# **Town of North Topsail Beach**

Evaluation of Responses to RFP For Audit Services for FY2025, FY2026, & FY2027

		Audit
Listed in order of results of	evaluation:	Hours
AAPG	90 Pts	137
SPD&A	85 Pts	141 ** 60 hrs. on report writing = 81 audit hours
Sharp-Patel	82 Pts	158 ** 60 hrs. on report writing = 98 audit hours
CRI Advisors	70 Pts	70 *** 8 hours clerical = 62 audit hours

AAPG & SPD&A were very close in overall points.

The most distinguishing factors that brought this down to the ranking were these:

Who had the most experience with Single Audits,

The number of hours being dedicated to the audit.

While all responders had experience auditing local governments/towns and performing "some" Single Audits, none had the experience auditing the towns w/ complexities, especially in the Single Audit arena, as AAPG.

Given the issues missed in the FY2023 audit, experience with Towns with more complexities, like NTB, was a factor in the rating.

\*\* Because the fee quoted in the RFP includes the hours towrite the financial statement report that will be published, it leaves the question of how many hours will be spent on actual auditing. Base hours to write the financial statements, all the accompanying notes, ensuring that all related documents tie AND an adequate review is done is approximately 60-80 hours when done by someone with the proficiencies to do so. By not quoting the hours and fees separately it made it difficult to determine the number of proposed audit hours.

AAPG PRICE	FY2025	Hours	FY2026		Hours	FY2027		Hours
Audit Proposed Cost	20,0	00 13	7	21,000	137		22,050	137
Single Audit by Program	5,0	00 TB	D	5,000	TBD		5,000	TBD
Writing Financial Stmts	6,0	00 N/	A	6,000	N/A		6,000	N/A

SPD&A PRICE	FY2025	Hours	FY2026		Hours	FY2027		Hours
Audit Proposed Cost	23,000	141 **		24,250	141 **	'	25,500	141 **
*Single Audit	3,750	TBD		4,000	TBD		4,250	TBD

Writing Financial Stmts

\*\*The cost of writing financial statements is included in fee above.

Base hours for writing financials statements is approximately 60-80 hours, which reduces the number of audit hours in this case down to only 80 max.

Partner's rate is only \$210/hour

SHARP-PATEL PRICE	FY2025	Hours	FY2026	Hours	FY2027	Hours
Audit Proposed Cost	22,869	158 **	25,358	158 **	26,118	158 **
Single Audit fee per program	1750-F/1250-S	TBD	1750-F/1250-S	TBD	1750-F/1250-S	TBD
Writing Financial Stmts	**The cost of wri	ting financial st	atements is includ	ed in fee above.		

Base hours for writing financials statements is approximately 60-80 hours, which reduces the number of audit hours in this case down to 98 max.

<sup>\*</sup> Based on the verbiage in the response, this is the additional cost for the Single Audit no matter how many programs.

CRI ADVISORS PRICE	FY2025	Hours	FY2026	Hours	FY2027	Hours
Audit Proposed Cost	17,650	70	18,800	70	19,950	70
Single Audit fee per program	2,500	TBD	2,500	) TBD	2,500	TBD
Writing Financial Stmts	Did not address in	response to	RFP			
CONCERN 12 CO has a second to	and decided the second	-1-0-fil <del>7</del>	O la			
CONCERN: Is 62 hours enough to	o audit this unit. No	ote 8 of the 7	O hours are clerical	not audit.		

<sup>\*</sup> Based on the verbiage in the response, this is the additional cost for the Single Audit no matter how many programs.

# **Evaluation Criteria**

Proposals will be evaluated using three sets of criteria. Firms meeting the mandatory criteria will have their proposals evaluated and scored for both technical qualifications and price. The following represent the principal selection criteria which will be considered during the evaluation process:

# **Mandatory Elements**

a.	The audit firm is independent and licensed to practice in North Carolina.	
b.	The firm has no conflict of interest with regard to any other work performed by the firm for the Town of North Topsail Beach.	•
c.	The firm adheres to the instructions in this request for proposal on preparing and submitting the proposal.	•
d.	The firm submits a copy of its last external peer review report and the firm has a record of quality audit work.	۲

EVALUATION CRITERIA	VALUE	ASSIGNED VALUE	
EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE			l
The firm's past experience and performance on comparable			İ
government engagements.	15	15	1.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to the			
engagement and the quality of the firm's management support			
personnel to be available for technical consultation.	15	15	2.
The firm's past experience with similar federal and state financial			
assistance programs.	10	10	3.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to			
review the electronic data processing systems.	10	0	4.
Audit Approach			
Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the			
engagement.	15	15	5.
Adequacy of sampling techniques.	10	10	6.
Adequacy of analytical procedures.	10	10	7.
Adequacy of audit plan for electronic data processing function.	15	15	8.
	Total Score	90	•

Name of Firm	AAPG
Evaluator's Signature	
Date	

 $\,\mathbf{1}\,$  While the firm is new the Team's combined experience shows audits of a total of :

 $26\ \text{Cities}$  - Large and Small - Grants included

12 counties - Large and Small - Grants included

2. April Adams - listed experience shows audits of 10 cities-Large/Complex & Smaller/Simpler & 6 Counties

Victoria Barnett - listed experience shows audits of 13 cities-Large/Complex & Small/Simpler & 4 Counties

Shannon Carlton - listed audit experience shows 3 cities-Large & 2 large counties

- 3. Response mentions experience in this arena, also given the list of clients, many of them received federal & state grant moneys and a Single Audit would have been required.
- 4. Not addressed

### **Evaluation Criteria**

Proposals will be evaluated using three sets of criteria. Firms meeting the mandatory criteria will have their proposals evaluated and scored for both technical qualifications and price. The following represent the principal selection criteria which will be considered during the evaluation process:

# **Mandatory Elements**

- a. The audit firm is independent and licensed to practice in North Carolina.
  b. The firm has no conflict of interest with regard to any other work performed by the firm for the Town of North Topsail Beach.
  c. The firm adheres to the instructions in this request for proposal on preparing and submitting the proposal.
- d. The firm submits a copy of its last external peer review report and the firm has a record of quality audit work.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	VALUE	ASSIGNED VALUE	
EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE			
The firm's past experience and performance on comparable			
government engagements.	15	15	1.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to the			
engagement and the quality of the firm's management support			İ
personnel to be available for technical consultation.	15	15	2.
The firm's past experience with similar federal and state financial			
assistance programs.	10	5	3.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to			
review the electronic data processing systems.	10	0	4.
Audit Approach			İ
Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the			
engagement.	15	15	
Adequacy of sampling techniques.	10	10	
Adequacy of analytical procedures.	10	10	
Adequacy of audit plan for electronic data processing function.	15	15	
	Total Score	85	

		Total Score
Name of Firm	SPD&A	
Evaluator's Signature		
Date		

1 Response shows having audited 50 small towns.

The response mentions "Topsail Beach" but not N Topsail.

This list also includes Surf City -

2. **Lee Grissom-Partner -** audit experience includes 33 small towns and 1 larger town, Elizabeth City & Topsail Beach.

**Meredith Singletary - Senior Auditor-** audited experience includes 27 small towns and 1 larger town, Elizabeth City.

Matt McLean - Partner - audit experience includes 16 small towns .

- 3. Appears, given client list, little to none, other than Topsail Beach and Surf city. No counties on their list of auditees
- 4. Not addressed

### **Evaluation Criteria**

Proposals will be evaluated using three sets of criteria. Firms meeting the mandatory criteria will have their proposals evaluated and scored for both technical qualifications and price. The following represent the principal selection criteria which will be considered during the evaluation process:

# **Mandatory Elements**

- a. The audit firm is independent and licensed to practice in North Carolina.
- b. The firm has no conflict of interest with regard to any other work performed by the firm for the Town of North Topsail Beach.
- C. The firm adheres to the instructions in this request for proposal on preparing and submitting the proposal.
- d. The firm submits a copy of its last external peer review report and the firm has a record of quality audit work.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	VALUE	ASSIGNED VALUE	
EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE			
The firm's past experience and performance on comparable			ĺ
government engagements.	15	10	1.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to the			
engagement and the quality of the firm's management support			ĺ
personnel to be available for technical consultation.	15	12	2.
The firm's past experience with similar federal and state financial			İ
assistance programs.	10	10	3.
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to			
review the electronic data processing systems.	10	0	4.
Audit Approach			
Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the			
engagement.	15	15	İ
Adequacy of sampling techniques.	10	10	
Adequacy of analytical procedures.	10	10	
Adequacy of audit plan for electronic data processing function.	15	15	
	Total Score	82	•

Name of Firm	Sharp-Patel	
Evaluator's Signature		
C		
Date		

- 1 Response shows having audited 10 small towns.
  - Several of the Towns/Cities had to have a Single, which may have been ARPA money. I checked the audit reports very few Single Audits over past 2 years.
- 2. Jay Sharpe 25 years experience auditing Towns & Cities.

Jacob Allen-Partner - per response, 10 years experience - auditing Towns.

**Leizzl Baker - Manager -** doesn't state her years of experience auditing cities/towns/counties **Jacot Tripp - Manager -** doesn't state his years of experience auditing cities/towns/counties

- 3. Doesn't appear there is a lot of experience with Single Audits in small Town.
  - I checked some of the units on the list of engagements and several that were listed as having had a single audit, had not within the last couple of years.
- 4. Not addressed

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

Proposals will be evaluated using three sets of criteria. Firms meeting the mandatory criteria will have their proposals evaluated and scored for both technical qualifications and price. The following represent the principal selection criteria which will be considered during the evaluation process:

### **Mandatory Elements**

- a. The audit firm is independent and licensed to practice in North Carolina.
- b. The firm has no conflict of interest with regard to any other work performed by the firm for the Town of North Topsail Beach.
- c. The firm adheres to the instructions in this request for proposal on preparing and submitting the proposal.
- d. The firm submits a copy of its last external peer review report and the firm has a record of quality audit work.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	VALUE	ASSIGNED VALUE	
EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE			
The firm's past experience and performance on comparable			ĺ
government engagements.	15	10	] 1
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to the			ĺ
engagement and the quality of the firm's management support			
personnel to be available for technical consultation.	15	10	2
The firm's past experience with similar federal and state financial			ĺ
assistance programs.	10	10	3
The quality of the firm's professional personnel to be assigned to			ĺ
review the electronic data processing systems.	10	0	4
Audit Approach			İ
Adequacy of proposed staffing plan for various segments of the			
engagement.	15	10	
Adequacy of sampling techniques.	10	5	
Adequacy of analytical procedures.	10	10	ĺ
Adequacy of audit plan for electronic data processing function.	15	15	ĺ
	Total Score	70	1
Name of Firm CRI Advisors	_		

Name of Firm	CRI Advisors
Evaluator's Signature	
Date	

- 1. CRI has performed audits on 3 counties and a Town. Counties always have federal and State grants so the Firm has experience with auditing and reporting on grants. This point is important as N Topsail Beach will need a Single Audit. All Governmental Units have to have a financial statement audit and the Firm would have
- 2. **Madonna Stafford Partner** while the Response says she has a lot of governmental experience looks like only 4 units current.

**CPE** - No indication that any CPE taken in the last 2 years is relevant to Governmental Financial Statement or State & Federal Grant Audits

**Michael Jordan - Partner** - Experience shows 2 Towns/2 counties - only the 2 counties appear to be current. More experience seems to be on sanitary districts.

**CPE** - No indication that any CPE taken in the last 2 years is relevant to Governmental Financial Statement or State & Federal Grant Audits

Reid Parker - Manager - Worked on 3 counties/6 small towns - only 4 still current

CPE - No indication that any CPE taken in the last 2 years is relevant to Governmental Financial Statement or

- 3. Not Directly addressed in their response; however, counties will have received FEMA & DEQ monies
- 4. No indication that anyone has the experience to review the electronic data processing systems.