

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-343-04-06 / Professional Services for Bond Counsel

OPEN DATE: April 06, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Firm	Average Evaluation Score
The Law Offices of Craig W. Harvey	67.0
Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP.	83.0
Jackson Walker, LLP.	84.0
Perez Law Firm	75.0
Cantu Harden Montoya LLP.	86.0

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-343-04-06 / Professional Services for Bond Counsel



OPEN DATE: April 06, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Proposer	Evaluator #1		Evaluator #2		Evaluator #3		Average
	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	
The Law Offices of Craig W. Harvey 13155 Noel Rd., Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75240	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		67
Sec. 1. Statement of Organization, Experience and Performance History (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	20	Acceptable	25	Acceptable	33	
Sec. 2. Method of Approach (30 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	20	Acceptable	25	Acceptable	20	
Sec. 3. Key Personnel (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	9	Acceptable	10	Acceptable	11	
Sec. 4. References (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	7	Not Acceptable	8	Acceptable	13	
Final Determination of Review		56		68		77	

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Public finance experience; Housing authority financing experience; Red Book listing; Texas HUB certified, Comprehensive service listing; Staff training; Policy review assistance; Disclosure support, Relevant legal background; Public finance and taxation experience; Defined team responsibilities, Relevant public finance references; Large governmental housing authorities **(EV2)** Request input from city.; **(EV3)**

Weaknesses: (EV1) Limited municipal issuer experience; Limited transaction history provided; Majority of examples involve housing authorities; Limited methodology; No project management framework; Limited communication discussion; Limited staffing depth; Limited attorney information;

Comments: (EV1) Experience is less directly comparable to the City's financing needs.; Adequate description of services but limited implementation detail.; Resources are significantly more limited than competing firms; References support capability but are less relevant than competing

Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP. 600 Travis, Ste. 4200 Houston, Tx 77002	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. 1. Statement of Organization, Experience and Performance History (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Sec. 2. Method of Approach (30 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	27	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	20	
Sec. 3. Key Personnel (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	14	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	12	

Sec. 4. References (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	13	Acceptable	10	Acceptable	15	
Final Determination of Review		91		75		82	83

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Nationally recognized public finance practice; Significant public finance resources; Strong industry leadership; Extensive transaction volume; Strong disclosure compliance focus; Staff and council training; Continuing disclosure support; Comprehensive advisory services; Dedicated public finance practice; Significant transaction experience; Strong TWDB experience; Institutional support ; Relevant municipal references; Recent projects; Significant issuance activity (EV2) +124 years exp. Number of cities involved within 5-11 yrs., Fees negotiable.; 3 partners & 4 associates; (EV3)

Weaknesses: (EV1) Limited Rio Grande Valley experience identified; Majority of representative issuances outside the region ; Limited project timeline discussion; Some associate resumes provided

Comments: (EV1) Exceptional public finance credentials and institutional depth.; Strong compliance-oriented service model.; Highly qualified team supported by a deep public finance practice.; Strong references but less locally focused.;(EV3) See Backup

Jackson Walker, LLP. 1900 Broadway, Ste. 1200 San Antonio, TX 78215		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. 1. Statement of Organization, Experience and Performance History (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35		
Sec. 2. Method of Approach (30 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	24	Acceptable	25	Acceptable	20		
Sec. 3. Key Personnel (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	13		
Sec. 4. References (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	13	Acceptable	9	Acceptable	15		
Final Determination of Review		89		79		83		84

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Extensive public finance experience; Significant transaction volume; Large statewide presence; Strong public finance reputation; Familiarity with Mission financing transactions; Comprehensive bond counsel services; Strong tax expertise; TWDB financing experience; Full-service legal resources; Extensive public finance expertise; Strong tax law experience; Deep support resources; Experienced bond counsel team; Relevant governmental references; Regional public finance experience; Familiarity with Mission financings; (EV2) 96+ years of experience, Good number of TWDB issuances.; *Serve as active partner.*; 4 Attorneys experience; *Two valley cities.* (EV3)

Weaknesses: (EV1) Limited Rio Grande Valley presence; Majority of representative projects outside the region; Limited project management discussion; No formal methodology presented although covered scope of service; No local Rio Grande Valley office; Fewer local references (EV2) (EV3)

Comments: (EV1) Highly qualified public finance practice supported by substantial institutional resources.; Strong technical capabilities but less detail regarding overall service delivery.; One of the strongest personnel teams reviewed.; References support qualifications but are less locally focused

Perez Law Firm 208 Lindberg Ave. McAllen, TX 78501	Acceptable	Potentially Acceptable	Acceptable	
---	-------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------	--

Sec. 1. Statement of Organization, Experience and Performance History (40 Total Maximum Points)	<i>Acceptable</i>	35	<i>Acceptable</i>	25	<i>Acceptable</i>	30	75
Sec. 2. Method of Approach (30 Total Maximum Points)	<i>Acceptable</i>	18	<i>Acceptable</i>	25	<i>Acceptable</i>	20	
Sec. 3. Key Personnel (15 Maximum Points)	<i>Acceptable</i>	13	<i>Acceptable</i>	5	<i>Acceptable</i>	11	
Sec. 4. References (15 Maximum Points)	<i>Acceptable</i>	15	<i>Acceptable</i>	15	<i>Acceptable</i>	13	
Final Determination of Review		81		70		74	

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Extensive public finance experience; Significant transaction history; Strong local government experience; Broad financing expertise; Comprehensive list of bond counsel services; Understanding of bond issuance requirements; Experienced principal attorney; Experienced public finance support staff; (EV2) Previously served the City.; *Familiar with process; Represents vally cities in past* (EV3)

Weaknesses: (EV1) (EV2) Two persons on firm; (EV3)

Comments: (EV1) Strong experience and qualifications relevant to the City's needs.; Method of approach lacked sufficient detail compared to other proposals. Personnel appear qualified but resources are limited compared to larger firms.; References support the firm's qualifications. (EV3)

Cantu Harden Montoya LLP. 1020 NE Loop 410, Ste. 401 San Antonio, TX 78209		<i>Acceptable</i>		Acceptable		Acceptable	
	Sec. 1. Statement of Organization, Experience and Performance History (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	25	Acceptable	35
	Sec. 2. Method of Approach (30 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	27	Acceptable	25	Acceptable	25
	Sec. 3. Key Personnel (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	11
	Sec. 4. References (15 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	13
	Final Determination of Review		94		80		84

86

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Extensive transaction experience; Statewide presence; Strong public finance credentials; Experience with major issuers; Strong staffing depth; Nationally recognized tax expertise; Former city management experience; Significant public finance experience; Strong methodology; Advisory approach; Staff training; Compliance support; Strong staffing depth; Nationally recognized tax expertise; Former city management experience; Significant public finance experience; Recent

Weaknesses: (EV1) Firm itself is relatively new (2022) although attorneys are not; Unsupported claim regarding industry ranking; Limited timeline detail; Generic technology discussion; (EV2) (EV3)

Comments: (EV1) Experience level exceeds many similarly situated firms.; One of the strongest Method of Approach sections reviewed.; Among the strongest personnel qualifications reviewed.; References demonstrate successful performance and strong client relationships.(EV2) (EV3) See