

**MEETING DATE:** Monday, February 24, 2020  
**MEETING TIME:** 6:00 PM  
**MEETING LOCATION:** City Council Chambers, 448 E. First Street, Suite 190, Salida, CO

---

**Present:** Follet, Bomer, Denning, Kriebel, Steimle, Dockery, Mendelson, Walker, Van Nimwegen, Jefferson, Almquist, Attorney Nina Williams

**Absent:**

---

**AGENDA SECTION:**

- I. CALL TO ORDER BY Follet: - 6:00 PM**
- II. ROLL CALL:**
- III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES – November 25, 2019 – Bomer** made a motion to approve the minutes as written. **Denning** seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion carried.
- IV. UNSCHEDULED CITIZENS – None**
- V. AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA - None**
- VI. PUBLIC HEARINGS –**
  - 1. Buskist Variance Application** - The purpose of the request is to receive a variance from the required 30' front setback in the Single-family (R-1) zone district. The applicant is requesting a variance of 13 feet for an addition to the existing garage. The corner of the existing garage is set back 17 feet from the front lot line facing W. Park Ave. The property is located at 325 W. Park Avenue.
  - A. Open Public Hearing: 6:03 PM**
  - B. Staff Review of Application.** **Almquist** gave an overview of the application and stated that staff supports the variance request.
  - C. Applicant's Presentation: Property owner, Kyle Buskist and Deke Spillyards** were available to answer questions. **Mendelson** asked if he was still planning on building an ADU and Buskist said no not at this time because they could not meet the setback for the second floor.
  - D. Public Input- None**
  - E. Closed Public Hearing - 6:12 PM**
  - F. Board Discussion –Follet** opened the Commission discussion and asked for clarification of the variance request because it looks exactly like what the Board approved for the applicant on April 22, 2019. **Buskist** said that his draftsman did not have the correct survey when he drew up the plans for the garage and ADU. When they received the survey they didn't have the setback that they originally thought they had and that is why they needed to request another variance. He said that nothing else has changed.
  - G. Board decision** - A motion was made by **Steimle** to approve the variance request as presented.  
**Mendelson** seconded the motion. With all in consensus the motion carried.
- IX. UNFINISHED BUSINESS-**
- X. NEW BUSINESS-**
- XI. BOARD COMMENTS-**
- XII. ADJOURN:** With no further business to come before the Board of Adjustment, the meeting adjourned at 6:34 pm.