



CITY OF ROLLINGWOOD CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, May 15, 2024

The City Council of the City of Rollingwood, Texas held a meeting, open to the public, in the Municipal Building at 403 Nixon Drive in Rollingwood, Texas on May 15, 2024. Members of the public and the City Council were able to participate in the meeting virtually, as long as a quorum of the City Council and the presiding officer were physically present at the Municipal Building, in accordance with the Texas Open Meetings Act. A video recording of the meeting was made and will be posted to the City's website and available to the public in accordance with the Texas Public Information Act upon written request.

CALL REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO ORDER

1. Roll Call

Mayor Gavin Massingill called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Present Members: Mayor Gavin Massingill, Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson, Council Member Phil McDuffee, Council Member Alec Robinson, Council Member Brook Brown and Council Member Kevin Glasheen

Also Present: City Administrator Ashley Wayman, City Attorney Charles Zech, Assistant City Administrator Desiree Adair, Police Chief Kristal Muñoz, Finance Director Abel Campos, Public Works Director Izzy Parra, Development Services Manager Nikki Stautzenberger, and Assistant to the City Administrator Makayla Rodriguez

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No individuals spoke during public comments.

PUBLIC HEARING

2. Public hearing, discussion and possible action on a local amendment to the International Building Code establishing requirements of Certificate of Occupancy issued for a change in owner, tenant or business name

Mayor Gavin Massingill explained that this item was discussed at last month's meeting and was now being brought back for a public hearing and action.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman explained the purpose of the draft ordinance in the packet to require a Certificate of Occupancy be issued for a change in owner, tenant or business name.

Council Member Alec Robinson asked if this requirement would apply to a tenant in a multi-tenant office building and City Administrator Ashley Wayman replied that this would apply for tenants.

The Mayor and Council discussed how this issue was brought up and the implications for commercial tenants.

Mayor Gavin Massingill opened the public hearing on agenda item 2 at 7:04 p.m.

No individuals spoke during the public hearing.

Mayor Gavin Massingill closed the public hearing at 7:04 p.m.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen moved to adopt a local amendment to the International Building Code establishing requirements of Certificate of Occupancy issued for a change in owner, tenant or business name. Council Member Phil McDuffee seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

Mayor Gavin Massingill called up item 19 at this time.

CONSENT AGENDA

3. Discussion and possible action on the minutes from the April 17, 2024 City Council meeting
4. Discussion and possible action on the minutes from the April 24, 2024 Joint City Council and Planning and Zoning Commission meeting
5. Discussion and possible action on a resolution adopting the Rollingwood Municipal Court Confidentiality Policy and updating the Rollingwood Personnel Policy Manual

Council Member Brook Brown moved for approval of the Consent Agenda. Council Member Phil McDuffee seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

Mayor Gavin Massingill reopened Public Comments at 7:08 pm.

Melissa Morrow, 2502 Timberline Drive and Chair of the Park Commission, reported action and items from the Park Commission meeting yesterday. She discussed the projects that the Park Commission has prioritized in the next year including: erosion between the upper field house and trail, erosion near the lower park pavilion, the walking trail (she explained that this was tabled at the Park Commission meeting until they could have a posted meeting to walk the park with Tim Eischen on Friday, May 24th so he can provide a recommendation for the trail at the June Park Commission meeting and trees to be planted behind fields 3, 4 and 5 and along the eastern side of 3, 4 and 5 up to the front of the park.

REGULAR AGENDA

6. Discussion and possible action regarding General Obligation Bonds Series 2024

Mayor Gavin Massingill stated that James Gilley with US Capital Advisors was in attendance for this item.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the status of the GO Bonds 2024 and the direction given at the last Council meeting.

Council Member Brook Brown asked James Gilley questions about the revised schedules proposed in the packet and James Gilley, US Capital Advisors, explained the revised numbers.

Council Member Brook Brown moved that the Council adopt the 20 year amortization schedule for the proposed General Obligation Bonds Series 2024. Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman stated that the bond sale is June 12th. James Gilley clarified that they would take competitive bids the morning of June 12th, finalize the debt service numbers and present to council consideration of the bond ordinance.

7. Presentation from K. Friese and Associates to discuss a plan for action to address park drainage concerns

Abe Salinas, of K Friese + Associates, provided an overview of Rollingwood Park Drainage Concerns. He presented two options for discussion and displayed aerial imagery of the Park including flood hazards, minor erosion, and the detention pond outfall. Discussed flow and topography and runoff and associated issues. He provided photos of runoff, nuisance flooding, erosion and minor concerns. He asked for feedback from City Council.

City Council and Mr. Salinas discussed the significant IIP projects including the Nixon storm drainage system, City Hall drainage improvements, and Pleasant Cove drainage improvements.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen asked Mr. Salinas if he could provide estimates of the costs of the described projects and he provided guesses as to what ranges some of these projects may fall into.

Member Brook Brown discussed the flow patterns and drainage of the diagrams displayed, and asked Mr. Salinas what water the shown detention pond in the lower park would hold. Mr. Salinas discussed that the shown pond could provide some benefit but would not substantially help flow in the creek and Nixon Drive outside of the park.

Abe Salinas further discussed the options that he has presented regarding minor/maintenance level improvements, for which some information is proposed in the following agenda item from another consultant. He also discussed that for some of the bigger drainage issues there would need to be more detention somewhere upstream.

Council Member Brook Brown discussed the shown park boundaries and that they did not accurately represent the boundaries and Abe Salinas that this presentation was meant to give a general representation of the park limits, not an exact representation.

Council Member Brook Brown asked why this proposal did not include drainage coming off of the Western Hills Athletic Facility and Abe Salinas showed what is meant to represent the water flow. Council Member Brook Brown also pointed out errors in the diagram regarding where water flows on Pleasant Cove.

Abe Salinas explained that this presentation is to illustrate general areas of drainage concerns but is not exact.

Mayor Gavin Massingill stated that this was not a proposal, more of a presentation to get feedback from Council. He also asked Mr. Salinas to weigh in on how much of a contributing zone the park is compared to the rest of the basin and Mr. Salinas explained his view.

Council Member Brook Brown stated that she thought the Council would receive a proposal from K. Friese + Associates that showed how to deal with the drainage from the park that didn't direct it into the street.

Mr. Salinas explained that they are making their recommendation based on budget and more immediate solutions to minor issues with a landscape approach. These recommendations would involve a contractor to design and construct would provide improvements quickly.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen stated that 1) the runoff from the park is not that significant when you look at the big picture of flooding and runoff in Rollingwood 2) there are not really any great opportunities to build new detention in the park and that it would not be advisable. He clarified that the recommendation is to pursue some of these more immediate, minor solutions in place, such as has been proposed to address the erosion near the fieldhouse.

Abe Salinas discussed the areas that were identified that could potentially benefit from some smaller, maintenance type projects. He explained that these include the shown projects 1-3, but that project 4 would likely need to be a larger, more complicated project. He explained what project 4 might entail, and that there was a version of this project in the IIP.

Council Member Brown asked Mr. Salinas to elaborate on what could be done for project 4 and he shared some possible future improvements.

Abe Salinas explained that the purpose of this discussion is to get consensus about what the objective is for the park and Mayor Gavin Massingill stated that he believes that what Mr. Salinas is saying is that they would not advise the city undertake a costly study of the park.

Council Member Brook Brown explained that she has not been provided information showing that a drainage study does not need to be done and that the information that is being proposed is incorrect and does not accurately depict the drainage issues she has previously submitted.

Council Member Sara Hutson stated that this is not a proposal, but provides two options so that council can tell them how detailed the evaluation should be.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the two projects that the City Council has already commissioned IWS to do and discussed the overlap in these projects and what KFA may ultimately recommend.

Council Member Brown discussed the vote that council took previously directing K. Friese and Associates about what to bring back and for that to be brought back next month and for more description from K. Friese about what they are proposing.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen asked whether we were paying K. Friese for this work and Abe Salinas explained how that works for proposals. Council Member Glasheen also thanked him for telling them that they don't really need something as opposed to continuing to propose a study and bill the city.

Mayor Gavin Massingill called up item 8 at this time.

Council Member Brown stated that she put this on the agenda to get an update on the information that Council has asked be provided by Innovative Water Solutions and Maas Verde.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the walk through with Maas Verde and the Park Commission, the questions that were generated, and that a proposal is expected by the end of the month. She also explained that IWS submitted two proposals for the fieldhouse area and improvements to the detention pond at the corner of Nixon and Gentry.

Mayor Gavin Massingill discussed their proposal for the detention pond to potentially dredge out the detention pond and slow discharge by creating a spillway.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen asked for a standing item for the next few months for recommended capital improvement projects from the Park Commission as we work through the budget process and Mayor Gavin Massingill stated that we would try to get specific items posted each month.

City Council reviewed the IWS drainage plans for the Upper Park and the detention pond along with Mr. Salinas. He also stated that as the city's engineer, they could review this and other proposals and provide concurrence. He gave some preliminary comments on the proposed information at Mayor Massingill's request.

Council Member Sara Hutson discussed one of the biggest issues is that the detention pond, which is designed to slow the water, not hold it, is not catching all that it should be because there needs to be a curb around the parking lot to direct water into it.

Council Member Brook Brown further explained the drainage issues in this area and discussed that there used to be a culvert on the west side of Nixon where the water would flow under the street but it is stopped up and the water is standing in the street in the low area where Park Hills comes into Nixon. She further discussed regional drainage issues in this area.

Council Member Phil McDuffee discussed that improvements to this pond that included a drain at the bottom would help mitigate the issue of water flowing down Nixon and possibly be reused.

The City Council and Abe Salinas further discussed drainage issues in this area and the proposed solutions.

Council Member Brown stated that she did not have enough data to show that this water is not significantly contributing to downstream issues.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen discussed that based on the engineer's report, there is not a significant issue here and the city does not need to go down the road of major infrastructure projects.

Council Member asked for the report showing that there is not an issue and Abe Salinas referenced a city-wide hydraulic model in the study that helps them get an idea of where issues exist. She asked for data from this report be pulled and identified for the Council.

Mayor Gavin Massingill requested that K. Friese and Associates work with IWS to add data to what they have drawn. Abe Salinas stated that they could pull data together and provide rough calculations. Mayor Gavin Massingill asked him, as part of this data, to look at this whole basin to break down what the contributing zone is that is not the park and what the contributing zone is that is the park.

Council Member Brook Brown stated that we also needed to ensure that the WHAC property is included in these calculations.

Mr. Salinas stated that they could provide a drainage area map, the three contributing areas, the acreage associated with them and some calculations to provide flows.

Melissa Morrow requested to look at the engineering drawing provided for the retaining wall in the upper park.

Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson requested that items be included in the packet earlier so that council members have time to review.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen asked if this proposal was approved if the next step was for staff to get bids and that in that time the City Council discuss the process going forward for getting bids.

Council Member Brook Brown recommended that Council not take action tonight on items 7 and 8 and for the plans to be updated as we have discussed tonight and be prepared in time to be presented to the Park Commission at their next meeting and then brought to Council at their next meeting.

Council Member Brook Brown moved on items 7 and 8 that we not take action on those items tonight, and that we would ask K. Friese and Associates, Maas Verde and Innovative Water Solutions to prepare to present their proposals to the Park Commission at their next meeting, and that those two items then be brought back with those updated reports to the Council for our next meeting. Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson seconded the motion.

The City Council further discussed the proposals and timeline and City Administrator Ashley Wayman stated that with the Council Meeting being moved early in June staff would try to keep this schedule. Council Member BB stated that the important thing is that these go to the Park Commission first.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen stated that he felt that the pond and the retaining wall were the two issues that needed to be included for Park Commission to weigh in on but would like to get bids for construction between now and then.

Council Member Phil McDuffee discussed that the downspouts on the field house needed to be moved so that they did not contribute as much to this area and Council Member Kevin Glasheen discussed what he believed to be the problem in this area.

The City Council further discussed how these would be processed.

Melissa Morrow requested the maximum amount of information be passed on to the Park Commission so they can ask their questions to experts at their meetings via zoom. She also stated that having bids would be helpful.

Council Member Brook Brown amended her motion to include getting bids from Maas Verde and Innovative Water Solutions. Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson seconded the amendment.

The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

8. Report, discussion and possible action regarding proposals for park drainage assessment from Maas Verde and/or Innovative Water Solutions

This item was heard in conjunction with item 7.

9. Discussion and possible action regarding a trail rehabilitation demonstration project

Council Member Kevin Glasheen discussed that a member of the Park Commission would like to have a park walk through and get another proposal for this project and recommended that this be tabled.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen moved to table this item. Council Member Phil McDuffee seconded the motion.

Council Member Brook Brown asked who All Seasons was, who provided the quote, and Mayor Gavin Massingill explained that they do a lot of small projects for the city and provided us numbers for this project.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen thanked Public Works Director Ismael Parra for helping find solutions and his initiative. Mayor Gavin Massingill thanked Public Works Director Ismael Parra as well.

The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

10. Discussion and possible action to approve the installation of a new air conditioning unit in city hall

Mayor Gavin Massingill discussed why this item is on the agenda and that the current air conditioning unit in city hall needs to be replaced. City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the quotes that have been secured by Public Works Director Ismael Parra.

Public Works Director Ismael Parra discussed the quotes, answered questions from Council and discussed his recommendation to go with the proposal from Fox because they are a company that has been around a long time and are trusted.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen moved to approve the installation of the new unit using Fox. Council Member Brook Brown seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

11. Report from Chief of Police regarding recent automobile break-ins

Council Member Kevin Glasheen requested data regarding vehicle break-ins and asked whether there has been an increase in this type of activity in the neighborhood.

Police Chief Kristal Muñoz discussed recent criminal events in the city and stated that there has not been an increase, but the Rollingwood Police Department would like to have a decrease in these types of events.

Chief Muñoz and City Council discussed options to address these events including hiring to fill open positions, a volunteer camera system, stopping vehicles in the middle of the night, and educating the public on crimes of opportunity.

Chief also discussed the possibility of license plate readers, their benefits, ability to alert, rental and fees, and the implications for public information requests.

City Council and Chief Muñoz also discussed recent criminal activity, current staffing levels and capacity, whether we could restrict public access to the videos, and the number of cameras that would be needed.

12. Discussion and possible action to approve the Fiscal Year 2024 - 2025 Budget Calendar

Mayor Gavin Massingill and City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the 2024-2025 Budget Calendar.

Council Member Brook Brown moved to approve the budget and tax rate calendar for Fiscal Year 2024 – 2025. Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

13. Discussion and possible action on a draft ordinance regarding a proposed zoning amendment to prohibit rental of amenities in the residential zoning district

Council Member Brook Brown discussed that this ordinance was sent to the Planning and Zoning commission previously by City Council and that the Planning and Zoning Commission sent it back requesting clarification about whether the rental of amenities would preclude photoshoots or one-off filming of a commercial at a residential site, and whether the proposed ordinance addressed rental of parking, and if it was intended to. She explained that the City Attorney has clarified that photoshoots would not be considered a rental that is covered under the proposed amendment and would not be precluded. She also discussed commercials that are filmed in the city.

Dave Bench, 1 Randolph Place and Chair of the Planning and Zoning Commission, discussed that the Planning and Zoning Commission wanted to know whether this ordinance would disallow people from renting out parking spots at their home for City of Austin events, such as ACL. Council member Brook Brown discussed her understanding of the current parking permitting process.

The City Council discussed that this would not be considered a recreational purpose.

Council Member Brook Brown recommended that we ask City Attorney Charles Zech to confirm that photoshoots of a home or person at a home would not be a recreational purpose and would not be precluded and that rental of a parking spot on a driveway would not be prohibited by this language. City Attorney Charles Zech stated that this was correct.

The City Council discussed that charitable events were not considered recreational purposes.

Council Member Brook Brown moved to ask staff to report back to the Planning and Zoning Commission about the answers to their questions. Council Member Kevin Glasheen seconded the motion. The motion passed with 5 in favor and 0 against.

14. Discussion and possible action on an ordinance amending Section 107-75 of the city's Code of Ordinances to provide that the front yard of a lot shall be the lot's addressed street

City Administrator Ashley Wayman explained that this item was brought back at the request of City Council last month and discussed the draft ordinance drafted by the City Attorney in the packet. She also explained that that this would need to go to the Planning and Zoning Commission through the public hearing process before adoption.

Council Member Brook Brown discussed her review of the proposed ordinance and the proposed language changes that she would like to be made to the language, referencing a document in the packet that she submitted for the agenda packet.

Mayor Gavin Massingill discussed that he had not had a chance to review the proposed language amendments and that the Council could discuss the ordinance and any proposed changes.

Council Member Sara Hutson further discussed the language clarification that was needed.

Dave Bench, 1 Randolph Place and Chair of the Planning and Zoning Commission, asked whether Council would want to consider dealing with front yard definitions and not consider setback issues that would be associated with that. Council Member Brook Brown explained that this does not relate to setbacks.

City Attorney Charles Zech and the City Council asked Council Member Brown if she would be okay with sending this to the Planning and Zoning Commission with her memo and proposed changes and she stated that the City Attorney needed to weigh in on this.

City Attorney Charles Zech agreed to look at the ordinance again and take into consideration the discussion tonight and send whatever he proposes back to the Planning and Zoning Commission.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman asked for clarification of whether this ordinance would go straight to the public hearing process or whether the Planning and Zoning would see it first as an item for discussion and possible action and received feedback that it would go to them first for discussion and possible action.

15. Update, discussion and possible action on the city-wide Electronic Water Meter project and amendments to the Electronic Water Meter Upgrade Policy

Mayor Gavin Massingill and City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the status of the city-wide Electronic Water Meter project.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the Electronic Water Meter Upgrade Policy that was approved in November 2021, explaining that it was adopted at a time when the city-wide roll out had not yet been approved. She stated that staff wanted clarification on whether residents would be responsible for paying for this first round of electronic meters as the policy

currently describes. She also stated that staff had already received one request to opt out of the electronic meters and that staff would not recommend allowing customers to opt out.

The City Council discussed the request to opt out, whether homeowners should be charged for meters above a certain size.

City Administrator clarified that they'd like to understand the distinction between meters being installed in this roll-out or future meters that need to be replaced due to damage or upsizing. She also explained that the city has fully budgeted to make the payments on these meters.

The City Council discussed the existing meter sizes, whether meters will still be in the backyard for some properties, and whether they could charge a monthly fee to those that choose to opt out, and the implications of letting residents opt out.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman also discussed that a future water rate study would be a good idea once the electronic meters are in and that would also be a good time to revisit the policy. She also stated that the information they needed tonight was if staff needs to charge anyone for the meters in the current roll-out and if residents are allowed to opt out.

The City Council discussed and there was general agreement that to not charge customers for this roll out of electronic water meters.

Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson moved that we do not allow utility customers, residential or commercial, to opt out of the electronic meters. Council Member Brook Brown seconded the motion. The motion carried with 4 in favor and 1 opposed (Robinson).

16. Update, discussion and possible action on a recommendation from the Park Commission regarding commercial field usage and maximum participants

City Administrator Ashley Wayman explained that this item came after a discussion with i9 Sports at a previous Council Meeting when the rules for maximum number of participants on the fields was not clear. This was sent to the Park Commission for discussion and their recommendation was to allow 30 participants on field 1, 30 participants on field 2 and 60 participants total on fields 3, 4 and 5.

Mayor Pro Tem discussed that it should be made clear that it is 60 participants combined on field 3, 4 and 5.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen discussed clarifying that the participants would include staff and coaches.

Council Member Kevin Glasheen moved to adopt the recommendation with the addition of making it clear that 60 participants are allowed on fields 3, 4 and 5 combined and that participants include all participants, staff and coaches. Council Member Phil McDuffee seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

17. Update regarding transportation issues and the MoPac South Expansion Project

Mayor Gavin Massingill discussed a meeting with TXDOT, staff and the Police Department regarding the number of accidents we have seen in the 3000 block of Bee Cave Road and that it continues to be a safety concern. He also explained that it falls within the "gap project" which is a

project that has been in discussions for a long time that aims to improve walkability and lane upgrades from Walsh Tarleton to MoPac, but that this discussion was more aimed at safety in this area.

Mayor further explained TXDOT's plan to hydro blast the area to improve safety by roughing up the road and removing contaminants that cause the road to be slippery. He also explained that they were looking into ways to build up the shoulder where it is currently falling into the culvert. He also explained that the dialogue with them would continue to keep the larger project in the pipeline and on a funding trajectory, and that there would likely be future discussions and decisions to make by the City Council on this project.

City Administrator Ashley Wayman gave an update on the status of the MoPac South Project, explaining the Technical Working Group process that has just begun and will continue through June. She explained that the current proposal is option 2c which does not include overhead lanes over the lake, but does include them towards Bee Cave Road and Barton Skyway in the wishbone configuration that had been talked about in the past. She explained that there would be a representative at as many Technical Working Group meetings as possible and that the city's MoPac South Expansion Task Force was aware of the meetings and will be participating in this effort.

Council Member Brook Brown discussed the concern she heard about this plan that to go north on MoPac from Bee Cave Road you will have to go south to Barton Skyway to make a U-turn and the traffic concerns that would be caused by this. Additionally, there is a concern that Bee Cave Road would be bypassed going south.

The Mayor and Council discussed the implications of this configuration and asked for any visuals of the current proposed option.

Amy Pattillo, 3 Rockway Cove, discussed that she has not seen the designs since 2022 and that it has never been explained to her that any proposal other than the City of Austin's proposal, which is not 2c, would redirect traffic down to Barton Skyway and cut off the access point. She explained that the real concern for Rollingwood is the positioning of where you could get on and off the toll lanes and that it would create a bypass to our city, and that this should be something to distinguish. She further explained that there used to be a box of large schematics printed here at city hall that would be helpful for review of the schematics.

Ms. Pattillo explained that it is also important to track what is happening in the general purpose lanes on the wishbone configuration versus what is happening in the toll lanes.

Council Member Brook Brown discussed that the design that she heard was presented during the Technical Working Group Kickoff meeting and it was presented as the only current design being considered pending the environmental assessment process.

Amy Pattillo explained that CTRMA spoke to the Travis County Commissioners Court yesterday, May 14, at their Tuesday meeting, about 2:45 into the meeting, and James Bass, the Executive Director and the engineer for CTRMA, did a presentation on what is happening now with the technical working groups, what the purpose is, and who gets to make decisions about the designs and that at this meeting they did not indicate that 2c is the one that is moving forward. She asked Council to consider that CTRMA has 6 potential designs for South MoPac right now and there is a TWG that is meeting that is going to give their staff feedback on which evaluation criteria should

be used to evaluate the 6 designs. She explained that at this time, a number of evaluation criteria have been identified and that the purpose of the technical working groups is to have stakeholders weigh in on which of the evaluation criteria are most important and thus weighting of the criteria. Then each of the alternative designs will be put through a formula with the weighted criteria and the preferred alternative will be selected based on that ranking.

Ms. Pattillo stated that some of the ranking criteria are: reducing congestion delays, optimizing corridor utilization, maximizing travel savings, serving all roadway users, provide opportunity for reliable travel times for all users, facilitate reliable emergency response, create a dependable and consistent route for transit, stakeholder input, facilitate congestion management for increasing opportunities for ped and bike, deliver relief in a timely manner, avoid and minimize impacts to water quality, be constructible without unnecessary impacts to the human environment, and provide consistency with local and regional plans. She explained that things she noticed that are not included are: maintain access to business districts and maintain access to existing primary general purpose routes, and that these could be things that the city could advocate for and has advocated for in the past, as heavily weighted evaluation criteria. She also said it doesn't really have anything about mitigating or minimizing noise impacts to neighboring communities. She explained that it was important for the city to continue to engage and have representatives at these meetings proposing that these criteria be updated and weighted to reflect the city's view point.

She also explained that it would be valuable to reach out to the entities involved to see if they will join in an effort to make sure the technical working group meetings are not just the CTRMA staff leading everyone down a certain path but there is actual feedback being given.

Council Member Brook Brown asked Amy Pattillo to clarify whether an alternative had been selected or not at this time and she explained what she heard at the Commissioner's Court meeting which indicated that an alternative had not yet been selected.

Amy Pattillo discussed the March news release on the MoPac website that updated travel demand modeling and discussed the differences in the presented average travel time between Cesar Chavez and Slaughter in the "no build" scenario from when this project first started and what was released when they applied the 2045 traffic data. She explained that Rollingwood did a good job of challenging that data and that it underscored the need for stakeholders who have first hand experience to stay involved.

She also explained that the City Council could put something about this project on the agenda for the special called meeting on May 22 that could allow Council to get feedback in time for the remaining technical working group meetings.

Mayor Gavin Massingill thanked Amy Pattillo for her report on this and stated that we have all of these technical working group meetings on our calendars and have invited the task force to participate to help add technical expertise there. He stated that we would send the Travis County presentation to the City Council and the task force and would get those updates onto the website.

18. Update on the status of the Rollingwood Trademark Applications

City Administrator Ashley Wayman stated that she did not receive a response back from her contact at the Secretary of State's office regarding the status of the city's trademark applications.

19. Discussion and possible action on a resolution amending the City's Fee Schedule related to Certificate of Occupancy fees and to add a construction hours sign fee

City Administrator Ashley Wayman discussed the fees that are proposed to be added to the fee schedule for Temporary Certificates of Occupancy, Certificates of Occupancy and construction hours signs.

Council Member Brook Brown moved to adopt resolution 2024-05-15-19 amending the City's Fee Schedule. Mayor Pro Tem Sara Hutson seconded the motion. The motion carried with 5 in favor and 0 against.

Mayor Gavin Massingill returned to the consent agenda at this time.

ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

Mayor Gavin Massingill adjourned the meeting at 10:20 p.m.

Minutes Adopted on the _____ day of _____, 2024.

Gavin Massingill, Mayor

ATTEST:

Desiree Adair, City Secretary