## **Attachment 4: Docketing Criteria Analysis**

Proposed Amend. No.	Criterion 1: appropriately addressed by Comp Plan or code	Criterion 2: necessary staff and budget resources can be provided by city or applicant	Criterion 3: doesn't raise issues related to ongoing work program	Criterion 4: serves public interest by implementing Comp Plan goals or supports City's vision	Criterion 5: has not been considered by City Council in the last 3 years	
1	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
2	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	
3	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	
4	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
5	<b>~</b>	_	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
6	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
7	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
8	<b>~</b>	1	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
9	<b>~</b>	1	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
10	<b>~</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
11		PRO	POSAL WITHDR	A W N		
12	?	1	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
13	?	1	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
14	<b>~</b>	1	<b>/</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
15	<b>~</b>	1	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
16	<b>~</b>	1	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	
17	<b>~</b>	<b>↓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	

<b>/</b>	The proposal could meet this criterion	?	It is unclear or debatable whether the proposal could meet this criterion	×	The proposal cannot meet this criterion
1	The proposal is a high priority for staff/budget resources		The proposal is a moderate priority for staff/budget resources	•	The proposal is a low priority for staff/budget resources