

## MTMP Working Team 1/26/26; 5-6pm Draft Notes

1. Review 9/25/25 meeting notes – suggestions from that meeting are incorporated below.
2. Prioritize items relevant to MTMP from January SPBAC meeting, for submission to the SPBAC:

**Action item:** The MTMP Working Group feels that 2 or 3 working groups are needed to move the MTMP work forward. It should not be the responsibility of only one working group. The first things to tackle are:

- **Pedestrian Priority Network – essentially done; needs SPBAC and Council approval**  
**Bicycle priority streets – need bicycle-focused working group to develop from work of previous SPBAC.**
- **Trail network – need working group to explore what’s been done and what is possible in connecting Sandpoint internally and with outlying areas.**
- **Review Capital Improvement Projects (Great Northern, Superior, etc.), -- an ad hoc working group tackle; starting with a general review now. Then, in depth with new Ped/Bike priority streets in mind.**
- **Incorporate Complete Streets policy more fully in MTMP language, adding safety language to the policy. A working group can tackle this important policy and explore its ramifications.**

### Need doing by other Working Groups:

Winter level of service for priority streets

Street sections/standards be reviewed and rewritten to reflect multimodal safety.

Rewrite Sidewalk Ordinance and In Lieu Policy (Working group tackle, and refine/complete after pedestrian priorities are adopted.)

Also mentioned at SPBAC, not included here until the priorities above are done, except as incorporated in the above:

Traffic Calming Program,

Striping, paint, regulatory signage,

Street and pathway maintenance,

Wayfinding,

General bike ped supportive language.

taking advantage of transit for bicycling and walking

Fleshing out components of Play Streets (assuming SPBAC and Council agreement with that designation),

3. **Action item:** Recommend SPBAC review of and action on Pedestrian Priority Streets for February. Focus for discussion what is most changed:
  - a. SPOT added as an element of pedestrian priorities.
  - b. Play streets as a low priority subgroup?
  - c. Incorporating the final block of new cul d'sacs as low priority/play streets if no possibility of future vehicular extension?
  
4. Next working group meeting – to be determined by outcome of SPBAC meeting.