

A Meeting of the Meridian City Council was called to order at 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, October 26, 2021, by Mayor Robert Simison.

Members Present: Robert Simison, Joe Borton, Luke Cavener, Treg Bernt, Jessica Perreault, Brad Hoaglund and Liz Strader.

Also present: Chris Johnson, Bill Nary, Alan Tiefenbach, Berle Stokes, Joe Bongiorno and Dean Willis.

ROLL-CALL ATTENDANCE

☒ Liz Strader	☒ Joe Borton
☒ Brad Hoaglund	☒ Treg Bernt
☒ Jessica Perreault	☒ Luke Cavener
☒ Mayor Robert E. Simison	

Simison: Council, call the meeting to order. For the record it is October 26, 2021, at 6:00 p.m. We will begin tonight's regular City Council meeting with roll call attendance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Simison: Next item is the Pledge of Allegiance. If you would all, please, rise and join us in the pledge.

(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

COMMUNITY INVOCATION

Simison: Next item on the agenda is the community invocation, but we do not have the person who signed up, so we will go ahead and move on.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Simison: Move on to the next item on the -- on the agenda.

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: I move that we adopt the agenda is published.

Hoaglund: Second the motion.

Simison: Have a motion and a second to adopt the agenda as published. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and the agenda is adopted.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

PUBLIC FORUM – Future Meeting Topics

Simison: Mr. Clerk, did we have anyone sign up under public forum?

Johnson: Mr. Mayor, nobody signed up.

ACTION ITEMS

- 1. Public Hearing Continued from October 12, 2021 for McFadden Property (H-2021-0048) by Doug Tamura, Located at 104 W. Cherry Ln.**
 - A. Request: Annexation and Zoning of 17.87 acres of land with the C-C zoning district.
- 2. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law for McFadden Property (H-2021-0048) by Doug Tamura, Located at 104 W. Cherry Ln.**
- 3. Development Agreement Between the City of Meridian and Kobe LLC (Owner/Developer) for McFadden Property (H-2021-0048), Located at 104 W. Cherry Ln.**

Simison: Okay. Then we will move onto tonight's Action Items this evening. First item up is a public hearing continuing from October 12th, 2021, for McFadden Property, H-2201-0048. I'm going to turn this over to Alan or Bill? Which one?

Tiefenbach: Greetings, Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council. If you remember at the previous Council meeting there was a long discussion regarding this annexation and zoning of about 17 and a half acres. It is at the northwest corner of Cherry and North Meridian Drive. They are trying to annex this property in order to get it into a new North Gateway Urban Renewal Plan. There has been a lot of discussion with the applicant about how we could actually do that. Originally, we had some pretty extensive conditions of approval about how to bring the development in and what could occur. The Council, after a long discussion at the last meeting, gave the direction to the applicant, to staff, to prepare a development agreement that basically says that you are annexing into the city with C-C zoning with the condition that basically nothing can happen on the property, no kind of development, without a future development agreement modification. Based on that and I would, then, defer to Mr. Nary. The staff report -- prepared very simple condition of approval to -- basically to that nature and I guess from that I can pass it on to Bill if he wants to talk anymore about that.

Nary: Sure. Sure. Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, so that we worked in coordination with Planning on the findings and as well as the development agreement. If you look on the development agreement -- so, as you have seen on many -- not many -- all of our development agreements as we annex property we are required to zone it. So, the zoning is, then, outlined in the development or what's -- what's zoned for that parcel. That parcel, then, has the designation of uses that are defined in the UDC. So, you step that down. You get this zone, that zone allows these uses, and, then, the specific conditions, if you look at in this development group, it's four point -- or it's 5.1. The specific conditions narrow any other things on top of what the code allows. So, commonly you will see that and it will say no car washes, no 24 hour drive-throughs, no -- whatever. And so -- and that's usually been the conversation with the applicant. In this case because of that lengthy discussion, as Alan stated, the Council wants to see what development is going to occur. He gave us a very generalized sketch of what he thought could work based on staff's advice that the Council generally likes to see some idea of what could be done there, even if that's not really ultimately where you land. You can come back. Council's direction and Mr. Tamura was in agreement -- was that what it says now in 5.1 is -- or, excuse me, 5.2 I think. Sorry. I want to get this right. 5.1A. Prior to development or building permit the applicant shall submit a development agreement modification that includes a comprehensive concept plan that addresses all of the issues discussed, including land use, landscaping, motorized and non-motorized circulation, transit corridors, building size and placement, entry features, open space amenities, architecture, recommendation of the MDC Corporation, the Development Corporation, other pertinent planning documents consistent with the principles of mixed use community as described in the Comprehensive Plan. So, the idea was before any element, any building permit, anything to be done on this, the concept will have to come back. That was something Mr. Tamura was an agreement with. He stated so on the record. I know he is out of town today. He has signed this agreement, because, again, we need to get this moving forward now and if the -- if the Commission -- or the Council is in agreement, we are ready to move forward. We just thought we would put all of these documents on together, which normally you wouldn't see it that way, you normally see findings and you would see the DA and, then, the annexation ordinance. Put them all on today because of the timing that is really contingent on state code, the development of this new urban renewal district, MDC's timing, their public hearing, that will come back to the Council. So, it's -- it's critically important to make that decision tonight and if you are in agreement we could approve all of this tonight.

Simison: Thank you, Mr. Nary. So, the public hearing is closed at this point in time from that standpoint, but we are welcome to maybe have that conversation -- have conversation if you want to open it back up and have further dialogue we are more than welcome to do that as well.

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: Is there anyone from the public here at City Hall or anyone on online that would like to comment on this application?

Johnson: Mr. Mayor, only the applicant is online.

Bernt: With that, Mr. Mayor, I don't believe -- unless my fellow Council Members disagree, I don't think we need to open up the public hearing.

Simison: And we could make a motion, have -- any discussion under that?

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: Mr. Nary, do we -- in the -- in the motion do we have to include Item 2 and 3 as well or are they all separate?

Nary: You can -- Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, Council Member Bernt, yeah, you can include all three as part of your motion. If the motion is to approve -- those I would just identify the particular project number and the specific action, which is annexation and zoning and, then, the development agreement.

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: I move that we approve Item No. H-2021-0048, public hearing for McFadden Property that was continued from October 12th, the annexation and zoning of 17.7 acres of land with the C-C zoning district. Also would like to make a motion to approve Item No. 2, Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law for McFadden Property. That's Item No. H-2021-0048. And, then, also on top of that Item No. 3, which is the development agreement between the City of Meridian and Kobe, LLC, for the McFadden Property, which is Item No. H-2021-0048.

Simison: I have a motion.

Hoaglund: Second the motion.

Simison: And a second. Is there discussion on the motion?

Perreault: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Perreault.

Perreault: I don't have a question about the motion specifically, but I would like to ask a question of Mr. Nary. So, the concept plan that was presented originally, it's in the staff

report. If a member of the public reads the development agreement as it currently is and isn't aware that a development agreement modification will have to come forward before any -- you know, before any structures are built, is there going to create some confusion for -- you know, we have had lots of conversations about individuals that own property in the area, individuals who, you know, are -- have questions about what's going to go on -- on that property. Having that concept -- does it necessarily have that drawing to have that concept plan tied to the DA or can we leave it with no concept plan specifically associated?

Nary: Well, Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, I guess -- I mean it was part of the presentation. It was part of what he presented as a possible proposal of what could be accomplished there. I don't -- I don't think -- I don't think it would be confusing, but if it were confusing most people call and ask that. They asked are you telling me they can build three buildings on Fairview and two buildings on Meridian Road and the answer is going to be is it's a concept plan. Everything has to come back. Everything has to be done over. So, it may be that, it could be something completely different than that. So, I mean normally that's how the dialogue happens. So, I don't really see -- like from a court side having anybody go to court over that. I see the contact with the city saying what did you guys allow there and the answer is going to be as well -- all that's in that is a concept. It's not approved anything. There is no plat attached. So, there is no building plan.

Hoaglund: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Hoaglund.

Hoaglund: Question for Bill. I just wanted to make sure, because I think it's -- it's in there in the motion, but staff had -- you know, there are like five, six different things about building orientation for a gateway sign, limiting the building height, footprints, circulation plan, 25 -- that -- that is in that number three, I believe. We don't have to include that or do we need include it by reference? The development agreement. Or was it in the findings of fact?

Nary: Number three is the definition section. So, I think those are the findings. So, again, the findings are really the -- just the roadmap of how we get there. So, as you get to the end of those findings it defines what the final decision of the Council is. So, all those things were part of what was discussed, part of the record, all those things. So, I think it's -- those are fine, because, again, no -- there is nothing in this development agreement that allows anybody -- if this were to go to a different person next year, they can't come and build anything there. There is no defined anything. So, just because there is a concept plan -- they could come in with an actual permitting to do a plat that looks like that concept plan and the Council could decide, no, we don't like it and we say no.

Hoaglund: Okay. Thank you.

Simison: Council, any further questions? All right. If not, Clerk will call the roll.

Roll call: Borton, yea; Cavener, yea; Bernt, yea; Perreault, yea; Hoaglun, yea; Strader, yea.

Simison: All ayes. Motion carries and the item is agreed to. Thank you, Alan.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

4. Public Hearing Continued from October 12, 2021 for Heron Village Expansion (H-2021-0027) by Tamara Thompson of The Land Group, Inc., Located at 51, 125 and 185 E. Blue Heron Ln.

- A. Request: Annexation of 1.36 acres of land with a R-40 zoning district.
- B. Request: Rezone of 4.18 acres of land from C-G and R-8 to R-40.
- C. Request: Conditional Use Permit to allow expansion of an existing 108-unit, 5-building multifamily complex to allow an additional 36 units in two new buildings.

Simison: Next item on the agenda is a public hearing continued from October 12th, 2021, for the Heron Village Apartments Expansion, H-2021-0027. Alan, any comments you would like to make?

Tiefenbach: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, Alan Tiefenbach, associate planner. There was quite a bit of discussion at the previous meeting in particular about the issues regarding parking. The Council directed the applicant to look at whether they could include more parking, do some different building orientation. They originally had continued it to this date. The applicant has not had those issues worked out. We went back and forth on -- back and forth on some future hearing dates and the only date that the applicant was able to be available to do this would be the first one in December. Staff's understanding is that the applicant will be providing us some kind of updated drawings addressing the Council's concerns for that hearing. So, at that point they are asking to continue it until December 7th I think was a date.

Simison: Thank you. Council, you have heard that request. Mr. Clerk, did we have anybody that signed up to provide testimony on this item?

Johnson: Mr. Mayor, nobody signed up, but we do have ladies in the room.

Simison: Okay. If this is a time that you would like to provide testimony on the item, we could do that, or as you heard December 7th is when we will have a revised plan for further consideration. I don't know if that works for you all, but, if not, if you would like to come forward be happy to take your testimony at this time on the project. Not seeing anybody at this time that would like to provide testimony, so, Council, what's your pleasure at this time on continuing until December 7th or not? Okay. Do I have a motion?

Borton: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Borton.

Borton: With that, Alan, can you ask the applicant to provide -- and we can talk after this, but if there is a member of the folks that are here, so they can get provided a copy of what this new proposal might be or whatever the solutions are, maybe send it to you guys in advance as well. You came here tonight expecting a hearing, so at the very least -- and the applicant's cooperative I'm sure and willing to do that.

Tiefenbach: I can do that if I know who exactly we would want them to send it to.

Borton: Well, we could talk after and --

Tiefenbach: Or certainly if they want to e-mail me -- yeah. City Clerk will give you my card. If you want to send me your e-mail, if and when I get an update I will just forward that onto you, so that you will be prepared when the hearing comes up.

Borton: Thanks, Alan.

Strader: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Strader.

Strader: Have we communicated with the applicant a deadline to receive the revised concept plan prior to December 7th, then, so people would have adequate time to review the new concept plan?

Tiefenbach: I'm sorry, Council Person, Chris and I were discussing. Would you -- would you, please, repeat?

Strader: Sure. No. I'm just wondering if we have communicated with the applicant a deadline for them to give us their revised concept plan, so that your staff will have adequate time to review it and the whole public will have adequate time to review it and the Council. I just don't know if it's realistic to extend it to December 7th if -- I just want to make sure we are not getting it at 11:00 p.m. on December 6th or something.

Tiefenbach: Understood, Council Person Strader. First, to add onto what we just talked about with Chris, what's going to happen is when I get the revised updated drawings I will forward them to the city clerk. So, if you contact the city clerk he will make sure that you get all the updated documents. So, don't e-mail me, e-mail him and I will make sure that they have his e-mail. Council Person Strader, in regard to your questions, you recently approved some regulation which helps us a bit now. If you recall what it said was that drawings need to be provided at least 15 days prior to the meetings now. So, the applicant is well aware that they need to have me these drawings sometime ahead, not the day before. That this is kind of what drove what's happening this time is that last week there

was some discussions with Adrienne about whether or not they had updated drawings for this particular meeting tonight, being seven days ago they didn't have it, so we told them they had to continue. So, we will make sure that there is plenty of time.

Strader: Perfect. Thanks.

Simison: Thank you. Council, do I have a motion?

Borton: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Borton.

Borton: I move that we continue Item H-2021-0027 to December 7th, 2021.

Hoaglund: Second.

Simison: I have a motion and a second to continue the item until December 7th, 2021. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and the item is continued.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

5. Public Hearing for Trust Storage Subdivision No. 2 (SHP-2021-0007) by B&A Engineers, Located on Parcel R8535800100 at the Southeast Corner of the S. Locust Grove Rd. and E. Overland Rd. Intersection

- A. Request: Short Plat consisting of 2 buildable lots on 1.04 acres of land in the C-C zoning district.

Simison: Next up on the agenda Item 5 is a public hearing for Trust Storage Subdivision No. 2, SHP-2021-0007. I will open this public hearing with staff comments.

Tiefenbach: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council. I think this is going to be relatively simple. This is a request for a short plat. The property is about one acre. It's zoned C-C. It's located at the southeast corner -- southeast corner of East Overland Road and South Locust Grove. The applicant proposes to subdivide the property into a new plat consisting of two lots. Just a quick background. 2016 -- or sorry. Excuse me. In 2000 16 acres of land, including this property, received annexation and zoning approval and there was a development agreement that was done. In 2010 the -- that -- additional property of that was platted out into 15 lots and that was called the Somerton Subdivision and what that did is that there was a conditional use that was approved to allow a carwash, which you can see today now that was on the north and so there was a carwash that was approved on the north here by conditional use. Then there was another conditional use that was approved to allow self-storage that you also see now and that's basically at the south here and at the east. This present proposal then -- there is one more vacant piece of property that's sitting there right behind the carwash, between the

mini storage. That's what we are dealing with tonight. Again, about one acre. The applicant wants to subdivide this into two one acre -- one half acre lots for development of two different commercial buildings. We requested the applicant provide us some kind of site plan to make sure that what they were doing would actually work. This is what the applicant provided to us. This shows that you could fit at least two 3,000 square foot buildings on each lot, along with the setbacks, associated parking and drive aisles, so the parking is based on the square footages. There is an existing cross-access easement now. That is what you see here and that is what you see here. So, there is -- there is already allowed an existing access to South Locust Grove, as long as the -- as well as up to the north. The applicant is also showing us that they can meet the landscape buffer requirements, which have already actually been approved by the larger landscape plan for the rest of the self storage. With that staff didn't have any issues and recommends approval. We will be happy to entertain any questions or comments at this time.

Simison: Thank you, Alan. Council, any questions for staff at this time?

Perreault: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Perreault.

Perreault: Alan, two questions. First, are they accessing off of a side street that's coming off of Locust Grove? I couldn't tell from the drawings where the access comes in. I assume it's there on the south side. Second question is the drawing is only showing a small amount of parking spaces. Could that potentially change depending on the use of the property?

Tiefenbach: Yes. First, the -- the question -- again there is two accesses. There is a current access easement and there is already access occurring and that's coming off of south -- directly off of South Locust Grove. There is a little drive aisle here is what you see down here. Yes, this could change. All we were really concerned about is -- we weren't trying to tie them down to this being the exact -- exact development. It's just it was somebody asking to subdivide into two half acre lots, we wanted to make sure that they could reasonably fit something in there that worked. So, based on that they looked at like some typical dentist or doctor office types buildings, which would be 3,000 square foot each and, then, based on commercial regulations a one spot per 500, they were able to show that they could meet the parking here. That's really all it is. But, yes, it could change. This isn't really a development agreement that's just tying this down. This is just showing them, yes, we can actually make some kind of development work here. Did that answer your question?

Perreault: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Perreault.

Perreault: Yes, it did. I just -- you mentioned that you wanted this drawing to represent that it's possible to fit something there and so I didn't know if there was a use that required

a lot of parking -- I assume that the -- the structure would have to shrink to fit everything on the site and so I just didn't know if this was an example or -- I knew it was an example. What I was saying is depending on what they put there, do you think this -- this drawing represents what actually will happen or could happen?

Tiefenbach: The applicant has told me that they were looking at doing office -- like a dentist office or something like that. So, based on office -- professional office type uses, yes, that would work here. Probably wouldn't be able to fit a high traffic generation restaurant or something -- a 6,000 square foot restaurant probably wouldn't work, but, sure, their limiting factor here would be parking -- parking and setbacks.

Simison: Council, any further questions for staff? Okay. Is the applicant here and would like to come forward? If you could state your name and address for the record and be recognized for 15 minutes.

McAllister: Gary McAllister. 2115 East Bowstring Street, Meridian, Idaho. We concur with the staff's report. We are looking to probably put a dentist office in one of the sites, about 3,000 square feet. I would stand for questions.

Simison: Thank you. Council, any questions for the applicant? Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Clerk, do we have anyone signed up to provide testimony?

Johnson: Mr. Mayor, only the applicant.

Simison: Okay. And we have no one else in -- well, we have one person in the room that says no. Nobody online to provide testimony. So, I assume the applicant waives their final comments on the -- on the project. Do I have a motion to close the public hearing or further questions for staff?

Hoaglund: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Hoaglund.

Hoaglund: I move that we close the public hearing for Item No. 5, SHP-2021-0007.

Cavener: Second.

Simison: I have a motion and a second to close the public hearing. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and the hearing is closed.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

Hoaglund: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Hoaglund.

Hoaglund: After considering all staff and applicant testimony, I move to approve file SHP-2021-0007 as presented in the staff report for the hearing date of October 26th, 2021.

Cavener: Second.

Simison: I have a motion and a second to approve the item before us. Is there any discussion? If not, Clerk will call the roll.

Roll call: Borton, yea; Cavener, yea; Bernt, yea; Perreault, yea; Hoaglund, yea; Strader, yea.

Simison: All ayes. Motion carries and the item is agreed to. Thank you very much.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

ORDINANCES [Action Item]

- 6. Ordinance No. 21-1951: An Ordinance (H-2020-0124 – Ambles Run Subdivision) for Annexation of a Parcel of Land Being a Portion of Lot 26 of Block 1 of Dunwoody Subdivision, as Filed in Record in the Office of the Ada County Recorder, Boise Idaho, in Book 58 at Page 5482, as Shown on Record of Survey No. 7837, as Filed for Record in the Office of the Ada County Recorder, Boise, Idaho Under Instrument No. 107033607, Lying in the NW ¼ of Section 29, Township 4 North, Range 1 East, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, and Being More Particularly Described in Attachment “A” and Annexing Certain Lands and Territory, Situated in Ada County, Idaho, and Adjacent and Contiguous to the Corporate Limits of the City of Meridian as Requested by the City of Meridian; Establishing and Determining the Land Use Zoning Classification of 2.88 Acres of Land from RUT to R-2 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District in the Meridian City Code; Providing that Copies of this Ordinance shall be Filed with the Ada County Assessor, the Ada County Recorder, and the Idaho State Tax Commission, as Required by Law; and Providing for a Summary of the Ordinance; and Providing for a Waiver of the Reading Rules; and Providing an Effective Date**

Simison: Okay, next items up are -- is Ordinance No. 21-1951. Ask the Clerk to read this ordinance by title.

Johnson: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. It's an ordinance related to H-2020-0124, Ambles Run Subdivision, for annexation of a parcel of land being a portion of Lot 26 of Block 1 of Dunwoody Subdivision, as filed in record in the office of the Ada County Recorder, Boise Idaho, in Book 58 at Page 5482, as shown on Record of Survey No. 7837, as filed for record in the office of the Ada County Recorder, Boise, Idaho under Instrument No. 107033607, lying in the NW ¼ of Section 29, Township 4 North, Range 1 East, Boise

meridian, Ada county, Idaho, and being more particularly described in Attachment "A" and annexing certain lands and territory, situated in Ada county, Idaho, and adjacent and contiguous to the corporate limits of the City of Meridian as requested by the City of Meridian; establishing and determining the land use zoning classification of 2.88 acres of land from RUT to R-2 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District in the Meridian City Code; providing that copies of this ordinance shall be filed with the Ada County Assessor, the Ada County Recorder, and the Idaho State Tax Commission, as required by law; and providing for a summary of the ordinance; and providing for a waiver of the reading rules; and providing an effective date.

Simison: Thank you. Council, you have heard this ordinance read by title. Is there anybody that would like it -- it read in this entirety? If not, do I have a motion?

Perreault: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Perreault.

Perreault: I move that we approve Ordinance No. 21-1951 with the suspension of rules.

Strader: Second the motion.

Simison: I have a motion and a second to approve Item No. 7 under suspension of the rules. Is there any discussion?

Perreault: Item No. 6.

Simison: Oh, Item No. 6. I apologize. Yes. Is there discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and the item is agreed to.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

- 7. Ordinance No. 21-1952: An Ordinance (H-2021-0048 – McFadden Property) for Annexation of a Portion of the East ½ of the SE ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 1, Township 3 North, Range 1 West, Ada County, Idaho, and Being More Particularly Described in Attachment "A" and Annexing Certain Lands and Territory, Situated in Ada County, Idaho, and Adjacent and Contiguous to the Corporate Limits of the City of Meridian as Requested by the City of Meridian; Establishing and Determining the Land Use Zoning Classification of 17.88 Acres Land from RUT to C-C (Community Business) Zoning District in the Meridian City Code; Providing that Copies of this Ordinance Shall Be Filed with the Ada County Assessor, the Ada County Recorder, and the Idaho State Tax Commission, as Required By Law; and Providing for a Summary of the Ordinance; and Providing for a Waiver of the Reading Rules; and Providing an Effective Date**

Simison: Next item up is Item 7, Ordinance No. 21-1952. Ask the clerk to read this ordinance by title.

Johnson: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. It's an ordinance related H-2021-0048, McFadden Property, for annexation of a portion of the East ½ of the SE ¼ of the SE ¼ of Section 1, Township 3 North, Range 1 West, Ada county, Idaho, and being more particularly described in Attachment "A" and annexing certain lands and territory, situated in Ada county, Idaho, and adjacent and contiguous to the corporate limits of the City of Meridian as requested by the City of Meridian; establishing and determining the land use zoning classification of 17.88 acres of land from RUT to C-C (Community Business) Zoning District in the Meridian City Code; providing that copies of this ordinance shall be filed with the Ada County Assessor, the Ada County Recorder, and the Idaho State Tax Commission, as required by law; and providing for a summary of the ordinance; and providing for a waiver of the reading rules; and providing an effective date.

Simison: Thank you. Council, you have heard this ordinance read by title. Is there anybody that would like it read its entirety? If not, do I have a motion?

Perreault: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Council Woman Perreault.

Perreault: I move that we approve Ordinance No. 21-1952 with the suspension of rules.

Cavener: Second.

Simison: I have a motion and a second to approve Item 7, Ordinance No. 21-1952, under suspension of the rules. Is there any discussion? If not, all favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and the ordinance is agreed to.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

FUTURE MEETING TOPICS

Simison: Council, anything under future meeting topics? Then do I have a motion?

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: I just wanted to extend our condolences to our good friends to the east this evening for the tragic loss of life and -- yesterday was a -- was a -- sort of an ugly day in the Treasure Valley, but very grateful for those who -- who prayed and who have supported our -- you know, the community members and families and victims and those who are injured. Thankful for the police officers and the first responders who were involved as

well, along with our Meridian police officers who assisted the Boise Police Department. So, just thoughts and prayers to our friends to the east this evening.

Simison: Thank you.

Bernt: Mr. Mayor?

Simison: Councilman Bernt.

Bernt: I move that we adjourn the meeting.

Simison: I have a motion to adjourn the meeting. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned.

MOTION CARRIED: ALL AYES.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:28 P.M.

(AUDIO RECORDING ON FILE OF THESE PROCEEDINGS)

MAYOR ROBERT SIMISON

DATE APPROVED

ATTEST:

CHRIS JOHNSON - CITY CLERK