

## Dawn Collins

---


**From:** William Fisher <bill@fisharch.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 22, 2023 11:12 AM  
**To:** Dawn Collins  
**Cc:** Amy Hutson  
**Subject:** Re: Bill's Comments on final sign ordinance changes  
**Attachments:** Sign Code from Aug Mtg Packet Bills final comments.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

-----WARNING: This email originated from outside the Town of Palmer Lake. DO NOT CLICK on any attachments or links from unknown senders or unexpected emails. Always check the sender's display name and email address are correct before you communicate.-----

Barb I spoke w/ Amy about this and tried to clarify my previous email w/ the attached marked up Sign Ordinance. I know Amy also has other issues that I didn't mark up.

1. Change Pole Sign to "**Freestanding Multi-Tenant Sign**". That's what we're trying to regulate. It doesn't *require* poles. It **does count** towards the 2 signs allowed. Size and ht's ok as written (50sf, 10' high). Barb's Common Sign example graphic is compliant. It must be landscaped.
2. A **Monument sign** names the "place" where it is. It can only contain the name of the place and street number. It cannot contain multi-tenant sign info. It needs a masonry base and landscaping. Size and ht's ok as written (32sf, 6' res/8' comm ht.). It **does not count** towards the 2 allowable signs per business.



4. I changed Sign Allocation to say no. 1 counts towards the 2 allowed and no. 2 doesn't
5. Graphic for Freestanding Multi-Tenant sign type (or Pole Sign?) is wrong. See my sketch on the attached mark-up. Barb's Common Sign example above is now ok (you don't *have* to add poles to it to make it comply).
6. Need to add a sign type and definition for 3D signs. (ht x width then same size as a projecting sign).

William L Fisher RA Leed AP  
**FISHER ARCHITECTURE**  
 719.660.4356