

Docketing Criteria Analysis

Item No.	Criterion 1: Appropriately Addressed by Comp Plan or Code	Criterion 2: Necessary Staff and Budget Resources can be Provided by City or Applicant	Criterion 3: Does Not Raise Issues Related to Ongoing Work Program Item	Criterion 4: Serves Public Interest by Implementing Comp Plan Goals or Supports City's Vision	Criterion 5: Has Not Been Considered by the City Council in the Last 3 Years
25-1	✓	↓	? ¹	✗ ²	✓
25-2	? ³	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-3	? ³	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-4	✓	↓	✓	✗ ⁴	✓
25-5	✓	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-6	✓	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-7	✓	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-8	✓	↓	✓	✓	✓
25-9	✓	↓	✓	✓	✓
✓	The proposal could meet this criterion	? ¹	It is unclear or debatable whether the proposal could meet this criterion	✗	The proposal does not meet this criterion
↑	The proposal is a high priority for staff/budget resources	↓	The proposal is a moderate priority for staff/budget resources	↓	The proposal is a low priority for staff/budget resources

¹ Potential issues may arise during implementation of the Growth Management Hearings Board Final Decision related to identifying housing capacity within the Transit Center area.

² This amendment is not consistent with the "Town Center" Comprehensive Plan designation for this property. A Comprehensive Plan amendment would be required.

³ These amendments seek to constrain the City's ability to approve a CUP on residentially zoned properties and grant reclassifications of any single-family residentially zoned property. Staff is unsure if a Comprehensive Plan amendment or development code amendment is the appropriate method for achieving the goals of this proposal.

⁴ The 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update adopted Transportation Goals and Policies; Policy 1.2: "Encourage businesses and residential areas to explore opportunities for shared parking and other management strategies".