## Exhibit 4 - Comparison Matrix New to Old

City of Snoqualmie

Fi	inancial Management Policy	hew policy proposed to be adopted vi be proposed to repeal or update code	ia ordinance and replace all former ordinances, resolutions; SMC wi
	Compa	arison of new policy to current	policies and & SMC
	New Policy Section	Current Policy or Code Reference	Differences and Notes to Proposed Updates
			Old policy refers to council priorities vs new policy refers to finan-
1.0	Introduction & Objectives	Res 777 (pg 37)	objectives; updated narrative to reflect introductory statement
	Reserves & Fund Balance	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	See individual sections
2.1	Fund Balance Definitions	pg 50 to 51	No current policy or code reference
			Overall current target approx. 16.5% which fall between a range.
			page 52 of current where statement regarding range is a policy
			statement regarding flexibility of the Council during budget
			development process to utilize reserves or build them back up
			Current Contingency Reserve Fund of 5% of unrestricted General
			Fund resources to a max of .375 cents/1000 AV
2.2	Decree Toronto Consult out	Day 777 (an 50 50)	Cashflow reserve fund target of 10% of GF unrestricted resource
2.2	Reserve Target - General Fund	Res 777 (pg 50-52)	Operating Reserve Fund target of 5% of GF unrestricted resource
			Current policy intermixes CIP procedures for utility CIP and is not clear on fiscal targets
			Utility operating reserve of 10% with 5% of this reserve set aside
2 2	Reserve Target - Enterprise Funds	Res 777 (ng 43-45)	CIP
۷.۵	meserve raiget - Litterprise Fullus	Res 777 (pg 43-45)	Human Services Fund - new policy combines two separate
			resolutions that have procedures which should be internal proce
			and only refers to the internal service fund target reserve amoun
			and funding requirements
2.4	Reserve Target - Special & Capital Funds	Res 1402 and Res 849 (pg 6 to 16)	Building permit reserve fund w/ no target reserve
	<u> </u>		IT, Facilities, Fleet, Equipment internal funds with no reserve targ
2.5	Reserve Target - Internal Service Funds		set
			Current policy has some narrative regarding reserves for each type
2.6	Replenishment of Reserves		fund and how excess reservesand surpluses are handled
			Current policy has some narrative regarding reserves for each type
	Excess Reserves	pg 39 and 42	fund and how excess reservesand surpluses are handled
3.0	Revenues		
2.4	Daniel Okiasti as	47	
	Revenue Objectives	pg 47	Undated revenue chiectives, removed procedural parrative
	Fee Schedule Cash Management	ng EO	Updated revenue objectives, removed procedural narrative throughout section
	Bad Debt & Collections	pg 59	throughout section
	Revenue Distribution		1
	Grants Management	pg 58	Updated narrative and target amounts for pursuing grants
	Donations & Gifts	F8	New
3.8	Gifts to City Officials		Similar; expands RCW statement
	Expenditures		
4.1	Expenditure Objectives		Updated expenditure objectives and policy statements througho
	Efficiency		section; removes procedures from policy
	Purchasing Standards		- Constant of Proceedings from Policy
4.4	Purchasing Authorization		
			Proposed increase in commitment authority by \$10,000; City mu
, -	Committee and A. H. att.	SMC 2 00 (no CC 70)	follow state law regarding bidding and contracts, which is reflect
4.5	Commitment Authority	SMC 2.90 (pg 66-70)	new policy vs SMC
			Proposed change from SMC financial program for credit card as a
10	Purchasing Controls 9: Mathods	SMC 2.70 (ng 54)	form of payment to commercial (purchasing) card program guide policy and internal financial procedures
	Purchasing Controls & Methods Exemptions to Commitment	SMC 2.70 (pg 64)	New
	Personnel Expenditures		New
	Budget		1
٥.٠		†	
5.1	Budget Objectives		Both policies have similar budget narrative but new policy remov
	Budget Objectives  Budget Principles	<b>†</b>	procedures which will be defined by Finance Director
		Res 777	Current policy has budget calendar narrative that is restrictive an
5.3	Budget Scope		out of date and procedural in nature
_	- '	┪	Similar
5.4	Balanced Budget		Jiiiliai
	Balanced Budget Cost Allocation	+	New policy expands on cost allocation plan and overview

## Exhibit 4 - Comparison Matrix New to Old

City of Snoqualmie

5.8 Mi 5.9 En 5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	New Policy Section  ervices Levels & Funding Maintenance & Replacement Interprise Fund Budgets  udget Reporting	crison of new policy to current  Current Policy or Code Reference	Differences and Notes to Proposed Updates  Updated objectives, priority statements and removes procedures from policy
5.8 Mi 5.9 En 5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	ervices Levels & Funding Maintenance & Replacement nterprise Fund Budgets	Current Policy or Code Reference	Updated objectives, priority statements and removes procedures
5.8 Mi 5.9 En 5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	Naintenance & Replacement nterprise Fund Budgets		<b>-</b>
5.8 Mi 5.9 En 5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	Naintenance & Replacement nterprise Fund Budgets		<b>-</b>
5.9 En 5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	nterprise Fund Budgets		THOM DOILLY
5.1 Bu 6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro			<b>-</b> ' '
6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	udget Reporting		Current policy identifies similar budget monitoring policy; new pr
6.0 Ca 6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	uuget keporting		identifies quarterly financial performance report
6.1 Ca 6.2 CII 6.3 Pro	apital Budget	pg 53	identifies quarterly illiancial performance report
6.2 CII 6.3 Pr	apitai budget	pg 33	Updated objectives, priority statements and removes procedures
6.2 CII 6.3 Pr	apital Improvement Plan		from policy
6.3 Pr	IP Criteria & Ranking		New
	roject Source & Use Identification		New
6.4 Pla	•	<u> </u>	
	lanning Process	pg 57	CIP updates to shift to biennial review vs annual update
	ebt Management	<u> </u>	
	ebt Purpose	<u> </u>	
	ebt Practices	pg 60	Updated narrative, removed duplicate language and procedural
	ond Terms & Methods	<u> </u>	content
	efunding Bonds	_	
	ond Rating inancial Guarantees	_	
	nvestments		
	olicy & Scope	4	Similar policy; updated narrative
	rudence	_	Similar policy; updated narrative
8.2 PI	rudence	_	Similar policy; updated narrative Similar policy; new policy removes "Safety" as this is inherent in
0 2 01	hinetivo		Law
	Objective relegation of Authority	+	Similar policy; updated narrative
	nvestment Procedures	<del> </del>	Similar policy, apuated harrative Similar policy; old policy refers to internal control
	thics & Conflicts of Interest	+	Similar policy; updated narrative
	uthorized Financial Dealers	<del>- </del>	Similar policy; updated narrative
0.7 7.0	athonized i maneral bediens	+	Similar policy; updated narrative simplified and removed list whi
8 8 Δι	uthorized & Suitable Investments	Res 559 (pg 17 to 32)	found in RCW
	afekeeping & Custody	<del>-</del>	Similar policy; updated narrative; City must comply with State La
0.5 50	arenceping a custody	_	annual policy, apautou namative, only must comply menotiate an
			New policy sets targets for diversification of portfolio maturities
			type of investment; too restrictive does not allow for flexibility w
			market conditions change. Broker diversification is set to ensure
			all assets are managed by one investment firm; sets maximum
8 10 Di	viversification		maturity at 10 years to align with debt service if applicable
0.10 01	TVC13IIICUCIOII	<del> </del>	New policy describes reporting to Council and Committees vs on
8 11 Rc	eporting		City Administrator
	ong-term Financial Planning	Res 777 (pg 37 to 39)	orty / turning a dear
3.0 10	ong term i manetar i taming	11C3 777 (pg 37 to 33)	Current policy content regarding forecasting in beginning section
			policy vs new policy has separate sections pertaining to long-terr
			financial planning, financial modeling and expands to require a 2
9.1 M	Nodel Objective	Res 777 (pg 38)	year forecast/model
3.1 141	0 0 1000000	(80 30)	,
10.0 4.	uditing, Accounting & Internal Control		
	nternal Control	Res 777 (pg 59)	Updated narrative, removed duplicate language and procedural
	ccounting Write-offs	1	content
	etty Cash & Bank Account Controls	†	
20.0 1 6	, saon a sammoodine controls		New policy sets target capital asset threshold vs nothing in old;
			similar for equipment replacement. New policy proposes that th
			ERR is updated every 2 yrs similar to biennial budget timeframe;
		Res 777 (pg 41 & 42; pg 54)	CIP project threshold recommends increase to \$50,000 and shift
			use of the term capital outlay in the operating funds for small
			projects
10.4 (-3	anital Asset Threshold		151
	apital Asset Threshold		New
10.5 Inv	nventory of Small & Attractive Items		New Similar
10.5 Inv 10.6 Su	nventory of Small & Attractive Items urplus Assets		New Similar
10.5 Inv 10.6 Su	nventory of Small & Attractive Items		Similar
10.5 Inv 10.6 Su	nventory of Small & Attractive Items urplus Assets		Similar  Current policy has performance budgeting narrative; however, s
10.5 Inv 10.6 Su	nventory of Small & Attractive Items urplus Assets		

## Exhibit 4 - Comparison Matrix New to Old

## City of Snoqualmie

Financial Management Policy	New policy proposed to be adopted via ordinance and replace all former ordinances, resolutions; SMC will be proposed to repeal or update code					
Comparison of new policy to current policies and & SMC						
New Policy Section	Current Policy or Code Reference	Differences and Notes to Proposed Updates				
AC Updates/Repeal Proposed						
2.04	update for position titles and add refe	rence to financial management policy				
2.7	repeal and see FMP section regarding commercial/purchasing card program administration; section regarding purchasing commitment levels					
2.9	repeal and see FMP section regarding purchasing commitment & authority - same matrix in policy not in SMC					
3.12	repeal and transfer or combine with 3.16					
3.24	repeal; see 2.04 regarding FD ability to create/close funds not needed/duplicate					
3.28	repeal; see 2.04 regarding FD ability to create/close funds not needed/duplicate					
3.3	keep					
3.32	repeal; procedural in nature and referred to in new policy					
3.36	repeal; procedural in nature and referred to in new policy					
3.37	repeal; procedural in nature and referred to in new policy					
3.38	repeal; see 2.04 regarding FD ability to create/close funds not needed/duplicate					
3.44	keep; review res 1453 for duplication was adopted via Ord 530 in 1983	- may need to update SMC with Ordinance, not resolution; SMC3.4				
3.52	repeal; update utility SMC					
3.56	repeal; procedural in nature and refer	red to in new policy				
3.65		o create/close funds not needed/duplicate				
3.7	repeal; procedural in nature and refer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3.8	update and remove procedural language and replace with reference to FMP					
3.85		red to in new policy; update 2.04 with brief section is necessary				