Meeting Date: August 27, 2024	Budgeted Amount: N/A
<b>Department:</b> Admin	Prepared By: Dave McCorquodale

## Subject

Consideration and possible action on a sign variance request as submitted by Signs Above for 15325 Summit Park Drive.

## Recommendation

Staff has no objections to the request and recommends approval of the variance as presented.

## Discussion

### Issue:

The developer/owner of the new multi-tenant building has completed the building construction and is proposing a multi-tenant monument sign. The property has frontage on FM 1097 and the entrance is along Summit Park Drive. The owner is requesting to build a sign larger than what city code allows for signs along FM 1097.

### Rules:

Section 66-53(n)(2):

TABLE A				
Land Use				
Requirements	Residential, Multifamily	Nonresidential		
		Frontage not on State	Frontage on State	
		Highway 105,	Highway 105,	
		Buffalo Springs Rd.,	Buffalo Springs Rd.,	
		State Highway 149	State Highway 149	
		North of Clepper	North of Clepper	
		Street,	Street,	
		State Highway 149	State Highway 149	
		south of State	south of State	
		Highway 105,	Highway 105,	
		C.B. Stewart Road and	C.B. Stewart Road and	
		on Lone Star Parkway	on Lone Star Parkway	
Maximum effective	36	60	100	
area (square feet)	30	00	100	
Maximum height (feet)	4	6	10	
Minimum setback	10	10	10	
from curb (feet)	10	10	10	
Number per feet of	1/500	1 for the first 125, 1	1 for the first 125, 1	
frontage		for each additional 125	for each additional 125	

# **AGENDA REPORT**

### Analysis:

As shown in the table above, FM 1097 was not included in the list of larger streets when the sign regulations were adopted. Limited development along the corridor may have contributed to this issue not being raised before. The roadway has traffic volume and speed limits similar to Eva St / SH 105 and Liberty Street / FM 149.

#### Recommendation:

Staff has no objection to the variance request and recommends approval as presented. An forthcoming encroachment and maintenance agreement will be required for the sign due to the proposed location being inside a utility easement. This is a common occurrence in development, and the city has done this for other monument signs within easements such as those near Kroger and The Shoppes.

Approved By		
Assistant City Administrator &		
Planning & Development Director	Dave McCorquodale	Date: 08/23/2024