

This is **EXHIBIT K**, consisting of [5] pages, referred to in and part of the **Agreement between Owner and Engineer for Professional Services** dated [8/08/2024].

AMENDMENT TO OWNER-ENGINEER AGREEMENT
Amendment No. 2

The Effective Date of this Amendment is: 8/19/2026.

Background Data:

Effective Date of Agreement:	8/08/2024
Owner:	City of Sandpoint
Engineer:	Keller Associates, Inc.
Agreement Number:	A24-3257-7
Project:	Wastewater Treatment Plant Reconstruction Preliminary Engineering Report (PER)

Nature of Amendment:

☐ Modification to Schedule of Services

☐ Additional Services to be performed by Engineer

☐ Modifications of payment to Engineer

Description of Modifications:

1. Revise the PER to reflect updated project phasing and project elements, with Phase 1 focused on the highest-priority needs and risks. The revised PER will also document ongoing coordination with IDEQ regarding potential permitting changes, including alternative compliance approaches for wet-weather conditions and the potential use of an auxiliary wet-weather treatment train.
2. Reevaluate conventional activated sludge (CAS) and membrane bioreactor (MBR) treatment alternatives based on the potential permitting changes and updated project requirements.
3. Evaluate solids handling alternatives, which were not included in the original scope, to further define and select the recommended long-term solids handling improvements.

Modifications to the original Agreement are as follows:

Delete Article 3, paragraph 3.01 A in its entirety and replace with the following:

Refer to the updated schedule provisions in this amendment.

Update the following scope to Exhibit A, Scope of Work: TASK 1 – PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Add an additional 4 months of project management budget from execution of this amendment. Also attend two additional City Council meetings.

Add the following scope to Exhibit A, Scope of Work:

TASK 2 – PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT (PER):

2.7.C.1 Reevaluate CAS and MBR treatment provisions. Refine the previously developed CAS and MBR treatment alternative provisions based on anticipated permit provisions, updated design criteria, and current information on these treatment technologies. Provide updated opinion of probable life cycle cost comparison and summarize benefits and drawbacks of each alternative including capital cost, operations and maintenance costs, energy use, staffing and operator requirements, process complexity, reliability and redundancy, site requirements, constructability, expandability, and compatibility with phased implementation. This subtask includes one review meeting with the Owner to assist in developing consensus on the preferred treatment approach.

2.19 Refine the Preliminary Engineering Report. Refine and update the Preliminary Engineering Report to incorporate the updated project phasing, project impacts for potential permitting changes outlined below (Task 2.C), funding secured, and priority of improvements. Update will include corresponding report, drawings, and cost estimates.

a.) Risk Assessment. Develop a risk matrix for each treatment process that scores the likelihood of failure and the severity of failure based on infrastructure conditions, operational challenges, regulatory drives, redundancy, and remaining useful life. The intent of this task is to help document that Phase 1 includes the higher risk processes and improvements addressing the more urgent system needs while maintaining consistency with the overall long-term improvement program. The assessment will support a recommended prioritization of Phase 1 improvements based on risk, regulatory need, condition, capacity, constructability, and available funding. This task will include one meeting with the client to review findings and develop consensus for the Phase 1 improvements to be carried forward in the updated PER.

b.) Solids Handling Alternative Analysis. Evaluate up to three solids handling alternatives that may include new digesters, sludge dryers, and hauling solids for the wastewater treatment plant. Provide a recommended solids handling alternative and document the basis for the recommendation. Once selected, provide additional concept-level drawing sheets to illustrate the preferred alternative for the solids handling improvements and update other applicable drawings that may be impacted by the solids handling alternatives analysis.

c.) Alternative Project Refinement Based on IDEQ Permitting Provisions. Develop proposed permitting provisions for wet weather events and auxiliary wet-weather treatment train and coordinate with IDEQ regarding the technical and regulatory basis for those provisions. Based on the proposed provisions and feedback received through IDEQ coordination, establish permitting assumptions for use in refining the PER.

Evaluate how the proposed permitting provisions may affect project scope, design criteria, treatment approach, treatment capacity, loading assumptions, project phasing, and the regulatory framework, as applicable. The narrative shall identify the permitting

assumptions used as the basis for the recommended project, the status of IDEQ coordination, and any provisions that remain subject to future IDEQ acceptance or approval. The narrative shall also describe material project changes that may be required if the proposed permitting provisions are not ultimately approved.

Drawing updates associated with the selected project approach will be completed under the applicable PER drawing tasks. Detailed drawings specific to the permitting analysis will not be prepared under this subtask.

d.) Update Preliminary Drawings

- Revise preliminary-level layout and process drawings to reflect updated assumptions, phased project implementation, proposed permitting provisions, and selected treatment improvements. This subtask assumes CAS treatment drawings are updated and refined. If MBR is the selected treatment alternative Task 10 will be utilized in addition to incorporate new MBR drawings.
- Annotate drawings to identify Phase 1 and Phase 2 improvements, including expandability and future provisions. It is anticipated that the majority of the existing plan sheets will be updated to delineate the phasing and improvements.

e.) Update Opinion of Costs

- Prepare updated cost estimates reflecting revised project scope, permitting assumptions, and phased implementation.
- Develop separate cost summaries for Phase 1 (targeting \$60M) and Phase 2 (anticipated additional \$70±M), including appropriate contingencies and escalation assumptions.
- Where potential IDEQ permitting provisions materially affect project scope or cost, identify the anticipated cost implications of the applicable permitting scenarios.

f.) Update PER document

- Integrate revisions into an updated Preliminary Engineering Report, including narrative, tables, figures, drawings, and opinion of probable costs.
- Refine project descriptions to identify which facilities, process units, and improvements are included in each phase and how phased implementation supports regulatory compliance, constructability, and funding strategies.
- Update implementation schedules and phasing narratives to convey sequencing and long-term system considerations.
- Identify major facilities proposed to remain in service through Phase 1 and summarize known condition, remaining useful-life considerations, and significant rehabilitation or reliability improvements needed to support continued operation.
- Describe major construction sequencing constraints, bypass considerations, site access, and other measures anticipated to maintain continuous wastewater treatment during construction.
- Update the overall project implementation schedule.

Deliverables

- Updated Draft PER – including the report and drawings.
- Finalized PER following Agency Comments

TASK 10: MBR DRAWINGS

10.1 MBR Drawings. If MBR treatment is selected this task will be utilized to incorporate new drawings associated with the MBR treatment improvements. This task is in addition to the Task 2: Preliminary Engineering Report and is intended to be utilized on drawing development. If the MBR treatment is not selected this task will not be utilized unless directed so by the Owner.

Deliverables

- Updated Draft PER drawings.

Add the following scope to Exhibit A, Schedule:

Task	Schedule
Task 2 – Preliminary Engineering Report	Consultant anticipates completing the above services within 120 days upon execution of this amendment. If MBR treatment technology is selected the schedule is to be increased by an additional 30 days.

Revise the following scope to Exhibit A, Compensation:

Task	Billing Basis	Original Agreement	Prior Amendments	This Amendment	Total
Task 1: Project Management	LS	\$45,145.00	\$0	\$17,400.00	\$62,545.00
Task 2: Preliminary Engineering Report	LS	\$316,825.00	\$0	\$180,880.00	\$497,705.00
Task 3: Funding Support	LS	\$14,360.00	\$0	\$0	\$14,360.00
Task 4: Geotechnical Feasibility	LS	\$28,320.00	\$0	\$0	\$28,320.00
Task 5: Topographical Survey	LS	\$28,270.00	\$0	\$0	\$28,270.00
Task 6: Idaho DEQ Support	T&M	\$0	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$40,000.00

Task 7: Revenue Bond Support	T&M	\$0	\$15,000.00	-\$4,975	\$10,025.00
Task 8: Funding Application Support	T&M	\$0	\$7,000.00	\$0	\$7,000.00
Task 9: Environmental Documentation	T&M	\$0	\$26,500.00	-\$10,333.75	\$16,166.25
Task 10: MBR Drawings	LS	\$0	\$0	\$32,545.00	\$32,545.00
Total		\$432,920.00	\$68,500.00	\$235,516.25	\$736,936.25

Agreement Summary:

Original agreement amount:	\$ 432,920.00
Net change for prior amendments:	\$ 68,500.00
This amendment amount:	<u>\$ 235,516.25</u>
Adjusted Agreement amount:	\$ 736,936.25

The foregoing Agreement Summary is for reference only and does not alter the terms of the Agreement.

Owner and Engineer hereby agree to modify the above-referenced Agreement as set forth in this Amendment. All provisions of the Agreement not modified by this or previous Amendments remain in effect.

OWNER: CITY OF SANDPOINT

ENGINEER: KELLER ASSOCIATES, INC.

By: _____

By: _____

Print name: Jeremy Grimm

Print name: James Bledsoe, PE

Title: Mayor

Title: Vice President/Principal

Date Signed: _____

Date Signed: _____