CITY OF MERCER ISLAND ORDINANCE NO. 19C-20

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF MERCER ISLAND, WASHINGTON AMENDING CHAPTER 2.60 OF THE MERCER ISLAND CITY CODE TO REVISE THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR OFFICIALS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the residents and businesses of Mercer Island are entitled to have fair, ethical and accountable local government that has earned the public's full confidence for integrity; and

WHEREAS, a Code of Ethics strengthens the quality of government through ethical principles that shall govern the conduct of the City's elected and appointed officials; and

WHEREAS, a Code of Ethics for members of the City Council, the City's boards and commissions, Council-appointed task groups or committees, and the City Manager was adopted in 2018 to promote public confidence in the integrity of local government and its fair operation; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments to the Code of Ethics detail prohibited conduct regarding conflicts of interest, appearance of conflict, misuse of public position or resources, representation of third parties, gifts and favors, and confidential information; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments also establish an Ethics Officer provide for annual review of the code of ethics, review of training materials provided for education regarding the code of ethics, and advisory opinions concerning the code of ethics; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments provide a better process for complaints, investigations, hearings and enforcement related to violations of the Code of Ethics.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MERCER ISLAND, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 2.60 MICC, Code of Ethics, Amended. Chapter 2.60 MICC, Code of Ethics, is hereby amended as follows:

CHAPTER 2.60 CODE OF ETHICS

SECTION:

- 2.60.010 Policy
- 2.60.020 <u>DefinitionsCompliance with Laws</u>
- 2.60.030 Prohibited ConductCode of Ethics Statement
- 2.60.040 Code of Ethics StatementComplaints

2.60.050 Ethics Officer

2.60.060 Advisory Opinions

2.60.070 Complaints, Investigations, Hearings and Enforcement 2.60.080 Limitation Period

2.60.010 Policy

A. Purpose. The purpose of the city of Mercer Island code of ethics is to strengthen the quality of government through ethical principles which shall govern the conduct of members of the city council, the city's boards and commissions, and council-appointed task groups or committees.

B. Intent. The citizens and businesses of Mercer Island are entitled to have fair, ethical and accountable local government that has earned the public's full confidence. In keeping with the city of Mercer Island's commitment to excellence, the effective functioning of democratic government therefore requires that:

1. Officials comply with the laws and policies affecting the operations of government;

2. Officials be independent, impartial and fair in their judgment and actions;

3. Public office be used for the public good, not for personal gain; and

4. Public deliberations and processes be conducted openly, unless legally confidential, in an atmosphere of respect and civility.

C. Liberal Construction. This code of ethics shall be liberally construed to effectuate its purpose and policy and to supplement existing laws that relate to the same subject. C. "Official" as used in this chapter means all members of the city council, the city's boards and commissions, other council-appointed task groups or committees, and the city manager.

2.60.020 Definitions

For the purpose of this Chapter:

OFFICIAL or PUBLIC OFFICIAL means all members of the city council, the city's boards and commissions, and other council-appointed task groups or committees of the city of Mercer Island.

<u>RELATIVE</u> means spouse or domestic partner, child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, parent-in-law, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, son- or daughter-in-law, brother- or sister-in-law.

2.60.020 Compliance with laws.

Officials shall comply with federal, state and city laws in the performance of their public duties. These laws include but are not limited to: the United States and Washington

State Constitutions; laws pertaining to conflicts of interest, election campaigns, financial disclosures and open processes of government; and city ordinances and policies, including those listed below, as now enacted or hereafter amended:

Ch. 9A.72 RCW Perjury and interference with official proceedings

RCW 35A.13.020 Election of councilmembers – Eligibility – Terms – Vacancies – Forfeiture of office – Council chair

RCW 35A.13.050 City manager - Qualifications

Ch. 40.14 RCW Preservation and destruction of public records

RCW 42.17A.555 Use of public office or agency facilities in campaigns – Prohibition – Exceptions

RCW 42.17A.565 Solicitation of contributions by public officials or employees

Ch. 42.23 RCW Code of ethics for municipal officers - Contract interests

Ch. 42.36 RCW Appearance of fairness doctrine - Limitations

Ch. 42.56 RCW Public Records Act

2.60.030 Prohibited conduct

In addition to the code of ethics set forth in chapter 42.23 RCW, which establishes the minimum standards for officials, officials shall be subject to the following:

A. Conflicts of Interest. In order to ensure their independence and impartiality on behalf of the common good, officials shall not participate in government decisions in which any of the following has a financial interest: (1) the official, (2) a relative, (3) an individual with whom the official resides, or (4) an entity that the official serves as an officer, director, trustee, partner or employee. Officials shall abstain from participating in deliberations and decision-making where conflicts exist. This section shall not apply (1) to decisions regarding taxes or fees, (2) if the financial interest is shared with more than ten percent of the city's population, or (3) if the financial interest exists solely because of ownership of less than one percent of the outstanding shares of a publicly traded corporation.

<u>B.</u> Misuse of Public Position or Resources. Except for infrequent use at little or no cost to the city, officials shall not use public resources that are not available to the public in general, such as city staff time, equipment, supplies or facilities, for other than a city purpose.

C. Representation of Third Parties. Except in the course of official duties, officials shall not appear on behalf of the financial interests of third parties before the bodies on which

the officials serve or in interaction with assigned staff. However, the members of the city council shall not appear on behalf of the financial interest of third parties before the council or any board, commission or proceeding of the city, or in interaction with staff.

D. Gifts and Favors.

<u>1. Officials shall not take any special advantage of services or opportunities for</u> personal gain, by virtue of their public office, which are not available to the public in general. They may not solicit or receive any thing of monetary value from any person or entity where the thing of monetary value has been solicited, or received or given or, to a reasonable person, would appear to have been solicited, received or given with intent to give or obtain special consideration or influence as to any action by the official in their official capacity; provided, that nothing shall prohibit campaign contributions which are solicited or received and reported in accordance with applicable law. They shall not accept or solicit any gifts, favors or promises of future benefits except as allowed by subsection (2).

2. The following items are presumed not to influence the vote, action, or judgment of the official, or be considered as part of a reward for action or inaction, and may be accepted:

a. Unsolicited flowers, plants, and floral arrangements;

b. Unsolicited advertising or promotional items of nominal value, such as pens and note pads;

c. Unsolicited tokens or awards of appreciation in the form of a plaque, trophy, desk item, wall memento, or similar item;

d. Unsolicited items received by a city official for the purpose of evaluation or review, if the official has no personal beneficial interest in the eventual use or acquisition of the item;

e. Informational material, publications, or subscriptions related to the recipient's performance of official duties;

<u>f.</u> Food and beverages consumed at hosted receptions where attendance is related to the city official's official duties;

g. Admission to, and the cost of food and beverages consumed at, events sponsored by or in conjunction with a civic, charitable, governmental, or community organization;

h. Unsolicited gifts from dignitaries from another state or a foreign country which are intended to be personal in nature; and

i. Food and beverages on infrequent occasions in the ordinary course of meals where attendance by the official is related to the performance of official duties.

<u>3. The presumption in subsection (2) of this section is rebuttable and may be</u> overcome based on the circumstances surrounding the giving and acceptance of the item.

<u>E. Confidential Information. Officials shall not disclose or use any confidential information gained by reason of their official position for other than a city purpose.</u> <u>"Confidential information" means (1) specific information, rather than generalized knowledge, that is not available to a person who files a public records request, and (2) information made confidential by law.</u>

2.60.040030 Code of ethics statement.

A. The city council shall adopt a code of ethics statement regarding conduct for officials. The statement shall be set by resolution by the city council, from time to time, and shall be on file with the city clerk.

B. All officials, upon taking office or being appointed, shall sign the code of ethics statement acknowledging having read and understood the contents thereof.

2.60.040 Complaints.

A. Complaints regarding:

1. Any official, except for the mayor or the city manager, shall be brought to the mayor, city manager and city attorney.

2. The mayor shall be brought to the deputy mayor, city manager, and city attorney.

3. The city manager shall be brought to the mayor, deputy mayor, and city attorney.

B. The mayor or deputy mayor, city manager and the city attorney shall cause the complaint to be investigated and shall make a recommendation to the city council as to the appropriate resolution of the complaint based on the findings and conclusions of the investigation.

C. If a complaint is filed against the mayor, deputy mayor, or city manager, that individual will not direct activities of the investigation.

2.60.050 Ethics Officer.

A. The city council creates the position of ethics officer. The city manager will contract with one or more agencies to fill this position. The ethics officer shall be responsible for the prompt and fair enforcement of this code of ethics when necessary.

B. The ethics officer, in addition to other duties, may recommend changes or additions to this code of ethics to the city council. The ethics officer shall provide input into and review the training materials and program developed for this code of ethics if requested by the city manager or city council.

2.60.070 Advisory opinions.

A public official may request an informal opinion from the city attorney concerning the applicability of MICC 2.60.030, including chapter 42.23 RCW, to hypothetical circumstances and/or situations solely related to the official making the request. The city attorney may, instead of providing an informal opinion, refer the public official to the ethics officer for an advisory opinion as follows:

A. The ethics officer shall render written advisory opinions concerning the applicability of MICC 2.60.030, including chapter 42.23 RCW, to hypothetical circumstances and/or situations solely related to the official making the request. The ethics officer will not render opinions on matters that are the purview of other government agencies or officials, e.g., the public disclosure commission, the city's public records officer, etc.

B. The ethics officer will endeavor to respond to requests for advisory opinions within forty-five days of submission of the request, or more rapidly if the requester expresses urgency in the request.

C. A public official's conduct based in reasonable reliance on an advisory opinion rendered by the ethics officer to said public official shall not be found to violate this code of ethics to the extent that this code is enforced by the City as a civil matter, as long as all material facts have been fully, completely, accurately presented in a written request for an advisory opinion, the ethics officer issues an advisory opinion that the described conduct would not violate the code of ethics, and the public official's conduct is consistent with the advisory opinion. The ethics officer reserves the right to reconsider the questions and issues raised in an advisory opinion and, where the public interest requires, rescind, modify, or terminate the opinion, but a modified or terminated advisory opinion will not form the basis of a retroactive enforcement action against the original requestor. Advisory opinions will contain severability clauses indicating that should portions of the opinion be found to be unenforceable or not within the ethics officer's authority, the remainder of the opinion shall remain intact.

2.60.080 Complaints, investigations, hearings and enforcement.

A. Complaint Process.

1. Complaint Requirements—Service. Any person may submit a written complaint to the ethics officer alleging one or more violations of this code of ethics by a public official, by filing it with the city clerk. The complaint must set forth specific facts with enough precision and detail for the ethics officer to make a determination of sufficiency. It must be signed under penalty of perjury by the person(s) submitting it in a manner consistent with Chapter 9A.72 RCW.

2. Finding of Sufficiency. The ethics officer shall make a determination of sufficiency within thirty days of receipt of the written complaint. A complaint shall be sufficient if the allegations, if established, would violate MICC 2.60.030, including chapter 42.23 RCW. The ethics officer's determination is final, and no reconsideration or appeal is available through the ethics officer or the City.

<u>3.</u> Dismissal. The complaint shall be dismissed if the ethics officer determines that the violation was not sufficient, or a violation occurred, but appropriate actions have been taken to fully address the allegedly unethical conduct.

4. Notice. Notice of action by the ethics officer shall be provided as follows:

a. Within seven days of the ethics officer rendering a finding of insufficiency or dismissal of a complaint, the city clerk shall send notice to the person who made the complaint and the public official complained against, of the ethics officer's determination. A finding of insufficiency or dismissal of a complaint by the ethics officer is final, and no reconsideration or appeal is available through the ethics officer or the City.

b. Within seven days of the ethics officer rendering a finding of sufficiency, the city clerk shall send notice to the person who made the complaint and the public official complained against, of the ethics officer's determination. A finding of sufficiency of a complaint by the ethics officer is final, and no reconsideration or appeal is available through the ethics officer or the City. Following the initial notice, the city clerk shall schedule and give notice of the hearing which will be held to determine if a violation has occurred. Notice shall be provided at least thirty days prior to the date set for the hearing. The public official complained against shall have the right to file a written answer to the charge and to appear at the hearing with or without legal counsel, submit testimony, be fully heard, and to examine and cross examine witnesses.

5. Stipulations. Prior to, and in-lieu-of the hearing, the ethics officer and the public official complained against may upon agreement jointly submit a recommended stipulation to the city council. The recommended stipulation will include the nature of the complaint, relevant facts, the reasons the ethics officer thinks a stipulation is appropriate, an admission of the violation by the public official complained against, a promise by the public official complained against not to repeat the violation, and if appropriate, a recommended remedy or penalty. The recommended stipulation shall be sent to the person who made the complaint and forwarded to the city council for action.

B. Conduct of Hearings.

1. All hearings on complaints found to be sufficient by the ethics officer shall be conducted by the hearing examiner. The hearing shall be informal, meaning that the hearing examiner shall not be bound by the strict rules of evidence prevailing in courts of law or equity. The hearing examiner may call witnesses on his or her own motion and compel the production of books, records, papers, or other evidence as needed. To that end, the hearing examiner shall issue subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum. All testimony shall be under oath administered by the hearing examiner. The hearing examiner may adjourn the hearing from time to time to allow for the orderly presentation of evidence. The hearing examiner shall prepare an official record of the hearing, including all testimony, which shall be recorded by mechanical device, and exhibits; provided, that the hearing examiner shall not be required to transcribe such records unless presented with a request accompanied by payment of the cost of transcription.

2. Within thirty days after the conclusion of the hearing, the hearing examiner shall, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, issue a final decision with findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended disposition. A copy of the findings, conclusions, and recommended disposition shall be sent to the person who made the complaint and

to the public official complained against. Additional copies of the findings, conclusions, and recommendations shall be forwarded to the ethics officer and city council.

C. City Council Action. Final city council action to decide upon the recommended stipulation from the ethics officer or findings, conclusions, and recommendations from the hearing examiner shall be by majority vote in a public meeting. However, deliberations by the city council may be in executive session pursuant to RCW 42.30.110(1)(f). The member of the city council against whom the complaint was made will not attend or participate in any executive session and shall not vote on any matter involving themselves.

D. Disposition. If the hearing examiner finds that the person against whom the complaint was made has violated the code of ethics, or in response to the recommended stipulation from the ethics officer, the city council may take any of the following actions by a majority vote of the city council as appropriate. The action of the city council shall be final and not subject to further review or appeal except as may be otherwise provided by law or as provided below in subsection (E).

1. Dismissal. Dismissal of the complaint without penalties.

2. Referral. A complaint may be referred to another agency with jurisdiction over the violation, such as the Public Disclosure Commission. Final action on the complaint may be stayed pending resolution of the matter by the agency to which it was referred.

3. Admonition. An admonition shall be an oral non-public statement made by the mayor, or his/her designee, or if the complaint is against the mayor, the deputy mayor or his/her designee, to the public official.

4. Reprimand. A reprimand shall be administered to the public official by a letter of reprimand by the city council. The letter shall be prepared by the city council and shall be signed by the mayor or, if the complaint is against the mayor, the deputy mayor.

5. Censure. A letter of censure shall be a letter read to the public official in public. The letter shall be prepared by the city council and shall be signed by the mayor, or if the complaint is against the mayor, the deputy mayor. The public official shall appear at a city council meeting at a time and place directed by the city council to receive the letter of censure. Notice shall be given at least twenty calendar days before the scheduled appearance at which time a copy of the proposed letter of censure shall be provided to the public official. The letter of censure shall be read publicly, and the public official shall not make any statement in support of, or in opposition thereto, or in mitigation thereof. The letter of censure shall be read at the time it is scheduled whether or not the public official appears as required.

6. Removal—Member of Board or Commission or Other Appointed Task Group or Committee. If the public official against whom the complaint was made is currently a member of a city board or commission or other task group or committee, appointed by

the city council, the city council may, in addition to other possible penalties set forth in this section, and notwithstanding any other provision of the Mercer Island City Code, by a majority vote remove the public official from such board or commission effective immediately.

7. Civil Penalties. In addition to taking any actions above, the city council may also assess a civil penalty of up to one thousand dollars. Any monetary penalty assessed civilly shall be placed in the city's general fund.

8. Other Penalties. In addition to taking any actions above, the city council may also impose restrictions or loss of a committee assignments, or loss of appointment as a representative of the city for any regional or multijurisdictional body, or membership on any board or commission which requires an appointment or confirmation of an appointment by the mayor and deputy mayor or city council. If the public official against whom the complaint was made serves as mayor or deputy mayor, the city council may also remove said appointment.

E. Review of Civil Penalty Amount. If the city council orders an official to pay a civil penalty, the official may seek a reconsideration from the city council of the amount accessed within thirty days of the city council's order.

F. Protection Against Retaliation. Neither the city nor any official may take or threaten to take, directly or indirectly, official or personal action, including but not limited to discharge, discipline, personal attack, harassment, intimidation, or change in job, salary, or responsibilities, against any person because that person files a complaint with the ethics officer.

G. No Recovery of Fees or Costs. No attorney's fees or other costs related to matters covered by this chapter incurred by any public official or complainants shall be recoverable from the City.

2.60.090 Limitation period.

Complaints based on this code of ethics must be submitted within three years from the date of violation.

- **Section 2. Severability.** If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality does not affect the validity of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance.
- **Section 3. Corrections.** The City Clerk and the codifiers of this ordinance are authorized to make necessary clerical corrections to this ordinance including, but not limited to, the correction of scrivener's/clerical errors, references, ordinance numbering, section/subsection numbers and any references thereto.

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days after its passage and publication.

PASSED by the City Council of the City of Mercer Island, Washington at its regular meeting on the 10th day of December 2019 and signed in authentication of its passage.

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND

Debbie Bertlin, Mayor

Approved as to Form:

ATTEST:

Bio Park, Interim City Attorney

Deborah A. Estrada, City Clerk

Date of Publication: _____