

## Debra Thompson

---

**From:** Michael Truex <mtruex@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 4, 2021 8:26 AM  
**To:** Assembly  
**Subject:** Quarantine camps

Hello council members,

Recently, two of my family members, young ones, were diagnosed with Covid. That's interesting, since both individuals were fully vaccinated, young, healthy people. One, my sister in law, is a nurse, and has been dealing with Covid patients since the beginning.

That's pretty interesting, because it brings to light the possibility, the fact even, that vaccination isn't making covid 19 go away. Harvard, with a 95% vaccination rate, recently went to online learning due to an "outbreak." Massachusetts public health documented 4378 cases in vaccinated individuals in a single week during September. Should this be a big deal? No big deal, it is said, because "breakthrough cases" are to be expected, after all, from the beginning, this vaccine was never said to stop transmission, only to reduce symptoms. If that is true, then wouldn't that make the vaccinated much more likely to become "asymptomatic spreaders?" There is little real evidence that asymptomatic spread occurs anyway, but that's definitely food for thought.

Lets carry this logic back around, in a full circle as it were- if the vaccine is only serving to reduce symptoms, whose symptoms will it reduce? Let's work with a hypothetical guy here- If Joe is vaccinated, it will reduce Joe's symptoms, not yours or mine, right? Suppose another hypothetical person, let's say, Billy, doesn't feel the need to have his symptoms reduced? Should Billy's vaccination status matter to Joe?

Logical enough, one might say, but that idea only works under the "false" assumption that the vaccine doesn't stop transmission. That is a pretty good point, so in order to disprove the above logic, let's assume that the vaccine actually does stop transmission.

Imagine Joe had the jab, and the vaccine works- it stops transmission- and Joe then unwittingly encounters the virus. Luckily, the jab does its thing, and Joe doesn't get sick. Terrific. For Joe, unvaccinated individuals aren't a threat

1: Because they are the most likely to get sick and stay home anyway,

2: Their illness can't spread to Joe, because the Jab works.

My point here is that it is illogical to blame the unvaccinated for endangering the vaccinated, unless of course, the shot doesn't work at all. However, if it doesn't work at all, then taking it does no one any good, and pushing it on those yet to be jabbed is even sillier.

Currently, the vaccine adverse events reporting system, the system used by the CDC, shows 16,000 deaths and 750,000 severe reactions to the covid vaccines. Chew on that- 16,000 real deaths. That, by my math makes the slogan "safe and effective" more than a little deceptive.

In summary, I want to thank the council for removing the language supporting forced vaccination from the code up for approval tonight. I ask you to go one step further, and remove the language empowering city management to create quarantine camps and keep community members detained there against their will. The history of such camps is dark indeed, and it is the responsibility of good government to build in checks and balances for such power, not to enable it.

Sincerely,  
Michael Truex



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)