

TO: Alun Thomas, Makayla Rodriguez – City of Rollingwood

CC: Jay Kennedy, P.E., Jeremy Allamon – WSB

FROM: Angellia Points, P.E. – WSB

SUBJECT: 2025 Mill and Overlay Project

Recommendation for Award

DATE: 11/14/2025

PROJECT: 2025 Mill and Overlay Project

Ms. Rodriguez,

Sealed bids were received from three (3) bidders on 12 November 2025, at 2:00 pm for the above-mentioned project. The bidders and their bid amounts were read out loud at the opening, which was held at City Hall, in Rollingwood, TX. The bid price tabulation is provided as Attachment A.

#### **Review of Bids**

Bennett Paving Inc., of Leander, TX, was the apparent low bidder after bid verification of base bid unit costs and quantities with a bid of \$210,866.38. Lone Star Paving Company was the second lowest bid of \$245,597.25. Alpha Paving Industries LLC was the third and highest bidder with a bid of \$266,158.75. The verified base bids and alt bid totals are in the following table.

Bidder	Base Bid Total	Alt Bid Total	Total Bid					
Bennett Paving Inc.	\$210,866.38	\$122,037.76	\$332,904.14					
Lone Star Paving Company	\$245,597.25	\$135,357.50	\$380,954.75					
Alpha Paving Industries LLC	\$266,158.75	\$156,299.00	\$422,457.75					

WSB provided an engineer's estimate of \$379,255.88 for the base bid and an engineer's estimate of \$214,742.00 for the alternative bid for the project. WSB compared anticipated unit prices with the bidder's unit prices. Generally, there were some unit prices similar to WSB's estimate while quite a few were lower. WSB estimated high due to the lower quantities and potential vagueness of the project description, but all bidders came in lower and were consistent in totals and unit price, giving WSB confidence that the bid prices are trustworthy.

WSB performed a reference check and reviewing Bennett's bid package. WSB contacted three (3) references. All referenced indicated positive working relationships (current and/or previous) as well as quality work from this contractor. See attached for a list of references we received in Attachment B.

#### Review of Budget and Alt Bid Award

WSB understands the City has a dedicated budget of \$500,000 to perform services and construction of the 2025 Mill and Overlay Project. The following is a breakdown of the expected expenditures and budget available for construction.

Expenditure	Amount
WSB LLC Professional Services	\$21,000.00
Construction Materials Testing, Assumed Budget	\$25,000.00
Bennett Paving Base Bid	\$210,866.38
Bennett Paving Alt Bid No. 1	\$122,037.76
TOTAL PROJECTED EXPENDITURE	\$378,904.14

If the City were to award the base bid and all of the alternate bid to Bennet Paving, the City would have approximately \$121,000 remaining from the \$500,000 budget to allocate to next year's street maintenance project or other capital improvement projects, as allowed.

#### Recommendation

WSB recommends that a construction contract be awarded to Bennett Paving, of Leander, TX, for the total bid (base bid and alt bid) amount of \$332,904.14 for the 2025 Mill and Overlay Project.

Respectfully,

Angellia Points, P.E.

Senior Project Manager - WSB



# BID TABULATION - 2025 MILL AND OVERLAY PROJECT CITY OF ROLLINGWOOD

CITT OF MOL	LINGWOOD															-		-	
ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT	T COST	Ţ	OTAL COST	ι	JNIT COST	T	OTAL COST	Į	UNIT COST	T	OTAL COST	Ų	UNIT COST	T	OTAL COST
	BASE BID			ENGINEER'S		R'S ESTIMATE			BENNETT P		PAVING INC		LONE STAR PA		COMPANY	Al	LPHA PAVING	S INDUSTRIES LLC	
1	Mobilization, Bonds, and Insurance, not to exceed 5% of the total base bid	LS	1	\$ 18	8,509.13	\$	18,509.13	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	12,000.00	\$	12,000.00	\$	8,500.00	\$	8,500.00
2	Implement Traffic Control Plan	LS	1	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	\$	4,500.00	\$	4,500.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	4,000.00	\$	6,500.00	\$	6,500.00
3	Pavement Milling for the project (1.5" to 2" as specified and to match Bid Items 5 and 6 descriptions) including any saw cutting at adjoining pavement remain, butt milling at intersections, edge milling, hand milling around manholes, hand milling around valve covers/boxes, and at driveways/intersections, and dispose of millings	SY	12,205	\$	6.00	\$	73,230.00	\$	2.50	\$	30,512.50	\$	2.00	\$	24,410.00	\$	3.75	\$	45,768.75
4	Excavate soft spots at directed and provide and place/compact HMAC Type "D" spot level up prior to HMAC overlay	TON	50	\$	195.00	\$	9,750.00	\$	200.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	95.00	\$	4,750.00	\$	275.00	\$	13,750.00
5	2" HMAC Type "D" Overlay with Tack Coat on Street 2B Edgegrove Drive between Rollingwood Drive and Bee Caves Road	SY	4,117	\$	20.00	\$	82,340.00	\$	13.97	\$	57,514.49	\$	18.25	\$	75,135.25	\$	16.50	\$	67,930.50
6	1.5" HMAC Type "D" Overlay with Tack Coat on Street 2A Hatley Drive between Laura Lane and Wallis Drive and 2C Wallis Drive between Rollingwood Drive and Hatley Drive	SY	8,088	\$	18.50	\$	149,628.00	\$	10.48	\$	84,762.24	\$	13.00	\$	105,144.00	\$	12.50	\$	101,100.00
7	Provide 24" TY- I White Stop Bar Thermoplastic Pavement Marking approximately 10-feet long, 90 MILS in thickness	EA	6	\$	500.00	\$	3,000.00	\$	290.00	\$	1,740.00	\$	200.00	\$	1,200.00	\$	325.00	\$	1,950.00
8	Provide 4" TY- I Single White Solid Thermoplastic Pavement Marking approximately 4' from curbline, same place as existing, skipping intersections, 90 MILS in thickness	LF	6,475	\$	3.75	\$	24,281.25	\$	1.05	\$	6,798.75	\$	1.00	\$	6,475.00	\$	1.50	\$	9,712.50
9	Provide and Install TY- II-B-B Blue Reflective Raised Pavement Markers in the middle of the pavement	EA	8	\$	16.00	\$	128.00	\$	16.00	\$	128.00	\$	10.00	\$	80.00	\$	20.00	\$	160.00
10	Provide 8" TY- I Single White Solid Thermoplastic Pavement Marking on Edgegrove north of Bee Caves Rd, same place as existing, 90 MILS in thickness	LF	25	\$	3.50	\$	87.50	\$	1.45	\$	36.25	\$	2.00	\$	50.00	\$	2.00	\$	50.00
11	Provide and Install TY- I White Solid Words (ONLY) Thermoplastic Pavement Marking on Edgegrove north of Bee Caves Rd, same place as existing, 90 MILS in thickness	EA	1	\$	500.00	\$	500.00	\$	385.00	\$	385.00	\$	300.00	\$	300.00	\$	425.00	\$	425.00

12	Provide and Install TY- I White Solid Shape (ARROW) Thermoplastic Pavement Marking on Edgegrove north of Bee Caves Rd, same place as existing, 90 MILS in thickness	EA	1	\$ 500.00	\$	500.00	\$ 420.00	\$	420.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	\$	460.00	\$	460.00
13	Provide TY- I Yellow Hatching Thermoplastic Pavement Marking at the intersection of Wallis and Rollingwood Drive, 90 MILS in thickness	LS	1	\$ 900.00	\$	900.00	\$ 850.00	\$	850.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$	950.00	\$	950.00
14	Provide 2-6" TY- I Double Yellow Solid Thermoplastic Pavement Marking Paid as LF of Double Stripe length, 90 MILS in thickness	LF	1,951	\$ 2.00	\$	3,902.00	\$ 1.65	\$	3,219.15	\$ 3.00	\$ 5,853.00	\$	2.00	\$	3,902.00
15	Items requested by Owner Not Identified in the Contract to be used at the Owner's Discretion	LS	1	\$ 5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5	,000.00	\$	5,000.00
	BASE BID TOTAL				\$	379,255.88		\$	210,866.38		\$ 245,597.25			\$	266,158.75
	ALT. BID				П										$\overline{}$
A1	Pavement Milling for the project (1.5" to 2" as specified and to match Bid Items A3 and A4 descriptions) including any saw cutting at adjoining pavement remain, butt milling at intersections, edge milling, hand milling around manholes, hand milling around valve covers/boxes, and at driveways/intersections, and dispose of millings	SY	7,658	\$ 6.00	\$	45,948.00	\$ 2.50	\$	19,145.00	\$ 2.00	\$ 15,316.00	\$	4.00	\$	30,632.00
A2	Excavate soft spots at directed and provide and place/compact HMAC Type "D" spot level up prior to HMAC overlay	TON	15	\$ 195.00	\$	2,925.00	\$ 200.00	\$	3,000.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 1,425.00	\$	275.00	\$	4,125.00
А3	2" HMAC Type "D" Overlay with Tack Coat on Street 2E Hatley Drive between Inwood Drive and Vale Street	SY	4,028	\$ 20.00	\$	80,560.00	\$ 13.97	\$	56,271.16	\$ 16.25	\$ 65,455.00	\$	17.00	\$	68,476.00
A4	1.5" HMAC Type "D" Overlay with Tack Coat on Street 2D Hatley Drive between Wallis Drive and Inwood Drive	SY	3,630	\$ 18.50	\$	67,155.00	\$ 10.48	\$	38,042.40	\$ 13.25	\$ 48,097.50	\$	12.50	\$	45,375.00
A5	Provide 24" TY- I White Stop Bar Thermoplastic Pavement Marking approximately 10-feet long, 90 MILS in thickness	EA	3	\$ 500.00	\$	1,500.00	\$ 290.00	\$	870.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 600.00	\$	325.00	\$	975.00
A6	Provide 4" TY- I Single White Solid Thermoplastic Pavement Marking approximately 4' from curbline, same place as existing, skipping intersections, 90 MILS in thickness	LF	4,424	\$ 3.75	\$	16,590.00	\$ 1.05	\$	4,645.20	\$ 1.00	\$ 4,424.00	\$	1.50	\$	6,636.00
A7	Provide and Install TY- II-B-B Blue Reflective Raised Pavement Markers in the middle of the pavement	EA	4	\$ 16.00	\$	64.00	\$ 16.00	\$	64.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 40.00	\$	20.00	\$	80.00
	ALT. BID TOTAL				\$	214,742.00		\$	122,037.76		\$ 135,357.50			\$	156,299.00
	BASE BID + ALT. BID TOTAL				\$	593,997.88		\$	332,904.14		\$ 380,954.75			\$	422,457.75
								_		 	 			_	

## **Rollingwood 2025 Mill and Overlay**

### **Contractor Reference Check Questions**

### **Bennett Paving Inc**

## **City of Round Rock - Ruben Ramirez**

#### rramirez@roundrocktexas.gov 512-218-7084

- How would you rate the contractor's skills, quality of work, and expertise in their field?
  - o Excellent, one of his favorite paving contactors to work with.
- Did they meet deadlines and manage their workload?
  - o Yes, no issues.
- Were there any challenges they faced, and how did they handle them?
  - o None.
- Did the contractor perform on budget and on time?
  - Delivered project on time and under budget.
- Were they reasonable with change orders?
  - Yes, and very reasonable on cost.
- How effectively did the contractor communicate?
  - Very good, no issues.
- Were they receptive to feedback and requests for changes?
  - Yes, no issues.
- Was the contractor dependable and punctual?
  - Yes, no issues.
- Were there any concerns about their conduct or behavior?
  - o None.
- Would you hire this contractor again?
  - o Absolutely.
- Is there anything you wish the contractor had done differently?
  - Nothing, Bennett is his preferred paving contractor to work with. He compares other paving contractors to them because they do such great work.
- Is there anything else I should know before making a decision to work with this contractor?
  - Nothing he can think of. Bennett will deliver a great project, very responsible and knowledgeable.

# <u>City of Selma - Gary Freelund gfreelund@freelandturk.com</u> 830-377-4555

- How would you rate the contractor's skills, quality of work, and expertise in their field?
  - o Scale of 1-10, would rate 8-9, very good, they get the job done.
- Did they meet deadlines and manage their workload?
  - Yes, very good, no delays.
- Were there any challenges they faced, and how did they handle them?
  - Mill and overlay project, challenge with residents having to deal with the construction but they did great with residents and traffic control.
- Did the contractor perform on budget and on time?
  - They performed on budget and on time. They did so well that the city added work to their scope.
- Were they reasonable with change orders?
  - Very reasonable, matched bid pricing.
- How effectively did the contractor communicate?
  - o No issues, did well. Field workers and office are easy to contact and coordinate with.
- Were they receptive to feedback and requests for changes?
  - Yes, no issues.
- Was the contractor dependable and punctual?
  - Yes, no issues.
- Were there any concerns about their conduct or behavior?
  - o None.
- Would you hire this contractor again?
  - o Yes, I would recommend.
- Is there anything you wish the contractor had done differently?
  - Nothing, did a great job.
- Is there anything else I should know before making a decision to work with this contractor?
  - Nothing, no complaints, they do a great job.

## City of Lago Vista - Victor Manzano

#### victor.manzano@lagovistatexas.gov 512-267-1155

- How would you rate the contractor's skills, quality of work, and expertise in their field?
  - o Really good quality of work, very excellent overall.
- Did they meet deadlines and manage their workload?
  - o They would complete work within their deadlines.
- Were there any challenges they faced, and how did they handle them?
  - Testing issues but not their fault.
- Did the contractor perform on budget and on time?
  - o Did perform on time and under budget by 5%.
- Were they reasonable with change orders?
  - City of Lago Vista doesn't allow change orders, only if a change in scope by the City but when the city did add to the scope, change orders did come back a little higher than expected.
- How effectively did the contractor communicate?
  - Did have some communication issues, driver hit a mailbox and didn't notify the city.
     Resident called and complained. But they did rectify the issue.
- Were they receptive to feedback and requests for changes?
  - Yes, very good.
- Was the contractor dependable and punctual?
  - Yes, no issues.
- Were there any concerns about their conduct or behavior?
  - None, handle themselves very well.
- Would you hire this contractor again?
  - Yes.
- Is there anything you wish the contractor had done differently?
  - Same comment as above in communication. They should have notified the city ASAP when a driver hit the mailbox.
- Is there anything else I should know before making a decision to work with this contractor?
  - Nothing he can think of. The work they perform is very good and they give advice/solutions when they see a problem arise.