy	4.12	the Northwest Railway Museum, Pacific West Rail Model Train Museum,	such as the Northwest Railway Museum, Pacific West Rail Model Train Museum,	
Community Assets			Meadowbrook Farm, and the Snoqualmie Riverwalk.	Meadowbrook Farm, and the Snoqualmie Riverwalk.	

17/27/2703 Business Nonrathab Business Nonrathab Business Nonrathab Extension Extension Extension 17/27/2703 Business Nonrathab Sciences Nonrathab Extension Extension Extension 17/27/2703 Business Nonrathab Extension E	Date	Source	Comment	Category
5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Fulles should be evenly applied and strafticed, honor the people who follow the rules. Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Can we add downtown incorr fully spepile nerv come to downtown. Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Can we add downtown incorr fully is a gain to be cray whon if's closed Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs All of worker are coming from \$18 a gains to be cray whon if's closed Economic Development 5/22/2024 Business Roundballs All of worker are coming from \$18 a gains to be cray whon if's closed Economic Development 5/22/2024 Business Roundballs All of worker are coming from \$18 a gains to be cray whon if's closed Economic Development 5/22/2024 Business Roundballs Advantage: Graymannine's more from faing then other cities. Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Maxing Graymannine's more ford all straigs. Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Maxing Graymannine's straig gots needed Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Maxing Graymannine's straig gots needed Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Maxing Graymannine's straig gots needed Economic Development 5/22/2023 Business Roundballs Hole hand charp? <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
1222/222 submes Roundble Get Ridge people to ge downtown, (los of ridge people neer cone to downtown. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need more affordable housing, habits for homanity has strong infrastructure in Sattle; not as much in Snapulmie. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need more affordable housing, habits for homanity has strong infrastructure in Sattle; not as much in Snapulmie. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Suffing is a lig issue. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Suffing is a lig issue. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching unstance paths. Economic Development 1222/222 submes Roundble Need public restatoms downtown - not maching				· · ·
212/2023 Business Roundballe Conversite downtown merchants to the City events page? Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe A ford workers are coming from \$13.e. going to be crazy when it's closed. Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe A ford workers are coming from \$13.e. going to be crazy when it's closed. Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe Efficit is a big sizes. Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe Minicult to staff fourt rage real to bisings. Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe Economic Development Economic Development 212/2023 Business Roundballe more wayfinding for dadditional parking social reads have provide and social reads have provide and social reads have provide and social regreter host social r				·
2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Need none affordable housing, holdstaf for humanity has strong infrastructure in Sattle, not as much is Snogularine. Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Stiffing is a ling is use. Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Stiffing is a ling is use. Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Stiffing is a ling is use. Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe People think' Snopularite * "Snopularite * as" Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Need public restrooms downtown - not maching customer path, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park) Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe more wayfinding for additional parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe more wayfinding for additional parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe weed mandes parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe weed mandes parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe weed mandes parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe Weed mandes parting: soot needed Economic Development 2122/223 Buisness Routhabe				
9/22/2023 business Rourdable A tot of workers are coming from \$8 18 - going to be cray when it's closed. Economic Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable Difficult to ard/out and to be commit Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable Difficult to ard/out and to be commit Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" Economic Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable Ned values Economic Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Development 9/22/2023 business Rourdable People think "Snoqueline Pasis" control Deve				· ·
1922/2023 business Roundbab Suffing is a big issue. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab People think "Snoqualmie" = "Snoqualmie" = Snoqualmie" = Snoqualmie Past" Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed public restrooms downtown - not maching customer paths, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park) Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed public restrooms downtown - not maching customer paths, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park) Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed public restrooms downtown - not maching specifically for families Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handtog parking - only 2-5 spots. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handtog parking - only 2-5 spots. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handtog parking - only 2-5 spots. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handtog parking - only 2-5 spots. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handtog parking - only 2-5 spots. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 1922/2023 business Roundbab Reed handt Could street Andtog Parking Parking Parking Parking P				·
19/22/023 Business Boundabé Diffunction suff lower rage reality job Istings. Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Advantage: Snopulative in smore front-facing than other cites. Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Advantage: Snopulative in smore raydhinding forgists needed Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Need public restrooms downtown - not maching austomer paths, no wyfinding forgists needed Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Need public restrooms downtown - not maching austomer paths, no wyfinding forgists needed Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Need nabition parting: optist needed Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Walkabitir, - kesping boardwalky/sidewalks/street furniture cien Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Vigenatic to downtown Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Coronnic Development Economic Development 19/22/023 Business Boundabé Coronnic Development				· ·
9/27/2023 Business Roundable People thirk "Snoqualme" e "				
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Advantage: Snoquialmic is more front-facing than other cities. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need public restrooms downtown - not maching spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Playscond for kids whose parents are shopping: werifinding specifically for families Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handlice parking: spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handlice parking: spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handlice parking: spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handlice parking: spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need parking: spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Onvelopment Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more falls traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more falls traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more relast traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable file spot needed Economic Development				
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need public restrooms downtown - not maching customer paths, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park) Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable more wayfinding for additional parking spot needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handcap parking - only 2-3 spot. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handcap parking - only 2-3 spot. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need handcap parking - only 2-3 spot. Could regrade King Street Iot. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Little benches, mayle more tables and chars? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more alls traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more alls traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable drive more alls traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable frame aperion of Ris Risk phart and spaint a spaint of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable frame aperion at Risk give to passing a spaint of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Econom				
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable more wayfinding for additional parking spots needed Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Playcound for kids whose parents are shopping - wayfinding specifically for families Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Walkability - keeping boardwalk/sidewalk/sitzeret furniture clean Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Walkability - keeping boardwalk/sidewalk/sitzeret furniture clean Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Walkability - keeping boardwalk/sidewalk/sitzeret furniture clean Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Walkability - keeping boardwalk/sidewalk/sitzeret furniture clean Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create* Scene (more* - elk Viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sitzer cites, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are cload. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are cload. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation- people come on Bonday and Tuesday when things are cload. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 <td< td=""><td>5/22/2025</td><td>Business noundtable</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	5/22/2025	Business noundtable		
17/27/2023 Business Roundtable Playround for kdx whose parents are shopping: wayfinding specifically for families Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Heed handlong parking: only 3 pasts. Could regred king Street lot. Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Little benches, maybe more tables and chars? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Little benches, maybe more tables and chars? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Tomore falls traffic to downtown Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Tomore falls traffic to downtown Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" -elk wlewing golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" -elk wlewing golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - elk wlewing golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - elk wlewing golf course, bend not disgrage? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundtable Chick dev unable to put a sign out - perhapse more flexibility around signage? </td <td>3/22/2023</td> <td>Business Roundtable</td> <td>Need public restrooms downtown - not maching customer paths, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park)</td> <td>Economic Development</td>	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Need public restrooms downtown - not maching customer paths, no wayfinding for it (will be part of Sandy Cove park)	Economic Development
13/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need Inandicap parking - only 2-3 spots. Could regrade king Street tot. Economic Development 13/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 13/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 13/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 13/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development 12/22/2023 Business Roundtable High spots - Street furthure clean Economic Development <	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	more wayfinding for additional parking spots needed	Economic Development
17/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Verlability: Leeging Doardwalk/sidewalks/street furnitue clean Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Etitle benches, maybe more tables and chairs? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Pigyback on Snoqualmic casino? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Creater's cenic drive", el viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister citles, off-leah park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Creater's cenic drive", el viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister citles, off-leah park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Creater's cenic drive", el viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister citles, off-leah park Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Chickade unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Chickade unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Chickade unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe Chickade unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 37/27/2023 Business Roundhabe The signage on SR-	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Playground for kids whose parents are shopping - wayfinding specifically for families	Economic Development
1/2/2/023 Business Roundtable Little benches, maybe more tables and chairs? Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable Piggyback on Snopulanic casino? Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable drive more fails tarific to downtown Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable trive more fails tarific to downtown Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable trive more fails tarific to downtown Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable trive wing, gof course, bend in the river, playground, siter cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable How of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are cloaek. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable How to spreamer of big too syn code for business license Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable Heve a person at the City to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Business Roundtable Heve a person at the City to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 3/2/2/023 Ope	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Need handicap parking - only 2-3 spots. Could regrade King Street lot.	Economic Development
3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Pigspback on Snogulamic asino? Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable drive more fails traffic to downtown Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Create* scenic drive* elk viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Round table Chickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/2/2023 Business Roundtable Have a peora as the City to be a signed as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 3/2/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to issaquah to buy and spend tax 5) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenties: wimens, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenties: a point of contact for new buy and spend tax 5) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House		Business Roundtable	Walkability - keeping boardwalk/sidewalks/street furniture clean	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable dr/w more fails tarflic to downtown Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - eli viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - eli viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - eli viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - eli viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - golf bours of operation - people corne on Ronday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need better touris signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need better touris signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Open House Have a person at the City to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesse, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Little benches, maybe more tables and chairs?	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable toxist loop on SVT? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create*scenic drive* eliviewing, golf course, bend in theiver, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Crickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Crickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable ende better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable med better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to issaquah to buy and spend tax 5) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourises - current comp plan talks about livability when attracting employers; focus on those jobs that will be filled by City residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low pair relevant. It was before the pandmic and the massive inflation we have serve. With all of the tallits in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MLUON on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(I) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, werk with Vi lew on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Piggyback on Snoqualmie casino?	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Create "scenic drive" - elk viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Chickadee unable to put a sign out - perfays more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Have a person at the City to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 3/22/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax 5) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Buil tourism amentities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Buil tourism amentities: worreits, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Have apending S15-30 MLLION on a renovation for the VMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not ap	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	drive more falls traffic to downtown	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours. Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Chickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Gein code for business license Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Red better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: universe, trait, like activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Filed DV (try residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "nool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted S years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic suff to live here? Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway i	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	tourist loop on SVT?	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Chickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage? Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Eudonomic Development Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Eudonomic Development Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Eudonomic Development Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "poop" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the tak in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. If(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with Si View on their pool p	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Create "scenic drive" - elk viewing, golf course, bend in the river, playground, sister cities, off-leash park	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable guide to sign code for business license Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Reed better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Reed better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build to right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before th	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Hours of operation - people come on Monday and Tuesday when things are closed. Need more clarity on hours.	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Need better tourist signage on SR-18 Economic Development 3/22/2023 Business Roundtable Have a person at the CIty to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff members. (or could be someone on EDC?) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: uneries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: uneries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: uneries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The rigool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLON on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurut and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with \$1 View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones.	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Chickadee unable to put a sign out - perhaps more flexibility around signage?	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtabe Have a person at the City to be assigned as a point of contact for new businesses, instead of bouncing around different staff Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the taik in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with Si View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists are greeted with what looks like a train junkyard. I get that it's historic and likely means al to to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists a	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	guide to sign code for business license	Economic Development
3/22/2023 Business Roundtable members. (or could be someone on EDC?) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$) Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amentities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Development Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House that will be filled by City residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in Snoqualmie, but can't afford to live here) Economic Development 7/2/2023 Open House The "pool" projet needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. If(1) apool is absolutely needed in this town, work with \$1 View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists are greeted with what looks like a train junkyard. Iget that it's historic and likely means a lot to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Please make that intersection better. The model train museum that is	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable	Need better tourist signage on SR-18	Economic Development
4/6/2023 Open House Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House Dirioritize people over business - current comp plan talks about livability when attracting employers; focus on those jobs Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending 51-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with SI View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists are greeted with what looks like a train junkyard. I get that it's historic and likely means a lot to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Please make that intersection better. The model train museum that is considering moving here at that location will help a lot. Please give them incentives to do this. Wine tourism is good for the city! 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City needs to find a way to sustain more tourist oriented businesses on Falls Ave between King and River St. That would really create a critical mass for keeping tourists here longer and therefore spending more. Economic Development 4/9/2023 Online Survey<	3/22/2023	Business Roundtable		Economic Development
4/6/2023 Open House prioritize people over business - current comp plan talks about livability when attracting employers; focus on those jobs Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House that will be filled by City residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in Economic Development 4/6/2023 Open House The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with Si View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists are greeted with what looks like a train junkyard. I get that it's historic and likely means a lot to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Please make that intersection better. The model train museum that is considering moving here at that location will help a lot. Please give them incentives to do this. Wine tourism is good for the cityl 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City needs to find a way to sustain more tourist oriented businesses on Falls Ave between King and River St. That would really create a critical mass for keeping tourists here longer and therefore spending more. Economic Development	4/6/2023	Open House	Add more commerce / big box stores (right now people go to Issaquah to buy and spend tax \$)	Economic Development
4/6/2023 Open House that will be filled by City residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in Snoqualmie, but can't afford to live here) Economic Development The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(1) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with Si View on their pool project. We can likely get one extremely nice facility vs. two janke ones. Economic Development 4/8/2023 Online Survey The entrance to our downtown area from the Parkway is pathetic. At that intersection, tourists are greeted with what looks like a train junkyard. I get that it's historic and likely means a lot to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Please make that intersection better. The model train museum that is considering moving here at that location will help a lot. Please give them incentives to do this. Wine tourism is good for the city! 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City needs to find a way to sustain more tourist oriented businesses on Falls Ave between King and River St. That would really creat a critical mass for keeping tourists here longer and therefore spending more. Economic Development 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City is going to have a fiscal reality check if we do not increase tourism tax revenue. If the Council agrees, then that Economic Development </td <td>4/6/2023</td> <td>Open House</td> <td>Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc</td> <td>Economic Development</td>	4/6/2023	Open House	Build tourism amenities: wineries, trails, lake activities, etc	Economic Development
 4/8/2023 Online Survey 4/9/2023 Online Survey 4/9/2023 Online Survey 4/9/2023 Online Survey Arborn City and the cit and the city and the city and the city and th	4/6/2023	Open House	that will be filled by City residents (there is currently a huge reverse commute of people who work at low paid retail jobs in	Economic Development
A/9/2023 Online Survey The city needs to find a way to sustain more tourist oriented businesses on Falls Ave between King and River St. That economic Development 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City needs to find a way to sustain more tourist oriented businesses on Falls Ave between King and River St. That built respectively the control of the city is going to have a fiscal reality check if we do not increase tourism tax revenue. If the Council agrees, then that Economic Development			The "pool" project needs to be put to a vote of the citizens. The survey you conducted 5 years ago is no longer relevant. It was before the pandemic and the massive inflation we have seen. With all of the talk in the city about our fiscal condition, we should not be spending \$15-30 MILLION on a renovation for the YMCA. This is absurd and you know the voters will not approve this. IF(!) a pool is absolutely needed in this town, work with Si View on their pool project. We can likely get one	
4/9/2023 Online Survey Would really create a critical mass for keeping tourists here longer and therefore spending more. Economic Development 4/9/2023 Online Survey The City is going to have a fiscal reality check if we do not increase tourism tax revenue. If the Council agrees, then that Economic Development	4/8/2023	Online Survey	looks like a train junkyard. I get that it's historic and likely means a lot to a train buff, but it looks like a run down junkyard. Please make that intersection better. The model train museum that is considering moving here at that location will help a lot. Please give them incentives to do this.	Economic Development
4/9/2023 Online Survey The City is going to have a fiscal reality check if we do not increase tourism tax revenue. If the Council agrees, then that Economic Development	4/9/2023	Online Survey		Economic Development
	4/9/2023	Online Survey	The City is going to have a fiscal reality check if we do not increase tourism tax revenue. If the Council agrees, then that	Economic Development

4/10/2023 Online Survey Predict a space and sources and sources and sources and sources and sources are structure. Economic Development. 4/10/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey We need source structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Would be more forcus on developing a comprehensive structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Would be more forcus on developing a comprehensive structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Develop the structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Develop the structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Develop the structure. Economic Development. 4/11/2023 Online Survey Develot the structure				
4/11/2023 Online Survey Hage retail tax dollars are being lost to issaquab because we don't have restaurants and businesses here in Snoqualmie Economic Development 4/11/2023 Online Survey Would like more focus on developing a comprehensive strateg for our Snoqualmic retail todifyind yo many medical/detath(s)/oin and other health style clinics and stores? Why not more restaurants, shopping, dube, etc. Like we this community and my property taxes continue to rise, so take a loot at building out some kind retail that strates can maintains mony to this Economic Development 4/14/2023 Online Survey One of the biggest limitations to growing local tours in black of family finding and relable house house on strates for people to stary to make a weeklend of Line there and paintains mony to this Economic Development 4/14/2023 Online Survey One of the biggest limitations to growing local tours in black of family finding and relable house house in strates of the strate for people to stary to make a weeklend of Line technologing arcs, Echnologing arcs, Economic Bevelopment, Economic	4/10/2023	Online Survey	we are too far away. it might not be a planning thing but what is the city doing to get workers here from other places	Economic Development
4/11/2023 Online Survey Would like more focus on developing a comprehensive strategy for our Snoqualmie retail footprint, in particular on the Rige. The ampy store fronts and lock of varky in retailers is disapointing. Why so may media/dental/vision/ and other health syle (clines and sore): "Why more consumptions, Spoping, clubs, Cline this community and my more constantly because you differ nothing in this area. Because we have ne tail footprint to click sale taskes, my more constantly because you differ nothing in this area. Because we have ne tail footprint to click sale taskes, my more constantly because you differ nothing in this area. Because we have ne tail footprint to click sale taskes, my more constantly because you differ nothing in this area. Because we have ne tail footprint to click sale taskes, my more constantly because you differ nothing in this area. Because we have ne tail footprint to click sale taskes, my to the new sents, and the spokes and taskes and more tasks and more tasks and more tasks and more tasks to the maximum tasks and translams more tasks and more tasks. And the park task and tasks and more tasks and more tasks and tasks and more tasks and tasks and more tasks and more tasks and tasks. Thasks thasks thasks tasks and tasksks thasks thasks thasks thas	4/11/2023	Online Survey	We need some attractive commercial center	Economic Development
Af11/2023 Philes Ridge. The empty store fronts and lack of variety in retailers, is disapoloting. Why so many retailers dises have, my Economic Development 4/11/2023 Online Survey Ridge. The empty store fronts and lack of variety in retailers, is disapoloting. Why so many retailers dises have, my Economic Development 4/11/2023 Online Survey Ringe. The empty store fronts and lack of variety in retailers, is disapoloting. Why so many retailers have no retail forgativity. That's not pole to be presented of 1. The tote lance for a retaily great family hold whold be the Casio (whis hus in sonough be here) in oraci affordable and lace (or a retaily rege family hold whould be the Casio (whis hus in sonough be here) in oraci affordable and lace (or a retaily retail in the aven having the hold whold here have in Sonough hold here have in Sonough here) have a casio. 4/14/2023 Online Survey Non Mole Tree is not good for Sonouthere for mere tote family family that's not good J. Non word with the word of the here have an available to me. Economic Development 4/12/2023 Online Survey Non Roll Tree is not good for Sonouthere (Non word family famil	4/11/2023	Online Survey	Huge retail tax dollars are being lost to Issaquah because we don't have restaurants and businesses here in Snoqualmie	Economic Development
4/14/2023box of outdoor activities, the trains, the waterfail, downtown shopping areas, festivals, and more. But nowhere for people to stay to make a weekend of It. The ideal place for a really great family hold would be by the clain (with shuttle service to other areas) or as part of the North Bend Outlet Mall footprint. But even having the hotel we have in Snoqualine be more affordable and reliable would be by bode. (Neviews really great family the isotation with shuttle service to other areas) or as part of the North Bend Outlet Mall footprint. But even having the hotel we have in Snoqualine be more affordable and reliable would be by bode. (Neviews really great family the isotation is the source of the source of the weekeend try strain the source of the source of the weekeend try strain the source of the weekeend try strain the source of the sourc	4/11/2023	Online Survey	Ridge. The empty store fronts and lack of variety in retailers is disappointing. Why so many medical/dental/vision/ and other health style clinics and stores? Why not more restaurants, shopping, clubs, etc. I leave this community and my money constantly because you offer nothing in this area. Because we have no retail footprint to collect sales taxes, my property taxes continue to rise, so take a look at building out some kind retail that attracts and maintains money to this	Economic Development
4/26/2023Online SurveyNeed more restaurantsEconomic Development5/2/2023In-Person Meeting-Seek Marketing at Snoqualmie Inn) -Seek more corporate business -They are very popular because of their shuttle; other non-SOV travel options very limited (including to/from airport) -They draw on lots of farm-based weddings -Staffing an issue - everyone who works here doesn't live here. Not a huge draw for the 18-25 crowd. -Trail guides are very useful for guests. -Like to have tangible things to distribute with information about the Valley.Economic Development5/9/2023Online SurveyWe need more commercial or penalize the vacant development on the ridge.Economic Development5/11/2023Online SurveyI'd like to see mixed use housing and upgrades downtown for increased economic developmentEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableTransportation remains an sissue - al-ket of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableHard time hiring at 524/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost epoelp's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost epoelp's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost epoelp's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost epoelp's employees	4/14/2023	Online Survey	tons of outdoor activities, the trains, the waterfall, downtown shopping areas, festivals, and more. But nowhere for people to stay to make a weekend of it. The ideal place for a really great family hotel would be by the Casino (with shuttle service to other areas) or as part of the North Bend Outlet Mall footprint. But even having the hotel we have in Snoqualmie be more affordable and reliable would be good. (Reviews range from terrible to fantastic. Almost equally. That's not good.) A good competitor would drive higher quality standards and lower prices. Which would drive more weekend trips rather than day trips. Which would drive tourist dollars, the development of new events, and the growth of existing festivals and events. At least, that's what I observe with the limited information I have available to me.	Economic Development
5/2/2023 In-Person Meeting Laurie Hay (Director of Sales & Marketing at Snoqualmie Inn) Seek more corporate business 5/2/2023 In-Person Meeting They are very popular because of their shuttle; other non-SOV travel options very limited (including to/from airport) Economic Development 5/2/2023 Online Survey Staffing an issue - every one who works here doesn't live here. Not a huge draw for the 18-25 crowd. Economic Development 5/9/2023 Online Survey We need more commercial or penalize the vacant development on the ridge. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Transportation remains an issue – lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Hot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Not enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Hot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Streice?. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Hot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Most people's employees don't iwe in Snoqua	4/26/2022	Online Survey		Economic Dovelonment
Seek more corporate business • They are very popular because of their shuttle; other non-SOV travel options very limited (including to/from airport) • They are very popular because of their shuttle; other non-SOV travel options very limited (including to/from airport) • Draw on lots of farm-based weddings • Staffing an issue - everyone who works here deesn't live here. Not a huge draw for the 18-25 crowd. • Trail guides are very useful for guests. • Like to have tangible things to distribute with information about the ValleyEconomic Development5/9/2023Online SurveyWe need more commercial or penalize the vacant development on the ridge.Economic Development5/11/2023Business RoundtableTransportation remains an issue – lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableWould like to see more signage directing people to Venture Plaza; there are small businesses there but they are difficult to see from the ParkwayEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't l	4/20/2023	Online Survey		economic Development
5/11/2023Online SurveyI'd like to see mixed use housing and upgrades downtown for increased economic developmentEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableTransportation remains an issue – lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableWould like to see more signage directing people to Venture Plaza; there are small businesses there but they are difficult to see from the ParkwayEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableHard time hiring at \$24/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableCould potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service?.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunityEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	5/2/2023	In-Person Meeting	 Seek more corporate business They are very popular because of their shuttle; other non-SOV travel options very limited (including to/from airport) They draw on lots of outdoor opportunities (Rattlesnake, skiing, dirtfish) Draw on lots of farm-based weddings Staffing an issue - everyone who works here doesn't live here. Not a huge draw for the 18-25 crowd. Trail guides are very useful for guests. 	Economic Development
6/s/2023Business RoundtableTransportation remains an issue – lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableWould like to see more signage directing people to Venture Plaza; there are small businesses there but they are difficult to see from the ParkwayEconomic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableHard time hiring at \$24/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/s/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	5/9/2023	Online Survey	We need more commercial or penalize the vacant development on the ridge.	Economic Development
6/5/2023Business RoundtableWould like to see more signage directing people to Venture Plaza; there are small businesses there but they are difficult to see from the ParkwayEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableHard time hiring at \$24/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableCould potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? .Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	5/11/2023	Online Survey	I'd like to see mixed use housing and upgrades downtown for increased economic development	Economic Development
6/5/2023Business RoundtableEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableHard time hiring at \$24/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableCould potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? .Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Transportation remains an issue – lack of rideshare may deter people visiting from nearby areas.	Economic Development
6/5/2023Business RoundtableNot enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableCould potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? .Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable		Economic Development
6/5/2023Business RoundtableMost people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableCould potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? .Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Hard time hiring at \$24/hr. not sure why people don't want to come to Snoqualmie.	Economic Development
6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Could potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? . Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable For big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Amphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be great Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Missing a public art element on Center St Economic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Not enough public trash can cleaning on Center Blvd between Mayrand and Kinsey.	Economic Development
6/5/2023Business RoundtableFor big events like the Boeing Classic, visitors are bussed in from large parking lots elsewhere so there is a lost opportunity for foot traffic.Economic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableAmphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be greatEconomic Development6/5/2023Business RoundtableMissing a public art element on Center StEconomic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Most people's employees don't live in Snoqualmie.	Economic Development
6/5/2023 Business Roundtable For foot traffic. Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Amphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be great Economic Development 6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Missing a public art element on Center St Economic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Could potentially advertise up in Monroe due to SVT service? .	Economic Development
6/5/2023 Business Roundtable Missing a public art element on Center St Economic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable		Economic Development
	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Amphitheater at the bottom of Center street would be great	Economic Development
6/5/2023 Business Roundtable some business may move out because there is no room for expansion. Economic Development	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	Missing a public art element on Center St	Economic Development
	6/5/2023	Business Roundtable	some business may move out because there is no room for expansion.	Economic Development

		The current housing landscape seems appropriate enough to deliver a modern suburban feeling as well as retain the small town charm. I feel further expansion of housing must be slowed down.	
6/3/2023	Online Survey	We need to create a theme for our community similar to Leavenworth (Bavarian). We must capitalize on the mystery theme that our town had since twin peaks.	Economic Development
		Maybe introduce some fun outdoor events like lumberjack games etc.	
10/18/2023	Open House	Can we encourage more small-biz growth downtown? Would love to see if fully walkable like downtown North Bend	Economic Development
10/18/2023	Open House	More full-service restaurants - but no new buildings.	Economic Development
4/18/2023	Online Survey	No other concerns. Would love to help in any way possible.	Nice Comment
5/9/2023	Online Survey	We moved here 2 yrs ago and think it's paradise. The people are so friendly. And it's beautiful.	Nice Comment
5/11/2023	Online Survey	This is the best place to live - we love it	Nice Comment
4/6/2023	Open House	Upgrade SV Hospital to at least a Level 2 hospital - huge demand for all people east of Issaquah	Out of Scope
4/6/2023	Open House	Please regulate airbnbs and short-term rentals (so many per area). Spread out as there is currently two on one block downtown - defeats a sense of community.	Regulation
4/6/2023	Open House	Drone ordinance	Regulation
4/7/2023	Online Survey	I would LOVE if the William's addition can be put on city sewer!	
5/24/2023	Online Survey	Would like to see some infill density added to downtown and across the River in the Mill district.	
7/12/2023	Online Survey	We are very happy with our choice to move out of the city of Seattle and live in Snoqualmie. We are looking forward to being lifelong residents and active members of the community.	Nice Comment
7/13/2023	Online Survey	The hotel is already starting to show signs of decline and the adjacent unfinished building is a detraction from the quality of the area.	Economic Development
10/18/2023	Open House	Can we keep the open space at Center Blvd intersection for concerts and community events? The location is great for attracting passing traffic/peds.	Economic Development
10/18/2023	Open House	The ROA from the Ridge turned our tax dollars over to the City of Snoqualmie!! Our Landscape Commission group perhaps needs to move to the city to help with our areas beautiful needs.	Out of Scope
10/18/2023	Open House	Is there a way to cross-promote downtown and ridge events and businesses? Some perople would love to know more even when they only stay in one section of town	Economic Development