

November 4, 2025

Norman Planning Commission
225 N. Webster Avenue
Norman, OK 73069

FILED IN THE OFFICE
OF THE CITY CLERK

ON 11/7/25

RE: Premium Homes, LLC
Requested Amendment of AIM Norman Comprehensive Land Use Plan

From: Urban Reserve Designation and Urban Low Density
To: Urban Low Designation and from A-2, Rural Agricultural District, to a PUD
Case Number: PD25-25

Applicant: Premium Homes, LLC

Planning Commission:

Thank you for allowing the Public & surrounding residents the opportunity to express our sincere input into whether this proposed change to the AIM Norman Comprehensive Land Use Plan (Plan) should be approved. As a directly adjacent resident I respectfully request patient consideration of the value of the change as well as intent as this decision will represent a "precedence" in how future applications will be evaluated and decided. **I am sternly opposed to the requested Amendment of the AIM Plan and the proposed PUD resulting in densifying an area of rural east Norman that is not currently an established need or consistent with the character of the area.**

I have been a resident of Norman my entire life and grew up on this land. My grandparents used to own the property in question and now my father, brother, uncles, and I own the surrounding properties. Our family properties align with the length of the west property line in question, and I own property immediately west of the proposed re-zoning. I would potentially be affected by watershed coming off the developed property as well as concerns for erosion and water quality. I am a full-time math teacher at Norman High School and support my family's history of being ranchers and farmers with use to the property I own.

Reviewing the proposed documents provided amongst our affected family, the proposed request does not appear to be in keeping with the current approved AIM Plan as publicly presented by the City of Norman in council and the website. Our family properties, homes, and acreages are already being threatened by the Turnpike Authority (OTA) and we hope to preserve what lifestyle & heritage we have for as long as we can.

I know my immediate family and friends thereof have provided quite extensive reasons to back concerns about this development should it be approved and why we as a family are sternly opposed, for ourselves, our neighbors, and adjacent property owners. I am in full support of those reasons and hope to protect the interests & investments of rural east Norman residents.

In summary, I sternly do NOT support the proposed PUD as it does not appear to be in keeping with the current city development plan, lacks supporting infrastructure, does not meet the stated AIM Plan projections implied intent, and lacks many of the noted requirements to justify any consideration for this kind of development.

As a property owner raised in a family utilizes the land in an honest agricultural capacity for a living and supporting neighbor, the sense of rush in this development is a concern.

I politely ask for consideration of the comments & concerns noted and appreciate your time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jake Bruehl".

Jake Bruehl

951 48th Ave N.E.