

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality Clean Water Loan Letter of Interest and Rating Form

Fiscal Year 2026

Section I. Project Information

Provide complete and accurate answers to receive the highest possible rating for your project. When possible, DEQ recommends working closely with your engineering consultant to complete this form. If you would like help completing this form, please contact DEQ at grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov to discuss technical assistance opportunities.

A. Syste	. System Identification		
	System Name: City of Sandpoint Wastewat	er Treatment Plant	
	System Address:1123 W. Lake St.		
	City:Sandpoint	State: D	Zip:83864
	Is the system located wholly within incorporated of	city limits?	Yes No
	What City?Sandpoint	County:Bonner	
	System Phone:208-263-3407	System Email:	
	Population Served:~9,000	Owner's Name:City_of S	andpoint
	Owner's Phone:208-263-3407	System Ownership: For	Profit Not-for-Profit
	Name/Title of System Contact (if different from ov	vner):	<u>-</u>
	Contact's Address:		
	City:	State:	Zip:
	Contact's Phone:	Contact's Email:	
	Do you have a predesignated, licensed and design	ated responsible charge ope	erator for your system
	as described in the "Wastewater Rules" (IDAPA 58		Yes No
	Name: Deven Hull	License Number: WWT4	-22122
	Note: If the facility has a National Pollutant Disch	• •	• •
	Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (IPDES) pe		se permit, or a
	subsurface discharge permit, the permit number(s	•	
	NPDES/IPDES Permit WW Reu ID0020842	use Permit Subsu	ırface Permit

В.	Proje	ct Readiness	
De	termir	ne your community's readiness for Clean Water	State Revolving Fund (SRF) funding by answering the
fol	lowing	questions: Select all that apply:	
'	1.	Your system is current with all payments of fin	es, state revolving fund loans, penalties, or fee
		assessments due to DEQ.	
\bigcirc	2.	Your community has completed a DEQ-approv	ed wastewater facility plan (with a final environmental
		determination) in the last five years.	The City has a technically approved wastewater
		Date of approval: 1/1/2019	treatment facility plan dated 01/01/2019. Environmental determination and public outreach need to be completed
		OR	for final approval.
\bigcirc)	Your community is in the process of developin	g a new planning document and environmental
		determination that will be completed and sub	mitted to DEQ for approval by December 31, 2024.
		OR	
$oldsymbol{\odot}$		You are proposing this loan to finance a planni	ng document and environmental determination.
	3.		oject is designed to address an NPS water pollution
		problem.	
_			ot complete or submit this form to DEQ. If you are
			out the Wastewater Planning Grant Letter of Interest
(LC	OI) forr	n.	
If y	you sel	ected questions 1 and either 2 or 3, complete	all applicable sections of this form.
'	4.	This LOI request is to address a budget shortfa	Il or construction cost overrun for an existing project.
	5.	When will your project be ready to begin design	
	6.	When will your project be ready to begin cons	truction? <u>1/1/2027</u>
		Report (PER) for the Wastewater Treatmanticipated to be submitted in March of 20	ciates to develop the Preliminary Engineering ent Plant Improvements project. The PER is 025. The City is planning to go out for a revenue ome design efforts in 2025 extending into 2026 gh 2029.
	7.	The planning document was funded by the sys	tem or by an agency other than DEQ.
		If you selected question 7, the planning docur	ment may need modifications to meet SRF Program
		requirements. See the Outline and Checklist fo	or Planning Document in The Grants and Loans
		Customer Handbook (<u>www.deq.idaho.gov/SRI</u>	<u>E</u>).
	8.	A final environmental determination has been	issued. No environmental determination has been issued.
		If you selected question 8, provide the enviro	nmental document title (if separate from the planning
		document), date submitted to DEQ, and DEQ	environmental determination date:
		Title:	
		Submittal Date:	Determination Date:

c.	Proje	oject Description	
	1.	Describe the known or anticipated problems identified in your existing DEQ-approved wastewate	r
		facility plan for your wastewater system: The majority of the City's WWTP facilities are beyond their useful life and date back to being constructed point to 1983. These facilities are frequently failing and temporary solutions are completed to keep the system functioning. The city struggles to find equipment replacement parts which require specific fabricated solution for interim fixes. The existing treatment infrastructure that does not meet treatment capacity for existing conditions. High peak flow rates due to inflow/infiltration lead to excessive energy use and reduced level of water quality during peak flow events as the facilities are not designed to treat these high flows. The system also struggles to treat for high levels of phosphorus, TSS, BOD, and ammonia at the WWTP. T	ns f
	2.	Describe the alternative selected to correct the identified problem(s). Use the selected alternative	e in
		the facility plan for your wastewater system: The City intends to upgrade and replace virtually all aspects of the plant as indicated in the facility plan including headworks screening and grit removal, influent pumping, primary filters, conventional activated sludge, secondary clarifier, ultraviolet disinfection solids handling, administration and lab facility, digestion facilities, backup power and SCADA controls.	
	3.	You intend to address emerging contaminants (e.g., PFAS, pharmaceuticals, etc.) in part or in who	le
		with this project.	
		If you selected question 3, contact DEQ's Grants and Loans Bureau at grants.loans@deq.idaho.gc	<u> V</u>
		to discuss additional funding opportunities prior to submitting this LOI.	
D.	Proje	ect Costs	
	1.	Project Funding Requested from DEQ's SRF: \$130000000	
		Project Funding from Other Sources: \$	
		Estimated Total Project Cost: \$	
	2.	Briefly describe or attach indicators or actions reflecting the community's interest or readiness to	
		proceed on this project (e.g., minutes from public hearings): The City has developed a WWTP Facility Plan, previously submitted a letter of interest the WWTP improvements project in 2024, and are currently developing the preliminary engineering report for the WWTP improvements. The City also completed a rate study with a scheduled rate structure to cover the loan debt for a \$61.5M loan.	/
	3.	Does the system have the legal authority to incur the debt associated with the proposed project?	
		Select one:	
		System does not yet have the legal authority to incur this debt	
		Bond council or financial consultant retained	
		Bond election scheduled. Indicate the date if applicable:	
		Legal instrument(s) in place (e.g., bond election, judicial confirmation)	
		Homeowners Association (debt authority provided through bylaws)	

4.	What is the amount of debt that can be leg	ally incurred?	\$ <u>0</u>
5.	What length of loan repayment is preferabl	e? O2	20 Years 30 Years
6.	If you have already contacted an engineer a contact information for the engineer (or fire Discussed with engineer Obtained	m): quote from engineer ⑤ Sigi	ned contract with engineer
	Engineer:Keller Associates - currently	developing the Prelimi	nary Engineering Report
	Address:601 E. Sherman Avenue		
	City:Sandpoint	State:Idaho	Zip:83814
	Phone:208-946-3312	Fax:	
	Email:kmeschko@kellerassociates.	com	

Continue to Section II. Integrated Priority Rating System

Section II. Integrated Priority Rating System

"Rules for Administration of Wastewater and Drinking Water Loan Funds" (IDAPA 58.01.12.020.). Projects are identified for placement on priority lists by surveying eligible entities directly on an annual basis. Limited loan funds are awarded to projects based on priority ratings and readiness to proceed. Projects are rated by the Department on a standard priority rating form using public health, sustainability, the condition of the existing system and water quality criteria.

Answer the questions below and provide the requested documentation. Applicants or their consultants are encouraged to contact DEQ prior to the submittal deadline for assistance in answering the questions. **Rating will be determined by the answers and documentation provided.**

A. Public Health Emergency or Hazard – All Projects

<u>IDAPA 58.01.12.020.02.a.</u> Public health emergency or hazard certified by the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality, the Department, a District Health Department, or by a District Board of Health. Up to 150 points.

Note: An emergency declaration must come from the DEQ Board or DEQ (i.e., director, water quality administrator, or regional administrators) or health department officials and must explicitly declare an emergency, not incidentally use the word. Emergency declarations dated more than 24 months prior to the LOI may be disqualified.

1. An officially declared (as listed above) or designated public health hazard or emergency exists.

150 points

If you selected question 1, attach a copy of board documentation or certification. Label the attachment as Section II.A.1.

B. Regulatory Compliance Issues – Point Source Projects/Conventional Wastewater Projects

<u>IDAPA 58.01.12.020.02.b.</u> Regulatory compliance issues (e.g., noncompliance and resulting legal actions relating to infrastructure deficiencies at a wastewater facility). Up to 100 points.

Describe what the level of non-compliance this project is addressing by selecting one of the following:

- 1. **Low-Level Noncompliance:** Includes minor or inconsequential permit violations that will be resolved by the proposed project. *10 points*
 - b. **Moderate-Level Noncompliance:** Includes a first state or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) warning letter, notice of violation, or equivalent that will be resolved by the proposed project. *25 points*
 - c. **High-Level Noncompliance:** Includes a second state or EPA warning letter, compliance agreement schedule, consent order, or equivalent that will be resolved by the proposed project. *50 points*
 - i. **High-Level Noncompliance:** The system has avoided formal litigation actions, court filings, or civil or federal court orders by negotiating compliance actions described in B.1.c with DEQ and/or a federal agency to address violations that are directly related to the proposed project. 25 points

		ii High Lavel Nepsengulianes. The gratery has setisfied all deadlines, wilestones, and		
		ii. High-Level Noncompliance: The system has satisfied all deadlines, milestones, and		
		submittals to date associated with the compliance actions described in B.1.c.		
_		25 points		
2.	The system has received a draft permit with new or more stringent limits and compliance will be			
	achiev	red by the proposed project. <i>75 points</i>		
C. Wate	ershed F	Restoration – All Projects		
IDAPA 58	3.01.12.	020.02.c. Watershed restoration (e.g., implementation of best management practices or		
initiation	of cons	truction at wastewater collection and treatment facilities as part of an approved total		
maximur	n daily l	load plan, implementation of nonpoint source management actions in protection of a		
threaten	ed wate	er or is part of a special water quality effort). Up to 100 points.		
Select all	that ap	oply:		
1.	Surfac	e Water:		
	✓ a.	Project will reduce impacts to surface water. 10 points		
		Describe current impacts and how the project will reduce impacts:		
		Currently the plant discharges to the Pend Oreille River, which is a §303(d)-listed		
		water body. The proposed project will reduce nutrient and organic loadings to the		
		river with higher level of treatment as well as reduced chemical byproducts.		
	✓ b.	Existing facility discharges to or is believed to be impacting a §303(d) water body. 10 points		
		Water Body Name: Pend Oreille River		
		Note: 303(d) listed streams can be viewed at:		
		https://mapcase.deg.idaho.gov/wq2020/default.html. Locate the discharging stream for		
		your project and select status to view category. 303(d) listed streams are classified as		
		category 4 or 5 on the map. Contact your regional DEQ office if you are within tribal		
		boundaries to determine impaired status.		
	C.	Project is for a point source and is expected to reduce a pollutant concern in the §303(d)-		
		listed water body. 10 points		
		List Pollutant:		
	d.	Project is for a point source that is exceeding the waste load allocation (WLA) listed in the		
		approved TMDL. 10 points		
		List TMDL Name and WLA:		
	e.	Project is for a nonpoint source (NPS) and is expected to reduce a pollutant of concern in		
		the §303(d)-listed water body. <i>10 points</i>		
		List Pollutant:		
2.	Groun	dwater: Project will reduce impacts to groundwater by:		
	a.	Reducing pollutant concentrations in a designated sole-source aquifer (i.e., Eastern Snake		
		River Plain, Spokane Valley Rathdrum Prairie, or Lewiston Basin). 10 points		
		(https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/ground-water/aquifers)		

	b.	Reducing nitrate concentrations in a designated Nitrate Priority Area. 10 points			
		(https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/ground-water/ground-water-quality)			
	C.	Reducing nitrate concentrations in a critical groundwater area. 10 points			
		(https://www.idwr.idaho.gov/water-rights/critical-groundwater-areas/map/)			
1 3.	Point s	source applicants: Your system owners would be willing and able to sponsor an NPS project.			
	10 poi	nts			
	Note:	Note: See https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/grants-and-loans/nonpoint-source-subgrants-			
		<u>n-idaho</u> or contact the Grants and Loans Bureau for details. Sponsorships are structured so that			
		s no rate impact to system users.			
=		e Watershed Load Reduction: Points are awarded according to the expected effectiveness of			
		the transferability of the demonstrated technologies to other parts of Idaho. The proposed			
		er restore designated or existing beneficial uses, reduce the severity of NPS impacts, or			
•		de nonpoint pollution reduction or remediation. Examples include: streambank stabilization,			
		storation, wetland restoration, or agricultural best practices such as no-till. Supporting			
calculation	ons mus	st be provided.			
4.	The pr	oposed project will reduce the NPS impact on overall pollutant loading to the watershed			
	25 poi	nts			
	List 8-	digit hydraulic unit code name and number: 17020324			
	Provide description and supporting calculations:				
D. Preve	enting I	mpacts To Beneficial Uses			
IDAPA 58	3.01.12.	020.02.d/e. Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., improvement of beneficial use(s) in a			
given wa	ter bod	y, evidence of community support, or recognition of the special status of the affected water			
body). Pr	eventin	g impacts to uses (all projects). Up to 100 points.			
Select all	that ap	oply:			
1.	Will yo	our project be:			
	✓ a.	Implementing a new recycled water system or refurbishing an existing one. 50 points			
	b.	Converting individual septic systems to centralized treatment? 25 points			
	C.	Refurbishing an existing lagoon? 10 points			
	d.	Removing and disposing of biosolids or seepage testing an existing lagoon? 10 points			

2.	Benef	icial uses are designated by the "Water Quality Standards" (<u>IDAPA 58.01.02.100.</u>). To which of
	the fo	llowing beneficial uses listed will the planning effort analyze reducing current or prevent
	future	e impacts? A sufficient description would describe each beneficial use designation as listed in
	58.01	.02, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, and 160 and explain how the planning effort will address impacts
	or imp	prove beneficial uses designations. Failure to provide sufficient description(s) will result in no
	points	s awarded.
	✓ a.	Aquatic life 25 points
		Designation (COLD, SS, SC, WARM, or MOD): COLD
		Describe impacts prevented or improved: The improvements are anticipated to improve water quality significantly from disinfection byproducts and reduced phosphorus loading. Additionally the existing treatment capacity of the plant does not accommodate peak inflows resulting in a lower water quality effluent which has the potential to harm aquatic life during these events.
	✓ b.	Recreation 15 points
		Designation (PCR or SCR): PCR
		Describe impacts prevented or improved: Excess nutrients promote growth of nuisance aquatic plants and impede navigation. The proposed project continues to reduces phosphorus and ammonia that contribute to this growth on the Pend Oreille River. Additionally the existing treatment capacity of the plant does not accommodate peak inflows resulting in a lower water quality effluent. Improvements will also improve the water quality for individuals using the river to swim in and recreate in.
ī	✓ c.	Other 10 points
		Designation (DWS): DWS
		Describe impacts prevented or improved: Downstream water quality will be improved as Sandpoint effluent quality is improved. Multiple water systems pull water downstream on the Pend Oreille River and overall effluent quality will improve downstream consumers.
3.	State	Priorities: Preference is given to projects based on recognition of the special status of waters
		es of those waters. 10 points
	a.	Project will prevent impacts to or improve water body listed in Chapter 43 , Title 67 , Idaho
	b.	Project will prevent direct impacts to or improve a designated wild and scenic river
		segment? (https://www.rivers.gov/idaho)

		c.	Other: Describe and attach documentation of special status or uses that deem the project a
			state priority. Failure to provide sufficient documentation will result in no points being
			awarded.
			Description:
4.	L	Projec	t will implement one or more of the following:
	L	a.	Removal of stormwater outlets. 5 points
	L	b.	Conversion of a combined sewer to separate stormwater system. 5 points
	L	c.	Or similar projects (contact DEQ first). 5 points
			Indicate type of project(s):
E. Sust	ai	nabilit	y (Green) Infrastructure Efforts
IDAPA 5	8.	01.12.	020.02.f. Sustainability Efforts (e.g., prospective efforts at energy conservation, water
conserv	atı	ion, ex	tending the life capital assets, green building practices, and other environmentally innovative
approac	che	es to in	frastructure repair, replacement, and improvement). Up to 50 points.
Answers	s t	o the f	ollowing questions can improve your overall rating. If you earn Priority List points for your
respons	es	in this	section, you or your project engineer will need to evaluate or complete each item under the
terms of	ft	he loai	n agreement.
Althoug	h (only 50	points can be awarded under Section II.E, DEQ will incorporate all sustainability items
selected	d b	elow i	nto the scope of the loan agreement for inclusion in the construction loan. All the items
selected	l s	hall be	incorporated into the project design and completed during construction.
Select a	II t	that ap	pply – 5 points each (unless otherwise noted), up to a maximum of 50 points.
1.		Mana	gement-based/Innovative Efforts: These efforts could include the following:
	[✓ a.	Project design will implement cyber informed engineering (CIE) analysis and incorporate
			recommended security measures into the project. The CIE analysis must be incorporated
			into the plan and specifications submitted to DEQ for review and approval. CIE resources can
			be found at: https://inl.gov/national-security/cce/ 20 points
	(b.	An ongoing capital budget has been funded and supported by a capital improvement plan.
	`	_	OR
	(\supset	Request assistance to develop a capital improvement plan using a third party technical
	Ì		assistance provider (DEQ will contact you regarding the opportunity).

	lacksquare	c.	A rate study has been completed in the last five years and has been or will be updated as
)		part of the proposed project. The rate study is based on consumption-based full-cost pricing
			for wastewater supported by a capital budget (submit documentation). This means that: 1)
			Utility rates must be based on the flow and strength of the wastewater treated and 2) Utility
			rates must generate revenue sufficient to cover both operating and capital costs.
			Identify the professional (or organization) preparing the rate study: FCS Group
			OR
	\bigcirc		Request assistance to develop a rate study using a third party technical assistance provider
			(DEQ will contact you regarding the opportunity).
		d.	A formal asset management system has been or will be implemented and updated routinely.
			Provide a description of asset management tools and implementation methods:
	\bigcirc	e.	System is currently a EPA Green Power Partner (submit documentation).
			OR
	\bigcirc	١	System will evaluate becoming a EPA Green Power Partner as part of the proposed project.
		f.	System is consolidating with an existing system as part of the proposed project. This must be
			addressed in the facility plan environmental determination and subsequent public
			participation.
			Identify which system(s):
		g.	System will implement the recommendations of a professional energy audit.
			Identify auditor:
	~	h.	Proposed project will aid in preparation for adaptation to the long-term effects of climate
			variation, extreme weather, or drought.
			Provide description:
			The proposed project is preparing for adaption to long term effects of climate change
			by planning for improvements that replace aging infrastructure, upgrade facilities to industry standards with current technology and equipment. Improvements are planned
			to make the system more resilient to changing conditions and weather through backup
			power, redundancy and improvement system capacity.
		i.	Project will recover phosphorus for beneficial reuse.
	/	j.	Project will significantly reduce or eliminate the use of chemicals in treatment.
	/	k.	Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.
		I.	Project will recharge groundwater by land application of effluent for where there are other
			cost-effective discharge alternatives.
*Budget	esti	mat	e allocated to management based-innovative efforts: \$

2.	Green	Efforts: Attach documentation supporting the green efforts. Green efforts could include, but
	are no	ot limited to, the following:
	a.	Projects that involve the wetlands to improve water quality and/or support sustainable
		infrastructure efforts. This includes constructed wetlands as integrated into wastewater
		treatment unit process.
	b.	Establishment or restoration of permanent riparian buffers, floodplains, wetlands, and other
		natural features, including vegetated buffers or soft bioengineered streambanks.
	c.	Downspout disconnection to remove stormwater from sanitary sewers and separate storm
		sewers.
	d.	Fee simple purchase of land or easement on land that has a direct benefit to water quality,
		such as riparian and wetland protection or restoration.
*Budget	estima	te allocated to green efforts: \$
3.	Water	r Conservation Efforts: Attach documentation supporting the water conservation efforts.
	Water	conservation efforts could include, but are not limited to, the following:
	a.	New wastewater reuse when other alternatives have been considered in the facility
		planning process. Including reclaimed water distribution system ("purple pipe").
	b.	Nonpoint source project will utilize Natural Resources Conservation Service best
		management practices.
	c.	Retrofit or replace existing irrigation systems with more efficient irrigation systems.
*Budget	estima	te allocated to water conservation efforts: \$2000000
4.	Energ	y Conservation Efforts: Attach documentation supporting the energy conservation efforts.
	Energ	y conservation efforts could include the following:
	✓ a.	Variable frequency drive (VFD) pumps.
	✓ b.	Energy-efficient motors that meet National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)
		premium specifications.
	c.	Heat pumps that reclaim heat from treated effluent.
	✓ d.	High-efficiency lighting/lighting controls.
	✓ e.	On-site energy generation, such as methane clean combustion, fuel cells, solar, or wind.
	f.	Efficient replacements for vacuum dewatering systems.
	✓ g.	Aeration improvements, such as fine bubble aeration, VFD blowers, or automated dissolved
		oxygen control.
	h.	Tertiary filtration that reduces ultraviolet disinfection power requirements.
	i.	Pressure transmission line replacement resulting in reduced pumping costs.
	1 j.	Supervisory control and data acquisition system installation.
	✓ k.	Infrastructure improvements that significantly reduce infiltration/inflow or eliminate lift
		station(s).
	I.	Collection system infiltration/inflow detection equipment.

*Budget	estimat	te alloca	ited to energy conservation efforts:	\$8000000
*Note: Po	Points may not be awarded if an estimated budget allocation is not provided. Planning Level estimates			
are accep	ceptable for this purpose. Contact DEQ if further guidance is needed.			
Examples	that ha	ave bee	n accepted for Idaho projects are found in the Grants and	Loans Program Customer
Handboo	k: <u>https</u>	://www	v.deg.idaho.gov/SRF.	
F. Affor	dability	/ Disac	Ivantaged Status	
IDAPA 58	.01.12.	020.02.	q. Affordability. Points shall be given when current system	user charges exceed
state affo	ordabilit	ty guide	lines. Up to 10 points.	
Provide i	nformat	tion for	Section II.F. If you qualify as a disadvantaged community,	you will receive 10 points.
1.	How n	nany eq	uivalent dwelling unit (EDU) connections does your systen	n serve? <u>3652</u>
2.	What	is the cu	irrent average user rate? \$/mon	th/EDU <u>85</u>
	Туре о	of rate s	ructure:	
		lat Fee	- Fee amount:	
		Consum	ptive Use - Description: 2,000 gallons monthly and	d greater than 2,000 gallons
		Other: _		
3.	What	is the m	ost recent date that user rates have been increased: 2024	
4.	Expect	ted char	nge in operation and maintenance cost upon completion,	not including debt service:
			\$/mor	nth/EDU20
5.	Does t	he curr	ent average user rate include charges to retire the SRF del	ot for which you are
	applyi	ng?		Yes No
	a.	If YES,	how much of the rate is devoted to debt retirement?	
			\$/mor	nth/EDU
	b.	If NO,	how much will rates be increased to cover debt retiremer	
		Rates a	re planned to cover a \$61.5M debt obligation loan by 2028. \$/mor	hth/EDU25.00
6.	Disad	<i>r</i> antage	d Community Loans:	
			intended to assist lower-income communities. Principal f	_
	-		adjustments are available to communities that demonstr	
			the community median household income (MHI). Contact	: DEQ's Grants and Loans
			ve any questions.	
	a.		n household income calculation instructions:	
		i.	Go to https://censusreporter.org/locate/ .	u automatida en en la calta c
		ii.	Select "Use your current location" or enter an address for	•
			in your community and select the choice provided by the	
		iii.	Hover your cursor over each item in the list on the left of	t the screen and view the
			corresponding green area represented on the map.	

	iv.	Click on the smallest and most representative area for your community either on the
		map or in the list for the data.
	V.	Scroll down to economics and enter the MHI: \$60208
		If there was N/A for median income, choose the next largest area from the list.
	vi.	Provide the data to DEQ. Either take a screenshot of the data (Label attached
		documents as "Section II.F, part number(s)") and include it with your application or
		provide the citation (scroll to the bottom of the page and copy/paste the information
		after "Citation") here: https://censusreporter.org/profiles/16000US1672100-sandpoint-id/
		integration of the second of t
b.	Does t	l :he income level generally reflect your community's average MHI?
		is generally representative of the community.
X		entact the DEQ Grants and Loans Bureau (grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov) for other
		s to evaluate your community's MHI.
С.		annual residence user does not exceed 2% of the community's MHI, but exceeds 1.5%
	of the	community's MHI, you can still qualify if the community's unemployment rate
	exceed	ds the statewide average and the community's population is decreasing.
	i.	Provide evidence from the U.S. Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics or Idaho
		Department of Labor showing the area's unemployment rate and
	ii.	Provide evidence of a declining population, such as comparing current census data to
		previous census data or using the population percent change from the U.S. Census
		QuickFacts.
Label	attache	d documents as "Section II.F, part number(s)".
Notor	If the a	nnual residential user rates do not exceed 2% of the community's MHI, but exceed
note:	ij tile ul	imadi residential asci rates ao not exceed 270 of the community's with, but exceed

Continue to Section III. Authorized Submitter

the statewide average and the community's population is decreasing.

Section III. Authorized Submitter		
Are you willing to allow us to share your LOI with other federal funding agencies? OYes No		
	I understand that if awarded funding, costs incurred prior to the award are not eligible for	
	reimbursement unless a written request and ju-	stification are submitted to and approved by DEQ.
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all information provided here is valid and correct:		
Submitter Name:		Title:
Company:		Date:
If the submitter is different from the system contact, does the submitter have the authority to submit this LOI		
on behalf of the system contact?		○N/A ○Yes ○No

Return completed form by January 10, 2025, to:

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
Attn: Garrett Deegear
1410 North Hilton St.
Boise, ID 83706

grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov

Phone: (208) 373-0134 Fax: (208) 373-0576