



ZBA File # _____

**APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL:
PLANNED DEVELOPMENT REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

This form is to be used for all special use Planned Development applications to be heard by the Village of Roscoe. Failure to complete this form properly will delay its consideration.

PART I. GENERAL INFORMATION



A. Project Information

- 1. Project/Owner Name: Joshua Petry
- 2. Project Location: 10311 Clearwing Ln, Roscoe, IL 61073
- 3. Brief Project Description:
Construction of a private drive, sanitary sewer extension, and water main extension to serve 6 new multi-family homes (23 total units).




- 4. Project Property Legal Description:
LOT A OF PLAT THREE OF CLEARWING
TOWNSHIP 45 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL
MERIDIAN, VILLAGE OF ROSCOE, WINNEBAGO COUNTY,

- 5. Project Property Size in Acres and Square Feet: 98,628 S.F. (2.264 AC)

B. Owner Information

- 1. Signature: 
- 2. Name: Joshua Petry
- 3. Address: 
- 4. Phone Num 

C. Agent Information (Designation of an agent to act on behalf of the owner is optional.)

- 1. Signature: 
- 2. Name: Jeff Linkenheld (Arc Design Resources, Inc.)
- 3. Address: 
- 4. Phone Num 

Official Use Only			
ZBA Pre-App Date _____	ZBA Date(s) _____	Zoning District _____	
App Date _____	CA Date(s) _____	Com Date(s) _____	Comp Plan _____
ZBA Approved _____	Approved with conditions _____	Denied _____	
CA Approved _____	Approved with conditions _____	Denied _____	

PART II. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

The materials required to be included with an application for a Planned Development are the minimum necessary to submit a complete application. Village staff, consultants, review agencies, commissions, and boards may require additional materials as necessary to fully evaluate the proposed project. A pre-application conference with Village staff is required. At the meeting, the ZBA may add or delete items from this list as they deem appropriate. All information, including this application form, must be submitted in electronically in either a PDF or Word format to the email address noted below the table. If the combined file size exceeds 10MB, a Dropbox or zip file must be used.

Official Use Only

Item # ^(a)	Application Material	Initial Application		Revisions		Second Set of Revisions	
		Required Materials	# Copies Received	# Copies Required	# Copies Received	# Copies Required	# Copies Received
1.	Completed Development Application	X					
2.	Project Narrative	X					
3.	Basic Application Fee	X					
4.	Agreement for Reimbursement of Professional Consulting Fees	X					
5.	Proof of Ownership	X					
6.	Agent Affidavit	X					
7.	Property Owners within 250 feet	X					
8.	General Location Map	X					
9.	Survey / Legal Description (To include: easements, covenants, and any other restrictions on property)	X					
10.	Site Plan (To include: landscape plan, parking plan, common spaces, etc.)	X					
11.	Building Elevations	X					
12.	Floor Plans	X					
13.	Roof Plan	X					
14.	Color Rendering	X					
15.	Photographs of Existing Property and Area	X					
16.	Village Utility Impact Calculations and Report*	*					
17.	Preliminary Utility Improvement Plans*	*					
18.	Utility Letters*	*					
19.	Traffic Study*	*					
20.	IDOT Permit for Work*	*					
21.	Professional economic analysis*	*					

*= if Village staff deems necessary for analysis and approval.

Submit all of the above electronically to: Janel Reidinger at jreidinger@villageofroscoe.com.

If you have any questions, please call Janel at 815-623-2829 ext. 104.

PART III. SITE DATA TABLE

Please fill in the following table with information about the site.

Total Development Size	98,628 S.F. (2.264 AC)
Minimum Lot Size(s)	98,628 S.F. (2.264 AC)
Minimum Front Yard Setback(s)	33'
Minimum Side Yard Setbacks	15'
Minimum Rear Yard Setback(s)	30'
Minimum Impervious Surface Percentage	38%
Maximum Height of Buildings	35'
Maximum Number of Dwelling Units (for residential projects)	23
Average Unit Square Footage	1,776 S.F.
<u>Total Building Area by Floor for Each Building (for non-residential projects)</u>	
Minimum Lot Coverage Percentage This is calculated by adding the total footprint of all buildings and structures on a lot and dividing by the total lot area.	21%
Minimum Number of Underground/Covered Parking Spaces	23 garage parking stalls
Minimum Number of Surface/Uncovered Parking Spaces	23 driveway parking stalls 12 additional surface parking stalls

PART IV. JUSTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED PLANNED DEVELOPMENT

Please answer all questions, but be concise and brief in your answers. If additional pages are needed to complete your answers, please be sure to include the appropriate and complete question number for each response. **Applicants are encouraged to refer to drawings or other application materials as necessary to add clarity to their answers.**

- 1. How are the plans, designs, and/or proposals for the proposed Planned Development in harmony with the purposes, goals, objectives, policies and standards of the Village of Roscoe Comprehensive Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and any other plan, program, or ordinance adopted, or under consideration pursuant to official notice by the Village?

The goal of the proposed Planned Development is to provide affordable, quality housing for residents of The Village of Roscoe. The proposed Planned Development includes (1) 3-family Home and (5) 4-family homes to provide a total of 23 dwelling units.

- 2. How does the proposed Planned Development provide reasonable visual and auditory privacy for all dwelling units located within and adjacent to the site?

Landscape screening is proposed on provide reasonable visual and auditory privacy for neighbors within and adjacent to the site. No excess noise is anticipated from the Planned Development as this is all residential area.

- 3. How are fences, walls, barriers and/or landscaping arranged in the proposed Planned Development to protect and enhance the property and to enhance the privacy of on-site and neighboring occupants?

The proposed Planned Development has been designed to blend in with the adjacent multi-family homes. No walls or fences are proposed as none exist currently in the adjacent subdivision. Landscaping is arranged to provided privacy without completely blocking sight lines.

4. How is the proposed Planned Development designed and arranged to have a minimal negative impact on the use and enjoyment of adjoining property?

The proposed Planned Development site is surrounded by developed multi-family lots, and this project is intended to “in-fill” a vacant lot within the existing subdivision. The development of this site will not negatively impact the use and enjoyment of adjoining properties as the surrounding properties are already developed.

5. How are all of the elements of the proposed Planned Development designed and arranged so that they exist harmoniously with nearby existing and anticipated development? Elements to consider include: buildings, outdoor storage areas and equipment, utility structures, building and paving coverage, landscaping, lighting, glare, dust, signage, views, noise, and odors.

The proposed Planned Development consisting of multi-family homes makes the most sense for this parcel of land as the land is surrounded by existing multi-family homes. A private drive is proposed to provide access to the (6) multi-family homes that are proposed. The proposed homes are arranged in a manner that is consistent with the surrounding area.

6. How are noxious emissions or conditions not typical of land uses in the underlying zoning district associated with the proposed Planned Development effectively confined so as not to be injurious or detrimental to nearby properties?

No noxious emissions or conditions not typical of land uses in the underlying zoning district are associated with this development.

7. Are there any uses that need to be permitted by exception? Are they necessary or desirable and appropriate with respect to the primary purpose of the development and not of such a nature, or so located, as to exercise a detrimental influence on the surrounding neighborhood? Explain.

The proposed Planned Development consists of (6) multi-family homes on one lot, which needs to be permitted by exception. The proposed Planned Development site is large enough to fit multiple multi-family homes, but not large enough to subdivide into traditional lots. Allowing for a PUD development provides the highest and best use of the property.

8. Planned Developments are intended to allow for greater design flexibility than is permitted by the standard district regulations for tracts of land where the planned development would better utilize the topographic and natural character of the site and would produce a more economical and stable development. Planned Developments are intended to be consistent with the spirit of the zoning ordinance and conform with the general character of the Village or the immediate neighborhoods.

In the table below, provide all of the proposed modifications to the standards in the underlying zoning district for the proposed Planned Development. Additionally, provide the justification, based on the intent for planned developments as described in the Village of Roscoe Zoning Ordinance Section 15-721, for these proposed changes. If no modification is proposed, simply respond N/A.

Code Standard	Proposed Modifications	Justification
Uses	Multiple multi-family homes on 1 lot	The proposed Planned Development site is large enough to fit several multi-family homes, but not large enough to subdivide into traditional lots. Allowing a PUD on this site provides for more housing to be available to Village residents than would otherwise be available.
Total Lot Area/ Dimensions	N/A	
Lot Area per Unit/Density	N/A	
Floor Area	N/A	
Front Setback	N/A	

Side Setback	N/A	
Rear Setback	N/A	
Building Height	N/A	
Open Space/ Lot Coverage See lot coverage calculation in above data table	N/A	
Accessory Structures	N/A	
Landscaping	The proposed design is technically short a few trees on the code requirement for the three perimeter "Transition Areas". We have worked with Village staff to balance out need vs. acknowledgement of existing trees on the site	If all of the other "non code trees provided on site" are counted, the proposed design is over the total tree counts.
Parking	N/A	