

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services

OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Firm	Average Evaluation Score
B2Z Engineering, LLC.	90.0
Terracon Consultants, Inc.	89.0
L&G Consulting Engineers, Inc.	89.0
Millenium Engineers Group, Inc.	88.0
ECS Southwest, LLP.	82.0
UES Professional Solutions 45, LLC.	82.0
Professional Service Industries, Inc.	82.0
Tolunay-Wong Engineers, Inc.	82.0
Atlas Engineering	73.0

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
B2Z Engineering, LLC. 900 S. Stewart Rd., Ste. 2 Mission, TX 78572	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	19	Acceptable	19	90
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	39	Acceptable	39	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	39	Acceptable	39	
Final Determination of Review		75		97		97	

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Yes they have a complete response.; *The team has much experience.*; The capacity is acceptable **(EV2)** The proposal provides a clear, well-structured response that fully addresses the requirement.; *AASHIO, TxDOT, USACE certified, Located in Mission, key personnel has combined 187 yrs experience, subject matter experts with significant experience.*; 11 field and lab technicians. The firm has adequate technical resources, qualified personnel, and management systems in place to effectively execute the scope of work **(EV3)** They are local and have plenty of certified staff to respond.; *B2Z personnel have plenty of experience on Geo Tech and Material Testing.*; B2Z has 101 Experienced employees. Provided much work performance with local presence.

Weaknesses:

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
Terracon Consultants, Inc. 1506 Mid Cities Dr. Pharr, TX 78577	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	18	Acceptable	16	Acceptable	16	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	36	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	36	
Final Determination of Review		90		90		88	89

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Very qualified team.; *They have a great team and are doing much work for McAllen and Edinburg.*; **(EV2)** Overall, the proposal reflects a high-quality response with sufficient detail.; *Key personnel demonstrate extensive relevant experience.*; Local in the RGV, The organization demonstrates relevant experience and staffing capacity. **(EV3)**

Weaknesses:

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
L&G Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2100 W. Expressway 83 Mercedes, Tx 78570	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	17	Acceptable	17	Acceptable	18	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	32	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	38	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	32	Acceptable	37	Acceptable	38	
Final Determination of Review		81		91		94	89

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Very complete RFQ Document; *The employees are highly qualified.*; They have adequate capacity. **(EV2)** The proposal is thorough and well organized.; *extensive experience.*; Local in Mission, TX; sufficient operational capacity.

Weaknesses: (EV2) 4 technicians;

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
Millennium Engineers Group, Inc. 5804 N. Gumwood Ave. Pharr, Tx 78577							
	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	19	Acceptable	19	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	38	Acceptable	38	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	38	Acceptable	38	
Final Determination of Review		75		95		95	88

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) They have a lot of credentials.; *The firm has much experience.*; The staff is very capable. **(EV2)** The response is comprehensive and demonstrates a high level of technical competence.; *96 years total experience of key personnel. Demonstrates capacity, infrastructure, and administration support.*; Team demonstrates the capacity to perform the required scope of work. **(EV3)**

Weaknesses:

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
ECS Southwest, LLP. 317 E. Cedar Ave., Ste. E McAllen, TX 78501	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Final Determination of Review	Acceptable	75		85		85	82

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) The firm submitted a complete proposal; *The individuals listed in the proposal have enough credentials;* The firm's staff has much experience. **(EV2)** The submission is adequate and responsive overall.; Proposal key personnel demonstrate extensive relevant experience and qualifications.; The proposer appears to have sufficient resources and personnel.

Weaknesses:

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
UES Professional Solutions 45, LLC. 900 S. Stewart Rd., Ste. 13 Mission, TX 78572	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Final Determination of Review		75		85		85	82

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) Good firm. They did work for the Vanguard School.; *The firm has much experience.;* The staff is very capable. **(EV2)** The submission is adequate and responsive overall.; *Proposed key personnel demonstrate extensive relevant experience and qualifications.;* **(EV3)**

Weaknesses: (EV2) Nearest office is in La Ferial. **(EV3)**

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer		Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
Professional Service Industries, Inc.		Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		82
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15		
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35		
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35		
Final Determination of Review		75		85		85		

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) The firm submitted a complete proposal; *The firm personnel has much experience.*; They appear to be able to do this and work with city staff. **(EV2)** The submission is adequate and responsive overall.; *Proposed key personnel demonstrate extensive relevant experience and qualifications.*;

Weaknesses: (EV2) nearest office in Harlingen

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
Tolunay-Wong Engineers, Inc. 6201 FM 106, Unit 6D Harlingen, TX 78550							
	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	15	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	35	
Final Determination of Review		75		85		85	82

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) The RFQ is very complete and thorough.; *The firm officials have all the qualifications and experience necessary.*; The staff assigned appear to be acceptable for the work. **(EV2)** The submission is adequate and responsive overall.; *Proposal key personnel demonstrate extensive relevant experience and qualifications.*;

Weaknesses: (EV2) nearest office is in Harlingen.

Comments:

PROPOSAL NAME/NUMBER: 26-230-02-10 / On-Call Geotechnical and Material Testing Services



OPEN DATE: February 10, 2026 2:00 PM CST

Evaluator	Xavier Cervantes	Edgar Gonzalez	Juan Terrazas
	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3

Proposer	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Rating	Points	Average
Atlas Engineering 500 S. 11th St. McAllen, TX 78501	Acceptable		Acceptable		Acceptable		
Sec. a. General Quality and Adequacy of Response (20 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	10	Acceptable	15	Acceptable	16	
Sec. b. Organization, Personnel, and Experience (40 Total Maximum Points)	Acceptable	20	Acceptable	35	Acceptable	36	
Sec. c. Capacity to Perform (40 Maximum Points)	Acceptable	20	Acceptable	30	Acceptable	36	
Final Determination of Review		50		80		88	73

Notes:

Strengths: (EV1) There was not much content in the RFQ form; *Not much information was provided for the staff.*; Not much strength in the staff experience. **(EV2)** The submission is adequate and responsive overall.; Local

Weaknesses: (EV2) *Resume are general in nature;* Total of 5 employees.

Comments: