

# 2044 Growth Targets Analysis

To: Emily Arteche, AICP, Community Development Director, City of Snoqualmie

From: Dane Jepsen, Associate Planner, LDC Inc.  
Thomas Carter, Associate Planner, LDC Inc.

CC: Kim Mahoney, Principal Planner, LDC Inc.

Date: February 1, 2024

Re: City of Snoqualmie 2044 Growth Targets Analysis

---

## Executive Summary

During the City of Snoqualmie's (City) 2024 Comprehensive Plan update, LDC, Inc. (LDC) performed a Land Capacity Analysis (LCA) to assess the City's capacity to serve the projected housing and employment needs for the 2019-2044 planning period. Projected housing needs for the City are informed by the King County Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs), which allocated a need for the City to provide 1,500 residential units throughout the 2019-2044 planning period. The LCA (Appendix A) found that the City lacks capacity to meet these projected housing needs; the purpose of this Growth Targets Analysis is to document the City's efforts to address this lack of housing capacity, present achievable growth targets based on the LCA, and request an amendment of the adopted housing growth targets.

The LCA identified that the City had sufficient capacity for employment growth but insufficient capacity for high, very low, and extremely low-income housing growth projections. This shortage is due to the lack of available developable land for single-family housing and low-income serving housing solutions and would require the City to plan to provide an additional 1,118 residential units by 2044. The majority of land in the City is either built-out or undevelopable due to the presence of critical areas. Critical areas analysis conducted for the LCA found that approximately 49% of land in the City is impacted by local regulations governing and protecting critical areas and flood hazard regulations impact an additional 935 acres (21%) of the City (Appendix B). Additionally, existing lots associated with Residential Owners Associations (ROAs) (sometimes called Home Owner's Associations [HOAs]) are unlikely to see future development, including Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), given regulations against such development set forth in their Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions. The LCA identified that approximately 86% of single-family lots are associated with ROAs and these lots constitute approximately 10% of the City's land area (Appendix A).

Per RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act [GMA]), the City is required to identify and implement corrective actions that will accommodate the adopted housing growth target; the City has done so, and its evaluation of numerous potential corrective actions to accommodate its housing target is documented in this memo. Based on the findings of the City's analyzed potential corrective actions described herein, it is infeasible and unreasonable for the City to plan for the currently adopted target. The City is requesting the amendment of its currently adopted housing target to reflect its projected housing capacity on the grounds that the previously adopted target was ill-founded and was not based on the capacity identified in the 2021 Urban Growth Capacity Report (UGCR) or any other capacity analysis. The LCA (Appendix A) demonstrates the City has capacity for an additional 719 residential units by 2044. The City is requesting its existing housing target of 1,500 residential units be amended to 719 residential units with specific adjustments to each income bracket and to the Emergency Housing target.

This memorandum further details the background, corrective actions considered, achievable growth targets, and growth strategy supporting the City's request for its housing growth target to be amended.

## Background

In 2019, the King County Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) published the county-wide UGCR as a study of buildable lands in King County; this report was later adopted in 2021 and ratified in 2022. The results of this report were favorable for the City, showing it had greatly exceeded its previous 2006-2035 targets for employment and housing growth.

In addition to reviewing the City's progress towards its targets, the UGCR evaluated the remaining land capacity the City had to facilitate future development and serve housing and employment needs. In total, the UGCR found that the City had capacity for 372 new housing units and 4,079 jobs:

	<b>Zoned Capacity</b>	<b>Pipeline Capacity</b>	<b>Total Capacity 2021 UGCR</b>
<b>Residential units</b>	167	204	372
<b>Jobs</b>	620	3459	4,079

## 2019-2044 Growth Targets

Following the 2021 UGCR, King County CPPs were adopted to guide expected growth throughout King County. The CPPs assigned Snoqualmie the following housing and employment targets to plan for during the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update:

- Housing Target: 1,500 residential units
- Employment Target: 4,425 jobs

The 2019-2044 housing growth target is much larger than the capacity that was reported in the 2021 UGCR, roughly 404% of that capacity. There is little explanation for how this dramatically increased target was proposed. The most logical explanation is that the current target was proposed based on the City's past growth target; previously, the City needed to produce 65 residential units per year to achieve their 2006-2035 housing target, and the currently adopted target of 1,500 residential units would require the City to produce just 60 residential units per year. Following linear growth, the City could have accommodated this target, but following the build-out of the Snoqualmie Ridge Master Plan including both Snoqualmie Ridge 1 and Snoqualmie Ridge II, the City did not have sufficient land to maintain the previous rate of development.

Additionally, the City currently does not have an approved Water System (Comprehensive Plan) pursuant to RCW 70.116.050. During their review in 2021, King County identified that the City did not have sufficient water available water to serve the 2044 projected housing growth target of 1,500 units; the plan has yet to be approved and is still under separate review.

Lastly, due to State law changes initiated by House Bill 1220, the King County CPPs were amended August 15, 2023, to include targets for individual income brackets and targets for the provision of Emergency Housing. The City was allocated the following permanent and emergency housing targets for 2019-2044 growth:

<b>Permanent Housing Growth Targets (Units) by Affordability (AMI<sup>1</sup>)</b>								<b>Emergency Housing (Beds)</b>
<b>0-30% Non-PSH</b>	<b>0-30% PSH</b>	<b>&gt;30- &lt;50%</b>	<b>&gt;50- &lt;80%</b>	<b>&gt;80- &lt;100%</b>	<b>&gt;100- &lt;120%</b>	<b>&gt;120%</b>	<b>Total</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Area Median Income

472	248	233	82	61	69	335	1,500	287
-----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----	-------	-----

## Land Capacity Analysis

In partnership with LDC, the City has analyzed housing and employment capacity for the 2024-2044 planning period against the City’s progress towards its 2019-2044 growth targets (Appendix A). This process combined LCA methodologies of the Washington Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the King County UGCR, along with considerable coordination and involvement with the City Council and the City’s Community Development Department staff to project the City’s housing and employment capacity.

The LCA identified that the City had sufficient capacity to serve projected employment needs and the housing needs of moderate to low-income households, and had insufficient housing capacity for those within the high, very low, and extremely low-income households. The housing capacity deficit would require the City to plan for an additional 1,118 residential units by 2044. This shortage is due to the lack of available developable land for single-family housing and low-income serving housing solutions.

Income Level	Extremely Low Income (PSH <sup>1</sup> )	Extremely Low Income (Non-PSH)	Very Low Income	High Income
Capacity Surplus (or Deficit)	(805)			(313)

<sup>1</sup>Permanent Supportive Housing

## Corrective Actions

Following a Regional Housing Needs Assessment (Appendix E) performed in 2023 analyzing the housing needs of cities throughout Snoqualmie Valley, a housing deficit of 383 residential units was identified in the City. Resultingly, the City immediately began searching for potential actions to address this projected deficit and particularly studied use of its Urban Growth Area (UGA) for additional residential development. The City petitioned King County for an amendment of the CPPs to include provisions of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5593 that would allow for the expansion of the UGA through the Four-to-One Program<sup>2</sup> and the subsequent annexation of that land to allow the City to accommodate its adopted growth targets. This petition was rejected, and proposed corrective actions related to it were abandoned. Additional corrective actions required to be considered by the City to address lacking capacity identified in the LCA are documented in this section of the Growth Targets Analysis memo.

The City has evaluated corrective actions through an analysis of proposed projects, zoning changes, and annexation strategies, and found that their total additional capacity was not sufficient to meet the outstanding housing capacity deficit. Evaluation of corrective actions followed the same format and assumptions that were utilized in the LCA; these actions were evaluated by the City throughout the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update, which resulted in the City’s sought amendment of its housing growth target.

## Proposed Projects

Due to the constrained land supply of the City, proposed projects were considered for effectiveness as corrective actions addressing the lack of housing capacity. Outside the LCA methodology, specific sites which had proposed projects associated with them were evaluated using LCA assumptions and taken into

<sup>2</sup> For more information on the King County Four-to-One Program go to: <https://kingcounty.gov/en/legacy/depts/executive/performance-strategy-budget/regional-planning/king-county-comprehensive-plan/amend/4to1>





*Parcel S-21*

The Parcel S-21 site is composed of two parcels in western Snoqualmie adjacent to the Snoqualmie Valley Hospital. The site was identified as one of the few parcels in the Mixed-Use zone to have development capacity. Desktop critical areas analysis found approximately 5.8 acres of critical areas on the site, leaving a little less than half of the site available for development.



Initial evaluation of the proposed project estimated that the site could provide capacity for 50 residential units with up to 11 affordable units. After the site had been considered by the City Council, it was discovered that the Snoqualmie Valley Hospital intended to use these vacant parcels for future hospital expansion. The proposed project to develop the site with housing was deemed infeasible and was dropped from the further consideration.

<b>Gross Acres</b>		10.64	<b>Site Status</b>	Vacant			
<b>Critical Areas</b>		5.82	<b>Parcels</b>	2			
	<b>Zoning</b>	<b>Deductions</b>	<b>Net Acres</b>	<b>Density</b>	<b>Initial Residential Capacity</b>	<b>Displaced Units</b>	<b>Final Residential Capacity</b>
<b>Existing</b>	MU	16%	4.05	12.32	50	0	50

*Diverging Diamond*

The proposed project is located on three parcels north of the interchange of Snoqualmie Parkway (State Route 18) and Interstate-90. The site is in the County, outside the City's UGA; this site was included in the City's corrective action evaluation because a proposal to incorporate the site into the UGA through a "UGA swap" and has been considered between the City and the County, after which, the site would be annexed and zoned Planned Residential for residential development.

Initial evaluation of the proposed project estimated that the site could provide up to 113 residential units. The proposed project was deemed infeasible and was dropped from the City's consideration due to King County's disapproval for the potential UGA swap and the City Council's finding that the location would be unfavorable for housing provisions.



<b>Gross Acres</b>		10.92	<b>Site Status</b>		Vacant		
<b>Critical Areas</b>		0.00	<b>Parcels</b>		3		
	<b>Zoning</b>	<b>Deductions</b>	<b>Net Acres</b>	<b>Density</b>	<b>Initial Residential Capacity</b>	<b>Displaced Units</b>	<b>Final Residential Capacity</b>
<b>Existing</b>	RA5	10%	9.83	0.2	2	0	2
<b>Proposed</b>	PR	16%	9.17	12	113	0	113

## Zoning Changes

Rezoning is a corrective action recommended by Commerce to address housing capacity deficits. Commerce recommends evaluating proposed rezoning by prioritizing the addition of capacity in “areas with the greatest opportunity”<sup>3</sup> which is associated with public transportation, job centers, schools, and amenity clusters. The following rezoning was considered for corrective action.

### *East Fork Kimbal Creek R2 Rezoning*

The site is composed of a redevelopable parcel located south of Old-Downtown Snoqualmie and west of Railroad Avenue. The site is encumbered with critical areas, and portions of the site are in the 100-year floodplain; still, approximately 2.45 acres of the site are developable according to the critical areas analysis performed in conjunction with the LCA. The site is the appropriate size for redevelopment and in an ideal location for a rezoning to a higher density; as such, a rezoning to the Residential-2 zone was proposed and used to evaluate potential capacity.



Initial evaluation of the rezoning estimated that it could yield an additional 49 residential units of capacity; current zoning has capacity for 5 residential units, and the proposed rezoning would yield a total of 54 units.

Though the proposed rezoning would reduce the deficit in Snoqualmie’s residential capacity, it would inherently develop high-density residential units in the floodplain and amidst high-value critical areas directly adjacent to rural/low-density land uses in direct conflict with local and state regulations protecting valuable and diminishing critical area

resources. Given the impact of anthropogenic development proximal to critical areas and the potential public health and safety risk of encouraging residential development within the floodplain, the rezoning was determined to be infeasible and was dropped from the City’s consideration.


Gross Acres		11.37	Site Status	Developed			
Critical Areas		8.92	Parcels	1			
	Zoning	Deductions	Net Acres	Density	Initial Residential Capacity	Displaced Units	Final Residential Capacity
<b>Existing</b>	R-1-10	45%	1.35	4.15	6	1	5
<b>Proposed</b>	R2	11%	2.18	25	55	1	54

<sup>3</sup> [HB 1220 Book 2 Housing Element Update](#), p.40



## Urban Growth Area Annexation

In the process of evaluating corrective actions to address the housing capacity shortfall, the City evaluated the potential capacity that could be yielded through annexation of the UGA. A portion of the City's UGA, located between the existing City limits and Interstate 90, had its land capacity evaluated based on theoretical zoning proposed by the Community Development Department; Mixed Use zone area was proposed to provide a buffer between the commercial area to the west and the proposed housing.



This area is part of the City's Potential Annexation Area (PAA) and encompasses local planning areas of "Snoqualmie Hills West" and "Snoqualmie Hills East", this analysis will refer to the collective area as "the Snoqualmie Hills".

Capacity analysis for land in this area considered land status, land ownership, and critical areas. A considerable amount of the developable land in the Snoqualmie Hills area is owned by the Snoqualmie Tribe, which has the stated goal of preserving these lands for cultural reasons; therefore, these lands were excluded from the capacity analysis to respect the tribal cultural significance of the land.

Zone	Buildable Area	Projected Capacity
Mixed Use (MU)	51.7 acres	1,576 Jobs
R-3	23.8 acres	500 Units
R-1-10	22.7 acres	52 Units

The capacity identified in the Snoqualmie Hills still falls short of the total housing capacity needed to accommodate the existing housing growth target. There are also considerable challenges in the manner of the City annexing and appropriately serving this area's public and infrastructural needs. Among these challenges is lack of support from land owners, lack of capital facilities and capacity for service, and widespread presence of critical areas; these challenges threaten the viability of such a rezone that already involves costly public infrastructure improvements and potential loss of valuable open-space and wildlife habitat.

The City Council expressed concern over focusing capacity deficits within the Snoqualmie Hills since the majority of the capacity deficit (72%) was for housing affordable to households with income less than 50% AMI. To concentrate the housing capacity deficit within the UGA would result in an isolated portion of the City that disproportionately housed the majority of the City's low-income residents. Correspondence between the City and the King County Growth Management Planning Council also confirmed that designating housing capacity in the UGA would not be accepted. This corrective action was deemed infeasible.

## Achievable Growth Targets

Given the findings of the LCA and the infeasibility of addressing the capacity deficit with corrective actions, the City is requesting to amend its adopted housing growth targets for the 2019-2044 planning period from 1,500 to 719.

## Permanent Housing Growth Targets

The proposed amendment to the City's Permanent Housing target is based on the available capacity and the City's existing need for affordable housing. The table below outlines the proposed amendment in relation to the existing targets. The proposed targets differ from the currently adopted targets due to the context of the City's available housing capacity. Per the LCA, the City is unable to support a target of 22% of future housing for High Income households as this bracket is primarily served by detached single-family housing, requiring more raw land than the City has available. Additionally, the bulk of the City's current housing capacity is in the form of pipeline projects which have set market rates inconsistent with extremely low and very low-income market needs.

<b>Proposed Amendment of Adopted Housing Targets</b>				
	<b>Adopted</b>		<b>Proposed Amendment</b>	
<b>Affordable Housing Needs (AMI<sup>4</sup>)</b>	<b>Housing Units</b>	<b>% of Total Target</b>	<b>Housing Units</b>	<b>% of Total Target</b>
<b>0-30% Non-PSH</b>	472	31%	82	11.5%
<b>0-30% PSH</b>	248	17%	65	9%
<b>&gt;30-&lt;50%</b>	233	16%	98	13.5%
<b>&gt;50-&lt;80%</b>	82	5%	129	18%
<b>&gt;80-&lt;100%</b>	61	4%	158	22%
<b>&gt;100-&lt;120%</b>	69	5%	165	23%
<b>&gt;120%</b>	335	22%	22	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,500</b>		<b>719</b>	

<sup>4</sup> Area Median Income



The table below outlines how the City would meet an amended target of 719 housing units. The pipeline project “Panorama Apartments” has already been built, but the building management has indicated they would be open to adjusting their income restrictions to meet the City’s needs. These proposed targets would be met by shifting 97 of the existing 191 units restricted to 50-80% Area Median Income (AMI) in Panorama to 30-50% AMI income restriction.

<b>Income Housing Target Capacity Summary</b>						
<b>Income Level</b>	<b>Projected Housing Need</b>	<b>Aggregated Housing Needs</b>	<b>Zone Categories Serving These Needs</b>	<b>Pipeline Projects</b>	<b>Total Zoned Capacity</b>	<b>Capacity Surplus (or Deficit)</b>
Extremely Low Income (PSH)	83	245	Low-Rise Multifamily	0	148	(97)
Extremely Low Income (Non-PSH)	66					
Very Low Income	97					
Low Income	129	129		226		97
Moderate Income (80-100% AMI)	158	323	Moderate Density + ADUs	182	141	0
Moderate Income (100-120% AMI)	165					
High Income	22	22	Low Density	13	9	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>719</b>		<b>421</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>0</b>

*Permanent Housing Needs*

The projected housing needs methodology (as outlined in Commerce Guidance Book 1<sup>5</sup>) incorporates the housing needs of existing residents experiencing housing-cost burden or homelessness as well as needs from projected population growth. These considerations are calculated at the County level and are used to create Countywide targets that are allocated to jurisdictions in each County.

As identified in the LCA and in this analysis, it is not feasible for the City to support the existing allocation of projected population growth. In amending its housing target, the City still seeks to serve the housing needs of its residents and allow for population growth. Following Commerce guidance, the following analysis demonstrates the permanent housing target proposed by the City could support the permanent housing needs of existing residents (including cost-burdened and homeless residents) and also provide for 437 units of housing to serve projected population growth.

*Housing needed to eliminate baseline renter cost burden*

Housing needed to eliminate renter-housing cost-burden was calculated based on Commerce guidance and the results of the 2023 Snoqualmie Housing Needs Assessment (Appendix D).

The below table estimates the number of new affordable housing units that would be required to eliminate renter housing cost burden. The analysis performed in this table estimates the affordable housing needed to provide for the lowest income bracket, and then applies units vacated by one income

<sup>5</sup> [HB 1220 Book1 Establishing Housing Targets](#), p.27-36,

bracket to affordable housing stock for the next lowest income bracket; the analysis ends when there are no more cost-burdened households. Assumptions include a 6% vacancy rate for newly constructed affordable units, as aligned with Commerce practice.

This analysis found that an additional 159 residential units would need to be constructed to eliminate cost-burden among existing renters.

<b>Housing needed to eliminate renter cost burden</b>				
<b>Income level (% of AMI)</b>	<b>Cost-burdened renter households, 2019<sup>6</sup></b>	<b>+ 6% for vacancy rate</b>	<b>New production to address need</b>	<b>Units vacated that address need</b>
<b>0-30%</b>	38	40	40	0
<b>&gt;30-&lt;50%</b>	60	64	24	40
<b>&gt;50-&lt;80%</b>	30	32	8	24
<b>&gt;80-&lt;100%</b>	90	95	87	8
<b>Total</b>			<b>159</b>	

*Housing needed for the population experiencing homelessness*

Housing needed for the existing homeless population was calculated based on Commerce guidance and Unsheltered Point-in-Time homeless persons counts performed by the King County Regional Homelessness Authority (KCRHA)<sup>7</sup>. Using reasonable assumptions, it was estimated that approximately 102 persons across 87 households could be assumed to be existing homeless residents in the City. The table below shows how many residential units serving very low and low income levels would likely be needed to accommodate these residents.

<b>Housing needed for population experiencing homelessness</b>			
<b>Income level (% of AMI)</b>	<b>Total estimated households experiencing homelessness</b>	<b>Assumed percentage by income level</b>	<b>Total households by income level experiencing homelessness</b>
<b>0-30%</b>		90%	78
<b>&gt;30-&lt;50%</b>		10%	9
<b>Total</b>	87		

<sup>6</sup> Source: HUD-CHAS Tabulations of 2015-2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates.

<sup>7</sup> KCRHA 2019 PIT, <https://kcrha.org/data-overview/king-county-point-in-time-count/>

*Remaining Housing for Projected Growth*

Based on this analysis, the following table shows how the proposed permanent housing targets for the City will meet the needs of cost-burdened and homeless residents as well as the needs of future residents as the City’s population grows.

<b>Proposed Housing Target Break-down</b>				
<b>Affordable Housing Needs (AMI)</b>	<b>Amended Housing Target</b>	<b>Housing Needed for:</b>		
		<b>Cost-Burden Residents</b>	<b>Homeless Residents</b>	<b>Projected Growth</b>
<b>0-30%</b>	148	40	78	30
<b>&gt;30-&lt;50%</b>	97	24	9	64
<b>&gt;50-&lt;80%</b>	129	8	0	121
<b>&gt;80-&lt;100%</b>	158	87	0	71
<b>&gt;100-&lt;120%</b>	165	0	0	165
<b>&gt;120%</b>	22	0	0	22
<b>Total</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>473</b>

**Emergency Housing Growth Targets**

The proposed amendment to the City’s Emergency Housing target is relative to the proposed Permanent Housing target per Commerce guidance for allocation of Emergency Housing needs<sup>8</sup>. Need for Emergency Housing is established at the county level and then distributed among jurisdictions within each County. Commerce guidance outlines two methods for allocating Emergency Housing needs:

***Method A** allocates net new emergency housing need based on each jurisdiction’s percentage share of countywide housing growth.*

***Method B** allocates net new emergency housing need based on each jurisdiction’s percent share of countywide target housing units in the projection year of 2045.*

Each method relies on the individual jurisdiction’s planned growth of permanent housing stock to allocate Emergency Housing needs. The City proposes the reduction of its existing Emergency Housing target of 287 beds under the same context.

The Emergency Housing target of 287 beds allocated to the City is approximately 0.49% of the County’s net growth target (58,983 beds), which aligns with the ratio yielded from both Methods A and B as outlined by Commerce.

The table below outlines the possible Emergency Housing allocations based on the proposed Permanent Housing Target of 695 units:

<b>Allocation Method</b>	<b>Allocation %</b>	<b>2044 Target</b>	<b>Net Growth Needed</b>
<b>Method A</b>	0.23%	146	115
<b>Method B</b>	0.42%	275	244

<sup>8</sup> [HB 1220 Book1 Establishing Housing Targets July 2023](#), p.59-70

Based on the proposed Permanent Housing growth targets, the City's limited land capacity, and the City's awareness of local Emergency Housing needs the City is requesting the currently adopted target of 287 beds for Emergency Housing be amended to 115 beds, according to Commerce's allocation "Method A."

## Growth Strategy

As a part of the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update, the City has undertaken numerous studies to assess the City's housing needs and housing capacity to appropriately plan for its projected growth. Through state grants offered to aid implementation of the City's planning requirements, the following studies were conducted:

Housing Action Plan Implementation (HAPI) Grant:

- Snoqualmie Valley Regional Housing Needs Assessment, (Appendix E)
- City of Snoqualmie Housing Needs Assessment, (Appendix D)
- City of Snoqualmie Housing Strategy Plan, (Appendix C)

HB 1110 Middle Housing Grant:

- Racial Equity And Displacement Analysis
- Menu of Strategies for Middle Housing
- Land Capacity Analysis, (Appendix A)
- Critical Areas Analysis, (Appendix B)

All of the previous studies pertaining to the City's housing growth were based on the projected deficit identified first in the Snoqualmie Valley Housing Needs Assessment (HNA, Appendix E) and again in the City's LCA (Appendix A). It is reasonable to infer that the City's approach to planning for growth would change given the proposed amendment of the City's housing growth targets. The City would like to clarify that it is not opposed to growth and that it fully intends to provide housing to serve its existing and future residents. The City has planned for growth in the 2019-2044 planning period and will continue to periodically update their Comprehensive Plan based on projected needs and analysis of capacity.

To ensure the City can provide the housing needed to meet its proposed amended housing growth target, the City may implement strategies identified in its HSP which compiled strategies and actions proposed for the City to implement that would help it achieve its housing growth targets and address housing needs and racial disparities identified in other studies performed during the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update.

## Housing Strategy Plan

In May of 2023, LDC prepared an HSP for the City outlining the City's projected housing needs and policy strategies to address identified gaps in the current housing market. The HSP provided a list of actions the City could take to address its project housing need; all actions are categorized into one or two of the following growth-oriented strategies:

- Strategy 1, Incentivize New Rental Housing
- Strategy 2, Bring down the cost of development
- Strategy 3, Encourage a wide variety of housing types
- Strategy 4, Prevent and Mitigate Displacement
- Strategy 5, Improve the regulatory environment for permits

Though the strategies in this plan were conceived to address the housing target deficit with the currently adopted housing target of 1,500 units and 298 beds, the application of these strategies is still applicable to the City in its effort to facilitate its housing needs. Below is a discussion of the impact of the amendment of the housing growth targets on each of the strategies outlined in the HSP. Some individual actions proposed under these strategies have been ruled out or since modified, but all of the strategies remain applicable to the City in the context of the proposed change.

<b>Growth Strategy</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
<p><b>Strategy 1, Incentivize New Rental Housing –</b></p> <p>The City has expressed interest in policy options to increase incentives available for creating new affordable and market rate rental housing. The HNA demonstrates that additional rental housing, particularly for low- to moderate-income households, is needed to reduce the cost burden of their housing expenses.</p> <p>Incentives for rental housing can include the Multi-Family Tax Exemption, waiving or reducing impact fees, and density bonuses to incentivize affordable housing options.</p>	<p>This strategy aims to provide more permanent housing for low to moderate income households through new development.</p> <p>Given the lack of developable land available in the City, the effort to provide low-income housing through new housing production will need to be focused.</p> <p>The revised housing growth target will not prevent the City from implementing this strategy but will require a focused approach.</p>
<p><b>Strategy 2, Bring down the cost of development –</b></p> <p>The cost of developing new housing, regardless of type, includes labor and materials, the costs of permitting (including impact and mitigation fees), and the time and cost of permit processes. Several actions included in the HSP address the elements of this calculation most within the City's control, namely permit efficiency, fees, and time and process required to approve development.</p>	<p>This strategy aims to lower barriers to the development of new housing that is needed in the City. Specifically, actions in this strategy call-out ADUs and affordable housing organizations.</p> <p>The revised housing growth target will not prevent the City from implementing this strategy. Targeting ADUs and affordable housing is preferable with the existing land capacity and low-income housing needs.</p>
<p><b>Strategy 3, Encourage a wide variety of housing types –</b></p> <p>The City can make progress on this strategy through several actions, including changes to its Zoning Code to make various types of housing more widely allowed and demonstrate programs for fee reductions. This includes senior housing, ADUs, and Missing Middle housing types.</p>	<p>This strategy aims to develop a wider variety of housing types to better serve a variety of housing needs. The strategy is broadly focused on all new development, and some actions proposed under this strategy seek to prioritize new housing solutions that can help address the need for low-income housing.</p> <p>The revised housing growth target does not affect the implementation of actions within this strategy.</p>
<p><b>Strategy 4, Prevent and Mitigate Displacement –</b></p> <p>This addresses both rent-restricted and non-rent-restricted rental housing. This can be done through rehabilitation of existing units or a waiver of certain fees that would allow a new development to offer new units whose rents approximately match housing that was displaced. Actions include public-private partnerships with neighborhood associations and landlords to bring down the cost of upkeep.</p>	<p>This strategy aims to protect existing affordable housing stock and provide increased protections for renters.</p> <p>Considering the revised projection for limited housing growth, demand for housing may be likely to increase as the rate of production decreases. Protection for existing affordable housing and other rental housing are necessary to ensure the City can serve all residents.</p> <p>The revised housing growth target creates an emphasis on the importance of actions in this strategy.</p>
<p><b>Strategy 5, Improve the regulatory environment for permits –</b></p> <p>The regulatory environment for new development and redevelopment varies by jurisdiction. The regulatory environment heavily influences what gets built, and where it gets built. Additionally, having a good regulatory environment impacts how long it takes to issue permits and how much it costs. Creating a smooth regulatory process for obtaining approvals on development proposals will incentivize development in the city.</p>	<p>This strategy aims to lower barriers to the development of new housing that is needed in the City. The actions related to this strategy are broadly focused on all new development and do not prioritize specific housing needs.</p> <p>All new development will benefit from improvements proposed under this strategy, resulting in better planned and more efficiently provided housing.</p> <p>The revised housing growth target will not impact implementation of actions within this strategy.</p>



## Conclusion

The LCA identified that the City's housing capacity falls short of adopted targets for those within the high, very low, and extremely low-income households due to the lack of available developable land. According to the GMA, the City is required to plan for an additional 1,118 residential units to accommodate its target.

The City's existing housing growth targets adopted in the King County CPPs were not adopted in consideration of the City's capacity for development and it is not possible for the City to accommodate the current targets. The City bases this finding on the lack of developable land found in both the 2021 UGCR and the LCA, and their evaluation of potential corrective actions to accommodate the target. The City also finds that their proposed amendment to the adopted housing target does not interfere with the City's ability to serve current or future residents and is in-line with the guidance provided by Commerce on establishing housing growth targets<sup>6</sup>.

The City is requesting to amend its currently adopted housing target from 1,500 residential units to 719 residential units, as is supported by the findings of the City's LCA and the discussion in this memorandum.

---

<sup>6</sup> HB 1220 Book 1 Establishing Growth Targets,  
<https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/chqj8wk1esnranyb3ewzgd4w0e5ve3a>

## Appendices

(2024). *Appendix A - Land Capacity Analysis Memo*

(2024). *Appendix B - Critical Areas Analysis Memo*

(2023). *Appendix C - City of Snoqualmie Housing Strategy Plan*

(2023). *Appendix D - City of Snoqualmie Housing Needs Assessment*

(2023). *Appendix E - Snoqualmie Valley Regional Housing Needs Assessment*

## Appendix A - Land Capacity Analysis Summary

To: Emily Arteche, AICP, Community Development Director, City of Snoqualmie

From: Dane Jepsen, Associate Planner, LDC Inc.  
Thomas Carter, Associate Planner, LDC Inc.

CC: Kim Mahoney, Principal Planner, LDC Inc.

Date: February 1, 2024

Re: City of Snoqualmie 2044 Growth Targets Analysis

---

### Executive Summary

This Land Capacity Analysis (LCA) assesses an inventory of the developable and redevelopable land within a city's jurisdiction and determines whether the city can accommodate its projected growth targets. This assessment allows the city to gain a better understanding of how much land is available for development, how the city can utilize the available land to meet the projected growth targets, and highlights potential changes needed to achieve the land capacity necessary to accommodate all housing and employment needs projected for the city.

This LCA identified the City of Snoqualmie's (City) housing capacity is deficient for those within the high, very low, and extremely low-income households. This shortage is due to the lack of available developable land for single-family housing and low-income serving housing solutions and would require the City to plan to provide an additional 1,118 residential units by 2044. Given the shortfall in housing capacity, the City has evaluated many potential corrective actions to accommodate its housing target; these are outlined in the Growth Targets Analysis memorandum and support the City's request for amendment of its existing housing growth target.

This memorandum further details the background, methodology, and findings that inform an update to the City's capacity for housing and employment previously established by the Urban Growth Capacity Report (UGCR).

### Background

The City is required to estimate its land capacity to support anticipated growth over the planning period in the land use element of its Comprehensive Plan (WAC 365-196-405).

In cities within King County, the LCA relies on findings from the UGCR. The UGCR is an assessment completed by King County that helps determine the amount of land suitable for development within the County, evaluates each city's capacity for growth, and projects the future housing and employment capacity for each city. The UGCR bases its findings on recent development activity data provided by the cities within King County.

King County and the cities within the county work together to create the UGCR. This report, last updated in 2021<sup>1</sup>, evaluated the amount of land suitable for urban development and capacity for growth within each jurisdiction, and was based on a measurement of recent actual development activity; this is known as the Buildable Lands program (RCW 36.70A.215). The County uses data provided by each city to

---

<sup>1</sup> The 2021 Buildable Lands Report is based on an inventory of permits and property status as of January 2020 (Pierce County Buildable Lands Report – Fourth Edition, Revised Version pg. 17).

conduct an inventory of land that is vacant or underutilized and uses that data to estimate housing and employment capacity per zone within each jurisdiction. These data form the basis for updating the analysis of land capacity.

## Land Capacity Analysis Overview

An LCA identifies the remaining developable and redevelopable land within the city's jurisdiction that may be used to achieve the city's growth targets. An LCA utilizes data available from the County Assessor, County Buildable Lands Report (King County UGCR), local permitting activities, and other available reports and resources to quantify the city's development potential for employment and housing and implement necessary changes to achieve the land capacity necessary to accommodate all housing and employment needs.

Washington Department of Commerce (Commerce) has developed guidance on conducting LCAs; see [Evaluating Land Capacity to Meet All Housing Needs](#), which is specific to housing capacity related to House Bill (HB) 1220, and [Urban Growth Area Guidebook](#), which addresses both housing and employment capacity in relation to the Growth Management Act (GMA).

In partnership with LDC, the City has analyzed development capacity for the 2024-2044 planning period according to Commerce guidance. In this LCA Summary, findings from the LCA are reported according to Commerce guidance with underlying analysis performed according to the King County UGCR methodology<sup>2</sup>.

## Land Capacity Analysis Methodology

The 2021 King County UGCR performed capacity analysis through the following steps:

- Phase One – Achieved Densities
- Phase Two – Land Supply
- Phase Three – Initial Capacity
- Phase Four – Final Capacity

This LCA incorporated these phases into its analysis as indicated below:

### Phase One – Achieved Densities

Between 2021 and 2023, little development activity occurred in Snoqualmie. No changes were made to the density assumptions applied in the 2021 UGCR. Density assumptions used in the LCA are reported in Table 1.

### Phase Two – Land Supply

Parcels in the City were assessed for development potential. Parcels determined to have capacity were either vacant or evaluated to be redevelopable<sup>3</sup>. The following assessments were included to determine available land supply:

1. Critical Areas – The City is heavily encumbered with numerous environmentally critical areas; these areas are valuable to the City and limit development potential. Assessment of critical areas in Snoqualmie was performed through desktop analysis of publicly available GIS data and

---

<sup>2</sup> Appendix A to the 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, Ch. 2 Methodology and Guidance Overview (p. 16-27)

<sup>3</sup> For definitions of vacant and redevelopable parcels, see Appendix A to the 2021 King County Urban Growth Capacity Report, Ch. 2 Methodology and Guidance Overview (p. 20)

assumptions of development limitations based on the Snoqualmie Municipal Code's (SMC) Critical Area Ordinance. The acreage of critical areas on lands determined to be developable were removed from the total land supply considered in the LCA. Documentation of the analysis methods and assumptions can be found in Appendix B to the 2044 Growth Targets Analysis (LDC, 2024).

2. Pipeline Projects – Parcels associated with development projects that were permitted after 2021, or are currently in the permitting process, were removed from the analysis and their proposed residential units and/or commercial building square footage were used in Phase 4 of the LCA Methodology.
3. Improvement Value and Density – Most of the City's housing stock was built between the years of 2000 and 2009 as a part of the Snoqualmie Ridge development agreement; this included both residential and non-residential developments. Properties with a low improvement value to land value ratio (ILVR) were considered as likely to be redeveloped. Additionally, properties that have a built density lower than what is allowed by their implementing zone were analyzed as likely to develop. For this LCA, properties with capacity were assessed to one of the following designations:
  - a. Vacant
    - i. ILVR of 0 (residential and non-residential uses)
  - b. Vacant Single Unit
    - i. ILVR of 0 and parcel area smaller than twice the minimum lot size (residential uses)
  - c. Redevelopable
    - i. non-vacant having an ILVR less than 1.0 (residential and non-residential uses)
    - ii. zoned density twice that of their built density (residential uses)
4. Displacement Risk – Some parcels identified to be in the floodplain (as identified via the aforementioned critical areas analysis) were included as redevelopable due to potential displacement risk; if a parcel in the floodplain was occupied by a nonconforming use, it was considered redevelopable.

### Phase Three – Initial Capacity

Data of parcels with identified capacity were combined and attributed by zone; these data include: number of Vacant Single Unit Parcels, Gross Acres, Critical Areas (Acres), existing residential units, and existing commercial building square-footage. Net developable acres (Gross Acres – Critical Areas) were then calculated for each zone, and zoning assumptions (including metrics such as assumed density, percent of right-of-way, etc.; see Appendix C to the 2044 Growth Targets Analysis) were applied to calculate the maximum build potential in residential units and commercial building square-footage.

### Phase Four – Final Capacity

The existing residential units or commercial building square-feet were subtracted from maximum build potential to yield the net capacity (this can result in negative numbers due to non-conforming uses being displaced). Finally, residential units and/or commercial building square-feet from pipeline projects were added to their respective zones; this yields Final Capacity.

This Final Capacity becomes the input for Step 1 of the Commerce LCA guidance (discussed on page 6 of this memorandum).



## Land Capacity Analysis Results

The LCA produced projections of future capacity for housing and employment. The remainder of this memo will review the LCA’s outcomes and options related to the Snoqualmie 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update.

### Housing and Employment Targets 2020-2044

According to the King County Countywide Planning Policies (amended August 15, 2023), Snoqualmie has the following housing and employment targets to plan for during the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update:

- Housing Target: 1,500 residential units
- Employment Target: 4,425 jobs

### Employment Capacity

Employment capacity is provided by vacant and redevelopable land, and pipeline projects. Assumptions of Floor-Area-Ratio (FAR) are applied to developable land to estimate potential commercial building square-footage, and then assumptions of employment density are applied to estimate the potential jobs yielded by development.

<b>Commercial Capacity</b>	<b>Commercial Capacity (Approximate Jobs)</b>			
<b>Zone</b>	<b>Initial</b>	<b>(Displaced)</b>	<b>Pipeline</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Business General</b>	26	(10)	0	16
<b>Business Office</b>	33	0	0	33
<b>Business Retail 1</b>	14	(2)	0	12
<b>Business Retail 2</b>	13	0	0	13
<b>Office Park</b>	415	(15)	0	400
<b>Planned Commercial/Industrial</b>	152	(16)	3,778 <sup>4</sup>	3,914
<b>Resource Extraction</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>MU Commercial</b>	249	0	0	249
<b>MU Commercial - Office Park</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>FBMU Commercial</b>	33	0	0	33
<b>Total</b>	<b>935</b>	<b>(43)</b>	<b>3,778</b>	<b>4,670</b>

Employment capacity in Snoqualmie is more than sufficient to satisfy its employment growth targets. The Office Park and Mixed-Use Commercial zones have the most initial capacity, but the bulk of the City’s growth target is achieved in the Planned Commercial/Industrial zone with a mixed/use pipeline project called the Snoqualmie Mill Site, estimated to develop 1,851,448 square-feet of commercial space.

With developable land providing approximately 892 jobs and the Mill Site providing approximately 3,778 jobs, the City is expected to exceed its adopted growth target by 245 jobs.

<sup>4</sup> An estimated 1,851,448 square-feet of commercial building space will be constructed as a part of the Snoqualmie Mill Site: <https://www.snoqualmiewa.gov/888/Snoqualmie-Mill-PCI-Plan>

<b>Employment</b>	<b>Jobs</b>
<i>Target 2019-2044</i>	<i>4,425</i>
Pipeline projects (Mill Site)	3,778
Employment capacity per 2023 LCA	892
<b>Total Employment Capacity</b>	<b>4,670</b>
<b>Employment Capacity Surplus (or deficit)</b>	<b>245</b>

### Housing Capacity

<b>Housing type</b>	<b>Definition from RCW</b>
Affordable Housing RCW 84.14.010	"Affordable housing" means residential housing that is rented by a person or household whose monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, do not exceed thirty percent of the household's monthly income. For the purposes of housing intended for owner occupancy, "affordable housing" means residential housing that is within the means of low or moderate-income households.
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) RCW 36.70A.030	Subsidized, leased housing with no limit on length of stay that prioritizes people who need comprehensive support services to retain tenancy and utilizes admissions practices designed to use lower barriers to entry than would be typical for other subsidized or unsubsidized rental housing, especially related to rental history, criminal history, and personal behaviors. Permanent supportive housing is paired with on-site or off-site voluntary services designed to support a person living with a complex and disabling behavioral health or physical health condition who was experiencing homelessness or was at imminent risk of homelessness prior to moving into housing to retain their housing and be a successful tenant in a housing arrangement, improve the resident's health status, and connect the resident of the housing with community-based health care, treatment, or employment services.
Emergency Housing RCW 36.70A.030	Temporary indoor accommodations for individuals or families who are homeless or at imminent risk of becoming homeless that is intended to address the basic health, food, clothing, and personal hygiene needs of individuals or families. Emergency housing may or may not require occupants to enter into a lease or an occupancy agreement.

Per the Growth Management Act (GMA) the LCA needs to take into consideration capacity for Affordable Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing, and Emergency Housing, as well as needs for traditional market rate housing<sup>5</sup>. These special needs housing types will be referenced throughout the LCA, their definitions from the GMA are available in the table below:

<sup>5</sup> RCW 36.70A.070(2)(c)

The City has adopted targets to add an additional 1,500 residential units and 287 emergency beds by 2044. These targets incorporate needs for Affordable Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing, and Emergency Housing, as well as needs for traditional market rate housing. King County ordinance 19660, adopted August 15th, 2023, establishes the City’s housing growth target allocations; the table below outlines permanent housing targets by income and emergency housing target in beds.

Permanent Housing (Units) by Affordability (AMI)								Emergency Housing (Beds)
0-30% Non-PSH	0-30% PSH	>30- <50%	>50- <80%	>80- <100%	>100- <120%	>120%	Total	
472	248	233	82	61	69	335	1500	287

To demonstrate compliance with HB 1220, housing capacity is reported according to Commerce guidance<sup>6</sup>; this guidance outlines the demonstration of housing capacity through the following steps:

1. Summarize land capacity by zone
2. Categorize zones by allowed housing types and density level
3. Relate zone categories to potential income levels and housing types served
4. Summarize capacity by zone category
5. Compare projected housing needs to capacity
6. (If deficit is found) Implement actions to increase capacity for one or more housing needs. Then, re-assess capacity (Step 1) based on actions.

### 1. Summarize land capacity by zone

In the context of recent HBs (HB 1220 and HB 1337), the City has identified capacity for all housing needs in three areas:

1. Zoned capacity – Capacity from vacant and redevelopable land or from pipeline development projects
2. Accessory Dwelling Unit Capacity (HB 1337) – Potential capacity for the construction of ADUs on existing and future residential lots based on assumed production rates
3. Emergency Housing Capacity (HB 1220) – Capacity for the City to provide for emergency housing needs based on available land and other opportunities

#### Zoned Capacity

Residential Capacity Zone	Residential Capacity (Units)			
	Initial	(Displaced)	Pipeline	Total
<b>Business Retail 2</b>	0	0	11	11
<b>Constrained Residential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Planned Residential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>R-1-10</b>	9	0	0	9
<b>R-2</b>	6	0	0	6
<b>Planned Commercial/Industrial</b>	0	0	160	160

<sup>6</sup> HB 1220 Book 2 Housing Element Update, <https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/1d9d517g509r389f0mjpowh8isjpirlh>

<b>MU Residential</b>	112	(1)	250	361
<b>MU Residential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>FBMU Residential</b>	149	(1)	0	148
<b>Total</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>695</b>

*Accessory Dwelling Unit Capacity (House Bill 1337)*

Recent changes to state law require cities to allow up to two Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) on all lots<sup>7</sup> zoned to allow single-family homes.

In Snoqualmie, the RC, R1, R2, PR, MU, and FMBU zoning districts allow single-family detached homes, representing 4,288 tax parcels. After excluding various current uses that would be highly unlikely to add ADUs to the site and excluding parcels with the presence of critical areas, 2,917 lots would meet this threshold.

The majority of Snoqualmie’s housing stock are part of Residential Owners Associations (ROAs) (sometimes called Home Owner’s Associations [HOAs]) which impose their own restrictions on improvement of members’ properties, including whether the development or addition of ADUs is allowed. Existing lots associated with ROAs are unlikely to see future development, including Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), given regulations against such development set forth in their Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs). Approximately 86% of single-family lots in the City are associated with ROAs and these lots constitute approximately 10% of the City’s land area. This LCA identified three ROAs in Snoqualmie City Limits:

<b>Snoqualmie Residential Associations</b>	
Organization	Single-Family Lots
Snoqualmie Ridge ROA	3,490
Kimball Creek Village HOA	66
Kimball Creek HOA	16

HB 1337 does not require existing ROAs (or HOAs) to amend their CC&Rs to allow ADUs within their communities; therefore, this LCA does not consider lots allowing single-family development in ROAs as part of the City’s capacity for ADU-developable land. After removing all parcels associated with an ROAs, there are 236 lots available for ADU siting.

Commerce recommends basing estimated ADU production on an estimated participation rate based on the Real-Estate market of the jurisdiction<sup>8</sup>. Estimated participation rate was gathered from an analysis of existing ADUs in the City using King County Assessor data<sup>9</sup>. LDC identified parcels in the City with existing ADUs that were recorded to have been built in recent years and used this to estimate the current production of ADUs on a year-by-year basis. Eight total parcels with ADUs (attached and detached) were found in the City; of these, only five could be tracked to a date of construction and only three were constructed within the last five years. Three ADUs constructed over five years (between 2018 and 2023) equates to approximately 0.6 ADUs per year. Extrapolated out over the next 20 years, and assuming

<sup>7</sup> Lots with critical areas or their buffers are exempt from the requirements of HB 1337.

<sup>8</sup> WA Commerce, HB 1220 Book 2 Housing Element Update, p.28, <https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/1d9d517g509r389f0mjpgowh8isjpirlh>

<sup>9</sup> Data available for download here: <https://info.kingcounty.gov/assessor/datadownload/default.aspx>

twice the ADU production (1.2 ADUs per year) required by recent change in state law, Snoqualmie could plan for an additional 24 ADUs by 2044.

Based on an analysis of ADUs built in the last five years, the City assumed an 8% participation rate; this accounts for owners who do not have the means or the desire to build ADUs. Additionally, the City assumed an average of 1.25 ADUs per lot; currently, there are no lots identified in the City that have more than one ADU, but considering the new requirements of HB 1337, lots with two ADUs could exist in the future. Applying this analysis, the City has capacity for 24 ADUs on 19 lots (five of which would have two ADUs).

ADU Development Capacity				
Available Lots	Participation Rate	Potential ADU Lots	ADUs per Lot	2044 ADU Capacity
236	8%	19	1.25	24

*Emergency Housing Capacity (House Bill 1220)*

HB 1220 included requirements for Emergency Needs Housing capacity. These requirements are applicable to all jurisdictions that have been assigned Emergency Needs Housing targets, of which Snoqualmie has been assigned 278 beds. The bill requires that jurisdictions allow for siting of indoor emergency housing or indoor emergency housing shelters where hotels are allowed or within “a majority of zones within a one-mile proximity to transit.”

The City conditionally allows hotels in the following zones: BR-1, BR-2, FMBU, BO, BG, and OP; the City is required to demonstrate land capacity for emergency housing, in addition to permanent housing, if emergency housing is not allowed outright in all of these zones. Currently, the City does not have land use regulations for Emergency Housing as defined in RCW 36.70A.030. The City does have regulations for “Special Needs Housing” which includes “Shelters for temporary placement” and is similar to the definition of Emergency Housing; this is only allowed as an outright permitted use in one zone (see table below comparing the land use regulation of “Hotels” and “Special Needs Housing”).

Land Use	R-C	R-1	R-2	PR	BR-1	BR-2	FMBU	BO	BG	OP	PCI	I	OS-1	OS-2	OS-3	UP
Special Needs Housing	C	C	C	C	X	X	C	X	X	P	X	X	X	X	X	X
Hotels and Motels	X	X	X	X	C	C	C	C	C	C	X	X	X	X	X	X

P - Permitted  
C - Conditional  
X - Not Permitted

To comply with the requirements of HB 1220, the City will need to codify a definition of “Emergency Housing,” and either update its land use table to allow Emergency Housing as a permitted use in all zones that allow Hotels (including conditional uses), or demonstrate emergency housing capacity through an emergency housing LCA as outlined in Sections 3 and 4 of HB 1220.

*Housing Capacity Subtotal*

Housing capacity provided by zoning, pipeline projects, and ADU production contributes toward the City’s total 2044 housing target of 1,500 residential units. Without considering housing needs for income



brackets, the City has capacity for 719 residential units and has a remaining housing target of 781 residential units that it cannot demonstrate capacity for.

Housing	Units
Target 2019-2044	1,500
Pipeline projects	421
Housing capacity per 2023 LCA	274
ADU Capacity	24
<b>Total Housing Capacity</b>	<b>719</b>
<b>Housing Capacity Surplus (or deficit)</b>	<b>(781)</b>

## 2. Categorize zones by allowed housing types and density level

Commerce has developed a framework for determining potential housing affordability. The framework requires the compilation of permitted housing types and maximum allowed density in each zone to assign zones into “Zone Categories” which can be used to better understand how effective the capacity identified in the LCA is at serving “all housing needs.”

*Zoning and other local development regulations limit what type of housing and what density levels are allowed to be constructed on available land. Housing costs and affordability can vary significantly by housing type. Additionally, subsidized affordable housing projects are most feasible to produce in low-rise or mid-rise zones that allow for multi-unit housing production, such as apartment buildings.<sup>10</sup>*

The table to the right shows zone categories from Commerce guidance that are applicable to zones in Snoqualmie.

Zone Category	Typical Housing Types Allowed
Low Density	Detached single-family homes
Moderate Density	Townhomes, duplex, triplex, quadplex
Low-Rise Multifamily	Walk-up apartments or condominiums (up to 3 floors)

Based on this framework, the City’s residential zones can be classified into the following categories:

Snoqualmie Zone Categories			
Zone	Housing Types Allowed	Max Density Level Allowed	Assigned Zone Category
Constrained Residential	Detached single-family homes	0.2 Residential units (Du)/Acre (Ac)	Low Density
Planned Residential	Detached single-family homes, triplex, quadplex, and apartments	12 Du/Ac	Moderate Density
R-1-10	Detached single-family homes	4.25 Du/Ac	Low Density
R-2	Detached single-family homes, duplex, triplex and quadplex	25 Du/Ac	Moderate Density

<sup>10</sup> DRAFT GUIDANCE FOR LAND CAPACITY ANALYSIS (DECEMBER 2022), p.12, <https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/k14gbqe7z8d7ek6z8ibui79zb7bo9vpa>

R-3	Multi-family housing, triplex, quadplex, apartments, and townhouses	30 Du/Ac	Low-Rise Multifamily
MU Residential	Single-family homes, triplex, quadplex, and apartments	12 Du/Ac	Moderate Density
MU Residential	Detached single-family homes, triplex, quadplex, and apartments	12 Du/Ac	Moderate Density
FBMU	Detached single-family homes, triplex, quadplex, apartments, and second-story residential	130 Du/Ac	Low-Rise Multifamily

### 3. Relate zone categories to potential income levels and housing types served

Depending on the housing types permitted in a zone, different income levels' housing needs are more likely to be served. The table below outlines the potential income levels served depending on housing type and market rate or subsidized housing; this is based on a standard table provided by Commerce<sup>11</sup>. Income levels are based on the Area Median Income (AMI), see table to right for details.

Income Levels	
Income Level	Relative AMI
Extremely Low Income	<=30% AMI
Very Low Income	>30% and <=50% AMI
Low Income	>50% and <=80% AMI
Moderate Income	>80% and <=120% AMI
High Income	>120% AMI

Zone Category Incomes Served				
Zone Category	Housing Types Served	Lowest Potential Income Level Served		Assumed Affordable Income Level for LCA
		Market Rate	With Subsidies	
Low Density	Single-Family Detached	High Income	Not feasible at scale	High Income
Moderate Density	Townhomes, duplex, triplex, quadplex	Moderate Income	Not feasible at scale	Moderate Income
Low-Rise Multifamily	Walk-up apartments, condominiums, PSH (2-3 floors)	Low Income	Extremely Low - Very Low Income	Low - Extremely Low Income and PSH
ADUs (all zones)	ADUs on developed residential lots	Moderate Income	N/A	Moderate Income

<sup>11</sup> DRAFT GUIDANCE FOR LAND CAPACITY ANALYSIS (DECEMBER 2022) – Exhibit 9, p.16, <https://deptofcommerce.app.box.com/s/k14gbqe7z8d7ek6z8ibui79zb7bo9vpa>

#### 4. Summarize capacity by zone category

The table below shows zoned capacity from the LCA and compiles it based on the zone category.

<b>Land Capacity by Zone Categories</b>			
<b>Zone</b>	<b>Unit Capacity</b>	<b>Assigned Zone Category</b>	<b>Capacity in Zone Category</b>
Constrained Residential	0	Low Density	9
R-1-10	9	Low Density	
Planned Residential	0	Moderate Density	141
R-2	6	Moderate Density	
MU Residential	111	Moderate Density	
MU Residential	0	Moderate Density	
ADUs (Any Zone)	24	Moderate Density	
R-3	0	Low-Rise Multifamily	148
FBMU	148	Low-Rise Multifamily	

Pipeline projects are not included in this categorization; specific knowledge about the built condition or the proposed projects in the pipeline lends a more accurate measure of what income levels are being served. The table below summarizes recent projects in the permitting pipeline by housing type and income level. Two projects, Panorama Apartments and the Mill Site, include income restrictions that guarantee the provision of housing for lower income levels.

<b>Pipeline Projects by Housing Type and Income Level</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Housing Type</b>	<b>Incomes Served</b>	<b>Total Units</b>	<b>Income Level</b>	<b>Total Units by Income Level</b>
Panorama Apartments	Apartments (Income Restricted)	Low Income	191	Low Income	226
Mill Site (Residential component)	Apartments (Partially Income Restricted)	Low Income (<60% AMI)	19		
		Low Income (<80% AMI)	16		
		Moderate Income	125	Moderate Income	182
Timber Trails	Apartments	Moderate Income	46		
The Rails	Apartments	Moderate Income	11		
Cascade Pointe	Single-Family Detached	High Income	13	High Income	13

## 5. Compare projected housing needs to capacity

The City’s projected housing needs by income bracket were compared to the LCA findings to determine if there is sufficient capacity to accommodate needs at all income levels. The first two columns are a column-to-row flip of the table on the previous page; the remaining columns show the calculations based on the category capacity established on the previous page.

<b>Income Housing Target Capacity Summary</b>						
<b>Income Level</b>	<b>Projected Housing Need</b>	<b>Projected Housing Needs (Aggregated)</b>	<b>Zone Categories Serving These Needs</b>	<b>Pipeline Projects</b>	<b>Total Zoned Capacity</b>	<b>Capacity Surplus (or Deficit)</b>
Extremely Low Income (PSH)	472	953	Low-Rise Multifamily	0	148	(805)
Extremely Low Income (Non-PSH)	248					
Very Low Income	233					
Low Income	82	82		226		144
Moderate Income (80-100% AMI)	61	130	Moderate Density + ADUs	182	141	193
Moderate Income (100-120% AMI)	69					
High Income	335	335	Low Density	13	9	(313)
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>1,500</b>		<b>421</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>(1,113)</b>

### *Sufficient Capacity*

The current zoned and pipeline capacity is sufficient to meet the needs of low to moderate income households (incomes greater than 50% AMI). Pipeline projects providing moderate-to-low-income housing have exceeded the adopted housing need in those income brackets. There are two pipeline projects that include income restricted housing for low-income households (Panorama Apartments and the Mill Site) to the point that no additional zoned capacity is needed to meet the needs of moderate-to-low-income households.

### *Insufficient Capacity*

Current housing capacity falls short of the housing needs for high, very low, and extremely low (PSH and non-PSH) income households. There is not enough land available for the City to develop more single-family housing to serve high income households, and the shortfall of 805 units serving very low and extremely low income (less than 50% AMI) housing is staggering, as it completely eclipses the total capacity available in the City as identified in this LCA.

Income Level	Extremely Low Income (PSH)	Extremely Low Income (Non-PSH)	Very Low Income	High Income	Total
Capacity Surplus (or Deficit)		(805)		(313)	<b>(1,113)</b>

The surplus of residential units of housing capacity for moderate-to-low-income households does not impact the outstanding shortfall for housing capacity in the other income brackets. To address the capacity shortfall, the City would need to plan to provide an additional 1,118 residential units by 2044 that addressed the housing needs of high, very low, and extremely low (PSH and non-PSH) income households.

**6. (If deficit is found) Implement actions to increase capacity for one or more housing needs. Then re-assess capacity (Step 1) based on actions.**

The City has demonstrated sufficient capacity to accommodate its 2044 housing target for moderate-to-low-income households but falls short of its 2044 housing target for high, very low, and extremely low-income households. In response to this housing capacity deficit, the City has evaluated many potential corrective actions to accommodate its housing target; these are outlined in the Growth Targets Analysis memorandum that is included as an appendix to the Snoqualmie Comprehensive Plan Housing Element, currently being amended as part of the Comprehensive Plan’s 2024 update.

## Conclusion

In partnership with LDC, the City has analyzed development capacity for the 2024-2044 planning period according to King County UGCR methodology and Commerce guidance applicable to new State regulations implementing HB 1220. The LCA updates the capacity reported in the 2021 UGCR and documents the City’s progress towards its Housing and Employment growth targets.

This LCA identified the City had sufficient employment capacity for the 2024-2044 planning period but had insufficient capacity to serve the projected housing needs for high, very low, and extremely low-income households. Housing capacity provided by pipeline projects was enough to serve moderate-to-low-income households, but zoned capacity was not sufficient to serve the remaining projected housing needs. This shortage is due to the lack of available developable land for single-family housing and low-income serving housing solutions and would require the City to plan to provide an additional 1,118 residential units by 2044.

## Appendix B - Critical Areas Analysis

To: Emily Arteche, AICP, Community Development Department, City of Snoqualmie

From: Dane Jepsen, Associate Planner, LDC Inc.

CC: Kim Mahoney, Principal Planner, LDC Inc.

Date: February 1, 2024

Re: City of Snoqualmie 2044 Growth Targets Analysis

---

### Executive Summary

During the City of Snoqualmie's (City) 2024 Comprehensive Plan update, LDC, Inc. (LDC) performed a Land Capacity Analysis (LCA) to assess the City's capacity to serve the projected housing and employment needs for the 2019-2044 planning period. This LCA evaluated land in the City's jurisdiction for development potential based on assessor parcel data, the City's permitting data, and publicly available critical area data.

The City is heavily encumbered with numerous environmentally critical areas; these areas are valuable to the City and limit development potential. Through desktop analysis<sup>1</sup> of publicly available Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data, based on assumptions of development limitations based on the Snoqualmie Municipal Code's (SMC) Critical Area Ordinance, this assessment found that approximately 49% of all land in the City is affected by critical areas and the local regulations related to them. The acreage of critical areas on lands determined to be developable was deducted from the total land supply considered in the LCA.

### Background

The critical areas (and associated buffers) that were assessed in this analysis are deemed unsupportive of capacity for future development due to the application of SMC. This analysis is not final; site-specific analysis may find different sizes, metrics, and extents of critical area (or find none at all), but it is assumed that the broad application of these assumptions will represent the approximate impact of the analyzed critical areas on development capacity.

The output of this analysis is a .shp file showing the extent of critical areas (and associated buffers) within the City. The extent of these areas was joined to all parcels in the City and utilized in the LCA as a factor reducing developable land for the purposes of estimating the City's land capacity for housing and employment.

### Critical Areas Considered

Critical Areas defined within SMC are:

---

<sup>1</sup> "Desktop Analysis" refers to analysis computer aided analysis using GIS as opposed to site-visit based analysis.



Critical Area	SMC Definition	Consideration
1. Geologically hazardous areas	"Geologically hazardous areas" means areas that, because of their susceptibility to erosion, sliding, earthquake, or other geological events, may pose hazards to the siting of commercial, residential, or industrial development consistent with public health or safety concerns, without appropriate mitigation.	Sub-categories of this hazardous area shall be consulted for this analysis.
1.a <a href="#">Erosion hazard areas</a>	"Erosion hazard area" means those areas of the city containing soils which, according to the USDA Soil Conservation Service, King County Soils Survey, dated 1973, and any subsequent revisions or additions thereto, and the USDA Soil Conservation Service, Soils Survey for Snoqualmie Pass Area, Parts of King and Pierce Counties, WA, dated December 1992, may experience severe to very severe erosion hazard, and which occur on slopes of 15 percent or greater. This group of soils includes: Alderwood Gravelly Sandy Loam (AgD), Alderwood-Kitsap (AkF), Beausite Gravelly Sandy Loam (BeD and BeF), Kitsap Silt Loam (KpD), Ovall Gravelly Sandy Loam (OvD and OvF), Ragnar Fine Sandy Loam (RaD), Ragnar-Indianola Association (RdE), Riverwash (Rh), or Coastal Beaches (Cb), and any soil type that could be subject to erosion when disturbed.	There is GIS data published by King County available for these areas: <a href="#">Erosion Hazards / erode area</a> These areas were not included in this analysis.
1.b <a href="#">Landslide hazard areas</a>	"Landslide hazard area" means those areas of the city subject to a risk of landslide, including the following areas: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Any area with slopes greater than 15 percent and impermeable soils (typically silt and clay) frequently interbedded with granular soils (predominantly sand and gravel) and springs or groundwater seepage;</li> <li>2. Any area that includes areas with significant visible evidence of groundwater seepage, and which also includes existing landslide deposits regardless of slope;</li> <li>3. Any area which has shown movement during the Holocene epoch (from 10,000 years ago to present) or which is underlain by mass wastage debris of that epoch as determined by a geologist;</li> <li>4. Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision or stream bank erosion;</li> <li>5. Any area located on an alluvial fan, presently or potentially subject to inundation by debris flow or deposition of stream-transported sediments.</li> </ol>	There is GIS data published by King County available for these areas, but it is incomplete within the limits of Snoqualmie: <a href="#">Landslide hazards; incorporated KC 1990 / annexed landslide sao1990 area</a> These areas were not included in this analysis.
1.c <a href="#">Steep slope hazard areas</a>	"Steep slope hazard area" means those areas of the city where the ground rises at an inclination of 40 percent or more within a vertical elevation change of at least 10 feet (a vertical rise of 10 feet or more for every 25 feet of horizontal distance). A slope is delineated by establishing its toe and top and measured by averaging the inclination over at least 10 feet of vertical distance.	GIS data for these areas provided by the City of Snoqualmie was utilized in this analysis.
1.d <a href="#">Seismic hazard areas</a>	"Seismic hazard area" means those areas of the city subject to severe risk of earthquake damage as a result of seismically induced landslides, earth adjustments, settlement or soil liquefaction.	There is GIS data published by King County available for these areas: <a href="#">Seismic Hazards / seism area</a>

		These areas have limited implications for housing development and were not included in this analysis.
2. <a href="#">Channel Migration Zone</a>	"Channel migration zone (CMZ)" means the area along a river within which the channel(s) can be reasonably predicted to migrate over time as a result of natural and normally occurring hydrological and related processes when considered with the characteristics of the river and its surroundings as delineated on the Snoqualmie River Channel Migration Area Map, contained in Channel Migration in the Three Forks Area of the Snoqualmie River (King County Department of Natural Resources, Surface Water Management Division, Seattle, WA, 1996), which is hereby incorporated herein by this reference.	There is GIS data published by King County available for these areas: <a href="#">Channel Migration Areas / chnlmigr area</a> These areas have great legal implications and were included in this analysis.
3. <a href="#">Frequently flooded areas</a>	<a href="#">SMC 15.12.040:</a> "Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than a designated height. Also referred to as the "regulatory floodway." "Floodplain" or "flood-prone area" means a land area susceptible to being inundated by water from any source.	There is GIS data published by King County available for these areas: <a href="#">FEMA Floodways in King County / fema floodway area</a> SMC code specifically prohibits encroachments on the floodway (SMC 19.12.150).
4. <a href="#">Streams</a>	"Stream" means any area of the city where surface waters produce a defined channel or bed which demonstrates clear evidence of the passage of water. The channel or bed need not contain water year-round. The term does not include irrigation ditches, canals, engineered storm or surface water runoff devices or other entirely artificial watercourses unless they are used by salmonids, or unless the created conveyances contain the waters from a stream which was naturally occurring prior to construction/alteration of the conveyance system.	There is GIS data published on the Washington Open Data portal available for these areas: <a href="#">DNR Hydrography - Watercourses - Forest Practices Regulation</a> To apply specific buffer to the Snoqualmie River, GIS data published by King County was used: <a href="#">Waterbodies with History and Jurisdictional detail / wtrbdy det area</a> These areas have clearly defined limitations to development in SMC 19.12.160 and were included in this analysis.
5. <a href="#">Wetlands</a>	"Wetland" or "wetlands" means areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from non wetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and	GIS data for these areas is provided by the City of Snoqualmie. These areas have clearly defined limitations to development in SMC 19.12.170 and were included in this analysis.

	landscape amenities. Wetlands do not include areas that were unintentionally created as a result of blockage of drainage from the construction of a road, street, or highway after July 1, 1990. Wetlands may include those areas intentionally created from non wetland areas as compensatory mitigation for impacts to wetlands.	
6. <a href="#">Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas</a>	"Fish and wildlife habitat conservation area" means an area that provides essential habitat for maintaining listed species of endangered, threatened or critical populations.	The legal implications of these areas on the development of Middle Housing are minimal. These areas were not included in this analysis.
7. <a href="#">Critical aquifer recharge areas</a>	"Critical aquifer recharge area" means the recharge areas of aquifers which serve as a source of drinking water for which there is no feasible alternative source and which, due to prevailing geologic conditions characterized by high infiltration rates, are susceptible to contamination from activities on the surface.	The legal implications of these critical areas on the development of Middle Housing are minimal. These areas were not included in this analysis.

### Assumptions

Data was collected and categorized, then modified through a filter, buffer, or combination of GIS tools to appropriately portray the area affected by land development restrictions related to each critical area. The below table identifies the range of legal extents for each critical area as well as the assumptions that were made in this analysis to portray these extents.

Critical Area	Restrictions/Buffers	Assumptions
Steep slope hazard areas	<p>Slopes greater than 40% must experience greater than 10-feet of relief to qualify as steep slopes, but development and alteration are permitted on applicable slopes that experience up to 20-feet of relief so long as they provide a critical areas study (SMC 19.12.120).</p> <p>15-feet is the smallest buffer for any development or alteration adjacent to a steep slope.</p>	<p>For this analysis, steep slopes were limited to greater than 40% slopes that experienced more than 20-feet of relief.</p> <p>This analysis displayed all steep slopes with a 15-foot buffer to convey the extent of their restriction.</p>
Channel Migration Zone	<p>Channel Migration Zones are classified as either: potential, moderate, or severe.</p> <p>All housing development is prohibited in the severe channel migration zone. Development within the moderate channel migration zone is limited to</p>	<p>For this analysis, the Channel Migration Zone layer was reduced to only the moderate and severe zones, as these contain restrictions affecting housing development. Potential channel migration zones were excluded from this analysis.</p>

	existing legal lots. Subdivision of land is prohibited in moderate and severe zones.																										
Frequently flooded areas	Development within the floodway is regulated by <a href="#">SMC 15.12</a> which includes design standards and review processes to minimize the potential effects of flood damage.	Due to the site-by-site complexities of development within the floodway, the housing development potential is difficult to estimate. These areas have been excluded from this analysis.																									
Streams	<p>SMC classifies streams by class 1, 2, 3, 4, and type C. These classes are defined based on DNR stream types: S, F, and N.</p> <p>The table below shows the relation of SMC classes to DNR types and the SMC defined buffer widths associated with those streams:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SMC Class</th> <th>DNR Type</th> <th>Buffer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Class 1</td> <td>Type S</td> <td>100'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 2</td> <td>Type F</td> <td>Salmonids:100' Else: 75'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 3</td> <td>Type N - perennial</td> <td>50'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 4</td> <td>Type N - seasonal</td> <td>25'</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Additionally, the Snoqualmie River is assigned its own buffer widths for specified sections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A buffer of 25 feet is applied to the Urban Riverfront Environment on the left bank of the river.</li> <li>A buffer of 200 feet is applied to the Natural Shoreline Environment and the right bank of the river.</li> </ul> <p>SMC identified no buffers for type C streams.</p>	SMC Class	DNR Type	Buffer	Class 1	Type S	100'	Class 2	Type F	Salmonids:100' Else: 75'	Class 3	Type N - perennial	50'	Class 4	Type N - seasonal	25'	<p>For this analysis, stream buffers were applied to DNR stream layers according to the below table:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SMC Class</th> <th>Buffer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Class 1</td> <td>100'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 2</td> <td>100'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 3</td> <td>50'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Class 4</td> <td>25'</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Class 2 streams were assumed to contain salmonids for the purposes of this analysis.</p> <p>Snoqualmie River buffers defined in SMC were applied to the Natural Shoreline and Urban Riverfront according to SMC 19.12.160(D) and the Snoqualmie Shoreline Master Program.</p>	SMC Class	Buffer	Class 1	100'	Class 2	100'	Class 3	50'	Class 4	25'
SMC Class	DNR Type	Buffer																									
Class 1	Type S	100'																									
Class 2	Type F	Salmonids:100' Else: 75'																									
Class 3	Type N - perennial	50'																									
Class 4	Type N - seasonal	25'																									
SMC Class	Buffer																										
Class 1	100'																										
Class 2	100'																										
Class 3	50'																										
Class 4	25'																										
Wetlands	SMC categorizes wetlands as; I, II, III, and IV. Wetland buffers are determined based on category, habitat, and habitat	For this analysis, a mid-point buffer of 105' was selected and																									

	score; buffer widths range from 40'-225'. Wetland mitigation is also provided within SMC.	applied to all wetlands in the City of Snoqualmie.
--	---	--

## Methodology

### Slope Analysis

To determine the extent of applicable steep slope hazard areas, steep slope areas had to be vectorized from a raster and then filtered to slopes with greater than 20' of relief. First, a raster layer depicting slopes greater than 40% was vectorized into polygons without using shape simplifications. Then, a geographic analysis comparing the polygons representing slopes over 40% to a raster Digital Elevation Model (DEM) calculated statistics for raster cells falling within slope polygons; statistics included count and range.

The polygon data was filtered to remove any slopes which had less than 20' of range. This failed to remove some short artificial slopes, such as a ditch or culvert; in such a case, the ditch itself may only have 10 feet of relief but the road may have a greater relief while having a lower slope.

Another measure was used to filter out extremely short slopes that represent fragments in the underlying DEM data; a calculation of the polygons range divided by its count provided context for the area the slope was being felt over. Raster cells that were summarized in these polygons measured 25 square feet, so especially low cell counts indicated that a very steep slope was found over an isolated area. Polygons that yielded values greater than four from this calculation were removed from the dataset due to the unlikelihood that they would be recognized on the ground.

### Buffer Analysis

Prior to any buffering, all layers were reprojected in to NAD83(HARN) / Washington North (ftUS) (EPSG:2926) to ensure buffer operations were accurate.

The following layers were buffered according to the measures defined in the table of assumptions and then clipped to the boundaries of the City:

- Steep slope hazard areas
  - Buffer of 15'.
- Streams
  - The streams buffers were based on the classifications in the assumptions. The extent of the buffer associated with the Snoqualmie River was manually modified to reflect the varied buffers related to the Urban Riverfront Environment and the Natural Shoreline Environment based on buffers from the King County GIS water bodies layer.
- Wetlands
  - Buffer of 105'.

The Frequently flooded areas and Channel Migration Zone were clipped to the boundaries of the City of Snoqualmie. Additionally, channel migration areas categorized as "potential" hazard were removed from the layer.

### Union/Mosaic Creation

To create the final mosaic representing the total extent of the analyzed critical areas, all the layers had to be joined together through a series of geospatial unions. Layers unionized in such a way that the final

product contained all the geometries of the involved critical areas with areas of overlap being expressed by a new polygon. To accomplish this, one must perform a union between two layers, then perform a union between the output of the last union and another layer, and so-on. Once the final layer has been incorporated into this union, the layer is referred to as a mosaic.

The attribute table of this mosaic was simplified into 3 fields:

- Label
  - This field contains a string of critical area types that were present in that feature. There are approximately 30 combinations of this field if it is used for symbology.
- AreaTypLis
  - This field contains a comma delimited array of critical area types that has been converted to text, array positions correspond to the array in the SorcelList field.
- SourceList
  - This field contains a comma delimited array of data providers for the critical area layers that has been converted to text, array positions correspond to the array in the AreaTypLis field.

## Conclusion

Having applied the abovementioned methodology and having reviewed its results, the City is heavily encumbered with numerous environmentally critical areas. These areas are valuable to the City and limit development potential. This critical areas analysis assessed the coverage of local regulations related to critical areas, including: wetlands, streams, steep slopes, floodways, and the channel migration zone related to the Snoqualmie River. The analysis employed the data, assumptions, and methodology documented in this memorandum and found that approximately 49% of the area in the City is affected by local critical area regulations found in SMC 19.12. The Floodway alone accounts for 24% of the City's area. The total area assessed for each critical area is shown in the table below:

<b>City-wide Critical Areas</b>		
<b>Critical Area</b>	<b>Acres<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>% of City Area</b>
Wetlands	974	22%
Streams	540	12%
Steep Slopes	459	10%
Floodway	1,091	24%
Channel Migration Zone	625	14%
<b>All</b>	<b>2,208</b>	<b>49%</b>

It is noteworthy that many areas in the City have more than one critical area present, thus the sum of all the individual areas would exceed the area in the City; the total area covered by critical areas (and their buffers) is approximately 2,208 acres. This analysis did not include the coverage of regulations related to SMC 15.12 Flood Hazard Regulations; in addition to the floodway, the floodplain accounts for an additional 935 acres (21%) of the City.

---

<sup>2</sup> Acreages based on GIS may differ from Assessor data



# ***City of Snoqualmie***

## ***Housing Strategy Plan***

***May 2023***





# A. TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	2
B. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	3
C. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	4
D. INTRODUCTION.....	9
E. HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT .....	17
F. HOUSING STRATEGY PLAN.....	24
G. APPENDICES .....	69
APPENDIX 1: REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT .....	70
APPENDIX 2: INDIVIDUAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT.....	118
APPENDIX 3: HOUSING POLICY TABLE .....	147
APPENDIX 4: CITY RESOLUTION ADOPTING PLAN.....	171
APPENDIX 5: COPY OF RCW 36.70A.600.....	175
APPENDIX 6: COMMERCE CROSS-WALK.....	179
APPENDIX 7: GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND FUNDING SOURCES.....	181
APPENDIX 8: SUMMARY OF ENGAGEMENT RESULTS.....	187

## FIGURES

FIGURE 1. HOW THE HOUSING STRATEGY PLAN (HSP) WORKS.....	8
FIGURE 2. TIMELINE AND PROCESS GRAPHIC .....	16

## B. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### **City Staff**

Emily Arteche	Community Development Director
David Goodman	Senior Planner

### **Consultant Staff – LDC, Inc.**

Clay White	Director of Planning
Matt Covert, AICP	Senior Planner
Joel Farias	Permit Technician

### **Consultant Staff – FCS Group (prepared Regional Housing Needs Assessment)**

Todd Chase	Principal / Economist
Timothy Wood	Assistant Project Manager

Photo credit (cover page and chapter titles): LDC, Inc.

# C. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY





## Introduction


The high costs/rent of housing is a major issue facing our region. As the four-county region (Pierce, King, Snohomish, and Kitsap) continues to grow, adding 1.8 million more people between 2017- 2050, action must be taken so that everyone in communities across the region can find a place to live that is affordable to them and meets their needs.

Recognizing the need to focus on housing, the Washington State Legislature passed [House Bill \(HB\) 1923](#), enacted as RCW 36.70A.600, which provides grant funds to help cities develop a Housing Action Plan (HAP). The cities of Snoqualmie, North Bend, Duvall, and Carnation jointly applied for and received HAP funding through the Department of Commerce. The regional approach provides an opportunity for the City to compare housing issues facing the four communities, through the development of a regional [Housing Needs Assessment](#) (HNA). City-specific strategies and actions to address identified housing issues are

then developed in the individual HAP for each community. Snoqualmie has chosen to call their document the “**Snoqualmie Housing Strategy Plan**,” referred to as such or abbreviated “HSP” when speaking specifically about this plan. The grant program more generally will continue to be referred to as Housing Action Plan or HAP.

Overall, this project provides the opportunity to study the existing and future housing needs for the community, engage the community on these important issues, and develop strategies and actions the City can consider in the future.

The timing for this project is ideal as the City is beginning the required 2024 periodic update to the comprehensive plan and development regulations. As part of this process, the City will be evaluating how to accommodate additional population and employment growth, and plan for a variety of housing types and various income levels.



The HSP plays an even more important role in the update given recent legislative changes. In 2021, the Washington State Legislature amended the Housing Element requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) through [HB 1220](#).

HB 1220 strengthened the GMA Housing Element in a variety of ways. This includes new language that encourages the availability of affordable housing and requires cities and counties to plan for and accommodate housing that is affordable to all economic segments of the population. This puts a greater responsibility on local government to plan for housing for low- and moderate-income households. The HSP will assist in providing options to do this that Snoqualmie can consider as part of the periodic update.

**Snoqualmie’s housing targets and the Housing Strategy Plan**

The HSP provides several code and policy options the City of Snoqualmie can consider to help address its housing issues. Some of the actions and strategies outlined in the plan will help the City make progress toward planning for its housing target, which is an essential component of the Comprehensive Plan periodic update. However, code and policy options within city limits are unlikely to lead to full accommodation of the City’s housing target. Additional ways to

accommodate the housing targets, including but not limited to annexation and planning for the City’s Potential Annexation Areas (PAA), may need to be considered. A fuller range of options with which the City could accommodate its housing targets will be further explored as part of the Comprehensive Plan periodic update process.

**Housing is top of mind for region’s residents**

In 2022, the Department of Commerce and Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) conducted a survey on twelve different state-wide issues ranging from transportation, climate change, healthcare to housing. What they found is that Washington residents are most concerned with housing costs/rents, homelessness, and overall cost of living. This is not news to state authorities, although it is important to gather input on top-of-mind issues from a large portion of the state population.

The survey’s key findings include<sup>1</sup>:

- 78% of respondents say they want more housing options for people in their communities, including seniors, teachers, firefighters, childcare workers, and healthcare workers.
- Housing costs are a top issue for four times as many respondents (39%), compared

<sup>1</sup> Puget Sound Regional Council & Washington State Department of Commerce Housing Survey, December 2022

to traffic and transportation (8%).

- 74% of respondents have experienced one of more difficulties finding or affording housing.
- 83% of respondents say government agencies should work together to address the need for housing.

The Comprehensive Plan, Housing Action Plan, and Middle Housing


Analysis are all efforts made by multiple agencies (state, city, regional organization, community members) to help address the housing issues Washington State residents are facing. Each of these projects gives elected officials tools and solutions to begin moving in the right direction. The state has acted on housing-related issues and over the coming years, we should begin to see the benefits of this work.



Photo credit: LDC, Inc.



Figure 1. How the Housing Strategy Plan (HSP) works






# D. INTRODUCTION





## COMMUNITY PROFILE

Snoqualmie, located in central King County, is home to just over 14,000 people. Situated 28 miles east of



Seattle, the community is best known regionally for Snoqualmie Falls and access to outdoor recreation. Snoqualmie is a bedroom community for the greater Seattle area but has been growing rapidly in population and local employment opportunities.

Above: Snoqualmie in relation to King County.

Below: Vicinity map of Snoqualmie.

The city is located on ancestral territory of the Snoqualmie Tribe, a group of Native American peoples

from the southern Salish Sea region of Washington State. The region is rich in deer, elk, and salmon and sustained Indigenous populations since time immemorial. The Snoqualmie Tribe originally lived along the Snoqualmie River from North Bend to the junction of the Skykomish and Snoqualmie Rivers. Today, the city of Snoqualmie is approximately 6.5 square miles located in the Snoqualmie Valley between North Bend and Fall City.

Snoqualmie has grown by nearly 60 percent since 2010 for an average annual growth rate of 3.99 percent. This reflects the dichotomy of Snoqualmie’s history – the older core of the small city in the floodplain of the Snoqualmie River, and the Snoqualmie Ridge master-planned community to its west, which grew rapidly after 2000, eclipsing the older core of the city in size.

Snoqualmie’s median age is 36.3 years, and median household income is more than \$159,000 per year. Nearly 8 in 10 housing units are single-family detached homes. The median home is worth \$1,131,037. The city will need 1,079 new housing units to account for its projected growth out to 2044 but only has capacity for 167.

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

The development of this plan has engaged the community in an important conversation about housing. Key questions include:

- Will there be enough housing that is affordable to all households?
- Do the homes being built today meet the needs and means of current and future residents?
- How will the City meet the housing needs of an aging population?

To begin to answer these important questions, Snoqualmie, North Bend, Carnation, and Duvall commissioned an analysis of housing data. The so-called Regional Housing Needs

Assessment ([Appendix 1](#)) looked at a range of metrics across all four cities, including demographics, workforce, housing market, and land capacity. An individual HNA looked more in-depth at city-specific data and issues. The HNA summary, located in [Chapter E](#) (with full individual HNA located in [Appendix 2](#)) provide important insights on issues like:

- How affordable (or not) is housing today?
- How is the city expected to grow in the future?
- What kind of housing is necessary to meet current and future needs?

The intersection between key housing issues identified by the community and data highlighting current and

### House Bill 1923 Requirements for a Housing Action Plan

- Quantify existing and projected housing needs for all income levels, including extremely low-income households
- Develop strategies to increase the supply of housing, and variety of housing types
- Analyze population and employment trends, with documentation of projections
- Consider strategies to minimize displacement of low-income residents resulting from redevelopment
- Review and evaluate the current housing policies
- Provide for participation and input from community members, community groups, local builders, local realtors, nonprofit housing advocates, and local religious groups
- Include a schedule of programs and actions to implement the recommendations of the housing action plan

future housing needs leads to the development of a HAP (in this case, HSP). The HSP, located in [Chapter F](#), outlines strategies and actions to ensure the City offers the appropriate supply and type of housing to meet future demand for all income levels.

Housing strategies are focused on important topics such as:

- Providing a wider variety of housing types
- Providing incentives for new rental housing
- Bringing down the cost of development
- Preventing and mitigating displacement
- Improving the permit process

The actions identified within the HSP are regulatory and policy changes focused on implementing the strategies listed above. A variety of options have been identified for the City to consider moving forward. Pros and cons for adopting certain code changes, and the identification of other jurisdictions who have considered similar changes, assist in making this a user-friendly document for Snoqualmie moving forward.

### House Bill (HB) 1923

In 2019, the legislature passed HB 1923, encoded as [RCW 36.70A.600](#), to assist cities in developing a HAP that will encourage construction of

additional affordable and market rate housing in a greater variety of housing types and at prices that are accessible to a greater variety of incomes. The cities of Snoqualmie, North Bend, Carnation, and Duvall applied for and received a grant to develop a regional HNA and individual HAPs in accordance with HB 1923.

The Washington State Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) deadline for the adoption of a HAP is June 30, 2023. A copy of the statute created by HB 1923 is available for reference in this Plan in [Appendix 5](#).

### Plan Impact on Housing Prices

Housing affordability (or lack thereof) is a big issue in the region. There are many reasons why housing has become so expensive, and cities can only address certain aspects of the problem. While the City could reduce fees for certain housing types, consider additional areas to allow for higher density housing, or provide more options for senior housing, they do not control fluctuating economic costs such as labor shortages or the rising cost of lumber, for example.

This Plan is a piece of a larger puzzle that aims to help address important housing issues in our region. If many communities in our region takes the steps that Snoqualmie is taking as part of this Plan, it will have a positive overall impact on housing affordability issues in the region.

**GOALS AND OBJECTIVES**

As noted in the Snoqualmie’s Comprehensive Plan, almost half of the city’s homeowner and renter households are classified as cost-burdened by rising housing costs, meaning they pay more than 30 percent of their income toward their housing. The City has developed the HSP to address this and other housing issues.

Goals

- Assess Snoqualmie’s housing needs
- Through a public engagement process, develop strategies addressing housing needs for the residents of Snoqualmie
- Prepare a HSP that is consistent with the housing element of the City’s Comprehensive Plan and addresses goals and policies

Objectives

- Develop strategies and recommendations to increase the supply and types of housing needed in Snoqualmie
- Develop zoning, regulatory, and incentive strategies to support housing that meets the needs of Snoqualmie
- Evaluate and refine existing housing policies and actions
- Develop recommendations based on HNA data

- Identify a schedule of actions to implement the recommendations of the HSP
- Craft potential solutions for Snoqualmie in light of actions and issues elsewhere in the valley

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

The HSP was developed with a range of public engagement. The intent of engaging the public is to better understand what the people of Snoqualmie envision for their housing future.

**Interviews**

Interviews were conducted with groups identified by City staff. This involves interviewing community-based organizations (CBOs), including non-profit housing advocates, local builders and/or realtors, faith-based organizations, and general community groups to better understand their values and priorities and to hear any recommendations they have for how best to engage people who live, work, and play in Snoqualmie. Ten interviews were conducted in total, representing various community groups or individual community members.

The project team asked questions about community engagement and housing, which can be read in THE Summary of Engagement Results in [Appendix 8](#). The overarching themes that were revealed through the interviews were the high cost of housing, need for more housing options for seniors, support for and

concern surrounding some proposed changes, and more.

### Website

The City and project team developed a section on the City's [Affordable Housing webpage](#) and a [press release](#) and hosted the Draft Housing Action Plan and final Individual Housing Needs Assessment on the Konveio web platform. This allows the public to comment on interactive documents.

## PLAN ELEMENTS

The goals and objectives described in the previous section are implemented through the development of this plan as well as the regional and individual HNAs.

### Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) – High Level Findings

Snoqualmie is a highly desirable place to live, offering unique character and beautiful natural surroundings. As the city and surrounding region have grown, the demand for a limited supply of housing has also grown. The following are high-level findings.

- Rents and home values in Snoqualmie are rising faster than incomes. This makes affordability a challenge, especially difficult for households earning below the median annual income.
- Snoqualmie has a median age of 36.3 (up from 32.5 in 2010)

and an average household size of 3.04 people per household.

- As of 2019, 2,900 people employed in the city lived outside the city and 5,067 people lived in the city and worked elsewhere. Only 322 people both worked and lived in the city.
- Snoqualmie has grown 60 percent since 2010. Roughly 421 units have entered the permitting pipeline since 2019.
- In addition to the lack of overall available housing, the portion of Snoqualmie's population that is aged 65 and older. This will create added pressure as well as a need for more accessible housing. Therefore, providing a variety of housing types will ensure there is housing for all.

### Housing Strategy Plan (HSP) – High Level Approach

The HSP pairs the HNA findings with community goals to bring forward an identifiable set of actions and strategies the City can consider. The HSP is organized in the following sections:

- Review of Snoqualmie's existing housing policies
- Development of strategies to address the housing goals
- Actions to implement each of the housing strategies



The strategies in this Plan are:

- Provide a wider variety of housing types
- Provide incentives for new housing
- Bring down the cost of development
- Prevent and mitigate displacement
- Improve the regulatory environment for permits

Each of the actions identified and developed to implement these strategies includes the following:

- Specific description of the actions or options being studied and recommended
- Highlight the strategy this action addresses
- The pros/cons of the action
- Outline areas of code or policy that could be modified.



Photo credit: LDC, Inc.

## PROJECT TIMELINE

The Commerce deadline for adoption of a HAP is June 30, 2023. The following process and schedule were followed to ensure state requirements and project goals were met for the HSP.

Figure 2. Timeline and Process Graphic





An aerial photograph of a suburban residential neighborhood. The houses are mostly two-story structures with grey or brown roofs, arranged in a grid-like pattern. The streets are paved and have some parked cars. In the background, there are large, rugged mountains with patches of snow under a clear sky. The overall scene is a typical suburban setting.

# E. HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT



## HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

This section contains a summary of the HNA’s findings. The full Regional HNA and individual HNA can be found in [Appendix 1](#) and [Appendix 2](#), respectively.

### Introduction

The need for housing in Snoqualmie is challenging, and these needs will broaden as the community changes over the next few decades. A key initial step to address housing challenges is to analyze the best available data that help accurately define the range of unmet housing needs and the depth of affordability needs. This analysis should answer questions about the availability of different housing, who lives and works in the community, and what range of housing is needed to address housing needs and demands into the future. Housing analysis is an important exercise because housing needs tend to evolve based on changes in the broader economy, local demographics, and regulatory environment.

Snoqualmie has grown over the past couple decades as Snoqualmie Ridge has been built. While Snoqualmie Ridge has little capacity remaining, growth pressure and home prices in Snoqualmie remain high.

Analyzing housing is complex since it represents a bundle of services that people are willing or able to pay for, including shelter and proximity to daily household needs (job, grocery shopping, healthcare), access to public services (quality of schools,

parks, etc.), and lifestyle amenities (type and quality of home fixtures and appliances, landscaping, views). It is difficult for households to maximize all these services and minimize costs. As a result, many families make tradeoffs and sacrifices between needed services and what they can afford.

Housing markets tend to function at a regional scale, which makes it a challenge for jurisdictions to adequately address issues individually. This also presents a prime opportunity for cities like Snoqualmie to broadly meet their housing needs. The following section helps frame the broader context associated with key housing trends.



Photo credit: LDC. Inc.

### Broader Demographic Trends

Several demographic changes have emerged since the mid-20th century that have influenced housing demand. These trends help explain forces shifting the housing landscape that are beyond the local purview.

- Nationally, family households with children and

parents/guardians shrank from 40 percent in 1970 to 20 percent in 2018, while the share of single-person households increased from 15 to 28 percent over that time.<sup>2</sup> Persons living alone have become the most prevalent household type, which could result in smaller household sizes and increased housing unit demand.

- Around one-third of Americans between 18 and 34 are now living in their parents' homes, possibly delaying their household formation.
- The population is aging, and the number of U.S. seniors will continue to grow over the next twenty years as the Baby Boomer generation exits the workforce. National estimates suggest that around 22 percent of Americans will be over 65 years of age by 2050. Seniors are projected to outnumber children for the first time ever by 2035. This is significant considering that currently, the over 65 age group is about 13 percent of the population in Snoqualmie. The aging Baby Boomer generation (born 1946 to 1964) could result in greater demand for smaller housing for those wishing to "downsize" and greater demand for assisted living housing situations<sup>3</sup>.
- Nationwide, the Hispanic/Latino population is predicted to be the fastest growing racial/ethnic group over the next few decades. The growing diversity of American households will have a large impact on domestic housing markets. Over the coming decade, minorities will make up a larger share of young households and constitute an important source of demand for rental housing and small homes.
- Another factor affecting housing is the COVID-19 pandemic. Since its emergence, the pandemic has slowed the production of housing in many regions, first due to supply chain snarls, then due to inflation. However, work-from-home and other pressures have increased demand in cities like Snoqualmie. These types of trends should be monitored as communities adjust.
- Another factor crucial for estimating housing needs is population growth. The growth around Puget Sound has been intense, with the region welcoming one million new people (total of 4.3 million) since 2000 and a forecast showing a similar population surge through 2040.<sup>4</sup> Snoqualmie has, in fact, grown even faster than King County or the state as a whole, growing by 3.99 percent between 2010 and 2022.

<sup>2</sup> Sources: AARP (2018) Making Room for a Changing America, U.S. Census Bureau Annual Social and Economic Supplements 1950 and 1970, 2015 U.S. Census ACS, PSRC Draft 2050 Forecast of People and Jobs.

<sup>3</sup> AARP (2019) "2018 Home and Community Preferences: A National Survey of Adults Ages 18-Plus

<sup>4</sup> Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC). 2017. Land Use Vision Dataset. Retrieved from: <https://www.psrc.org/projections-cities-and-other-places>.

## Snoqualmie Housing Needs Assessment Results Summary

### Snoqualmie Housing Gap and Housing Production Target

Using the 2015-2019 HUD-CHAS data on cost burden, Snoqualmie was during that period home to 214 households who rent their housing unit who are cost burdened (paying more than 30 percent of their incomes in rent). There are also 324 households making 80 percent or below of the AMI who own their unit who are cost burdened. There are **188** units of subsidized affordable housing at the Panorama Apartments project aimed at low-income households that did not exist during the survey period. Therefore, the current gap between what is affordable and available for households making under 80 percent of the median income and the current demand is **350 units**. If a more restrictive threshold of paying more than 50 percent of income toward housing is used, there are 14 renting households and 39 owning households who are paying at least 50 percent of their incomes toward rent, for a total gap (after deducting subsidized units) of **164 units**. These cost burden figures are illustrated in Figure 38 of the individual HNA.

### Snoqualmie is majority white, but the primary language spoken is English.

Of the Snoqualmie residents of one race, 81% are White, 13% are Asian, 0.5% are Black or African American, and 0.1% are American Indian and Alaska Native alone. When compared against King County, Snoqualmie has

a similar but lower level of population diversity.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the dominant language spoken at home by Snoqualmie's residents who are 5 years of age and above is English (84.7 percent). The second most spoken language is other Indo-European languages (7.9 percent). Smaller percentages of households speak Asian and other Pacific Island languages and Spanish.

### Snoqualmie has many young families living in the city.

Since housing needs change over a person's lifetime, it is important to track shifts among age cohorts to anticipate expected demand.

Snoqualmie's age makeup is concentrated first in adults ages 35 to 44, and then in children ages 5 to 14. This age distribution could indicate Snoqualmie has a large number of families at their peak reproduction years with school-aged children.

The age breakdown dominance by early middle-aged adults with young children means that if today's residents age in the community, by 2040, around a quarter of Snoqualmie's current residents would be 65 or older.

As noted earlier, the dominant housing available in Snoqualmie is larger single-family structures. This in large part reflects the dominance of people aged 35 to 49 and the children of that age group. A comparatively small fraction of the city's current population is at or near retirement age. However, the Housing Strategy Plan should include strategies to



address the increased housing needs for other cohorts as demographic change occurs.

Homeownership rates tend to increase as age increases, and older people are more likely to live in single-person households that tend to be smaller in size. The aging of the Baby Boomer generation (born 1946 to 1964) could also generate greater demand for housing offering living assistance, multigenerational accommodations, and opportunities for residents to age-in-place or age elsewhere in their community. Overall, these trends indicate high demand for “missing middle” housing (e.g., ADUs, townhomes, triplexes, duplexes, quad homes, and cottages), which allows more seniors and couples to downsize and remain in their community.<sup>5</sup>

Increased demand, housing scarcity, rising costs and lagging household incomes particularly for households who own their home.

According to the OFM, between 2010 and 2022, Snoqualmie constructed 1,110 new housing units. During this time the city’s population grew by 3,820. Since 2010, 334 new structures with 2+ units have been built, versus only 792 one-unit structures.

Both median rents and house values have increased dramatically between 2010 and 2020. The median rent in Snoqualmie increased from \$1,552 in 2010 to \$2,224 in 2020, while house values increased from \$477,000 (2010) to \$640,700 (2020). According

to Zillow, the median home value has risen at an even faster rate between the years 2020 and 2022. The median home value in August of 2020 was at \$697,735 and has risen to \$1,011,912. This is an increase of more than 45 percent in two years. These numbers are in line with the extremely rapid run-up in house prices and values region-wide. The market has softened in recent months due to rapidly rising interest rates, but significant erosion in value is unlikely.

Alongside housing cost increases, the median household income in Snoqualmie was \$116,020 in 2010 and \$159,450 in 2020, for a growth of 37 percent over that time and an average annual growth rate of 3.2 percent. Median income for households who own their unit grew from \$123,795 in 2011 to \$167,768 in 2021, an increase of 35 percent. Median income for households who rent their housing unit rose from \$66,744 in 2010 to \$95,896 in 2021, an increase of 44 percent.

This means that the incomes for both renters and owners have largely kept up with the rising cost of homes to buy and rent. Home prices have risen by 34 percent and the incomes of homeowners have risen by 35 percent. Rental rates have risen by 43 percent and the incomes of renters have increased by 44 percent.

Where feasible, additional home ownership opportunities should be provided for households earning less than 80 percent of the area median

<sup>5</sup> “Missing middle” housing referred generally herein as middle housing primarily includes single-family attached housing with two or more units (duplexes, triplexes, quad

homes, townhomes, courtyard cottages, accessory dwelling units, etc.) or other housing bridging a gap between single family and more intensive multifamily housing.

income. Given the high incomes in King County, less than 80 percent of the AMI is less than roughly \$95,300 per year for a family of four. Housing serving this income bracket tends to be rental housing, with some smaller-sized middle density housing (i.e. duplex, triplexes, condos). Demand for middle housing and rentals, especially 1- to 2-person households, is increasing mostly due to aging baby boomers. However, as noted previously, capacity is limited under current zoning and city boundaries. As a result, strategies should be developed to support middle housing production, including within the unincorporated urban growth area. The Snoqualmie renter population tends to include households at the moderate to lower income levels, and the rising cost of housing has disproportionate impacts for units priced at these levels. Consequently, additional production of apartments, multiplexes and middle housing, and subsidized housing should be further supported.

Snoqualmie residents commute outside the city for work, and people who work in Snoqualmie do not live there.

Understanding Snoqualmie's workforce profile and commuting trends will help plan for workers' housing needs. Factors such as job sector growth and the city's commuting patterns may have implications for how many people are able to both live and work within the city. If such factors indicate many people are commuting into the city for work, it could be possible that the city does not have enough housing to

accommodate its workforce or enough housing that matches their needs and affordability levels.

As of 2019, (the most recent year for which these data are available), Snoqualmie is home to 5,053 jobs and 6,473 employed people. Approximately 91 percent of employed people (5,883 out of 6,473) who live in Snoqualmie are employed outside of the city limits, and they commute largely to communities like Redmond, Seattle, Issaquah, and Bellevue. The Census also estimates that of Snoqualmie's 5,053 jobs, approximately 88 percent (4,463 jobs) are filled by workers who live outside of the city limits.

Of Snoqualmie's employed residents in the civilian labor force, 6,186 are private wage and salary workers, 973 work for some level of government, and 219 are self-employed or unpaid family workers.

Snoqualmie continues to be a high-income community. When considering the after-effects of the COVID-19 pandemic as well as planned future employment growth in Snoqualmie, the City will need to focus both on providing more space for opportunities for entry-level homeownership as well as ensuring that workforce housing forms a significant part of future housing construction.

Snoqualmie's housing stock is relatively new and mostly single-family.

The vast majority of Snoqualmie's housing stock (79.3 percent) are single family homes. Most of Snoqualmie's housing units have 3 or

more bedrooms and most of the housing stock was built between 2000 and 2009.

Growth pressure is high in Snoqualmie, but with the housing stock being young overall, redevelopment pressure is not high. However, with much of its housing stock in relatively good condition, conversion of existing single-family homes to middle housing could be considered. Other options include amending the development agreements for Snoqualmie Ridge to add more opportunity for infill.

The low supplies of single-family attached housing such as townhomes, triplexes, duplexes, and cottage courtyard apartments and multifamily housing should be addressed to provide broader housing options. In addition, demand is expected to increase for single-family attached housing mostly due to aging baby boomers and young households forming.

Racial and ethnic disparities merit a targeted approach to relieving cost burden.

Among households who own their housing units, 26 percent of white, non-Hispanic households (head of household) who own their homes face some level of cost burden. 47 percent of white households who rent their units face cost burden. While most racial groups report low numbers due to sampling error and data disaggregation performed by HUD and the census bureau for privacy reasons, 63 percent of renter Hispanic households and 47 percent of white non-Hispanic households

who rent their housing unit face some level of cost burden.

Despite the limitations of these data due to margins of error and sample size, it is clear that cost burden is not just a problem facing renters.

Snoqualmie faces a significant gap in housing unit capacity within current city limits.

The City's growth target, as adopted in the King County Countywide Planning Policies, is 1,500 housing units between 2021 and 2044. Given 421 units of pipeline projects since then, the current gap is 1,079 units. According to the Buildable Lands Report (Urban Growth Capacity) produced by King County, the City has roughly 167 units of existing capacity within current city limits.



Photo credit: LDC. Inc.



# F. HOUSING STRATEGY PLAN





## Overview


Our region has and will continue to face great challenges as we grow. This includes the ability to provide affordable housing. Housing element requirements under the Growth Management Act (GMA) outline requirements to "...ensure the vitality and character of established residential neighborhoods...". This includes provisions for protections of housing "... for existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community" ([RCW 36.70A.070\(2\)](#)). At the same time, cities are growing, and redevelopment pressures will continue to occur. As redevelopment occurs, the key is focusing on policies and regulations that minimize displacement and preserve affordable housing options. These are difficult planning challenges, and it takes a proactive approach to meet these challenges head on.

In addition, Snoqualmie faces some unique challenges and opportunities due to its housing types and development patterns, somewhat isolated physical location, and geographical constraints (Snoqualmie River floodplain and a mostly built-out footprint). This HSP presents analysis, strategies, and a range of actions to consider.

## Connection to Comprehensive Plan Process

Snoqualmie will update its Comprehensive Plans no later than December 31, 2024. As part of that process, the City will be planning for growth out to 2044. The amount of growth the City decides to plan for is linked to the types of actions necessary to meet housing goals. The more growth expected, the more creative the City must be in order to accommodate that growth. The elements and objectives of the HSP will help support the implementation of growth and housing strategies as the City moves forward.

Planning is a balance between state and regional requirements, and substantial local deference is afforded to Snoqualmie so it can plan in a way that is best for its community.



While broad housing requirements are outlined within the Growth Management Act ([RCW 36.70A.070\(2\)](#)), policies are also developed at the regional and countywide levels. The Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) is a regional body that develops policies around transportation, economic development, and growth (including

housing). VISION 2050's multicounty planning policies and regional growth strategy guide planning at the countywide level and comprehensive plans done by cities and counties.

The Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) facilitates the development of King County's [Countywide Planning Policies](#) (CPPs), which help ensure King County and the cities within the county coordinate on growth issues. The policies contained in the CPPs are further refined at the local level as the City completes its Comprehensive Plan update. The HSP provides an opportunity to proactively look at housing issues and identify possible solutions that can be implemented as this planning takes place.

### Definitions of Income Ranges Used to Define Affordability

Several of the recommended actions and strategies in this HSP address housing affordability. The following definitions of income ranges are based on King County's Area Median Income, or AMI (updated annually, used interchangeably here with HUD's definition of Median Family Income). The actual makeup of household incomes in Snoqualmie specifically may differ from that of King County more broadly, but AMI is the baseline for measuring affordability using the best available data. The income ranges and their definitions are as follows:

- Extremely Low Income – 30% AMI and below
- Very Low Income – 30-50% AMI

- Low Income – 50-80% AMI

King County's median family income for fiscal year 2022 is \$134,600 for a family of four. The income ranges for this Plan for the City of Snoqualmie translates as follows depending on family size.

- Extremely Low Income - \$27,200 - \$51,250 per year and below
- Very Low Income - \$45,300 - \$85,450 per year
- Low Income - \$66,750 - \$125,800 per year

### Long-Term Impacts of COVID-19 on Housing

The COVID-19 pandemic and the associated health and economic consequences continue to affect everyone in Western Washington and around the world. While the full story of the effects of COVID-19 on housing is still being written, several trends are emerging or likely to gain importance in the years to come.

First, for many of those whose employment can occur remotely, physical proximity to the workplace is a less important factor when choosing a place to live. Factors including access to parks, great schools, and being closer to family, may increase in importance. This is of particular relevance to Snoqualmie, as its location, natural amenities, and less expensive housing compared to many central Puget Sound communities could make it an increasingly popular relocation option for people from those communities whose work can be done remotely.



Second, the demand for new housing is continuing to outstrip supply, leading to continued upward pressure on prices. Labor and material shortages continue to make building housing expensive, and the locational decisions discussed above are leading to many more households with continued employment looking to change their housing situation.

Third, aftereffects from the periods of unemployment during the pandemic and the continuing period of high inflation and interest rates may lead to increasing evictions, with serious consequences for those households unable to afford their housing coupled with a spike in vacancies and continued financial stress for landlords and housing providers.

### **Housing Policy Analysis and Current Policy Conditions**

Snoqualmie’s Comprehensive Plan contains many housing policies in its Housing Element. [Appendix 3](#) contains an analysis of the Comprehensive Plan policies that relate to housing.

The City should consider additions and revisions to its policies that support future actions in line with what is provided in this plan. Such policy additions should strengthen and support the actions recommended here, including (but not limited to) strengthening policy support for more diverse housing options, developing code and policies that mitigate the risk of displacement, and placing equity front and center when crafting future housing code and policy.

## **Housing Types Considered**

Photo credits, following section: LDC, Inc.

### Single-Family Housing



[RCW 36.70A.600](#) specifically calls for the development of policies and strategies to increase the availability of single-family homes that are affordable to a wider range of households. This could include both detached and attached single-family dwellings. The HSP presents strategies and actions that can increase the availability and affordability of single-family homes.

### Missing Middle Housing



The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) defines middle housing as, “buildings that are compatible in scale, form, and character with single-family houses and contain two or more attached, stacked, or

clustered homes including duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, fiveplexes, sixplexes, townhouses, stacked flats, courtyard apartments, and cottage housing.” ([RCW 36.70A.030](#) as revised in 2023) The HSP includes actions that address various facets of this form of housing.

### Accessory Dwelling Units



Accessory Dwelling Units, or ADUs, are small housing units attached to or separate from and accessory to a single-family home. These smaller dwellings, sometimes envisioned as homes for older parents or other relatives, hold promise as a way of providing basic, affordable accommodations for households that do not need much space while potentially providing a source of rental income for homeowners. Jurisdictions region-wide have recently pursued changes to their land use regulations to allow or further encourage ADUs as a way of addressing the housing affordability issue. Various actions are detailed in the following plan that could help incentivize construction of ADUs. Recent state law changes have changed how cities can regulate ADUs, and the HSP reflects this.

### Multifamily Housing



Larger multifamily developments (five-plus units in a structure) make up roughly 20 percent of housing units in Snoqualmie. Some of these developments are a key part of Snoqualmie’s inventory of naturally occurring affordable housing. Additionally, because of their construction techniques and economies of scale, new developments with affordable units tend to be this type of housing. Several of the actions in the plan involving incentivizing new rental housing and mitigating displacement address multifamily housing in some dimension.


### Senior Housing

Assisted living facilities, retirement communities, adult family homes, and other forms of senior housing will be increasingly needed as the population within the region ages. ADUs and missing middle housing can also play an important role in providing housing options for seniors that are affordable. Providing these housing options within the city allows current residents the ability to age in place.

## Strategies

All actions proposed in this HSP can be categorized by their implementation of one of the strategies as outlined below. Each strategy is assigned its own icon and incorporated into the following action writeups according to which strategy or strategies each action addresses.

### Incentivize New Rental Housing



The City has expressed interest in policy options to increase incentives available for creating new affordable and market rate rental housing. The HNA demonstrates that additional rental housing, particularly for low- to moderate-income households, is needed to reduce the cost burden of their housing expenses.

Incentives for rental housing can include the Multi-Family Tax Exemption (MFTE), waiving or reducing impact fees, and density bonuses to incentivize affordable housing options.

### Bring Down the Cost of Development



The cost of developing new housing, regardless of type, includes labor and materials, the costs of permitting (including impact and mitigation fees), and the time

and cost of permit processes. Several actions included in the HSP address the elements of this calculation most within the City's control, namely permit efficiency, fees, and time and process required to approve development.

### Provide Wide Variety of Housing Types

Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types



The City can make progress on this strategy through several actions, including changes to zoning code to make various types of housing

more widely allowed and demonstration programs for fee reductions. This includes senior housing, ADUs, and Missing Middle housing.

### Prevent and Mitigate Displacement

Prevent and Mitigate Displacement



This addresses both rent-restricted and non-rent-restricted rental housing. This can be done through rehabilitation of existing

units or a waiver of certain fees that would allow a new development to offer new units whose rents approximately match housing that was displaced. Actions include public-private partnerships with neighborhood associations and landlords to bring down the cost of upkeep.



Improve The Regulatory Environment for Permits

Improve the Regulatory Environment for Permits



The regulatory environment for new development and redevelopment varies by jurisdiction. The regulatory environment heavily influences what gets built, and where it

gets built. Additionally, having a good regulatory environment impacts how long it takes to issue permits and how much it costs. Creating a smooth regulatory process for obtaining approvals on development proposals will incentivize development in the city.

Photo credit: LDC, Inc.



## Housing Strategies and Actions Outline

The following strategies represent collections of actions that address a particular housing issue in a targeted way. The specific actions that fall under each strategy are listed below the strategies. Many actions appear under more than one strategy because they address multiple issues.

### Strategy 1, Incentivize New Housing

- [Expand options for senior housing especially assisted living](#)
- [Expand temporary emergency and permanent supportive housing options](#)
- [Prioritize potential annexations and UGA swaps that could add residential capacity](#)
- [Recruit and partner with affordable housing specialists](#)
- [Multi-Family Tax Exemption – city wide use](#)
- [Encourage room rentals in existing homes](#)

### Strategy 2, Bring down the cost of development

- [SEPA exemption options](#)
- [Options to improve ADUs](#)
- [Recruit and partner with affordable housing specialists](#)

### Strategy 3, Encourage a wide variety of housing types

- [Implement R-3 zone](#)
- [Implement Planned Residential district](#)
- [Options to improve ADUs](#)
- [Clarify townhome definitions and standards](#)
- [Encourage room rentals in existing homes](#)

### Strategy 4, Prevent and Mitigate Displacement




- [Multi-Family Tax Exemption – city wide use](#)
- [Tenant protections](#)




### Strategy 5, Improve the regulatory environment for permits




- [SEPA exemption options](#)







**Action Schedule and Summary Table**

Action	Primary Strategy	Target Group	Area of Applicability	Scale of Potential Impact	Timeline	When Snoqualmie Could See Results
Clarify townhome definitions and standards	Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types 	Moderate to High Income Households	MU R-2 R-3, potentially Planned Residential	Small	Prior to comprehensive plan update deadline	Within 5 years
Expand options for senior housing, especially assisted living	Prevent and Mitigate Displacement 	Very Low to High Income Households	Business, office park, planned commercial/industrial, R-3, PRD	Small to moderate	Prior to comprehensive plan update deadline	Within 2 years
Expand temporary emergency and permanent supportive housing options	Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types 	Very Low to Low Income Households	All residential zones, FBMU, MU	Small to moderate	Concurrent with Comprehensive Plan update	Within 20 years

Action	Primary Strategy	Target Group	Area of Applicability	Scale of Potential Impact	Timeline	When Snoqualmie Could See Results
Implement R-3 zone	<p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p> 	Very Low to Moderate Income Households	Potentially large area of city depending on implementation	Moderate to large	After Comprehensive Plan update	Within 20 years
Increase flexibility for ADUs	<p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p> 	Moderate Income Households	All residential zones, especially Snoqualmie Ridge and the R-1 zones	Small to moderate	Within 6 months of comprehensive plan update deadline (June 30, 2025)	Within 3 years
Balance short-term rentals and other housing needs	<p>Incentivize New Housing</p> 	Moderate Income Households	City-wide	Small to moderate	After Comprehensive Plan update	Within 5 years

Action	Primary Strategy	Target Group	Area of Applicability	Scale of Potential Impact	Timeline	When Snoqualmie Could See Results
Encourage room rentals in existing homes	Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types 	Low to Moderate Income Households	Single-family zones	Moderate	After Comprehensive Plan update	Within 5 years
MFTE – City wide use	Incentivize New Housing 	Very Low to Moderate Household Incomes	City-wide	Moderate to large	Concurrent with Comprehensive Plan update	Within 3 years
Implement Planned Residential District	Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types 	Low to Moderate Household Incomes	Potentially to various areas of city	Moderate to large	Concurrent with Comprehensive Plan update	Within 20 years

Action	Primary Strategy	Target Group	Area of Applicability	Scale of Potential Impact	Timeline	When Snoqualmie Could See Results
Prioritize potential annexations and UGA swaps that could add residential capacity	<p>Incentivize New Housing</p> 	Very Low to High Household Incomes	City-wide	Large	Concurrent with Comprehensive Plan update	Within 20 years
Recruit and partner with affordable housing specialists	<p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p> 	Very Low to Low Household Incomes	City-wide	Small to moderate	After Comprehensive Plan update	Within 5 years
SEPA exemption options	<p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p> 	Very Low to High Household Incomes	City-wide	Small to moderate	After Comprehensive Plan update	Within 5 years

Action	Primary Strategy	Target Group	Area of Applicability	Scale of Potential Impact	Timeline	When Snoqualmie Could See Results
Tenant protections	Prevent and Mitigate Displacement 	Low to extremely low income households	City-wide	Moderate	Any time	Within 3 years





## HOUSING ACTIONS

### Prioritize potential annexations that could add residential capacity and housing options

The City of Snoqualmie does not currently have enough housing unit capacity to meet its projected housing need. According to the Housing Needs Assessment, the city has capacity for 167 housing units with a remaining growth target of 1,079 households, meaning it is short 912 units worth of land capacity for housing. While other actions in this HSP could work to close this gap, the greatest opportunity for new residential capacity that could help Snoqualmie successfully plan for its housing target lies within the unincorporated Urban Growth Area (UGA).

There are two primary areas outside city limits that could make compelling annexation areas and meaningfully contribute to the city's housing capacity and diversity: the current unincorporated urban growth area (UGA), located between the historic older portion of town and Snoqualmie Ridge, and the area around the I-90 diverging diamond interchange, in the southwest corner of the city.

Current unincorporated Urban Growth Area (outlined in red below left)



Diverging diamond interchange (above right)

The land within the Potential Annexation Area between Snoqualmie Ridge and the older portion of the city contains 98.31 acres of buildable land after accounting for critical areas, buffers, and existing residential uses or lands owned by the Snoqualmie Tribe.

A substantial part of that acreage can be found in the west of the PAA near a portion of Snoqualmie Ridge developed as a business park under the mixed-use zone. This might be a good location for a mix of mixed use, R-3, and R-2 zoning from west to east. R-3 appears in the zoning code but not on the zoning map. Given that it is described as being a good transition between nonresidential uses and other residential areas, R-3 could be a good fit near current residential areas in the city. Another potential option, particularly for the largest parcels just east of the current city boundary, would be the Planned

Residential zone (see PR zone action sheet). The Middle Housing analysis should examine potential capacity using these zones more in depth.


This area is currently designated as “Master Planned Business Park” and “Innovative Mixed Use” on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, so at least a portion of this area would have to be redesignated during the Comprehensive Plan update or in an annual docket request in order to enter into an interlocal agreement with King County.

An alternative, which might be preferable, would be to adopt an ordinance establishing contingent zoning for specific areas in accordance with whatever land use designation is adopted as part of the Comprehensive Plan update. If the City chooses R-3 or Planned Residential for all or some of the land currently designated Master Planned Business Park and Innovative Mixed Use,

The rest of the UGA would be a good fit for a mix of R-1-4, R-1-7.5, and R-2 to enable more middle housing.

The other major area the City is considering potentially adding to the UGA and the city is a nearly 85-acre area on the north side of the diverging diamond interchange between Snoqualmie Parkway and Interstate 90 in the southwest corner of the city. This could be a good location to add workforce housing, permanent supportive housing, or assisted living, in addition to commercial uses closest to the interchange. This would have to be done via a UGA swap. Given that state law changes that would have enabled this did not pass in the 2023 session, it is unclear whether this swap will be possible.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. Depending on the action taken and the zoning applied to annexation areas, this could help the City encourage affordable housing if density incentives are provided (planned residential zone, for example). If MFTE is expanded to include the potential annexation areas or any area zoned the intended zones, for example, this could definitely assist the City in meeting its housing targets by income bands.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. Given the city’s documented lack of enough capacity for 20 years of housing targets, annexation areas will be crucial to meeting housing targets.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Not many communities in the Puget Sound region are as dependent as Snoqualmie is on using potential annexation areas in its UGA to meet its housing targets.</p>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annexation areas are one of the only areas of untapped and developable land remaining in the urban growth area.</li> <li>• The legislature may consider renewing and updating the annexation tax incentives</li> </ul>

	<p>contained in <a href="#">RCW 82.14.415</a> that expired in 2015, which could provide an additional incentive to annex the areas.</p>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annexation processes can be time consuming and expensive.</li> <li>• Truly developable properties in the unincorporated urban growth area are not grouped or contiguous in such a way as to make denser development straightforward, especially in the eastern portion of the UGA.</li> <li>• Straight residential annexations do not bring in sales tax revenue, which could make it difficult to make some of these annexations pencil.</li> <li>• Annexations and/or UGA swaps can take years, if not decades, to realize, so capacity would be unlikely to be realized within a short timeframe.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  <p>The diagram illustrates the concept of incentivizing new housing. It features a crane with a hook lifting a rectangular box. Below the crane, there is a green dollar sign (\$) and three stylized houses of increasing height, suggesting that financial incentives are used to encourage the construction of new housing units.</p>



## Implement R-3 zoning

A review of Snoqualmie’s zoning code has revealed that its densest residential zone, R-3, is not implemented in code or assigned to any areas on the zoning map.

This represents an opportunity to improve the consistency of the City’s comprehensive plan and development regulations, while also adding a diverse range of housing types.

Below are several options or considerations for the City to consider when implementing the R-3 zone.

- Implement R-3 zone (see writeup on annexations). R-3 allows a wide range of housing types that are under-represented in Snoqualmie, but it does not appear to be implemented anywhere on the zoning map and does not appear in the use table in [SMC 17.55.020](#).
- This would also include deciding whether to implement separate design standards for R-3, apply the R-2 design standards contained in [SMC 17.55.055](#), or not require design standard compliance in the R-3 zone.
- Since the R-3 zone would be one of Snoqualmie’s main tools to create more middle housing on a large scale, the City should consider changes to definitions and use regulations to more closely align the zone with the intent of the Housing Element of the comprehensive plan and the Middle Housing grant program. For example, RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b) specifically mentions duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes, while the Commerce [Middle Housing grant](#) requires recipients to look at ways to encourage more of certain forms of housing, including duplexes, triplexes, fourplexes, fiveplexes, sixplexes, townhouses, courtyard apartments, cottage housing, and stacked flats”. If the City wishes to use this zone to encourage particular forms of middle housing, it should add definitions for some or all of these housing forms in Title 17.
- One action in this Housing Strategy Plan discusses expanding where the Multi-Family Tax Exemption, or MFTE, program can be used. R-3, regardless of where it is implemented, would be a good candidate for being able to utilize the MFTE program to enable more affordable housing units to be built.
- Consider making permanent supportive housing a conditional or even permitted use in the R-3 zone. See the [action sheet](#) on transitional and permanent supportive housing for more.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. The housing types encouraged in the R-3 zone could go a long way toward meeting housing element requirements.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. The R-3 zone encourages and allows many denser housing options that can help the City meet its housing targets.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Many cities around the region have the equivalent of an R-3 zone. This is not a new idea, but rather correcting a discrepancy between different sections of code.</p>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementing R-3 would lead to better consistency between the comprehensive plan and the development regulations.</li> <li>• R-3 is intended to serve as a transition between higher intensity nonresidential uses and other residential districts. This could make it useful for potential annexation areas, which border on higher-intensity mixed or nonresidential uses.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bigger-impact code changes increase in complexity, and some of the other actions in the plan (like townhouses) might not be as effective if R-3 is not implemented, for example.</li> <li>• Implementing the R-3 zone in a portion of the potential annexation area may not help the City meet its 2044 growth targets.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p>  </div> </div>



**Implement Planned Residential zoning**



The PR, or Planned Residential, zone appears to only be implemented in the very far north end of the city and is not currently developed. This is an area that has been discussed for swapping with the diverging diamond at I-90 and being removed from the Urban Growth Area (UGA) in the future if that option becomes available.

Whether at this location or potentially in the Potential Annexation Area, Planned Residential as defined in the code would be a good way to provide more housing options. It provides for a wide variety of housing types (in fact, it requires a variety) and includes some limited non-residential uses that

The following are some considerations as the City prepares to implement or improve this district to maximize its benefits for housing issues when implemented:

- The PR zone could potentially be a good fit for portions of the UGA just east of the industrial park off Douglas and Center, Roads as the large parcels would not risk running afoul of the acreage provisions (see below).
- The PR zone has acreage provisions whereby a mix of housing types is required for projects larger than 2 acres. Parcels less than two acres are limited to the uses allowed in the R-1-4 district.
- Consider making residential units above commercial space a permitted use in the Planned Residential zone. Neighborhood commercial developments are allowed already, this type of development should be encouraged as it would also accomplish the housing diversity goals of the PR zone. This would require updating the use table in [SMC 17.55.020](#).

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Not directly.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2026 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. The planned residential district, if implemented over a broad area, could allow for a diverse range of housing types that meaningfully helps the City make progress toward its housing target.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Many other communities have a planned residential zone, or something similar, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Pierce County</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Blaine</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Marysville</a> (Whiskey Ridge subarea)</li> </ul>

<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The PR zone is underutilized in the city and seems a good fit for the variety of housing types the City needs to meet housing goals.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The development standards of the PR zone might be an impediment to doing affordable development (there is no built-in affordability mechanism either).</li> <li>• Given the time it takes to undertake an annexation, implementing the PR zone in potential annexation areas will not help the City meet growth targets for the upcoming comprehensive plan update.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p>  </div> </div>

**Multi-Family Tax Exemption – citywide use**

The City of Snoqualmie is currently considering a multi-family tax exemption for the Weyerhauser Mill site. Those provisions would require each mixed-use building in Planning Area 1 to set aside 10 percent of the total number of units as affordable to those making 80 percent AMI and 12 percent of the total number of units as affordable to those making 60 percent AMI.

An additional provision requires a 50-year affordability covenant, and the number of affordable units of each unit type (# of bedrooms) must be proportional to the number of market rate units of each type.

The City should consider broadening the applicability of the MFTE program and allow its use in the mixed-use zones and, potentially, the R-3 and Planned Residential zones if the City chooses to implement those latter zones.

Things to consider include:

- **Reduce the terms of providing affordable units in some circumstances.** In their current form, the MFTE rules for the Mill site require that the affordable units being built stay as affordable units for 50 years from the date of initial owner occupancy. Reducing the term of affordability could increase use of the program, but it would also pull units out for the affordability range faster. Most cities in King County require the units to stay as affordable units in perpetuity, although the percentage of units devoted to affordable units is often smaller.
- **Reduce or incentivize the eligibility requirements.** There could be ways to tweak eligibility requirements without compromising the standards for development the City would like to see. This could include fast-tracking projects, ensuring design standards are easy to implement, reducing fees, or other project elements that could incentivize the program and its utilization.
- **Areas or zones where this could be used.** The City has discussed using the MFTE program on the city-owned property on Snoqualmie Parkway near Kimball Creek. This would be a good option. Other good options to consider would be allowing development in the R-3 or Planned Residential zones, if they are implemented elsewhere in the city,

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. While the City already has a strong program on deck for the Mill site, expanding that program city-wide could increase utilization of the program and assist the City in meeting housing affordability goals.</p>
--	---

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. Expanding the applicability area for the program, like allowing its use in other zones, including all mixed-use zoned land, or potentially PR or R-3 if those are further/better implemented per the actions presented in this plan, would certainly increase capacity for meeting housing targets.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Numerous jurisdictions have enacted MFTE programs. Please see ARCH information on King County programs. Here are some other programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Bellingham</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Tacoma</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Spokane</a></li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Given that MFTE has already been introduced in draft form for the Mill site, the focus on strategic changes in rolling out the program to broader areas in the city can encourage the use of the program to assist the City in meeting housing affordability goals.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MFTE results in less revenue from property taxes than would otherwise be the case.</li> <li>• When meeting affordable housing targets using MFTE, cities may have to plan for significantly more housing than their housing targets to ensure the correct amount of affordable housing gets built, since the exemption works as a percentage of total units in a project.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<p>The diagram is divided into two parts. The left part, titled 'Incentivize New Housing', shows a crane lifting a large green dollar sign (\$). Below the crane are three houses of increasing size, suggesting that financial incentives lead to the construction of more housing. The right part, titled 'Prevent and Mitigate Displacement', shows a large grey multi-story building on the left and a smaller orange house on the right. A green dollar sign (\$) is positioned below the orange house, indicating that financial measures are used to support or protect existing affordable housing against displacement by larger market-rate developments.</p>

## Clarify townhome definitions and standards

Townhouses are an important housing option that can serve as a single-family ownership opportunity, as condominiums, or as rental units. Snoqualmie allows townhouses in the Form-Based Mixed-Use District (FBMU) and the R-2 and R-3 zones. However, it is unclear if the R-3 zone has been implemented yet (see R-3 implementation action writeup).

The City allows fee simple townhouse lots, also known as zero lot line or unit lot subdivisions, to be created in the R-2 zone that have lots that are narrower and smaller than the minimum in the zone.

However, it is not clear from the use table in [SMC 17.55.020](#) where zero lot line subdivisions would be allowed. Zero lot line subdivided townhomes are single-family attached dwelling units, but several pieces of code seem to contradict this:



- The definition for multiple-family ([SMC 17.10.020.YY](#)), which says multifamily is any building with two or more dwelling units;
- The definition for single-family ([SMC 17.10.020.SSS](#)), which defines a single-family home as a detached dwelling unit, other than a mobile home, containing one dwelling unit.
- There is no separate definition for townhouses, although they can be considered a single-family or multi-family dwelling depending on the situation.

The establishment of districts in [SMC 17.15.020](#) calls out townhouses specifically in the R-3 district as an intended housing type. The R-2 district is established as a low-rise multiple-family district intended to be developed with duplexes, triplexes, and quadplexes, but not specifically townhouses. R-3 does not even appear in the use table and is not implemented anywhere on the map, and planned residential (which does specifically call out townhouses as a potential use) is only designated on the zoning map in an area that could be potentially swapped out of the urban growth area. Unless R-3 and planned residential are implemented, townhouses are not actually permitted anywhere in the city that was not constructed under the master plans for Snoqualmie Ridge. Given that land in the R-2 zone in the eastern part of the city has recently become available, the City should consider allowing townhouses in the R-2 zone.

The City should consider adding a definition for townhouses to [SMC 17.10.020](#) as well as a definition for townhouse subdivisions (zero lot line, unit lot, and fee simple townhouse subdivisions are all possibilities).

The City's fee-simple townhouse subdivision dimensional regulations allowing for zero-foot internal side setbacks are good, but the City could also consider establishing provisions in the subdivision code (Title 16) to make fee-simple townhouses more feasible, like eliminating the open space dedication requirement below a certain threshold, requiring shared maintenance covenants for buildings and access, and other technical details specific to townhome subdivisions.



<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>No.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. Townhouses can provide an important (and often more affordable) home ownership opportunity at densities that can help the City meet its housing target.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Sumner</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Snohomish County</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Redmond</a></li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Additional definitions could provide code clarity.</li> <li>• Revising standards could encourage additional townhome development.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Updating the code will take staff resources</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p>  </div> </div>



## Expand options for senior housing

The City of Snoqualmie, like the other cities in the valley, has few housing options for seniors who are looking to downsize or age in the community. Mt. Si Senior Center in North Bend is the only organization in the valley currently that owns and manages affordable housing. Service providers report an increasing number of newly homeless seniors, for example, unable to keep pace with rising housing costs but wanting to keep close ties with their community in the valley.

Given the demographics of the valley and the demographic realities of Snoqualmie in particular, where, according to the HNA, the percent of the population 65 and over will increase dramatically over the next 20 years, making it all the more important that the City act soon to make more housing options increasingly feasible for older residents.

Options for the City to consider that could increase the supply and diversity of housing for older residents include:

- Currently, the use regulations in the zoning code only allow assisted living facilities in the business, office park, and planned commercial/industrial zones. As it considers whether to implement the R-3 or planned residential zone in different areas of the city or potential annexation areas, the City could consider allowing assisted living facilities with special performance standards or via a conditional use process in those zones.
- Consider developing performance standards and a definition for cottage housing. Cottage housing can make a good option for seniors looking to age in place. Cottage housing is mentioned in [SMC 17.15.040](#) in terms of how density is calculated, but there is no definition and no performance standards for cottage housing (nor does it show up in the use table). The City should undertake an effort to develop a cottage housing code to specify performance standards, where cottage housing is allowed, and how it is defined.
- Consider a density bonus in the form of increased height or units per acre in the mixed-use zone or increased FAR in the form-based mixed-use zone, for affordable units.
- Improving and expanding upon townhomes and ADUs as recommended elsewhere in this HSP. These can make good options for downsizing and aging in place for older residents who don't yet need higher levels of care.
- Focusing outreach efforts on senior service providers and developers with specific expertise in senior housing. Engaging with the Snoqualmie Valley Senior Center on lessons learned at their project in Carnation will help Snoqualmie learn about potential pitfalls and solutions.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. Streamlining or otherwise supporting additional affordable units or units that would make a lot of sense for aging households with changing housing needs would help provide the range of housing options and price points to help meet housing element requirements.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Not necessarily. Focusing on senior housing might lead to more units that are affordable or more of a particular type of housing (assisted living, for example), but wouldn't necessarily result in enough new units being built overall to meet the City's housing targets.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Kenmore</a> (currently considering cottage code)</li> <li>• <a href="#">Redmond</a> (ADUs)</li> <li>• Oak Harbor (studied in Housing Action Plan - density-based incentives for affordable housing)</li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ways for seniors to age in place is one of the most pressing social and housing issues facing the entire Snoqualmie Valley.</li> <li>• Providing additional senior housing options would open up housing for families.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focusing on senior housing can potentially distract from other affordable housing targets.</li> <li>• Developing new codes would take staff time and resources to develop and implement.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p>  </div> </div>

**Expand temporary emergency and permanent supportive housing options**

As part of new Housing Element requirements, the City of Snoqualmie will be expected to plan for and accommodate not just an overall housing target, but also certain amounts of specific housing types and housing affordable to specific household income ranges. The Department of Commerce will be providing guidance and figures on specific units communities will be required to provide for in various categories, including “Emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent supportive housing;” ([RCW 36.70A.070\(2\)](#)). According to the Countywide Planning Policies, Snoqualmie must plan for 282 units of permanent supportive housing targeted at 0-30 percent of the Area Median Income by 2044.


In addition, the state passed a law in 2021, codified as [RCW 35.21.683](#), that prohibits local governments from prohibiting permanent supportive housing or transitional housing in any zones in which residential dwellings or hotels are allowed. The law also outlines that “Reasonable occupancy, spacing, and intensity of use requirements may be imposed by ordinance on permanent supportive housing, transitional housing, indoor emergency housing, and indoor emergency shelters to protect public health and safety.”

The City of Snoqualmie will need to update its use matrix for all the residential zones, the MU and FBMU zone, and the office park zone to allow transitional housing and permanent supportive housing. As mentioned in the action sheet for the R-3 and Planned Residential zones, if those will be implemented through contingent zoning or some other measure in the PAA, the City will need to ensure those zones permit and contain appropriate use standards for transitional housing and permanent supportive housing. The City could even consider offering incentives, like reduced parking standards, fee deferrals, or a low-cost ground lease on city-owned land for these uses in order to meet the income band allocation requirements.

The City should also consider adding a definition for permanent supportive housing to [SMC 17.10.020](#). This could include adding it as a subtype of special needs housing.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. The City will be required to plan specifically for the above-mentioned types of housing, including transitional housing and permanent supportive housing.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. This action could assist with meeting housing targets focused on permanent supportive housing.</p>



<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All cities are now subject to the new state law requirements.</li> <li>• <a href="#">Renton</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Everett</a></li> <li>• Prosser (considering as part of Housing Action Plan)</li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Required to plan for these housing types.</li> <li>• Many examples exist of how to develop specific use standards for these housing types that can be challenging to site.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementing permanent supportive housing can be very challenging beyond just permitting it and developing regulations for it. Having community partners and service providers is extremely important.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p> 

## Options to improve ADUs







The City of Snoqualmie currently allows ADUs. Recent changes to state law require the City to update several elements of its code relative to ADUs within 6 months of the comprehensive plan update deadline (June 30, 2025). Beyond this, there are several additional ways the City could improve regulation of ADUs and encourage their further adoption:

- Address the requirements of [HB 1337](#), especially:
  - Change the provision in SMC 17.55.070(F) to allow ADUs up to 1,000 square feet. Consider also adopting a provision whereby this size could be exceeded by a certain amount or percentage if the ADU is an attached ADU that occupies a full floor of an existing primary home (like a basement, for example).
  - Allow at least 2 ADUs in the configurations noted in the law on all lots that allow for single-family homes (will require modifying SMC 17.55.070(A));
  - Eliminate or modify the provision in SMC 17.55.070(D) to ensure that any lot that meets the minimum lot size can build the correct number of ADUs, irrespective of lot coverage;
  - Eliminate the provision in SMC 17.55.070(E) that requires owner occupancy; and
  - Add a provision allowing a setback of zero feet for an ADU when the lot line abuts an alley.
  - The provisions of HB 1337 will enable ADUs to assist the city in meeting its housing unit targets. This requires developing a reasonable assumption for the number of ADUs one might expect given local constraints. The following are factors the City will want to keep in mind as it develops regulations and capacity estimates for ADUs:
    - Many of the single-family lots in Snoqualmie Ridge likely do not have space on the lot where a detached ADU would be feasible, but an internal/attached ADU might be possible. Much of the Ridge is also subject to Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs)
    - Additionally, many of the larger lots in the older part of the city in the floodplain of the Snoqualmie River would be better candidates for detached ADUs dimensionally speaking, but building a base flood elevation compatible ADU might be cost prohibitive for many.
    - The requirements of HB 1337 also do not apply to lots designated with critical areas or their buffers, so if the floodplain (not just the floodway) is considered a critical area this would obviously affect the capacity that could be generated by HB 1337 application, although the code changes and incentives discussed below could still help provide some capacity via ADUs.

- If the city makes changes to code or incentives, like setbacks or fee waivers, this could increase their adoption and thus increase the amount of the housing targets they could address.
- A sensible filtering process to getting an estimate of capacity might be to start with all single-family lots in the city, remove lots that intersect critical areas or known buffers and apply different rates of adoption for small lots in Snoqualmie Ridge than older lots in the eastern part of the city. A benchmark may be helpful: The City of Seattle estimates that some 4,400 ADUs would be created over 10 years as a result of their adoption of codes much like what is now required under state law. Projected out to 20 years, this would result in roughly 6.3 percent of Seattle's single-family lots having ADUs within the planning period. Given the dominance of Snoqualmie Ridge and its CC&Rs versus Seattle's old plats without CC&Rs, between 1 and 2 percent of lots in Snoqualmie within the planning period might be a sensible assumption, but this would need to be developed further during the comprehensive plan periodic update.
- **Create a permit ready ADU program/expedited review program.** The [City of Renton offers ADU model base plans](#) for residential property owners. The model base plans and Permit Ready ADU Program (PRADU) simplify the building permit application and review process. Applicants utilizing the City's pre-approved plans will work with staff one-on-one to design their ideal site plan and go through an expedited review process, providing the applicant with significant cost savings that can be applied toward construction. Renton currently offers seven different plan sets with units ranging from 624-1,000 square feet. The City of Snoqualmie could consider implementing a similar program with ready building designs that meet the City's design standards.
- Consider eliminating the **maximum** side yard setback for accessory uses when it comes to ADUs ([SMC 17.15.040\(10\)](#)) 5 or 3 feet may be unnecessarily restrictive for an ADU, particularly if a primary residence also has another accessory building like a garage.
- Currently, the dimensional standards in SMC 17.15.040 are unclear on whether an attached ADU built as an addition to a primary residence would have to meet the **rear setback standard** for a principal use or accessory structure or use (15 or 20 feet vs. 10 or 3 feet). For lots where detached ADUs are impractical or impossible (like many small lots in Snoqualmie Ridge), attached ADUs built as additions to the back of a house may be the only feasible option, and ensuring that they do not have to meet the rear setback standard of the primary house could help incentivize them.
- Consider allowing ADUs on lots where **duplexes or triplexes** are located. This might require moving ADUs out of the single-family category on the use matrix in [SMC 17.55.020](#), changing the definition for ADUs in [SMC 17.10.020](#), as well as changing [SMC 17.55.070](#) to reflect that one ADU may be created on lots containing a 2- or 3-unit building.

- Consider **ADU incentives** for affordable housing. The City could consider options, such as waiving permit fees in exchange for providing affordable housing units for a certain period. This could substantially reduce upfront costs but ensure an affordable unit is provided if the unit is rented. As an example, ensure rent does not exceed 60 percent AMI for X years in exchange for fee waivers. A cost-benefit analysis to determine AMI targets and length of time would vs. fees waived would need to be conducted. The HUD 50 percent (Very Low Income) income limit for 2-person households in King County in 2022 was \$51,800, and the maximum affordable rent for those households was \$1,213 per month. This does not seem out of step with what a small ADU could rent for with incentives.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. <a href="#">RCW 36.70A.070(2)(d)(iv)</a> specifically calls out “Consideration of the role of accessory dwelling units in meeting housing needs” as part of meeting GMA Housing Element requirements. Options to further promote ADUs would certainly assist in meeting these provisions.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes. Depending on changes to ADU regulations or fees, encouraging ADU development could provide some options to provide housing at or below 120 percent AMI. The City could potentially reach below 50 percent AMI affordable housing requirements provided as an incentive for building ADUs.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Sumner</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Renton</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Everett</a></li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would promote additional ADU development in the city.</li> <li>• Would help provide more affordable housing options for households making below 80 percent AMI.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permit-ready program or expediting review could create capacity and pipeline issues for other permits or processes.</li> <li>• Large areas of the city, like the single-family areas of Snoqualmie Ridge, have lots that are too small to allow detached ADUs, so impact may be limited.</li> </ul>

<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<table><tr><td><p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p></td><td><p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p></td></tr></table>	<p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p> 	<p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p> 
<p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p> 	<p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p> 		



## Recruit and partner with affordable housing specialists

The City of Snoqualmie has one marquee development that is affordable to lower-income households – the 191-unit Panorama Apartments project in western Snoqualmie Ridge.

However, it is likely that as part of the update to the Comprehensive Plan, the City will be required to plan for substantially more affordable housing units. The current housing target recommendations of the King County Council would see Snoqualmie needing to plan for 749 more units of housing affordable to those making 30 percent or less of the area median income (including 282 units of permanent supportive housing) and 232 units affordable to households making between 30 and 50 percent of the area median income over the next 20 years.

Planning for almost 1,000 units of housing affordable to households making below 50 percent AMI (\$67,300 as of 2022 numbers), including some permanent supportive housing, will only be possible if the City has strong relationships with affordable housing developers and service providers.



The City should consider preparing and compiling, at regular intervals (perhaps annually) maps and assessor data identifying land that can accommodate affordable housing in the short term (within a year, perhaps) and in the long term (up to five years out). Factors to consider include zoning/future land use category, land value over time, presence of critical areas, scheduled expiration/lapsing of any affordability window from Section 42 (LIHTC) funding or other federal requirements, age of existing structures, and proximity to current or future transit corridors/routes, and water/sewer feasibility. This mapping effort would be further enhanced by an effort to create a land use dataset (distinct from zoning districts), updated perhaps halfway through every Buildable Lands/Land Capacity Analysis cycle. This should be a partnership with King County and would help the City and County identify areas that are not built to zoned capacity or that could take advantage of new code provisions to add additional housing that is affordable.

The City should consider using this feasibility mapping information, along with information on a potentially expanded MFTE program, to conduct targeted outreach to affordable housing developers with experience in the rest of the Puget Sound region.

The Snoqualmie community, and the broader Snoqualmie Valley, has a network of faith-based and nonprofit organizations with missions to address community needs. Faith-based organizations and nonprofit organizations can be important partners for housing efforts, particularly housing that serves vulnerable groups and residents with lower incomes. Faith-based and nonprofit organizations are partners with a unique set of resources and an inherent focus on serving the needs of those who are most vulnerable. The City can collaborate to identify solutions that fit within regulatory requirements while providing flexibility for innovation. The City should convene and connect with these organizations to stay in touch with community issues. Since the City has a substantial target of permanent supportive housing units, top on its priority of outreach targets should be nonprofits that provide services in a permanent supportive housing context, like Catholic Community Services, Compass, and community health center organizations.

Examples of potential partner organizations already active in the Snoqualmie Valley include Reclaim, the Mt. Si Senior Center, the Sno-Valley Senior Center, Hopelink Sno-Valley, Habitat for Humanity, and Carepoint.

Any future Affordable Housing Investment Funds should be established prior to engaging seriously with developers to demonstrate availability of local resources and support.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. Providing feasibility info for builders experienced in affordable housing and building relationships with service providers will help the City meet its housing element targets and requirements, especially for those households making 30 percent or less of the area median income (including those in need of permanent supportive housing).</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Potentially. The City preparing feasibility information for affordable housing developers could also help developers more broadly speaking get a head start on the data collection and analysis required to move forward with development projects, which could help get more housing generally to market faster.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Oak Harbor Lynnwood</p>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relies on deep experience of affordable housing providers rather than trying to reinvent the wheel.</li> <li>• Mapping and inventory efforts are likely to yield myriad benefits for planning work in the city and county more broadly.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff and resource intensive.</li> <li>• Will take time to build relationships.</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p>  </div> </div>

## SEPA Exemption options

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), adopted May 1971, is codified in [RCW 43.21C](#) and implemented through the Department of Ecology's administrative code under [WAC 197-11](#). The purpose of SEPA, as outlined in RCW 43.21C.010, is to "(1) ...encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between humankind and the environment; (2) to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment...; (3) [to] stimulate the health and welfare of human beings; and (4) to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources...".

In the decades since SEPA has become law, many other state laws have been adopted that require jurisdictions to enact regulations that protect the environment. This includes, but is not limited to, the 1990 Growth Management Act (GMA), which requires local governments to enact critical areas regulations, the 1971-72 Shoreline Management Act, which requires all counties and most towns and cities to prepare and implement shoreline master programs, and stormwater regulations and permitting which implements requirements under the Clean Water Act. In most cases around the state, cities and counties have adopted regulations covering almost every element covered under the SEPA.

In 1984, SEPA Rules were adopted to provide categorical exemptions from SEPA for certain types of development projects (WAC 197-11-800). Since then, both the SEPA rules and statutes have been updated to broaden opportunities to exempt certain projects from requiring SEPA where it can be demonstrated that local, state, and federal laws and regulations are in place to mitigate project impacts. In fact, the maximum SEPA exemption levels contained in the State Rules were increased again in December 2022 by the Department of Ecology.

Snoqualmie has currently adopted higher than the minimum residential exemptions (12 units), but nowhere near the maximum (Ecology now allows up to 200 units to be exempt as minor new construction). Additionally, the City has adopted the minimum or close to the minimum for the non-residential categories of exemptions, including parking and commercial square footage.

There are three ways to increase SEPA exemptions. Each option requires analysis to ensure that impacts of development can be adequately mitigated by adopted codes.



- [WAC 197-11-800\(d\)](#) allows substantial increases to the SEPA categorical exemptions where it can be demonstrated that the requirements for environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation for impacts to elements of the environment have been adequately addressed for the development exempted.
- [RCW 43.21C.229](#) permits nearly all developments the ability to be exempt from SEPA when the City determines that specific impacts are adequately addressed by the development regulations or other local, state, or federal rules or laws and when the comprehensive plan was subjected to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

- [RCW 43.21.440](#) may exempt projects within areas subjected to a Planned Action Ordinance when it is determined that the project is consistent with that ordinance.

The City should consider raising its SEPA minor new construction exemptions as found in [SMC 19.04.110](#). [WAC 197-11-800\(1\)](#) now allows cities to exempt up to 30 single-family units, 100 small (under 1,500 square foot) single-family units, or 200 multifamily units as minor new construction. The City’s current exemption for all residential is 12 units. Up to 30,000 square feet of office, school, recreational, service, or storage building development and up to 90 parking spaces are allowed to be exempted, while the City currently exempts only 10,000 square feet and up to 20 parking spaces. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of fill or excavation are allowed to be exempted, while currently the City exempts only up to 100 cubic yards. Parking and excavation in particular are valuable exemptions because projects that don’t trigger SEPA based on residential units can still trigger it based on parking or excavation even if City critical areas and parking codes are sufficient to mitigate impacts.

In addition to raising the thresholds for minor new construction, the City could consider conducting an environmental review as part of planned annexations using either the .229 or .440 state statute sections that would enable projects within those areas that fell under the threshold studied in the area-wide environmental review to forgo individual project-level SEPA review. Portions of the PAA, for example, could be good candidates for a Planned Action EIS conducted as part of a subarea planning process, which would help make permitting more streamlined within those areas and help keep a lid on costs and timelines for housing development within those areas.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>This action would have minimal impact on the ability to meet Housing Element requirements as part of the 2024 update.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>This action would have minimal impact on the ability to meet housing targets.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<p>Many jurisdictions have adopted increased SEPA exemption levels. The following are example cities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Lynnwood</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Mountlake Terrace</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Redmond</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Kirkland</a></li> </ul>

<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce redundant permit processes</li> <li>• Reduce permit costs and timeframes</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Perception that raising SEPA exemptions might reduce opportunities to comment on or appeal projects</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Improve the Regulatory Environment for Permits</p>  </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Bring Down the Cost of Development</p>  </div> </div>



**Balance short-term rentals and other housing needs**


A major trend in the housing market over the past decade has been the proliferation of homes, especially single-family houses and accessory dwelling units, being rented out as short-term rentals. Short-term rentals, often offered through services like AirBnB or Vacation Rental by Owner (VRBO), are a relatively straightforward way for homeowners to make some extra income from their property. They can also serve a valuable public purpose in many communities whose tourism industry values their flexibility. However, they can also pose challenges due to highly irregular and punctuated issues with parking and noise as well as more broadly speaking to the ongoing housing market.

It is challenging for cities to strike the right balance in regulating short-term rentals to manage these issues while still providing an opportunity for homeowners and visitors. Bans on short-term rentals have not fared well in court (for example, New York City and Santa Monica, CA), and prohibiting them in single-family zones, even if upheld in court, can force short-term rentals “underground,” making them even more difficult to regulate. It is not in Snoqualmie’s interest to contemplate banning short-term rentals.

There are ways to regulate short-term rentals in a way that raises the bar, caps the total number of short-term rentals, and grandfathers existing uses. The City should consider an approach to short-term rentals that adopts a mix of the following approaches:

- Requirement that short-term rental owners acquire a City business license and pay business taxes (the City already requires short-term rental owners to have a City business lincense and should continue to do so)
- Pay for software service that tracks short-term rentals within the city (for example, [Granicus](#) or AirDNA)
- Limit the number of short-term rentals new applicants for licenses can operate to one (not including their primary residence)
- Consider capping the total number of new licenses above grandfathered units that can be issued in the city
- Require the owner of a short-term rental to maintain a primary residence on the lot

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>No, this action is unlikely to help meet Housing Element requirements directly. However, placing limitations on ADUs being used as short-term rentals may make them more palatable in parts of the city concerned about the impacts of short-term rentals and increase the availability of these units, which can often provide rental units more affordable to households making below 80 percent and even below 50 percent of the median.</p>
--	--

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2026 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Not directly, but the ability to add more ADUs in existing neighborhoods can add “gentle density” that can help the City meet its housing target, although this action on its own is unlikely to make a significant dent.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Seattle</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Everett</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Kirkland</a></li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Opposition to and skepticism of ADUs in Snoqualmie Ridge could be at least partially mitigated by tightly regulating short-term rental market</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Software services that monitor short-term rentals is expensive</li> <li>• Processing and regulating short-term rentals can take staff time and resources away from other priorities</li> </ul>
<p>Strategies implemented</p>	<p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  <p>The diagram illustrates the concept of incentivizing new housing. It features a crane with a hook and a box being lifted. Below the crane, there is a green dollar sign (\$) and three houses of increasing size, suggesting that financial incentives are used to encourage the construction of new housing units.</p>

## Encourage room rentals in existing homes

An important source of housing historically, particularly for single-person households (individuals who would otherwise be living alone), has been single rooms for rent inside existing homes. Households unwilling or unable to finance the construction of an ADU yet willing to serve as a small-scale landlord can gain some income to partially offset the cost of their housing. In return, small households, for example, traveling nurses or other members of the workforce in the Snoqualmie area, gain access to a housing option that may be very affordable and offer many of the amenities one would expect from a single-family home.

While the decision to rent out a room in an existing home is theoretically possible for both renters who seek a roommate and add someone to a lease or for homeowners who effectively become small-scale landlords, City regulations and policy may in some cases stand in the way. For example, Snoqualmie defines a dwelling unit as “any building or portion of a building which contains complete housekeeping facilities for one family [...] physically separated from any other dwelling unit which may be in the building”, “family” is in turn defined as “one person, two or more related persons, or not more than five unrelated persons living together as a single housekeeping unit.” Depending on the size of the household occupying a home, the decision to rent out a room may run afoul of this definition.

Other jurisdictions in the region and across the country dealing with the definition of families as it relates to land use regulation include:



- Kitsap County, which in 2022 amended [its definition](#) of “family” as follows: “‘Family’ means two or more persons customarily living together as a single housekeeping unit and using common cooking facilities, as distinguished from a group occupying a hotel, club, boarding or lodging house, or other group living.”
- Seattle defines households as: “‘Household’ means a housekeeping unit consisting of any number of non-transient persons composing a single living arrangement within a dwelling unit as provided in [Section 23.42.048](#), not otherwise subject to occupant limits in group living arrangements regulated under state law, or on short-term rentals as provided in [Section 23.42.060](#).”
- Bellevue defines a “family” as, “**Family.** One or more persons, either related or unrelated, living together as a [single housekeeping unit](#).”

The precise extent of the number of rooms for rent in this manner is not known, as it is not currently regulated. Additionally, when considering risk of displacement, people who may be from marginalized communities or who may be undocumented (or their landlords) would be unlikely to volunteer this information to a survey sponsored by the City. Currently, the room listing website “Roomies” lists four rooms for rent in existing homes in the City of Snoqualmie, but the actual number including informal arrangements is likely much higher.

The City should consider a couple different ways it can support individual rooms for rent, including:

- Changing its definitions of dwelling unit and family to ensure this is not precluded by the zoning code.
- Ensure that renting a room does not require designation of an additional parking space. This would require consideration of potential parking issues, such as what areas a room renter would have access to as far as parking is concerned (this could be an element of the education described below).
- Work with King County and housing organizations to help inform people of their rights and responsibilities as tenants and as landlords. This could involve a public education campaign, offering resources when potential ADU customers inquire about process from the Community Development Department, or partnering with other cities in the Snoqualmie Valley to host small landlord trainings.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes, assuming even a small fraction of existing homes renting single rooms at affordable rates could help the City make progress on its income band allocation targets, particularly for small households (particularly one-person households) making 31 to 50 percent of the AMI. It is not likely to make a huge dent in this target.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Yes, assuming a small fraction of existing homes will offer rooms for rent could add “gentle density” to existing residential areas and help the City meet its housing target.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kitsap County</li> <li>• Seattle</li> <li>• Bellevue</li> <li>• Bellingham (considered, not yet adopted)</li> <li>• Madison, WI (in process of considering)</li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Many households probably do this already or have done this in the past, so it will make intuitive sense to many.</li> <li>• Helps more fully use existing housing, which could be especially helpful for people living in large houses who no longer have children at home, for example.</li> <li>• Does not require new construction or tenant improvements the way ADUs might.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some may associate this with overcrowding of housing even when occupancy standards are being met.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• May generate confusion between this and ADUs.</li></ul>
Strategies implemented	<p>Incentivize New Housing</p>  <p>Encourage a Wide Variety of Housing Types</p> 



## Tenant protections

According to [MRSC](#), "... the University of Washington Center for Real Estate Research, in spring 2009, stated the average rent for an apartment in Washington State was \$939 and the vacancy rate was 6.3%. By fall 2021, average rent had risen to \$1,547 and the vacancy rate had dipped to 3.6%..."

While rent control is not currently allowed under Washington State Law, as a result of drastic rent increases, the legislature and some cities and counties have enacted various tenant protection measures, including just this year.

State measures (from MSRC):

- **Just cause eviction:** In 2021 the legislature adopted [RCW 59.18.650](#), which requires landlords to specify a reason for refusing to continue a residential tenancy, subject to certain limited exceptions.
- **Managing initial deposits and fees:** In 2020 the legislature adopted [RCW 59.18.610](#), which provides that a tenant may request deposits, nonrefundable fees, and last month's rent in installments.
- **A 60-day notice of rent increase:** In 2019 the legislature amended [RCW 59.18.140](#) to provide 60-day notice of a rent increase, and increases may not take effect until the completion of the term of the current rental agreement.
- **A 120-day notice of demolition:** In 2019, the legislature amended [RCW 59.18.200](#) to require 120-day notice to tenants of demolition or substantial rehabilitation of premises.
- **Prohibition on source of income discrimination:** In 2018, the legislature adopted [RCW 59.18.255](#), which prohibits source of income discrimination against a tenant who uses a benefit or subsidy to pay rent.
- **COVID-19 measures:** In 2021, the legislature adopted [RCW 59.18.620 through RCW 59.18.630](#), which prohibit assessment of late fees for nonpayment of rent due between March 1, 2020, and six months following the expiration of the COVID-19 eviction moratorium. Pursuant to [RCW 59.18.630](#), landlords are also required to offer repayment plans to tenants with unpaid rent.

**2023 legislation:** In 2023, the legislature passed, and Governor Inslee signed into law, two different bills related to tenant-landlord relations.

- The first, HB 1074, limits the ability of landlords to withhold deposits after move-out for ordinary wear and tear, requires landlords to show documentation to substantiate claims of damages, and makes changes to the timeline in which landlords must provide documentation as well as the statute of limitations for landlords to sue tenants when trying to recover damages above the deposit.
- SB 5197 modifies eviction processes and makes changes to eviction notice forms.

Several cities have codified the above measures and created enforcement processes for ensuring they are met. The City could consider looking for ways to reflect these requirements in code and provide resources to renters and landlords to ensure renters are aware of their expanded rights and landlords are aware of changes to requirements and rights. Landlord education is an especially important piece of this, as more ADUs and rooms for rent means more small-scale landlords who may not be aware of the rights and responsibilities of both parties in the rental arrangement. The City would want to help ensure to the greatest degree possible that increased tenant protections are coupled with landlord education to ensure tenant protections are not a disincentive for small-scale landlords. This could be done in partnership with a public education and outreach effort targeting rooms for rent. This could also be reflected in policy change in the comprehensive plan’s Housing Element that would encourage the city to support tenant and landlord education and outreach.

<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting the new Housing Element requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update?</p>	<p>Yes. Changes to the Housing Element (<a href="#">RCW 36.70A.070(f-g)</a>) requires the City to develop policies and regulations to address displacement. Developing tenant protection policies and codes would certainly highlight consistency with these new requirements.</p>
<p>Could this action provide an option to assist with meeting any new housing targets associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan?</p>	<p>Although this action may assist with getting housing to market faster, this action would not be related to new housing target requirements associated with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update.</p>
<p>Cities that have taken the same or similar actions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Seattle</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Auburn</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Burien</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Tacoma</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">RCW 59.18 – Residential Landlord – Tenant Act</a></li> </ul>
<p>Advantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase tenant protection within the city.</li> <li>• Codify recent state law changes.</li> <li>• Directly address new Growth Management Act – Housing Element requirements.</li> </ul>
<p>Disadvantages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developing new codes and implementing new programs will take resources.</li> <li>• Implementing new programs beyond what the statute requires could be met with resistance from those who rent single family and multi-family residences.</li> </ul>

<p><i>Strategies implemented</i></p>	<p>Prevent and Mitigate Displacement</p> 
--------------------------------------	--



# G. APPENDICES





# HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

## CITY OF SNOQUALMIE, WASHINGTON





# PROJECT OVERVIEW

## WHAT IS A HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT, AND WHY IS THE CITY OF SNOQUALMIE CREATING ONE?

Washington State House Bill 1923, passed in 2020 and codified as [RCW 36.70A.600](#), granted the Washington State Department of Commerce (Commerce) \$5 million in the 2019 Legislative Session to provide grant funds to local governments for activities to increase residential building capacity, streamline development, or develop a Housing Action Plan (HAP). Another round of grant funding was made available in 2021.

The City of Snoqualmie received a grant from Commerce in 2022 to develop a HAP, the goal of which will be to assess current and future housing needs and identify actions and strategies to help the city provide a wider range of housing options available to all income levels.

A Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) is a comprehensive study that will be used to inform the HAP. A Regional HNA was completed for the four-city region of Snoqualmie, Carnation, Duvall, and North Bend. This document presents the individual data, further analysis, and findings for Snoqualmie.

## ELEMENTS OF A HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

1. Community Profile
2. Workforce Profile
3. Housing Inventory
4. Gap Analysis

## QUESTIONS THE HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT WILL HELP ANSWER

1. Who lives and works here, and what are their socioeconomic characteristics?
2. What types of housing are available?
3. How much does housing cost, and what types of housing are needed to meet current and future housing needs?



# HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT OUTLINE

## 1. Community Profile

- a. [Population Characteristics](#)
- b. [Household Characteristics](#)
- c. [Special Housing Needs](#)

## 2. Workforce Profile

- a. [Local Workforce Characteristics](#)
- b. [Jobs to Housing Ratio](#)
- c. [Employment Trends & Projections](#)

## 3. Housing Market

- a. [General Housing Inventory](#)
- b. [Housing Market Conditions](#)
- c. [Special Housing Inventory](#)

## 4. Housing Affordability

## 5. Gap Analysis

### Data Sources:

#### 2020 Decennial Census (US Census Bureau)

#### American Community Survey (ACS)

- Roughly 3.5 million households are surveyed every month, every year
- Explores topics not asked by decennial Census

#### Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM)

- Obtains data from state and federal agencies, and private businesses

#### United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

- Special tabulations of ACS data
- Tax credit affordable housing

#### OnTheMap (OTM)

- Web Application provided by the U.S. Census Bureau
- Maps jurisdictions based on workforce characteristics

#### Employment Security Department (ESD)

- Labor Market and Economic Analysis

#### U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

- Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages

#### City of Snoqualmie

- Building permits
- Comprehensive Plan

#### Zillow

- Tracks home values, rental units and market changes on a monthly basis



# 1. COMMUNITY PROFILE

## 2. WORKFORCE

## 3. HOUSING MARKET

## 4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

## 5. HOUSING GAPS

## 6. LAND CAPACITY



# Community Profile


## Population

The Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) estimates that **in 2022, Snoqualmie has a population of 14,490 people**. Between 2010 and 2022, Snoqualmie has grown by 3,820 people, an increase of 36 percent over that period (average annual growth rate of 2.58 percent). This is faster than King County’s overall rate. Snoqualmie’s Comprehensive Plan projects that the **City’s population will grow to 15,552 people by 2032**.

As shown in Figure 2, Snoqualmie’s population growth over the past several decades was slow from 1980 through 2000 but marked by a big spurt of growth between 2000 and 2020 due to the development of Snoqualmie Ridge. Snoqualmie has grown by only 369 people over the past three years according to OFM as Snoqualmie Ridge has approached full build-out.


Figure 1 shows that **Snoqualmie’s age makeup is concentrated first in adults ages 35 to 44, and then in children ages 5 to 14**. This age distribution could indicate the City has a large number of families at their peak reproduction years with school-aged children. There is a small older population in the City, with only 6.3% of residents over the age of 65. Snoqualmie’s median age is 36.3. While not a significant demographic factor currently, the age breakdown dominance by early middle-aged adults with young children means that if today’s residents age in the community, by 2040, around a quarter of Snoqualmie’s current residents would be 65 or older.

Figure 1. Snoqualmie population by age range



Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S0101

Figure 2. Snoqualmie population growth 1980-2022



Source: OFM, April 1 Population Estimates and Historical Intercensal Estimates of Population and Housing



# Community Profile

## Race and Ethnicity

Of the Snoqualmie residents of one race, 81% are White, 13% are Asian, 0.5% are Black or African American, and 0.1% are American Indian and Alaska Native alone. When compared against King County, the City of Snoqualmie has a similar but lower level of population diversity.

As identified in Figure 5, the **dominant language spoken at home by Snoqualmie’s residents who are 5 years of age and above is English (84.7 percent)**. The second most spoken language is other Indo-European languages (7.9 percent). Smaller percentages of households speak Asian and other Pacific Island languages and Spanish.

Figure 5. Languages spoken at home, population 5 years and over




Figure 3. Race, 2020

	Population	Percent of Population
White	11,005	81.2%
Black or African American	69	0.5%
American Indian and Alaska Native	10	0.1%
Asian	1,792	13.2%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	0.0%
Some other race	64	0.5%
Two or more races	610	4.5%

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table B02001

Figure 4. Ethnicity in Snoqualmie, 2020

	Population	Percent
Hispanic or Latino, any race	661	5%
Not Hispanic or Latino	12,889	95%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2014-2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (Table DP05)




# Community Profile

## Household Size and Tenure

In 2020, there were approximately 4,462 households in Snoqualmie with an average household size of 3.04 people. This is an increase from 3,044 households with an average household size of 2.98 in 2010. 84% of the households in 2020 are owner-occupied while 13% of them are renter-occupied. When comparing against the other jurisdictions in the four-city region, the City of Snoqualmie has the largest number of households. However, when comparing the number of households with population as part of the equation, we see that Snoqualmie actually has a lower percentage of households meaning the average household size is larger than the others.


As, identified in Figure 8, **the majority of the Snoqualmie's households (84 percent) own the home that they live in.** This is higher than the overall figure for the state (69 percent).

Figure 6. Persons per Household




Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S2501

Figure 7. Households with related children



Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S2501

Figure 8. Housing tenure in occupied units



Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S2501

# Community Profile

## Household Financials

As identified in Figure 9, **Snoqualmie’s median household income for 2020 was \$159,450**, which is higher than the median household income for King County and Washington State. The breakdown of incomes in the City of Snoqualmie tends to fall on the higher end of the spectrum. According to the US Census Bureau, 1 percent of the households in Snoqualmie make less than \$25,000 per year, 2 percent make between \$25,000 and \$49,000 per year, 16 percent make between \$50,000 and \$99,999, and 80 percent are making over \$100,000 per year. Between the years 2010 and 2020, the median household income in Snoqualmie increased by 3.2 percent per year from \$116,020 to \$159,450.

The household income distribution in Snoqualmie reveals that the modal value of income ranges is above \$100,000, which broadly tracks the median household income value. Roughly 16 percent of Snoqualmie’s households make under \$50,000 per year (Figure 10).

Figure 9. Median household income, 2020






Figure 10. Household income ranges, 2020



Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2021 5-Year Estimates, Table S1901

Figure 11. ALICE Data, King County, Select Cities, 2018



Source: United for Alice, Alice Report, 2020

# Community Profile

## Household Characteristics – HUD Income Limits

The US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) publishes Consolidated Planning/CHAS data, which group households by income level relative to MFI. These data include adjustments to account for differences in household size relative to living expenses. The 2022 Income Limits published for the Seattle-Bellevue, WA HUD Metro FMR Area, shown below, calculate eligibility for housing assistance like Section 8 vouchers. To read this table, a family of four making \$38,800 or less per year would be considered extremely low income and may qualify for Section 8 housing vouchers. An extremely low-income two-person household, meanwhile, makes no more than \$31,050 per year in the Seattle-Bellevue metro area. These data are not available specifically for Snoqualmie.

Figure 12. Housing and Urban Development Income Limits

FY 2022 Income Limit Area	Median Family Income	FY 2022 Income Limit Category	Persons in Family							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Seattle- Bellevue, WA HUD Metro FMR Area	\$134,600	Very Low (50%) Income Limits (\$)	45,300	51,800	58,250	64,700	69,900	75,100	80,250	85,450
		Extremely Low Income Limits*	27,200	31,050	34,950	38,800	41,950	45,050	48,150	51,250
		Low (80%) Income Limits (\$)	66,750	76,250	85,800	95,300	102,950	110,550	118,200	125,800

Source: HUD FY 2022 Income Limits

\* Extremely low income was defined in the 2014 Consolidated Appropriations Act as the greater of 60 percent of the Section 8 very low-income limit or the poverty guideline established by HHS, provided it does not exceed the 50 percent very low-income limit.

+ MFI, or Median Family Income, is a special tabulation of the 2015-2019 5-Year ACS prepared by the Census Bureau for HUD to use as the basis for calculating fair market rents. MFI is reported as being higher than median household income as cited on the previous page because MHI includes all households (including 1-person households), while MFI is family households only.

1. COMMUNITY PROFILE

2. WORKFORCE

3. HOUSING MARKET

4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

5. HOUSING GAPS






# WORKFORCE

## Snoqualmie's Jobs

As of 2019, the most recent year for which detailed Census job data are available, **Snoqualmie was home to 6,473 workers and 5,053 jobs**. As shown in Figure 13, These jobs are clustered in the historic downtown along SR 202 and adjacent to Snoqualmie Ridge on Snoqualmie Parkway. The **industries that employ the most people in Snoqualmie are Educational Services, Manufacturing, and Accommodation and Food Services** (Figure 14).

Figure 13. Snoqualmie Jobs Distribution



Source for both Figures 13 and 14: U.S. Census Bureau, OnTheMap Application and LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics (Beginning of Quarter Employment, 2nd Quarter of 2002-2019).

Figure 14. Snoqualmie Jobs by NAICS Industry Sector, 2019

	Jobs	Percent
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	0	0.0%
Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction	32	0.6%
Utilities	19	0.4%
Construction	228	4.5%
Manufacturing	842	16.7%
Wholesale Trade	209	4.1%
Retail Trade	104	2.1%
Transportation and Warehousing	29	0.6%
Information	319	6.3%
Finance and Insurance	63	1.2%
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	28	0.6%
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	185	3.7%
Management of Companies and Enterprises	0	0.0%
Administration & Support, Waste Management and Remediation	96	1.9%
Educational Services	1,070	21.2%
Health Care and Social Assistance	526	10.4%
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	234	4.6%
Accommodation and Food Services	584	11.6%
Other Services (excluding Public Administration)	139	2.8%
Public Administration	346	6.8%



# WORKFORCE

## Job Inflow-Outflow

According to an inflow-outflow analysis from the US Census Bureau from 2019 (the most recent year for which these data are available), Snoqualmie is home to 5,053 jobs and 6,473 employed people. **Approximately 91 percent of employed people (5,883 out of 6,473) who live in Snoqualmie are employed outside of the city limits** (Figure 15), meaning they commute largely to communities like Redmond, Seattle, Issaquah, and Bellevue (Figure 16). The Census also estimates that **of Snoqualmie's 5,053 jobs, approximately 88 percent (4,463 jobs) are filled by workers who live outside of the city limits**. Many of the workers that commute to Snoqualmie come from the west, from communities like Issaquah (Figure 17). This combination of a high percentage of workers who live in Snoqualmie, but work outside of Snoqualmie, and a high percentage of Snoqualmie's jobs being filled by workers who don't live in Snoqualmie, is an important housing-related issue that the Housing Action Plan will help address.

Figure 15. Inflow-Outflow Map




Figure 16. Commute Direction – Workers who live in Snoqualmie





Figure 17. Commute Direction – Workers who live outside of Snoqualmie



Source for Figures 15-17: U.S. Census Bureau, OnTheMap Application and LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics (Beginning of Quarter Employment, 2nd Quarter of 2002-2019).

# WORKFORCE

## Earnings

Of the 9,349 people aged 16 years and over in Snoqualmie, 7,574 are in the labor force, for a labor force participation rate of 81 percent. Of those participating in the labor force, 7,378 were employed as of the 2020 American Community Survey, for an unemployment rate of 2.4 percent.

Of Snoqualmie's employed residents in the civilian labor force, 6,186 are private wage and salary workers, 973 work for some level of government, and 219 are self-employed or unpaid family workers.

The median household income in Snoqualmie in 2020 is \$159,450, higher than King County and two of the four cities in the region. Of the 4,462 households in the city, 4,270 report earnings through employment (96 percent). 576 report Social Security or Supplemental Security income (13 percent), 508 report retirement income (11 percent), and 78 report using cash public assistance and/or food stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months (2 percent). Figure 18 at right shows counts and average (mean) annual individual wages across select occupation categories as defined by the Census Bureau and Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Figure 18. Occupation and Wages, Civilian Employed Population 16+**

Occupation	Count <sup>1</sup>	Average Annual Wages <sup>2</sup>
Management	1,394	\$119,130
Business and financial operations	509	\$84,593
Computer and mathematical	962	\$156,618
Architecture and engineering	439	\$115,886
Life, physical, and social sciences	30	\$164,545
Community and social services	115	\$43,077
Legal	50	\$150,074
Educational instruction and library	466	\$72,885
Art, design, entertainment, sports, and media	226	\$45,694
Health diagnosing and treating practitioners	458	\$67,426
Health technologists and technicians	52	\$56,250
Health care support	42	\$33,150
Protective services (fire, law enforcement)	224	\$86,369
Food preparation and serving	202	\$25,285
Building and grounds clearing and maintenance	46	\$n/a*
Sales and related	937	\$51,350
Office and administrative support	450	\$66,010
Personal care and service occupations	175	\$54,048
Farming, fishing, and forestry	20	\$n/a*
Construction and extraction	276	\$63,942
Installation, maintenance, and repair	33	\$100,833
Production	111	\$88,808
Transportation	109	\$51,607
Material moving	52	\$9,069*

1 – ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates Table S2401

2 – ACS 2021 5-Year Estimates Table B24011

\* - no data or large margin of error

# WORKFORCE

## Future Job Estimates

Job growth forecasts by the Washington State Employment Security Department suggest that industries in the King County region are expected to increase in employment by between 0.2% and 2.5% annually over the next ten years. Using countywide growth projections as a basis, local employment in the four cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region is projected to increase by 2,277 jobs by 2030. The majority of job growth is projected to occur in the services sector followed by the retail sector (Figure 20). The King County Countywide Planning Policies, in adopting housing and employment growth targets to implement Vision 2050, identifies Snoqualmie as needing to plan for 4,425 additional jobs in addition to the 1,500 housing units.

Figure 20. Employment Forecast in the Snoqualmie Valley Region

Sector	Regional		Projected 2030	
	2019 Emp.	Projected AGR	Emp.	Change
Industrial	2,394	0.2%	2,453	59
Retail	1,046	2.5%	1,374	328
Service	6,540	2.4%	8,474	1,934
Government	463	1.1%	519	56
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,443</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>12,820</b>	<b>2,377</b>

Source: U.S. Census On the Map data, Washington ESD Industry Growth Projections

Figure 19. Projected Employment, Seattle-King County

2020 Employment Estimate	1,401,300
2021 Employment Estimate	1,389,300
2023 Employment Estimate	1,478,500
2025 Employment Estimate	1,547,200
2030 Employment Estimate	1,678,000
Average Annual Growth Rate 2020-2023	1.8%
Average Annual Growth Rate 2023-2025	2.3%
Average Annual Growth Rate 2025-2030	1.64%

Source: Employment Security Department/LMEA; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW)



1. COMMUNITY PROFILE

2. WORKFORCE

3. HOUSING MARKET

4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

5. HOUSING GAPS






# HOUSING MARKET

## Housing Supply

OFM estimates that Snoqualmie had approximately 4,674 housing units in 2020. As shown in Figure 21, the ACS determined that the vast majority of Snoqualmie's housing stock (79.3 percent) are single-family homes. Most of Snoqualmie's housing units have 3 or more bedrooms (Figure 22). Also, approximately 86 percent of the housing units in Snoqualmie are owner occupied. Additionally, as shown below, most of Snoqualmie's housing stock was built between 2000 and 2009. (Note that this does not account for projects in the permitting pipeline, as these survey data were collected over a 5-year span from 2016 to 2020.)


The 2020 ACS estimates that the overall vacancy rate in Snoqualmie was 2.9 percent during the survey period. Vacancy rates are said to represent a healthy balance between supply and demand when rates are 5 to 6 percent. This indicates a potential for upward pressure on prices and therefore new construction. More recent data are needed to fully understand the price pressure on supply but rising prices and relatively slow new construction indicate that supply may not be keeping pace with demand.

Figure 21. Housing units by type, 2020




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (Table B25024)

Figure 22. Number of bedrooms in housing units, 2020



Source for Figures 21-23: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table DP04

Figure 23. Year structure built




# HOUSING MARKET

## Housing Construction

As shown in Figure 24, according to the state Office of Financial Management, **the majority of the housing units constructed in Snoqualmie since 1990 have been single-family homes, with a steep rise after 2000 with the development of Snoqualmie Ridge.** There has been far less construction of multi-family units than single-family, with only **10 net new multi-family units being constructed between 1990 and 2022.** Similarly, the number of mobile homes or other housing units fell prior to 2000 and came back up to previous levels in 2010, but the overall trend is flat.

Figure 24. Permitted housing units by type, 1990-2022



Source: Office of Financial Management Postcensal estimates of housing, 1980, 1990-present

According to data submitted by the cities for the Regional Housing Needs Assessment, Snoqualmie has actually issued building permits for a total of 47 new residential units between 2019 and 2021, which is not yet reflected in the OFM totals.

*note: this will be updated with new permits not counted in the city's initial response to the data request.*

Figure 25. New Building Permits Issued 2019-22

Residential New Building Permits Issued: 2019-22			
	2019	2020	2021
Snoqualmie	4	-	-
Carnation	11	19	17
Duvall	40	208	26
North Bend	85	142	143
<b>Total</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>186</b>

Source: Building permit data provided by cities.

# HOUSING MARKET

## Housing Demand

The 2018 Snoqualmie Comprehensive Plan anticipates that **Snoqualmie will grow from 10,670 people in 2010 to 15,552 people by 2032, a growth of 4,882 people in 12 years.** The adopted King County Countywide Planning Policies, in implementation of the regional growth strategy outlined in PSRC’s Vision 2050, identify a housing target of 1,500 new housing units by 2044. The city has 421 units in the permitting pipeline since the baseline data were collected, meaning that if Snoqualmie meets its housing targets, **1,079 new housing units will be needed by 2044.**

The demographic breakdown for Snoqualmie shows that 60 percent of the city’s population is a member of the Millennial generation or Generation Z (younger than 40). Importantly, Snoqualmie has a higher percentage of its population in the Millennial generation than the other cities in the region. This is important because while Millennials are the most likely generation to have young children, this means Millennial households with children will likely be empty nesters nearing retirement in 20 years. Furthermore, Millennials have less accumulated wealth and are less likely than previous generations were at this stage to own homes or have retirement savings. Also, **while only 6 percent of the city is currently aged 65 and over, if current adults age in place, the city will be 23 percent over the age of 65 by 2040.**

Additionally, Snoqualmie has a high proportion of its population making 120 percent or more of the area median income. While housing is expensive in Snoqualmie, households here are likely to have more buying power than some other peer communities.

Figure 26 Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S0101

Figure 27 Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table S2503

Figure 26. Population by generation, 2020





Figure 27. Households by HUD Income Threshold, 2020



# HOUSING MARKET

## Housing Demand

One way of examining how well Snoqualmie’s housing stock matches with its demand is by comparing the size of units with the number of people in households. While there are certainly many reasons why a household might choose to live in a housing unit with more bedrooms than they might technically need, starting with the largest housing units for the largest families can help determine whether there is a supply and demand mismatch for smaller households.

Figure 28. Number of bedrooms in occupied housing units, 2020





Figure 29. People per household, 2020



Sources: ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table B25009 (right) and B25042 (left)

Based on these data, we can assign households to their likeliest housing unit size starting with the largest households as seen below. Orange highlight means households that could be over-housed. This analysis shows that as many as 2,461 households in Snoqualmie may be over-housed, indicating a lack of supply of units more appropriate to smaller households.

Figure 30. Analysis of Household Size and Housing Unit Size

Bedrooms, Occupied Housing Units	Total	7-person HH	6-person HH	5-person HH	4-person HH	3-person HH	2-person HH	1-person HH
No bedroom (studio)	24							24
1 bedroom	75							75
2 bedrooms	425						99	326
3 bedrooms	1,717					419	1,298	
4 bedrooms	1,561			46	1,115	400		
5 + bedrooms	579	100	42	437				

Sources: ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Tables B25009 and B25042. Analysis by LDC, Inc.



1. COMMUNITY PROFILE

2. WORKFORCE

3. HOUSING MARKET

4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

5. HOUSING GAPS





# 4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

Rents and housing prices have increased substantially between 2010 and 2020 in Snoqualmie, King County, and Washington State as a whole. As shown in Figure 31, median rent prices in Snoqualmie between 2010 and 2020 have risen by approximately 43 percent, which is less than both King County (69 percent increase) and Washington State (51 percent increase), although the raw values are much higher. Median home prices have grown more slowly than median monthly rents at a rate of 34 percent (Figure 32).

As shown in Figure 33 (next page), Zillow estimates that the median home price in Snoqualmie has risen from \$697,735 in August 2020 to \$1,011,912 in August 2022 (a 45 percent increase). The vacancy rate for owner-occupied homes is about 2.2 percent and is effectively 0 percent for rental homes, which indicates that demand for both owner-occupied homes and rental homes greatly exceeds supply.



Figure 31. Median monthly rent, 2010 and 2020





Figure 32. Median home value, 2010 and 2020




Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2020 5-Year Estimates, Table D404

# Housing Affordability


The price of single-family homes has risen extremely quickly since 2020. The “mid-tier” home value as estimated by the real estate data company Zillow near the end of 2022 was \$1,116,641, up 42 percent from two years ago. Top-tier homes (top third of estimated home values) rose 45 percent over that time, while bottom-tier (bottom one-third of values) homes rose 39 percent as shown in Figure 33 below. The median home sales price, as reported from RMLS data via the Regional Housing Needs Assessment, rose even faster over the past two years, from \$751,620 in 2020 to \$1,131,037 in 2022, an increase of 50 percent (Figure 35).

Figure 33. Snoqualmie home value estimates, 2012-2022



Source: Zillow ZHVI All Homes (SFR, Condo/Co-op) Bottom, Mid, and Top Tier

Figure 35. Median home sales price, select cities, 2020-2022



Source: RMLS data as of 8/18/22

By comparing the median household income to the median home price, we can better understand home ownership attainability in the Snoqualmie Valley Region. As shown in Figure 34 below, a household would need to earn at least 183% of the regional median household income level to be able to “afford” a median priced home of \$1,050,000 (assuming they have 10% down payment and allocate no more than 30% of income to housing). Fewer than 34 percent of Snoqualmie’s households could afford the median home under these conditions.

Figure 34. Housing Attainability Calculations

## Snoqualmie Valley Region Housing Attainability

Regional Median Household Income (2022)*	\$134,600
Regional Housing Cost (median price, 2022)	\$1,029,657
Downpayment (@10%)	\$102,966
Mortgage Amount (Principal and interest only)	\$926,691
Monthly Housing Payment**	(\$6,161)
Annual Qualifying Income (@30% of income)	\$246,433
Percent of MHI for qualifying households	183%

\* based on current U.S. Housing and Urban Development data for FY 2022.

\*\* assumes 10% down payment on 30-yr mortgage @ 6.9% APR interest.

Source: FCS Analysis from Regional Housing Needs Assessment

# Housing Affordability

Many of Snoqualmie’s households, especially renters are burdened by the cost of their housing and pay more than a third of their annual income towards the cost of their housing. For instance, as of 2019 (the latest year for which detailed estimates are available), approximately 23 percent (1,000 households) of Snoqualmie’s households were cost burdened. Of these cost burdened households, renter households are much more likely to pay more than a third of their income toward housing, with 32 percent of renter households being cost burdened, compared to 21 percent of households who live in owner-occupied units.

**Figure 36. Cost Burdened Households, 2015-2019**

Cost Burden	Renter Households		Owner Households		Total Households	
	> 30%	> 50%	> 30%	> 50%	> 30%	> 50%
Household Income <= 30% HAMFI	34	4	4	4	45	15
Household Income >30% to <=50% HAMFI	60	0	100	60	160	60
Household Income >50% to <=80% HAMFI	30	0	220	85	255	85
Household Income >80% to <=100% HAMFI	90	0	125	0	215	0
Household Income >100% HAMFI	0	0	325	15	325	15
<b>Total</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>774</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>168</b>

Source: HUD-CHAS Tabulations of 2015-2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates. Note that the renter plus owner numbers do not necessarily add up to the total due to low-number rounding by HUD.

Using the more stringent “severe cost burden” threshold (households paying more than 50 percent of their income in rent or housing costs, only 1 percent of Snoqualmie residents are severely cost burdened, according to the most recent data.

All told, according to the HUD-CHAS data, as of 2019, there were 214 households who rent their unit and pay more than 30 percent of their incomes toward rent or housing. There were 774 households who own their unit who were cost burdened.



# Housing Affordability

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES MERIT A TARGETED APPROACH TO RELIEVING COST BURDEN

Among households who own their housing units, 21 percent of white, non-Hispanic households (head of household) who own their homes face some level of cost burden. 34 percent of white households who rent their units face cost burden. While some racial groups report low numbers due to sampling error and data disaggregation performed by HUD and the census bureau for privacy reasons, 17 percent of Asian-headed homeowner households, 41 percent of Hispanic-headed homeowner households, and 38 percent of Hispanic-headed renter households face some level of cost burden.

Despite the limitations of these data due to margins of error and sample size, it is clear that cost burden is not just a problem facing renters.

**Figure 37. Cost Burdened Households by Race**

	Owner Occupied				Renter Occupied			
	Not Cost Burdened	Cost Burdened	Severely Cost Burdened	Not Computed (No/Negative income)	Not Cost Burdened	Cost Burdened	Severely Cost Burdened	Not Computed (No/Negative income)
White, Non-Hispanic	2,460	490	170	0	295	155	4	20
Black or African-American, Non-Hispanic	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian, Non-Hispanic	340	70	0	0	50	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native, Non-Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic, Any Race	50	35	0	0	25	15	0	0
Other (including Multiple Races), Non-Hispanic	40	20	0	0	60	45	0	0

Source: HUD-CHAS Tabulations of 2015-2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates; Table 9


# Housing Affordability

## ASSET LIMITED AND INCOME CONSTRAINED & EMPLOYED RESIDENTS (ALICE)

The Snoqualmie Valley Regional Housing Needs Assessment (included as Appendix B of the Housing Action Plan) presents data by city on the proportion of residents facing overall economic hardship as measured by the United Way through its ALICE framework. ALICE, which stands for Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed, considers the total cost of household essentials, including housing, childcare, food, transportation, technology, and health care, plus taxes and a 10 percent contingency. Colloquially, it can be useful to think of the ALICE threshold as defining working class or the working poor.

Using this methodology, the Regional HNA finds that around 10 percent of Snoqualmie households can be described by the ALICE threshold. This, plus, the roughly 2 percent of households who meet the federal definition of poverty, give Snoqualmie a lower combined poverty share than the county and the lowest in the region.

Figure 38. Asset-Limited, Income-Constrained, Employed Data



1. COMMUNITY PROFILE
2. WORKFORCE
3. HOUSING MARKET
4. HOUSING AFFORDABILITY
- 5. HOUSING GAPS**



# 5. HOUSING GAPS


There are two primary components to the analysis of housing gaps: the current gap between the supply and demand of housing units that are affordable to Snoqualmie’s current households, and an estimate of how many more units (and what kinds of units) are needed to accommodate the projected growth over the planning horizon.

## CURRENT GAP

Using the 2015-2019 HUD-CHAS data on cost burden, Snoqualmie was during that period home to 214 households who rent their housing unit who are cost burdened (paying more than 30 percent of their incomes in rent). There are also 324 households making 80 percent or below of the AMI who own their unit who are cost burdened. There are **188** units of subsidized affordable housing at the Panorama Apartments project aimed at low-income households that did not exist during the survey period. Therefore, the current gap between what is affordable and available for households making under 80 percent of the median income and the current demand is **350 units**. If a more restrictive threshold of paying more than 50 percent of income toward housing is used, there are 14 renting households and 39 owning households who are paying at least 50 percent of their incomes toward rent, for a total gap (after deducting subsidized units) of **164 units**. These cost burden figures are illustrated in Figure 38 below.

Another way of examining the current housing gap can be illustrated by comparing the size of current housing units and the sizes of households. As shown in Figure 30 on page 19, Snoqualmie may have 326 one-person households potentially living in 2-bedroom housing

Figure 39. Household income distribution by level of cost burden



Source: HUD-CHAS Tabulations of 2015-2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates

Figure 40. Income ranges for affordability calculations

Income Grouping for Cost Burden Analysis	Income Range
Less than 30% Area Median Family Income	Less than \$38,800
30 - 50% Area Median Income	\$38,800- \$64,700
50 - 80% Area Median Income	\$64,700- \$95,300
80 - 100% Area Median Income	\$95,300- \$134,600
100% Area Median Income and Above	\$134,600 and above

Source: HUD Fiscal Year 2022 Income Limits



# Housing Gaps Continued

units, 1,298 two-person households likely living in 3-bedroom housing units, 400 three-person households likely living in 4-bedroom housing units, and 437 five-person households living in 5+ bedroom homes. Another way of describing the current housing gap, therefore, might be that **up to 2,461 households** in Snoqualmie are living in dwelling units that may be too large for their needs in part because not enough smaller units are available. While this figure cannot be directly compared to the gaps identified above based on cost burden, it provides a useful window into the types of housing that the city currently lacks in sufficient numbers.

## PROJECTED GAP

The housing target for 2019-2044 for Snoqualmie, per the adopted King County Countywide Planning Policies, is 1,500 units. The city has 421 net new units in the permitting pipeline between January 1, 2019 and June 2022. After subtracting the pipeline projects, as documented in the Regional HNA, the city needs to account for 1,079 additional housing units (the city has remaining capacity for 696 housing units, for a deficit of 383 units).

The city currently is short 350 housing units that are affordable to current households making less than 80 percent of the area median income. Around 1,079 units are needed to account for projected growth. This means **the total gap is 1,429 units, or 65 units per year through 2044.**

## SNOQUALMIE HOUSING GAP CALCULATIONS

### Projected gap

Housing target, 2019 – 2044: 1,500 units

Net new units in pipeline, 2019- 2022: 421

Remaining housing units needed: **1,079 units**

### Total gap

Current affordability gap: 350 units

Projected Gap: 1,079 units

Total Gap: 350 + 1,079 = **1,429 units**

Number of new housing units needed per year, on average, 2023 -2044: **65 units per year**

# Snoqualmie Valley Regional Housing Needs Assessment



## REPORT

*January 10, 2023  
revised*



Cottage



Cottage Court



Duplex



Multiplex



Townhome



Live Work

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

The Snoqualmie Valley Region is focused on creating new opportunities focused on creating housing options that better align with need and demand based on income, life stage and housing preference. This work is made possible from funding made available through HB 1406.

The findings reflect current trends and forecasts of housing needs throughout the region. This Housing Needs Assessment also reflects input received from project stakeholders with analysis by FCS GROUP, Blue Line and LDC (project consultants).

We specifically recognize and appreciate the time and commitment provided by the following individuals.

## **Project Advisory Committee Members**

Jamie Burrell, City of North Bend  
Rebecca Deming, City of North Bend  
Mark Noll, City of North Bend  
Mayor Rob McFarland, City of North Bend  
Emily Arteche, City of Snoqualmie  
Dylan Gamble, City of Snoqualmie  
Jean Lin, City of Carnation  
Lara Thomas, City of Duvall

## **Project Consultants**

Todd Chase, FCS GROUP  
Timothy Wood, FCS GROUP  
Shouvik Deb, FCS GROUP  
Niomi Montes de Oca, The Blue Line Group  
Matt Covert, LDC Corp.  
Clay White, LDC Corp.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Acknowledgements .....	i
Table of Contents .....	ii
Appendices	iii
List of Exhibits .....	iii
Glossary	v
Introduction	1
Purpose	1
Regional Context .....	1
Approach	2
Regional Overview .....	2
Population and Households .....	3
Factors affecting housing needs .....	3
Income and Employment .....	8
Housing Cost Burdens .....	10
Asset Limited Income Constrained & Employed Residents (ALICE) .....	12
Displacement Risk .....	13
Housing Conditions and Needs .....	15
Housing Values and Rents .....	18
Long-term Housing Needs .....	20
Review of Housing Capacity Based on Current Zoning .....	21
Carnation .....	22
Duvall	23
North Bend .....	24
Snoqualmie .....	25
Policy Considerations .....	26



# APPENDICES

---

APPENDIX A: List of Housing Policy Measures Available in WA State

APPENDIX B. Resources for Affordable Housing

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

---

Exhibit 1:	Population Trends (2010-2022) .....	3
Exhibit 2:	Population by Age Cohort Generation .....	5
Exhibit 3:	Median age, 2010 & 2020 .....	6
Exhibit 4:	Population Identifying as Hispanic or Latino, 2020 .....	6
Exhibit 5:	Household Counts.....	7
Exhibit 6:	Average Household Size .....	7
Exhibit 7:	Group Quarters Population, 2020 .....	8
Exhibit 8:	Median Household Income .....	8
Exhibit 9:	Median Household Income by Household Type, 2020 .....	9
Exhibit 10:	Employment Trends in the Snoqualmie Valley Region .....	9
Exhibit 11:	Employment Forecast in the Snoqualmie Valley Region.....	10
Exhibit 12:	King County Housing Affordability Trends .....	10
Exhibit 13:	Severe Rent Cost Burden, 2020 .....	11
Exhibit 14:	Asset Limited Income Constrained Households, 2018 .....	13
Exhibit 15:	Displacement Risk Map .....	14
Exhibit 16:	Existing Housing Inventory .....	15
Exhibit 17:	Occupied Households by Dwelling Type .....	15
Exhibit 18:	Housing Units by Number of Bedrooms .....	16
Exhibit 19:	Vacant Housing Units .....	16
Exhibit 20:	Existing Housing Tenancy, 2020 .....	17
Exhibit 21:	Facilities with Subsidized Dwelling Units .....	17
Exhibit 22:	Recent Home Construction Activity, 2019-2021 .....	18
Exhibit 23:	Home Value Price Index in Select Markets .....	18
Exhibit 24:	Home Ownership Attainability Analysis .....	18
Exhibit 25:	Median Income Thresholds, King County, 2020 .....	19
Exhibit 26:	Households by Income HUD Income Threshold, 2020 .....	19

Exhibit 27:	Housing Targets by Location, 2021-2044 .....	20
Exhibit 28:	Projected Market Demand by Housing Type .....	20
Exhibit 29:	2044 Targets and Capacity, Snoqualmie Valley Cities, 2021 .....	21
Exhibit 30:	2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Carnation .....	22
Exhibit 31:	2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Duvall, 2021 .....	23
Exhibit 32:	2044 Targets and Capacity, City of North Bend, 2021 .....	24
Exhibit 33:	2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Snoqualmie, 2021 .....	25
Exhibit 34:	Affordable Housing Programs in Washington .....	26

# GLOSSARY

---

**Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU):** A small living space located on the same lot as a single-family house.

**Affordable For-Sale Housing:** An owner-occupied dwelling with an annual housing cost (mortgage payments, utilities, property taxes, etc.) that equates to no more than 30% of household income. \*

**Affordable Rental Housing:** A dwelling that is rented by a person or household whose monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, do not exceed 30% of the household's monthly income. If income-restricted or government supported, U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) income restrictions vary by family size. \*

*\*A healthy housing market includes a variety of housing types that are affordable to a range of household income levels. However, the term "affordable housing" is often used to describe income-restricted housing available only to qualifying low-income households. Income-restricted housing can be in public, non-profit or for-profit developments. It can also include housing vouchers to help pay for market-rate housing (see "Vouchers" below for more details).*

**American Community Survey (ACS):** This is an ongoing nationwide survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. It is designed to provide communities with current data about how they are changing.

**Area median income (AMI):** This term refers to area-wide median family income calculations provided by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for a county or region. Income limits to qualify for affordable housing are often set relative to AMI in this report, unless otherwise indicated.

**Buildable Lands Inventory (BLI):** An assessment of the capacity of land to accommodate forecasted housing and employment needs.

**Buildable Residential Land:** Includes land that is designated for residential development that is vacant and part-vacant and not constrained by existing buildings or environmental issues.

**Cost Burdened:** Defined by US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as households who spend over 30% of their income on housing.

**Cottages:** Small, single-level, detached units, often on their own lots and sometimes clustered around pockets of shared open space.

**Density:** Defined by the number of housing units on one acre of land. Based on the 2021 King County Buildable Land Report, housing development density assumptions are as follows:

- **Very Low Density:** 0-4 dwelling units per net acre
- **Low Density:** 4-10 dwelling units per net acre
- **Medium Low:** 10-24 dwelling units per net acre

- **Medium High Density:** 24-48 dwelling units per net acre
- **High Density:** 48+ dwelling units per net acre

**Development density:** Expected number of dwelling units (per acre) based on current zoning designations.

**Employment Sectors:** This report includes an analysis of current employment trends for the following employment sectors: Industrial (includes manufacturing, warehousing, distribution, transportation, communications, utilities, construction trades, wholesale trade); Retail (includes businesses that sell end products to consumers); Government (includes local, state and federal workers); Services (includes all other occupations such as business and personal services).

**Fair market rent (FMR):** HUD determines what a reasonable rent level should be for a geographic area and sets this as the areas FMR. Housing choice voucher program holders are limited to selecting units that do not rent for more than fair market rent.

**Family:** A group of two or more people (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together.

**Group Quarters:** People living in shared housing facilities, such as a college dormitory, military barrack, nursing home or temporary shelter are not considered households and are counted as group quarters population.

**Housing Affordability Index:** The Housing Affordability Index (HAI) is calculated and maintained by the Washington Center for Real Estate Research (WCER) at the University of Washington. It measures the ability of a middle-income family to make mortgage payments on a median price resale home. WCERER assumes the following terms: a median priced home of an area, a 20% down-payment, a 30-year fixed mortgage, and the purchaser with a median household income for the area. Critical to the notion of affordability, a household does not spend more than 25% of its income on principal and interest payments. When the HAI is exactly 100, the household pays exactly 25% of its income to principal and interest. When the index lies above 100, a household will spend less than 25% of its income on mortgage principal and interest. A HAI score of less than 100 indicates housing is not affordable at the assumed terms listed above.

**Housing Unit (or Dwelling Unit):** A house, an apartment or other group of rooms, or a single room is regarded as a housing unit when it is occupied or intended for occupancy as separate living quarters; that is, when the occupants do not live and eat with any other person in the structure and there is direct access from the outside or common hall.

**Household:** Consists of all people that occupy a housing unit. The people can be related, such as a family or unrelated. A person living alone is also a household.

**HUD:** Acronym for US Department of Housing and Urban Development, the federal agency dedicated to strengthening and supporting the housing market.

**Low-Income:** Families designated as low-income may qualify for subsidized housing and/or income-based deed-restricted housing units. HUD classifies families based on median family income levels as shown below:



Income Category	Household Income*
Extremely low-income	30% of MFI or less
Very-low income	30-50% of MFI
Low income	50-80% of MFI
Moderate income	80-100% of MFI
Above median income	> 100% of MFI

\* Median Family Income (MFI) for the HUD defined market area.

**Manufactured Housing:** A type of prefabricated home that is assembled off site and then transported to sites of use. The definition of the term in the United States is regulated by federal law (Code of Federal Regulations, 24 CFR 3280): "Manufactured homes are built as dwelling units of at least 320 square feet in size, usually with a permanent chassis to assure the initial and continued transportability of the home. The requirement to have a wheeled chassis permanently attached differentiates "manufactured housing" from other types of prefabricated homes, such as modular homes.

**Median Family Income (MFI):** The median sum of the income of all family members 15 years and older living in the household. Families are groups of two or more people (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together; all such people (including related subfamily members) are considered as members of one family. Median income of non-family households tends to be lower than for family households. In this report both MFI and AMI refer to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Area Median Family Income (AMI) unless otherwise specified.

**Middle Housing:** Housing types that are attainable for households earning less than 120% of the area median income level. Typically includes plexes (2-4 units per structure), townhomes, apartments, accessory dwellings, cottage homes and manufactured homes.

**Mixed Use:** Characterized as two or more residential, commercial, cultural, institutional, and/or industrial uses into one combined building or building(s) on the same parcel of land.

**Multi-Family Housing:** Stacked flats in a single buildings or groups of buildings on a single lot typically with 5 or more units per structure. Parking is shared, and entrance to units is typically accessed through a shared lobby.

**Part-vacant land:** Unconstrained land that has some existing development but can be subdivided to allow for additional residential development.

**Permanent Resident Population:** This refers to the count of all people (citizens and noncitizens) who are living in the location at the time of the census. People are counted at their usual residence, which is the place where they live and sleep most of the time.

**Plexes:** two to four separate dwelling units within one structure on a single lot. In most instances each duplex, triplex or quadplex unit has its own separate entry.

**Residual Land Value:** The amount a developer would typically be willing to pay for the land/site to build a specific real estate improvement based on underlying assumptions and market conditions.

**Seasonal dwellings:** The owner intends these units to be occupied during only certain seasons of the year. They are not anyone's usual residence. A seasonal unit may be used in more than one season: for example, for both summer and winter sports. Published counts of seasonal units also include housing units held for occupancy by migratory farm workers. While not currently intended for year-round use, most seasonal units could be used year-round.

**Severely Cost Burdened:** Defined US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as households who spend over 50% of their income on housing.

**Single Family Attached:** Residential structures comprised of two to four housing units with a shared wall that separates each unit. "Attached" duplexes require a single building permit for both dwelling units.

**Single Family Detached:** Free standing residential building, unattached, containing separate bathing, kitchen, sanitary, and sleeping facilities designed to be occupied by not more than one family, not including manufactured and mobile homes.

**Subsidized Housing:** Public housing, rental assistance vouchers, and developments that use Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) are examples of subsidized housing. Subsidized housing lowers overall housing costs for its occupants. Affordable housing and subsidized housing are different even though the terms are sometimes used interchangeably.

**Subsidized Units:** Subsidized dwelling units funded in part or in whole by one or more of the following sources: low-income housing tax credits (LIHTC), Section 8, USDA, other HUD, or state grants.

**Tenure:** Tenure refers to the ownership of the housing unit in relation to its occupants. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, a housing unit is "owned" if the owner or co-owner(s) live in the unit, even if it is mortgaged or not fully paid for. A cooperative, condominium or mobile home is "owned" only if the owner or co-owner lives in it. All other occupied housing units are classified as "rented."

**Townhome (also known as duplexes, rowhouse, etc.):** Attached housing units, each on a separate lot, and each with its own entry from a public or shared street or common area.

**Vacant housing unit:** A housing unit is vacant if no one is living in it at the time of enumeration unless its occupants are only temporarily absent. Units temporarily occupied at the time of enumeration entirely by people who have a usual residence elsewhere are also classified as vacant.

**Vacant land:** Vacant and part-vacant land identified within the local buildable land inventory that is not developed and unconstrained for future planned residential development.

**Vouchers (Tenant-based and Project-based):** HUD provides housing vouchers to qualifying low-income households to off-set a portion of their rents. These are typically distributed by local housing authorities. Vouchers can be "tenant-based," meaning the household can use them to help pay for market-rate housing in the location of their choice. The tenant pays the difference between the fair market rent and 30% of the tenant's income. Or vouchers can be "project-based," meaning they are assigned to a specific building.

**Workforce Housing:** Affordable workforce housing means housing for a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together and earning 80% or less of the county median income,

# INTRODUCTION

---

## PURPOSE

Communities across the nation are facing unprecedented challenges with providing housing that better aligns with need and demand based on income, life stage, and housing preference. In response, the cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region (Carnation, Duvall, North Bend, and Snoqualmie) continue to pursue policies and planning activities aimed at understanding housing needs and implementing local actions to preserve affordable housing and foster additional housing development.

The Snoqualmie Valley Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) examines current housing conditions; forecasts long-term housing needs; and identify potential policies that support a fair and equitable housing environment. The HNA process included a review of current land use and public facility plans, and local development regulations to determine existing conditions and perceived barriers to new development.

**The overall goal of the Housing Needs Assessment is to help focus community efforts on the most critical local housing issues.**

The Snoqualmie Valley Housing Needs Assessment is intended to identify long-term housing needs and to analyze and recommend housing policy strategies that will help foster new housing opportunities for households of all income. The policies and practices discussed in this Memorandum are intended to build upon the efforts already undertaken by the cities of the region.

**The four primary objectives of the Housing Needs Assessment aim to:**

- 1. Evaluate housing options and recommend housing needs that will inform the Housing Chapter of the Comprehensive plans of cities of the region and identify the highest needs for affordable housing.**
- 2. Inform the community on its housing needs for households that are low and very low income.**
- 3. Aid officials in assigning priority and resources to the housing needs identified.**
- 4. Provide a necessary guide in developing appropriate housing policies, programs, and strategies.**

## Regional Context

Because housing markets are rarely contained within a single jurisdiction, a regional perspective is necessary to understand market dynamics. For example, if safe and affordable housing is not sufficient in one community, people are likely to search for housing in nearby jurisdictions rather than leave the region altogether.



The focus of this HNA is on four cities within the Snoqualmie River Valley, with housing needs focused on the municipal boundaries and urban growth areas (UGA) of Carnation, Duvall, North Bend and Snoqualmie.

While the area surrounding these cities is rural in nature, the proximity to the greater Seattle/Bellevue Metropolitan Region makes the Snoqualmie Valley Region an attractive destination for people to live and work outside large urban settings. As discussed later in this report, the Snoqualmie Valley Region has seen an influx of new residents and second-home buyers since the beginning of the global Covid pandemic (2020+) which has led to a record level of new residents.

## APPROACH

The process used to create this HNA report included:

- **Demographic Assessment** of historic population growth patterns, household sizes, income growth, age, and other factors that impact the nature of housing demand growth.
- **Housing Market Analysis** with a review of existing housing conditions in each community including housing type and tenure, home value, average rents and rent cost burden.
- **Housing Needs and Land Capacity for each city and UGA** based on the latest housing target recommendations the capacity analysis of buildable lands provided by King County.
- **Identification of Local Policy Considerations** and best practices that cities across the Washington area are implementing to address their housing needs. These policies will be further evaluated during the subsequent Housing Action Plan that will be prepared for each city.

**With the completion of this Regional Housing Needs Assessment, each of these four cities intends to utilize the findings contained herein to prepare a specific Housing Action Plan and Comprehensive Plan updates.**

**It should be noted that the findings contained in this Regional Housing Needs Analysis are based on current planning assumptions and state requirements as of December 2022, and do not reflect any additional housing requirements that may result from implementation of pending King County Affordable Housing Task Force recommendations per House Bill 1220 for affordable housing.**

# REGIONAL OVERVIEW

## Population and Households

The cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region are growing and continue to set record population levels every year. Combined, the four cities of Snoqualmie, Carnation, Duvall, and North Bend have an estimated 32,905 residents (July 1, 2022, estimate). These four cities added nearly 10,000 residents between 2010 and 2022 (**Exhibit 1**). Three of the four cities are far outpacing the state and county-wide population growth rate, with the City of Snoqualmie setting the highest annual population growth rate of 5.71% since 2010.

**Exhibit 1: Population Trends (2010-2022)**

	2010	2020	2021	2022	2010-2022 AGR
Washington	6,561,297	7,706,310	7,766,975	7,864,400	1.52%
King County	1,879,189	2,269,675	2,287,050	2,317,700	1.76%
City of Snoqualmie	9,058	14,121	14,490	14,490	3.99%
City of Carnation	2,081	2,158	2,150	2,160	0.31%
City of Duvall	6,271	8,034	8,125	8,320	2.38%
City of North Bend	5,688	7,461	7,685	7,915	2.79%
<b>Four-city Region</b>	<b>23,098</b>	<b>31,774</b>	<b>32,450</b>	<b>32,885</b>	<b>2.99%</b>

**Source** : Washington State Office of Financial Management


AGR = average annual growth rate.

## Factors affecting housing needs

There is a linkage between demographic characteristics and housing choice. As shown in **the figure below**, housing needs change over a person’s lifetime.

Other factors that influence housing include:

- » Homeownership rates increase as income rises.
- » Single family detached homes are the preferred housing choice as income rises.
- » Renters are much more likely to choose multifamily housing options (such as apartments or plexes) than single-family housing.
- » Very low-income households (those earning less than 50% of the median family income) are most at-risk for becoming homeless if their economic situation worsens.



*The relationship between demographic changes, income levels and housing preferences can shed light on future housing needs for the Snoqualmie Valley Region.*

Population by demographic age cohort is shown in **Exhibit 2**. Overall, the cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region have more young families than the county and state average with a higher share of Generation Z and Generation X residents.

#### **Silent Generation (age 75 and over)**

This includes retirees over age 75, who were raised during the Great Depression, World War I or World War II. This cohort currently accounted for just 2% of the Snoqualmie Valley Regional population in 2020. As they reach their 80s some desire to move into assisted living facilities with nearby health care services and transit access.

#### **Baby Boom Generation (age 55 to 74)**

Baby boomers accounted for 16% of the Snoqualmie Valley Region residents in 2020. The boomer population segment has been growing more rapidly than the other cohorts over the past 10 years and many are now entering their retirement years. Boomers usually prefer to “age in place” until after age 80, then may downsize or move in with family members (sometimes opting to reside in accessory dwellings off the main house).

#### **Generation X (age 40 to 54)**

Gen X is the demographic cohort following the baby boomers and preceding the Millennials. This cohort accounted for 26% of the Snoqualmie Valley Region residents. GenX households often include families with children, and many prefer to live in single family detached dwellings at various price points.


### Millennials (age 25 to 39)

Millennials (currently in their twenties or thirties) accounted for 22% of the Snoqualmie Valley Region residents in 2020. Younger millennials tend to rent as they establish their careers and/or payback student loans. Working millennials often become first-time homebuyers, opting to purchase smaller single family detached homes or townhomes. Millennials in their 30s often include high tech or higher income earners that can afford market rate detached homes or townhomes.

### Generation Z (under age 24)

This is one of the largest demographic segments and accounted for 34% of the residents in the Snoqualmie Valley Region. It includes children living primarily with Millennials and GenXers. This segment has been increasing over the past several years, but this growth may slow in the future as GenXers are delaying starting families and tend to have fewer children than past generations.


**Exhibit 2: Population by Age Cohort Generation**



The median age of Snoqualmie Valley Region residents ranges from 36.3 (City of Snoqualmie) to 40.9 (City of Carnation). Overall, the median age of the residents within the region is in line with the countywide median age of 37 as of 2020 (**Exhibit 3**).




Exhibit 3: Median age, 2010 & 2020



Ethnic diversity in the Region is primarily represented by the Hispanic/Latino population segment. This segment accounts for between 5% (City of Snoqualmie) and 19% (City of North Bend) residents (Exhibit 4).


Exhibit 4: Population Identifying as Hispanic or Latino, 2020






There were an estimated 10,611 households living within the four Cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region in 2020. As shown in **Exhibit 5**, the greatest concentration is to be found in the City of Snoqualmie (4,462) and the lowest concentration is found in the City of Carnation (782 households). As indicated later in this report, these four cities have added approximately 200 additional housing units since 2020.

**Exhibit 5: Household Counts**




The average household size in the Snoqualmie Valley continues to exceed the King County average as shown in **Exhibit 6**. Larger households tend to represent families with school-age children and tend to prefer detached single-family homes.

**Exhibit 6: Average Household Size**



People that reside in group quarters are not included in the household counts. They typically reside in shared living arrangements such as senior care facilities, treatment centers, group homes and other living arrangements managed by an organization rather than the residents themselves. As of 2020, only Duvall and North Bend had measurable group quarters population, with 17 and 59 group quarters residents, respectively (**Exhibit 7**).

**Exhibit 7: Group Quarters Population, 2020**



## Income and Employment

Household income in the Region is much higher than the state and county-wide income levels as shown in **Exhibit 8**. The U.S. Housing & Urban Development utilizes median household income as the primary measure for area income and housing affordability. Average income levels tend to be higher than median income because there tends to be a high share of income concentrated in a small number of very high income households.

**Exhibit 8: Median Household Income**


Median Household Income, 2010-2020			
	2010	2020	AGR
Washington	\$57,244	\$77,006	3.0%
King County	\$68,065	\$99,158	3.8%
City of Snoqualmie	\$116,020	\$159,450	3.2%
City of Carnation	\$70,769	\$112,647	4.8%
City of Duvall	\$105,763	\$162,622	4.4%
City of North Bend	\$77,462	\$119,392	4.4%

**Source:** U.S. Census Bureau, 2016-2020 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (Table B25119)

**AGR:** average annual growth rate.

Over half of the households in the Region earn more than \$100,000 per year. However, the share of households earning less than \$50,000 ranges from 3% in the City of Snoqualmie to 22% in the City of North Bend (**Exhibit 9**).

**Exhibit 9: Median Household Income by Household Type, 2020**



Employment (as measured by at-place-of-work jobs covered by unemployment insurance) within the Snoqualmie Valley Region has been increasing across all job sectors. Total employment within the four cities of the region increased from 4,713 in 2002 to 10,443 in 2019 (an increase of 5,730 jobs) as shown in **Exhibit 10**. The service sector added the most jobs during this time followed by the industrial sector (which includes construction, manufacturing, distribution, and wholesale trade).

**Exhibit 10: Employment Trends in the Snoqualmie Valley Region**

Sector	2002 Emp.	2009 Emp.	2019 Emp.	Change: 2002-2019	AGR
Industrial	974	1,931	2,394	1,420	5.4%
Retail	853	692	1,046	193	1.2%
Service	2,790	4,179	6,540	3,750	5.1%
Government	96	107	463	367	9.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,713</b>	<b>6,909</b>	<b>10,443</b>	<b>5,730</b>	<b>4.8%</b>

Source: U.S. Census On the Map data . AGR: average annual growth rate.

Improvements in the ratio of jobs to housing can help reduce the need for long-distance commutes by workers within the region. The ratio of jobs to households within the Snoqualmie Valley Region (four cities) has been increasing over the past decade. In 2020 there were 1.03 jobs for every household, up from 0.9 in 2010.

Job growth forecasts by the Washington State Employment Security Department suggest that industries in the King County region are expected to increase in employment by between 0.2% and 2.5% annually over the next ten years. Using countywide growth projections as a basis, local employment in the four cities of the Snoqualmie Valley Region is projected to increase by 2,277 jobs by 2030. The majority of job growth is projected to occur in the services sector followed by the retail sector (**Exhibit 11**).

**Exhibit 11: Employment Forecast in the Snoqualmie Valley Region**

Sector	Regional		Projected 2030	
	2019 Emp.	Projected AGR	Emp.	Change
Industrial	2,394	0.2%	2,453	59
Retail	1,046	2.5%	1,374	328
Service	6,540	2.4%	8,474	1,934
Government	463	1.1%	519	56
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,443</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>12,820</b>	<b>2,377</b>

Source: U.S. Census On the Map data, Washington ESD Industry Growth Projections

## Housing Cost Burdens

With the recent increase in mortgage interest rates and rising home prices there is increasing concern that many households are being priced out of the market. The Housing Affordability Index for King County has consistently remained below 100 and has recently dipped to the lowest level in several years at 52. An index of 100 or higher means that households earning the median income for the area should be able to afford a median priced home (**Exhibit 12**).

**Exhibit 12: King County Housing Affordability Trends**




According to the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD), households are considered to be “cost burdened” if they pay over 30% of their income on housing. Households are “severely cost burdened” when they pay over 50% of their income on housing.<sup>1</sup>

As shown in **Exhibit 13**, the share of renter households with severe rent burdens varies broadly within the Region. As indicated previously, in North Bend 22% of households have an annual income of less than \$50,000 per year. This relatively high share of lower-income households combined with a significant rental housing inventory influence rental housing cost burdens. As a result, over 4 in 10 renter households (42%) in the City of North Bend experienced severe rent burden. In comparison, the City of Carnation is near the county and statewide average at 18%.

Within the cities of Duvall and Snoqualmie, where income levels are relatively high and rental housing inventory is low, severe rent burdens were less prevalent. However, the housing within these communities is not attainable to many of the households that have low paying service or retail jobs.

**Exhibit 13: Severe Rent Cost Burden, 2020**



<sup>1</sup> Housing costs reflect annual income for rent and utilities for renters, and loan principal, interest, utilities, property taxes and insurance for homeowners. FCS estimates that the average cost of utilities, property taxes and home insurance add between \$387 (utilities only) and \$1,084 per month for homeowners.



It should be noted that there are other factors referenced by HUD that impact “affordability” more than just house rent/price and number of bedrooms. Costs also account for neighborhood school quality, public safety, and access to jobs and amenities. The percentage of income standard for housing affordability may not fully consider the effects of housing and neighborhood quality. Housing that may appear affordable based on cost alone, for example, might be far from employment centers, increasing the percentage of income a household dedicates to transportation. A household may also choose a low-quality housing unit or a low-opportunity neighborhood to reduce housing costs. As a result, the conventional measure of affordable housing underestimates the number of households who are burdened by combined housing and transportation costs as well as the number of households in need of quality affordable housing.


### Asset Limited Income Constrained & Employed Residents (ALICE)

Like many communities across the U.S., an increasing share of households are experiencing economic hardship as the overall cost of living rises faster than income levels. Since 1965, the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) has provided a standard for determining the proportion of people living in poverty in the U.S. Despite the FPL’s benefit of providing a nationally recognized income threshold for determining who is poor, its shortcomings include the fact that the FPL is not based on the current cost of basic household necessities, and except for Alaska and Hawaii, it is not adjusted to reflect cost of living differences across the U.S. In fact, federal poverty statistics indicate that the number of King County households living in poverty decreased by 5.1% since 2010.

In recognition of the shortcomings associated with federal poverty statistics, the United Way now provides a new framework to measure households that do not earn enough to afford basic necessities, with a segment titled ALICE (Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed). The ALICE methodology considers the total cost of household essentials – housing, childcare, food, transportation, technology, and health care, plus taxes and a 10 percent contingency. ALICE data are calculated separately for each county, and for six different household types. For more information, please check out: <https://www.unitedforalice.org/methodology>

In 2018, 30% of the households in King County were classified as living in poverty or in the ALICE category, which is below the Washington state average of 33% (**Exhibit 14**). The cities of Carnation and North Bend were slightly above the combined county-wide poverty and ALICE rate average. Duvall and Snoqualmie have lower combined rates of poverty and ALICE households.




**Exhibit 14: Asset Limited Income Constrained Households, 2018**




## Displacement Risk

Displacement is a phenomenon in which residents and businesses can no longer afford escalating rents or property taxes. As economic growth in the Puget Sound region continues, the risk of displacement for existing residents increases.

The Puget Sound Regional Council has developed a displacement risk tool which assesses the risk of displacement by geographic area. **Exhibit 15** depicts areas most at risk of displacement. In this map, orange shaded areas are at higher risk of displacement (a displacement risk score of 3) than the yellow shaded areas (a risk score of 2) and the green shaded areas (a risk score of 1). This map shows that all the communities in the Snoqualmie Valley Region have a displacement risk score of 1 (low risk). Displacement risk indicators are summarized below.

<p><b>Direct/Physical Displacement</b> </p> <p>Households are directly forced to move for reasons such as eviction, foreclosure, natural disaster, or deterioration in housing quality.</p>	<p><b>Indirect/Economic Displacement</b> </p> <p>Households are indirectly compelled to move by rising housing costs, or loss of cultural / social networks.</p>	<p><b>Exclusionary Neighborhood Change</b> </p> <p>Households are unable to move into a neighborhood that would have previously been accessible to them; also known as "exclusionary displacement."</p>
--	--	--

**Exhibit 15: Displacement Risk Map**




While PSRC indicates that the overall displacement risk in the Snoqualmie Valley region is low, communities that have a relatively high share of poverty and ALICE households, such as North Bend could face greater displacement risk in the future if home prices continue to outpace income levels.

# HOUSING CONDITIONS AND NEEDS

Local housing conditions and development trends shed light on housing conditions and demand preferences. In 2020, there were nearly 11,000 existing housing units within the four Snoqualmie Valley cities (area excludes UGAs outside city limits). Among those, 10,611 units were occupied and 328 were classified as vacant.


Like most communities in Washington, single-family detached housing is the most prevalent housing type representing 79% of the overall housing mix. As shown in **Exhibit 16**, approximately 10% of the housing inventory includes townhomes and plexes (with 2 to 4 units per structure). Multifamily housing (including apartments and condominiums with 5 or more units per structure) represents approximately 8% of the Region’s housing inventory. Mobile homes and manufactured dwellings represent the remaining 3% of the housing inventory.

**Exhibit 16: Existing Housing Inventory**




The share of households residing in single family detached dwellings ranges from a low of 66% in North Bend to a high of 92% in Duvall (**Exhibit 17**).

**Exhibit 17: Occupied Households by Dwelling Type**




Most the Snoqualmie Valley Region’s housing units have between 2 and 4 bedrooms (**Exhibit 18**).

**Exhibit 18: Housing Units by Number of Bedrooms**



The overall housing vacancy rate within the Snoqualmie Valley Region was approximately 3.1% in 2020 and is reported to be much lower today. The vacancy rate in all the Snoqualmie Valley cities is lower than the county and state averages (**Exhibit 19**). Vacant units would also include short-term rentals and second homes.


**Exhibit 19: Vacant Housing Units**



Home ownership varies widely within the Snoqualmie Valley Region. Owner-occupied housing units represent between 66% and 95% of the total housing inventory in these four cities while renter-occupied units account for between 5% and 34% of the inventory (**Exhibit 20**).



**Exhibit 20: Existing Housing Tenancy, 2020**



There are currently six significant government subsidized housing developments within the Snoqualmie Valley Region that offer assisted living arrangements. Using the National Housing Preservation Database tool, these five facilities are listed in **Exhibit 21**.

**Exhibit 21: Facilities with Subsidized Dwelling Units**

Development Name	Location	Subsidized Units	Total Units
SI View	North Bend	20	20
Sno Ridge Apartments	North Bend	39	40
Cascade Park	North Bend	28	28
Panorama Apartments	Snoqualmie	188	191
Pickering Court	Snoqualmie	30	30
Duvall Family Housing	Duvall	8	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>313</b>	<b>317</b>

Source: NHPD Mapping Tool

New housing construction activity for each of the four cities within the Snoqualmie Valley Region has resulted in 695 homes being added over the last three years (2019-2021). The most significant level of development activity has been occurring in the cities of North Bend and Duvall (**Exhibit 22**).

**Exhibit 22: Recent Home Construction Activity, 2019-2021**

<b>Residential New Building Permits Issued: 2019-22</b>			
	2019	2020	2021
Snoqualmie	4	-	-
Carnation	11	19	17
Duvall	40	208	26
North Bend	85	142	143
<b>Total</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>186</b>

Source: Building permit data provided by cities.

## HOUSING VALUES AND RENTS

Home values in the Snoqualmie Valley Region have increased significantly in recent years. As indicated in **Exhibit 23**, the median home value in all four cities has increased by over 17% annually over the last two years. Recent home values peaked in July 2022 and began to decline slightly during the remainder of that year as interest rates increased. Currently, the median home sales price in all four cities is now near or above \$1,000,000.

**Exhibit 23: Home Value Price Index in Select Markets**

	Aug-20	Aug-21	Aug-22	Nov-22	Avg. Annual Change %
North Bend	\$644,018	\$811,441	\$965,849	\$961,385	19.5%
Carnation	\$697,734	\$870,365	\$1,011,912	\$1,000,051	17.3%
Snoqualmie	\$751,620	\$940,260	\$1,131,037	\$1,116,641	19.2%
Duvall	\$680,957	\$850,109	\$1,009,828	\$990,834	18.1%

Source: Zillow.com; analysis by FCS 1/10/23

By comparing the median household income to the median home price, we can better understand home ownership attainability in the Snoqualmie Valley Region. As shown **Exhibit 24**, a household would need to earn at least 183% of the regional median household income level to be able to “afford” a median priced home of \$1,050,000 (assuming they have 10% down payment and allocate no more than 30% of income to housing). Based on current income levels in the Snoqualmie Valley Region, it is estimated that less than one in four households can now afford a median priced home.

**Exhibit 24: Home Ownership Attainability Analysis**


### Snoqualmie Valley Region Housing Attainability

Regional Median Household Income (2022)*	<b>\$134,600</b>
Regional Housing Cost (median price, 2022)	<b>\$1,029,657</b>
Downpayment (@10%)	<b>\$102,966</b>
Mortgage Amount (Principal and interest only)	<b>\$926,691</b>
Monthly Housing Payment**	<b>(\$6,161)</b>
Annual Qualifying Income (@30% of income)	<b>\$246,433</b>
Percent of MHI for qualifying households	<b>183%</b>

\* based on current U.S. Housing and Urban Development data for FY 2022.

\*\* assumes 10% down payment on 30-yr mortgage @ 6.9% APR interest.

Currently, the HUD fair market rents within King County range from \$1,829 for an efficiency unit to \$3,446 for a four-bedroom unit, as shown below. Fair market rents have been increasing between 3.6% and 4.6% per year over the past few years.



The median family income (MI) in King County was \$113,300, which was high compared with the statewide median family income of \$89,800. Housing attainability is typically measured at the following levels:

- Upper income (120% of MI)
- Middle income (80% and 120% of MI)
- Low income (50% and 80% of MI)
- Very-low income (30% and 50% of MI)
- Extremely low income (0% and 30% of MI)


**Exhibit 25** depicts HUD qualifying income thresholds for those levels.

**Exhibit 25: Median Income Thresholds, King County, 2020**

HUD Qualifying Income Level	Lower-end	Upper-End
Upper (120% or more of MI)	\$135,960	or more
Middle (80% to 120% of MI)	\$90,640	\$135,960
Low (50% to 80% of MI)	\$56,650	\$90,640
Very Low (30% to 50% of MI)	\$33,990	\$56,650
Extremely Low (less than 30% of MI)	\$33,990	or less

Based on these housing thresholds, **Exhibit 26** reflects the share of households that would meet HUD income thresholds within each city. These calculations assume that no more than 30% of income is allocated to housing per HUD standards.


**Exhibit 26: Households by Income HUD Income Threshold, 2020**



## LONG-TERM HOUSING NEEDS

Based on the long-term housing targets that were adopted as part of the 2044 Urban Growth Buildable Land Capacity Report (report by King County dated June 2021) and current housing trends discussed above, the four-city Region has a housing target of adding 4,937 housing units (dwellings) over the 2021–2044-time frame. The allocation of the current housing targets is shown in **Exhibit 27**.

**Exhibit 27: Housing Targets by Location, 2021-2044**



As a manner of enhancing housing attainability, cities should consider providing opportunities for development of a variety of housing types. If each city in the Snoqualmie Valley Region equally addresses the projected market demand for housing types based on emerging trends and income attainability issues identified herein, the overall housing target in the Region would consist of 3,900 single family dwellings and approximately 1,037 “middle housing” units (**Exhibit 28**). In this HHA document, middle housing is intended to reflect housing types other than single family detached or attached townhomes. For example, this could include opportunities to develop duplexes, apartments, cottages, or accessory dwellings that tend to be more attainable to rent or own.

The forecasts shown in **Exhibit 28** are provided for local consideration only. It is recommended that during the Housing Action Plan phase of work, cities consider how local regulations can be amended to permit (but not require) additional middle housing development to occur.

**Exhibit 28: Projected Market Demand by Housing Type**

Location	Total	Single Family	Middle Housing
Carnation	799	631	168
Duvall	890	703	187
North Bend	1,748	1,381	367
Snoqualmie	1,500	1,185	315
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,937</b>	<b>3,900</b>	<b>1,037</b>

## Review of Housing Capacity Based on Current Zoning

The *Urban Growth Buildable Land Capacity Report* (BLR) by King County dated June 2021 provides 2044 housing and employment capacity targets for each city. In addition to the housing targets, the report provides an analysis of the land capacity for each city (see **Exhibit 27**).

The land use analysis identified below is intended to enable each City within the Snoqualmie Valley Region to provide sufficient “zoned” land capacity for new development over the next 20+ years (by year 2044). The recommended growth targets provide a context for complying with the PSRC VISION 2050 and the King County BLR.

The growth targets indicate the amount of growth each jurisdiction is expected to plan for in its comprehensive plan. The process for complying with these growth targets provides flexibility for jurisdictions in interpreting the regional growth strategies and must consider local input on the community vision, market conditions, and infrastructure investments.

As shown in **Exhibit 29**, the 2044 housing target for the four-cities combined is 4,937 net new dwellings. After accounting for development that has been identified in the planning pipeline (2,498 dwellings (includes revisions to reflect recent pipeline developments in the City of Snoqualmie), the four cities combined have the current planned capacity to accommodate another 4,937 dwelling units.

While these findings demonstrate an overall housing capacity surplus for the region, these findings also indicate that there is likely to be a housing capacity shortfall (based on current zoning for remaining buildable land area) for the cities of Carnation and Snoqualmie. More detailed findings for each city are described in the following sections.

**Exhibit 29: 2044 Targets and Capacity, Snoqualmie Valley Cities**

	Units in Pipeline	Remaining Capacity	Total Capacity	2044 Housing Target	Surplus (Deficit)
King County	43,561	362,563	406,124	307,277	98,847
Snoqualmie	421	167	588	1,500	(912)
Carnation	223	481	704	799	(95)
Duvall	647	696	1,343	890	453
North Bend	1,207	891	2,098	1,748	350
<b>Four-city Region</b>	<b>2,498</b>	<b>2,235</b>	<b>4,733</b>	<b>4,937</b>	<b>(204)</b>

**Source:** June 2021 King County Buildable Lands Report; with updates to the “pipeline projects” by city planning staff as of 3/20/2023.

**It should be noted that the findings contained in this Regional Housing Needs Analysis are based on current planning assumptions and state requirements as of November 2022, and do not reflect any additional housing requirements that may result from implementation of pending King County Affordable Housing Task Force recommendations per House Bill 1220 for affordable housing.**



## Carnation

As shown below in **Exhibit 30**, Carnation has a 2044 housing target of 799 net new dwellings. The city has 223 net new units in the pipeline between January 1, 2019, and June 2022. After subtracting the committed lands, Carnation has remaining housing capacity for about 480 dwelling units. This results in a projected capacity shortfall of approximately 95 dwelling units under current zoning.

Remaining uncommitted residential land is mostly in low- and medium-density zoning. It is recommended that the City explore various policy and code amendments such as some limited up-zoning or minimum densities in Planned Unit Developments to address the housing shortfall.

**Exhibit 30: 2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Carnation, 2022 est.**

	Net Buildable		Assumed
	Acres	Net Capacity	Achieved Density
Very Low	2.2	1	3.9
Low	13.5	84	5.2/9.7
Medium Low	29.1	396	12.0/17.0
Medium High	-	-	-
High	-	-	-
Total	44.8	481	-

2044 Target	2044 Target		Remaining Capacity	Net Deficit/Surplus
	Units in Pipeline	Less Units in Pipeline		
799	223	576	481	(95)

**Source:** June 2021 King County Buildable Lands Report

## Duvall

As shown below in **Exhibit 31**, Duvall has a 2044 housing target of 890 net new dwellings. The city has 647 units in the pipeline since January 1, 2019. After subtracting committed lands, Duvall has a remaining capacity for about 696 dwelling units. This results in a potential housing capacity surplus of about 450 units.

Remaining uncommitted residential land is mostly in the lower density zones. There is no expected housing shortfall to rectify currently.

**Exhibit 31: 2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Duvall, 2022 est.**

	Net Buildable Acres	Net Capacity	Assumed Achieved Density
Very Low	31.8	70	3
Low	56.2	237	4.5/8.0
Medium Low	23.2	389	12.0/21.0
Medium High	-	-	-
High	-	-	-
Total	111.1	696	-

2044 Target	Units in Pipeline	2044 Target Less Units in Pipeline	Remaining Capacity	Net Deficit/ Surplus
890	647	243	696	453

**Source:** June 2021 King County Buildable Lands Report

## North Bend

As shown below in **Exhibit 32**, North Bend has a 2044 housing target of 1,748 net new dwellings. The city has 1,207 units in the pipeline since January 1, 2019. After subtracting the committed lands, North Bend has remaining housing capacity for 891 dwelling units. This results in a potential housing capacity surplus of 350 dwelling units.

While there is no expected housing shortfall to rectify, the city could explore ways to create additional “middle housing” development opportunities for housing types, such as townhomes and duplexes which are more attainable for owners and renters than single family detached homes.



*Recent mixed-use development in North Bend with housing above commercial*

**Exhibit 32: 2044 Targets and Capacity, City of North Bend, 2022 est.**

	Net Buildable		Assumed
	Acres	Net Capacity	Achieved Density
Very Low	59.0	62	2.0
Low	65.3	188	4
Medium Low	27.4	414	15.0/21.0
Medium High	7	227	32
High	-	-	-
Total	159.1	891	-

	2044 Target		Remaining	Net
2044 Target	Units in Pipeline	Less Units in Pipeline	Capacity	Deficit/Surplus
1,748	1,207	541	891	350

**Source :** June 2021 King County Buildable Lands Report

## Snoqualmie

As shown below in **Exhibit 33**, Snoqualmie has a 2044 target of 1,500 net new dwellings. The city has 421 dwelling units in the pipeline as of January 10, 2023. After accounting for development in the planning pipeline (421 dwellings) and future capacity on remaining buildable lands (696 dwellings), Snoqualmie has a remaining housing capacity shortfall of 912 units.<sup>2</sup>

It is likely that annexations will be necessary to fully accommodate the planned housing capacity shortfall. Hence, it is recommended that the city consider new policies that would guide future growth and development. This would entail an UGA alternatives analysis, transportation and water/sewer master planning and planned action EIS to fully address the 2044 growth targets.

**Exhibit 33: 2044 Targets and Capacity, City of Snoqualmie, 2022 est.**

	Net Buildable		Assumed
	Acres	Net Capacity	Achieved Density
Very Low	6.7	1.0	0
Low	6.7	27.0	4
Medium Low	-	-	-
Medium High	-	-	-
High	1	139	130
<b>Total</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>-</b>

2044 Target	Units in Pipeline*	2044 Target		Remaining Capacity	Net Deficit/Surplus
		Less Units in Pipeline	Remaining Capacity		
1,500	421	1,079	167	(912)	

**Source:** June 2021 King County Buildable Lands Report; with updates to the "pipeline projects" by city planning staff as of 3/20/2023.

---

<sup>2</sup> Current estimates for planning pipeline housing are based on the 2021 King County Buildable Land Report (BLR) finding (204 units) plus additional development being planned on tax lots not included in the vacant land estimates contained in the BLR (217 units). Additional pipeline development projects reflect the following:  
Timber Trails (aka Snoqualmie Ridge II Plat 30, on Parcel S-14): 46 lot townhome subdivision;  
The Rails: 11-unit mixed-use building (apartments over retail/office);  
Snoqualmie Mill: Up to 160 multifamily units. Under the development agreement, this would include either 10% of the units @ 80% AMI and 12% @ 60% AMI (if the Council adopts an MFTE program), OR 15% @ 80% AMI (if the Council does not adopt an MFTE program).

# POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Cities have a wide range of latitude in how they address housing needs. There are a number of local policy measures and financial incentives that can be considered to help address the need for low-income households. A list of various funding resources available to local jurisdictions and non-profit housing developers is provided in **Exhibit 34. Appendix A** includes a list of land use policies used in WA state to encourage housing production. Such policies will be further evaluated and discussed during the next phase of work on the Housing Needs Assessment.

**Exhibit 34: Affordable Housing Programs in Washington**

Funding Source	Funding Focus	Required Use of Funds	Income Restrictions
Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)	Affordable Housing & Homelessness	Creation of new units	60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) or below
Washington State Housing Trust Fund	Affordable Housing & Homelessness	Preservation, creation of new units, and supportive services	80% of the AMI or below with special focus on those at 30% of AMI or below
State Authorized Sales Tax	Affordable Housing	Development of new units & housing-related services	60% of the AMI or below
Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8)	Affordable Housing	Subsidizing rents	50% of the AMI or below
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Affordable Housing	Renovations to housing stock & affordable home ownership opportunities	80% of the AMI or below
HOME Investment and Partnership Program	Affordable Housing	Development and maintenance of affordable units, subsidized rents	50% of the AMI or below
Affordable Housing Property Tax Levy	Affordable Housing	Programs identified in local affordable housing plans	50% of the AMI or below
HB 1406 Funds	Affordable Housing	Local investments in affordable housing	60% of city median family income
HUD Continuum of Care Program	Homelessness	Housing and wrap-around services for the homeless	N/A
Document Recording Fees	Homelessness	Development of homeless housing units, homelessness prevention activities	N/A
Mental Illness and Drug Dependency Tax	Homelessness	Housing and wrap-around services for mentally ill or drug addicted residents	N/A
Real Estate Excise Tax (REET)	Affordable Housing & Homelessness	Development, planning, property acquisition, maintenance of affordable housing, especially for the homeless	N/A
Lodging Tax (Hotel/Motel Tax)	Workforce Housing	Paying down debt issued to fund transit adjacent workforce housing.	N/A
Public Private Partnerships	Workforce Housing & Business Development	Usually entails private construction of housing or commercial on public land	Varies

Source: Association of Washington Cities and Municipal Research Service Center; and FCS GROUP.

During the next work phase, each city will evaluate their current land use regulations and consider actions they can take to help lower barriers to providing a wider mix of housing in their communities. A list of potential policy measures is provided in **Appendix A**.

Resources for affordable housing are listed in **Appendix B**.



## APPENDIX A: LIST OF HOUSING POLICY MEASURES AVAILABLE IN WA STATE

Action Number	Description
<b>Revising Zoning Strategies</b>	
Z-1: Reduce Minimum Lot Sizes	Amend lot characteristic standards, such as setback requirements, lot size averaging, etc. to remove barriers to the development of a wider range of housing.
Z-2: Require a Minimum Density	Create a minimum density standard in all residential zones. Example: minimum density standard of at least 70% of maximum density permitted in any residential zone.
Z-3: Up-zoning	Develop criteria and a process for identifying land to up-zone (or rezone) to meet the deficit of land for multifamily development. The criteria may include considerations of location, transportation access, access to and capacity of infrastructure, site size, development constraints, and other relevant criteria.
Z-4: Increase Building Height	Evaluate removing maximum density standards and building height limitations.
Z-5: Integrate or Adjust FAR Standards	Floor area ratio (FAR) is the ratio of a building's total floor area (gross floor area) to the size of the piece of land upon which it is built. Since FAR focuses entirely on building massing, it is often seen as a viable alternative to density regulations (maximum number of lots or dwelling units per acre) in multifamily and mixed-use zones.
Z-5a: Increase Allowed Housing Types	Encouraging a larger variety of housing types including plexes and smaller scale multifamily development.
Z-6a: Cottage Housing	Allow cottages to be developed in clusters with shared central amenities (such as open spaces) to allow for the development of small single-family detached housing.
Z-6b: Duplexes, Triplexes and Fourplexes	Allow triplexes and quadplexes in single-family zones, using a form-based code approach to regulate the development of these units.
Z-6c: Townhouses	Allow townhomes in single-family zones, using a form-based code approach to regulate the development of these units.
Z-6d: Courtyard Apartments	Allow courtyard apartments in single-family zones, using a form-based code approach to regulate the development of these units.
Z-6e: Micro-housing	Allow micro-housing in single-family zones, using a form-based code approach to regulate the development of these units.
Z-7: Increase or Remove Density Limits	Evaluate removing maximum density standards.
Z-8: Revise ADU Standards	Evaluate changing development standards for accessory dwelling units, including changing the size limit.
Z-9: Offer Density and/or Height Incentives for Desired Housing	Density bonuses for development of deed-restricted affordable housing.

Additional Regulatory Standards	
R-1: Reduce Off-Street Parking Requirements	Evaluate reductions to off-street parking requirements for multifamily housing, including housing serving seniors and other populations that may have lower car ownership.
R-2: Relax Ground Floor Retail Requirements	Relax or eliminate requirements for ground floor retail in mixed use developments.
R-3: Reduce Setbacks, Lot Coverage and/or Impervious Standards	Amend lot characteristic standards, such as setback requirements, lot size averaging, etc. to remove barriers to the development of a wider range of housing.
R-4: Adopt Design Standards	Communities adopt design standards on a neighborhood or citywide basis to promote design consistent with their vision. Design standards in themselves do not create additional housing but are helpful to assist new forms or high-density housing fit in communities.
R-5: Use a Form-Based Approach	Adopt a form-based code approach to regulate the development of small apartments, cottages, tiny houses, and desired multifamily housing types in more zones.
R-6: PUD/PRD and Cluster Subdivisions	Identify opportunities to streamline the process and standards for designing and approving planned developments
R-7: Manufactured Home and Tiny House Communities	Manufactured homes must be allowed on all single-family lots and must not be regulated differently than site-built housing, but jurisdictions may require certain standards.
Economic Displacement Mitigation Strategies	
ED-1: Community Land Trusts	A community land trust (CLT) is a non-profit organization, owned by a collective of community members, which buys and holds land within a neighborhood. It may raise funds through public or private sources to build structures on this land to be used for community purposes or to be sold to low- or moderate-income residents.
ED-2: Need-based Rehabilitation Assistance	Need-based rehabilitation assistance helps low-income, disabled, or senior residents make needed home repairs and safety upgrades by offering favorable financing terms or time-limited tax abatements to qualified homeowners.
ED-3: Down Payment Assistance	Down payment or assistance programs proactively address barriers to home ownership by offering no-interest or low-interest capital for qualified buyers.
ED-4: Property Tax Assistance Programs	Provide limited property tax exemption for low-income households.
Cultural Displacement Mitigation Strategies	
CD-1: Grants/Loans to Directly Support Small Businesses	Washington state law establishes local governments' authority to support businesses by using a variety of programs.
CD-2: Financing Ground Floor Commercial	Cities and counties can use federal and private funds to finance ground floor commercial space.
CD-3: Preservation Development Authorities (PDA) and Ports	PDAs, as quasi-public corporations, serve and are accountable to the public and administer public funds, while having the flexibility of a corporation. PDAs are particularly useful for developing and maintaining the ground floor space for commercial and arts activities and leasing to businesses and nonprofits.
CD-4: Commercial Community Land Trust	Nonprofit corporations secure and maintain access to land for public benefit, in this case, to preserve affordable commercial space.

CD-5: Community Benefits/Development Agreements	Development agreements, or community benefits agreements, are voluntary, negotiated contracts between a developer and a city/county that specify the public benefits the development will provide and each parties' responsibilities. They can achieve affordable housing, affordable commercial space, community gathering space and other public amenities
CD-6: Micro-retail and Flexible Cultural Space Design	Preservation of existing affordable space is typically most effective for maintaining affordability, but if you must build new or adapt a space, design the ground floor with nontraditional commercial uses in mind.
CD-7: Business Incubators, Co-working Spaces and Artisan/Makers Spaces	These types of shared workspaces allow businesses, artists/artisans, and nonprofits to pool resources in a shared space and spark collaboration.
<b>Urban Planning Procedures</b>	
P-1: SEPA Threshold Exemption	Flexible thresholds in SEPA rules allow local governments to increase the number of dwelling units exempt from SEPA review.
P-2: SEPA Infill Exemption	RCW 43.21C.229 allows a city or county planning under GMA to adopt an infill exemption if the comprehensive plan was already subject to environmental analysis through an environmental impact statement (EIS).
P-3: Subarea Plan with Non-Project EIS	A sub-area plan can attract higher density housing to a community that desires to increase development in its urban center or by a major transit stop.
P-4: Planned Action EIS	A community planning under GMA can develop a planned action EIS or threshold determination <sup>18</sup> to facilitate development consistent with local plans and mitigation measures (see more under "When and Where Applicable").
P-5: Protection from SEPA Appeals on Transportation Impacts	RCW 43.21C.500 provides an option to protect SEPA decisions from appeal for impacts to transportation elements of the environment when the approved residential, multifamily or mixed-use project in a GMA city or town is consistent with the locally adopted transportation plan, subject to locally adopted impact fees, and If Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) determines the project would not present significant adverse impacts to the state-owned transportation system.
P-6: Permitting Process Streamlining	Providing an efficient, predictable, and user-friendly permitting process can encourage new housing construction by reducing potential confusion or perception of risk among developers as well as lowering their administrative carrying costs.
P-7: Subdivision Process Streamlining	Identify opportunities to streamline the process and standards for designing and approving subdivisions.
<b>Affordable Housing Development Incentives</b>	
A-1: Multifamily Tax Exemption	Provide limited multifamily tax exemptions to incentivize the development of higher density housing
A-2: Density Bonuses for Affordable Housing	Density bonuses for development of deed-restricted affordable housing.
A-3: Alternative Development Standards for Affordable Housing	Relax development regulations in return for affordable housing development as an incentive. (ex. reduce minimum parking requirements (see R-1 Reduce Off-Street Parking) for projects that include affordable housing.)
A-4: Fee Waivers for Affordable Housing	Waiving some, or all, fees (ex. impact fees, utility connection fees and project review fees) for income-restricted units can be a valuable incentive for encouraging the creation of income-restricted affordable units.

A-5: Inclusionary Zoning	Requires a portion of the units within a new development be set aside as affordable housing. This tool will often be combined with property tax exemptions, fee waivers, or development bonuses to offset the cost of affordable housing units. Careful consideration should be employed when enacting inclusionary zoning. Note: A number of studies, including those analyzing the IZ Ordinance in Portland, have shown that IZ suppresses, rather than increases, the creation of new housing. If IZ is proposed, the financial components need to be calculated to ensure that the inclusionary rate is not too high for the offsets provided and that overall housing production increases as a result
<b>Funding Options for Affordable Housing Development</b>	
F-1: Local Option Taxes, Fees, and Levies	Jurisdictions may provide direct project funding, through grants or loans, to encourage the production of income-restricted affordable housing (ex. local housing tax levy, sales and use tax, Real Estate Excise Tax (REET2)).
F-2: Local Housing Trust Fund	Create affordable housing fund to accumulate and dedicate funding for housing purposes.
F-3: "Found Land": Surplus Land and Other Opportunities	Local agencies may designate surplus property for housing and mixed-use development that includes an affordable element.
F-4: Partner with Local Housing Providers	A partnership with a housing nonprofit can be established to acquire naturally occurring affordable housing such as foreclosures and expansion of vacant property registration program for housing rehabilitation or purchase.
<b>Other Strategies</b>	
0-1: Strategic Infrastructure Investment	Ensure that the City's Capital Improvement Plan includes funding for infrastructure improvements and maintenance necessary to support residential development.
0-2: Simplify Land Use Designation Maps	One way to make it easier to amend zoning and encourage a variety of housing types is to simplify the land use map and the implementing zoning map.
0-3: Local Programs to Help Build Missing Middle Housing	Offer homeowners a combination of financing, design, permitting or construction support to build ADUs or to convert a single-family home into a duplex, triplex or fourplex where those housing types are authorized. The idea is that a city may help property owners by identifying lenders, providing stock designs, and helping property owners develop housing.
0-4: Strategic Marketing of Housing Incentives	Actively promoting the type of development, the community desires can include communicating the intention for new and innovative affordable housing, defining the benefits and development potential within the community, and collecting data that helps to tell the story and addresses perception issues.
0-5: Temporary Emergency Housing	Review the local demographics for the lowest income segments and assess strategies to plan for those. Are regulations needed to ensure this housing is safe and healthy? Do they respond to strategies in countywide plans for reducing homelessness?
<b>Strategies to Mitigate Physical Displacement</b>	
PD-1: Strategic Acquisition and Financing of Existing Multifamily Development	To better retain affordable housing, cities, counties, and housing authorities can catalog naturally occurring affordable housing and housing with income restrictions or covenants that are about to expire.

<p>PD-2: Support Third-party Purchases of Existing Affordable Housing</p>	<p>Using public resources to empower trusted institutions can preserve or create affordable housing and space for community-serving organizations and businesses.</p>
<p>PD-3: Notice of Intent to Sell/ Sale Ordinance</p>	<p>A "Notice of Intent to Sell" ordinance requires owners of multifamily buildings to provide official notification to tenants and local housing officials. The notice gives public authorities the opportunity to plan for a potential purchase in the interest of preserving housing that serves low- or moderate-income residents.</p>
<p>PD-4: Foreclosure Intervention Counseling</p>	<p>Foreclosure intervention counselors serve as intermediaries between homeowners and financial institutions to advocate for at-risk homeowners in need of budgeting assistance, refinanced loan terms or repaired credit scores. Cities can use affordable housing funds to support these programs.</p>
<p>PD-5: Mobile Home Park Preservation and Relocation Assistance</p>	<p>The Washington State Department of Commerce offers a manufacture/mobile home relation assistance program that provides financial resources to assist displaced residents, particularly those who meet low-income thresholds.</p>
<p>PD-6: Mobile Home Park Conversion to Cooperative</p>	<p>The Washington State Housing Finance Commission, in partnership with Resident Owned Communities (ROC) Northwest and ROC USA, offers the financial tools and expert guidance for manufactured-housing ("mobile-home") communities to become self-owned cooperatives.</p>
<p>PD-7: Tenant Relocation Assistance</p>	<p>Local governments, authorized by WAC 365-196-835 and detailed in RCW 59.18.440, can pass an ordinance that requires developers, public funds, or a combination of the two to provide relocation funds for those displaced by development of new housing in upzoned areas.</p>
<p>PD-8: Just Cause Eviction Protections</p>	<p>Local jurisdictions can pass just cause eviction protections that mandate that proprietors provide tenants a legally justifiable reason when being asked to vacate.</p>
<p>PD-9: "Right to Return" Policies for Promoting Home Ownership</p>	<p>A "right to return" policy works to reverse the effects of past physical displacement by providing down payment assistance for first-time homebuyers who can prove that they have been victims of displacement. Programs may prioritize cases of displacement by direct government action.</p>
<p>PD-10: Regulation Short-term Rentals</p>	<p>A first step is to track STR activity by requiring registration and reporting from owners of these units. Policy regulations should prioritize actions that reduce the likelihood of converting long-term rentals into STRs.</p>



## APPENDIX B. RESOURCES FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Agency	Program	Description
Washington State Department of Commerce (Commerce)	Housing Trust Fund	Provides state and federal funds for affordable housing construction and preservation. HOME Investment and Partnership Program funds are also awarded through the Housing Trust Fund Process.
Commerce	Connecting Housing to Infrastructure Program (CHIP)	The program helps affordable housing projects connect to water, sewer, and stormwater infrastructure by paying for waived connection fees, the infrastructure to connect to regional water, sewer, or stormwater systems, or for on-site stormwater facilities. Up to \$1M in funding per project. Applications outside Seattle/King County are given priority.
Commerce	Weatherization	Commerce contracts with local agencies that weatherize low-income homes and apartments.
Commerce	Washington (WA) Foreclosure Fairness Program	Provides homeowner foreclosure assistance for offering free housing counseling, civic legal aid, and foreclosure mediation.
Commerce	Mobile/ Manufactured Home Relocation Assistance Program	Reimburses costs of mobile and manufactured home relocation up to \$7,500 for a single-section home and \$12,000 for a multi section home. Also reimburses for demolition, removal, and down payment for another manufactured home.
Commerce	Consolidated Homeless Grant (CHG)	The CHG provides resources to fund homeless crisis response systems to support communities in ending homelessness. Grants are provided to local governments and nonprofits. Funding is from document recording fees authorized through the Homeless Housing and Assistance Act.
Commerce	Brownfield Revolving Loan Fund (BRLF)	Provides technical assistance and low interest loans for cleanup activities on contaminated properties for redevelopment activities, including affordable housing development.
WA Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP)	Federal historic tax credit program	A 20% Federal income tax credit on the qualified amount of private investment spent on certified rehabilitation of a National Register listed historic buildings.
Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC)	Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)	The nine percent Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC) allocates federal income tax credit to developers to encourage the construction and rehabilitation of affordable multifamily housing. Housing credit is allocated through an annual competitive process in which projects are evaluated and scored according to the Commission's established criteria.
WSHFC	Multifamily bond programs	Multifamily Housing Bonds with 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credit provides access to bond and tax credit financing for affordable housing developers.

WSHFC	Bond financing for non-profit facilities	Helps 501(c)(3) nonprofits save money through lower-interest loans for construction, capital improvements and equipment.
WSHFC	Manufactured home community investment program	The Commission helps preserve manufactured home communities by allowing them to purchase and manage their communities.
WSHFC	Land acquisition (for housing non- profits)	The Land Acquisition Program assists eligible nonprofit organizations in Washington state to purchase land suited for either multifamily or single-family affordable housing development.
WSHFC	Home mortgage loan programs	The Commission currently operates two mortgage loan programs: Home Advantage and House Key Opportunity and eleven down payment assistance programs. The Commission works through a network of participating lenders who originate and close the loans.
WSHFC	Down payment assistance	Programs vary, but the Commission offers down payment assistance loans for homebuyers who use the Commission's programs.
WSHFC	Homeowner-ship education programs	Through local partnerships, WSHFC helps homebuyers learn how to purchase and maintain a home. Commission-sponsored homebuyer education seminars are free; open to the public; and include information about the Commission's first mortgage programs, down payment assistance, and other loan programs. Seminars are accepted by all affordable housing loan programs as meeting or exceeding educational requirements.
WSHFC	Sustainable Energy Programs	The Commission offers several ways to affordably develop energy-efficient buildings, upgrade existing buildings and create or conserve energy. Smaller loans are available through the Energy Spark home loan program. The Sustainable Energy Trust (SET) provides low interest loans for energy efficiency or renewable energy projects and a tax-exempt or tax credit bond for larger projects (over \$1 Million).
US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Section 8, Public Housing	<p>The "Section 8" housing choice voucher program is the federal government's major program for assisting very low-income families, the elderly and the disabled to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market. Since housing assistance is provided on behalf of the family or individual, participants can find their own housing, including single family homes, townhouses, and apartments.</p> <p>Public (site-based) housing provides decent and safe rental housing for eligible low-income families, the elderly, and persons with disabilities. Public housing comes in all sizes and types, from scattered single-family houses to high rise apartments for elderly families.</p>

HUD	Home Rehabilitation Loan Program (HRLP) (2018)	Provides deferred loans to rural, low-income households that need repairs and improvements on their primary residence for health, safety, or durability. Funding for the loan program comes from the Washington Capital Budget.
HUD	Continuum of Care (CoC) Program	The CoC program provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, and State and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals and families.
HUD	Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG)	Grants of federal funds to provide street outreach, emergency shelter, rental assistance, and related services.
HUD	Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (PRA) demonstration	Federal funds provide project- based rental assistance. The program creates collaboration between Commerce and Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) that will increase rental housing units for persons with disabilities by integrating Section 811 PRA assisted units within existing, new, or rehabilitated multifamily properties.
HUD	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	Uses federal funds to support communities providing utility, deposit, and ongoing rental assistance. Eligible households are referred to TBRA through local coordinated entry systems.
HUD	Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Provides federal funds for the following activities: housing rehabilitation, homeownership assistance, local connections to sewers and affordable housing plans. Can NOT fund new housing construction but can fund infrastructure in support of new affordable housing.
HUD	Indian Community Development Block Grant (ICDBG) Program	Provides direct grants for use in developing viable Indian Communities, including decent housing, a suitable living environment and economic opportunities, primarily for low- and moderate-income persons.
US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development	Single Family Housing Guaranteed Loan Program	Assists approved lenders in providing loans to low- and moderate-income households for adequate, modest, decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings as their primary residence in eligible rural areas. The program provides a 90% loan note guarantee to approved lenders to reduce the risk of extending 100% loans to eligible rural homebuyers.
USDA Rural Development	Single Family Housing Direct Home Loans (Section 502 Direct Loan Program)	This program, also known as the Section 502 Direct Loan Program, assists low- and very- low-income applicants to obtain decent, safe, and sanitary housing in eligible rural areas by providing payment assistance to increase an applicant's repayment ability. Payment assistance is a type of subsidy that reduces the mortgage payment for a brief time. The amount of assistance is determined by the adjusted family income.
USDA Rural Development	Single Family Housing Repair (Section 504 Home Repair) Loans and grants	This program provides loans to very-low-income homeowners to repair, improve or modernize their homes, or grants to elderly very-low-income homeowners to remove health and safety hazards.
USDA Rural Development	Rural Community Development Initiative (RCDI) Grants	RCDI grants are awarded to help support housing, community facilities and community and economic development projects in rural areas. Can be used to provide training, such as homeownership education, or technical assistance, such as strategic plan development.

Community Development Financial Institution	New Market Tax Credit Program	Permits taxpayers to receive a credit against federal income taxes for making qualified equity investments in designated Community Development Entities (CDEs) in low-income communities. All the qualified equity investments must in turn be used by the CDE to provide investments in low-income communities. Investors may not redeem their investments in CDEs prior to the conclusion of the seven-year period.
Rural Community Assistance Cooperation (RCAC)	Housing Development (non-profits)	RCAC provides support to develop rental or for sale affordable housing and community facilities in the rural west. Support includes technical assistance in LIHTC, USDA Rural Development 515, 514/516, HOME, CDBG, AHP, tax-exempt bonds, state housing trust funds, project-based Section 8, local and state resources, and conventional financing
RCAC	Section 523 Mutual Self-Help Housing	Low-income borrowers work together under the guidance of a non-profit public housing entity (self-help grantee) to build each other's homes. With a construction supervisor on site, building groups perform at least 65% of the construction work required (known as "sweat equity"). In most cases, the grantee also manages the construction loans, develops the building site, provides homeownership training, offers building plans, qualifies the borrower for his/her mortgage and markets the program in the service area.
Local	Sales and use tax for affordable housing	A local vote, or council approval (as of 2020), would authorize a local sales and use tax of up to 0.1 percent per dollar spent. Funds must be used for construction of affordable housing or behavioral health-related facilities for named groups with incomes of 60% or less of county median income.
Local	Free or discounted public land	Public agencies (local government or utility) can discount or gift land they own for "public benefit," defined as affordable housing for households up to 80% AMI.
Local	Affordable housing property tax levy	A local vote may authorize a levy of up to \$0.50 per \$1,000 assessed value for up to ten years to finance affordable housing for very low-income households (equal to or under 50 % AMI). Must declare an affordable housing emergency and have an affordable housing financing plan. Program was expanded in 2020 to allow the use of revenues to include affordable homeownership, owner-occupied home repair, and foreclosure prevention programs for "low-income" households up to 80% of median income.
Local	Affordable and Supportive Housing Sales and Use Tax	RCW 82.14.540 created a revenue-sharing partnership between the state and counties and cities for affordable and supportive housing investments. It authorized a local sales tax option that is a credit against the state sales tax rate of 6.5%. Housing and services may be provided only to persons whose income is at or below 60% of the median income of the city or county imposing the tax.
Local	Sales and Use Tax for Chemical Dependency, Mental Health Services or Therapeutic Courts	RCW 82.14.460 authorized counties to levy a one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax to fund new mental health, chemical dependency, or therapeutic court service. Any county may impose a mental health and chemical dependency sales tax up to 0.1% for mental health and drug treatment purposes.

Local	Real Estate Excise Tax 2 (REET 2)	A 0.25% REET which may be imposed by any city, town or county fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA), to be used for "capital projects" specified in the capital facilities plan element of the jurisdiction's comprehensive land use plan, including affordable housing projects through January 1, 2026. Jurisdictions may only use a portion of REET funds on affordable housing.
Local	Impact fee waivers	Impact fee waiver for up to one of fees for permanently restricted affordable housing (for rental or purchase) for households earning less than or equal to 80% AMI. 80% may be waived; but if 100% of fees are waived, 20% must be paid with other public money. A school district receiving impact fees must approve any exemption.
Local	Multifamily tax exemption program	12-year property tax exemption on the value of improvements for 4+ new or rehabilitated, rental or ownership housing units if at least 20% of units are affordable to households earning 115% AMI or less. Must define a "residential targeted area" within an urban center within which the exemption would apply.
Local	Fee waivers for water or sewer connection	Waiver or delay of tap-in charges, connection, or hook-up fees for low-income persons for water, sanitary or storm sewer, electricity, gas, or other utility.
Local	Sewage and solid waste fees	Assistance for sewer and solid waste fees.
Local	Affordable Housing Incentive Programs	Any GMA city or county may enact or expand affordable housing incentive programs through development regulations or conditions on rezoning or permit decisions, or both, on residential, commercial, industrial, or mixed-use development. The program may include mandatory or optional elements, such as density bonuses within the urban growth area, height, and bulk bonuses, fee waivers or exemptions, parking reductions, expedited permitting, or mandatory amount of affordable housing provided by each development.
Local	Community Revitalization Financing (CRF)	The CRF authorizes creation of tax increment areas where community revitalization projects and programs are financed by diverting a portion of the regular property taxes imposed by local governments within the tax increment area.
Local	Local Infrastructure Financing Tool Program (LIFT)	Provides funding for local infrastructure using sales tax, property tax and selected other excise tax increases generated by an economic development project as part of a revenue development area designated by



		the sponsoring local government.
Local	Local Revitalization Tool (LRF)	Authorizes cities and counties to create "revitalization areas" and allows certain revenues to be used for payment of bonds issued for financing local public improvements within the revitalization area.
Local	Deferral of property tax	A claimant may apply to defer payment of 50% of special assessments or real property taxes, or both, provided the household's combined disposable income is \$57,000 or less and the claimant must have paid one-half of the total assessments and taxes for the year.
Local	Tax deferral for retired persons	Allows eligible agencies to provide tax relief to eligible households (less than 75% AMI).
Local	Tax deferral for certain people	Property tax exemption for seniors or veterans with certain qualifications.