

# Section I: Comprehensive Plan Elements

## Land Use Element

Consistent with countywide planning policies (CWPPs) and RCW 36.70A.070(1)

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes
<p>New 2021-2022 legislation ESSB 5593: changes to RCW 36.70A.130 regarding UGA size, patterns of development, suitability and infrastructure. Coordinate these efforts with your county</p>	No	No	Medina is a landlocked city and does not have any UGA to annex.
<p>a. The element integrates relevant county-wide planning policies into the local planning process, and ensures local goals and policies are consistent. For jurisdictions in the central Puget Sound region, the plan is consistent with applicable multicity planning policies. RCW 36.70A.210 WAC 365-196-305 Coordinate these efforts with your county</p>	Yes; Introduction; Transportation & Circulation; Capital Facilities Plan (CF-P5)	Yes	While a number of policies in the KCCP are reflected in the 2015 plan, there are policies that can be better integrated during this update. These include Equity, Environmental Sustainability, Restoration and Pollution, Climate Change, Urban Design and Historic Preservation.
<p>b. A future land use map showing city limits and UGA boundaries. RCW 36.70A.070(1) and RCW 36.70A.110(6) WAC 365-196-400(2)(d), WAC 365-196-405(2)(i)(ii)</p>	Yes; Figure 3 Land Use Map	Yes	Although the future land use map will remain as primarily residential, the term <i>single family</i> will be removed which will reflect the new population projections and density requirements.
<p>c. Consideration of urban planning approaches that increase physical activity. RCW 36.70A.070(1) and WAC 365-196-405(2)(i). Additional resources: Transportation Efficient Communities, The Washington State Plan for Healthy Communities, Active Community Environment Toolkit</p>	Yes; SMP Goals 12 & 13	Yes	Additional goals and policies are anticipated in the Transportation and Parks & Rec Elements.
<p>d. A consistent population projection throughout the plan which should be consistent with the county's sub-county allocation of that forecast and housing needs. RCW 36.70A.115, RCW 43.62.035 and WAC 365-196-405(f)</p>	Yes; Land Use Element; Housing Element; Transportation Element	Yes	The population projection will be updated to reflect King County's Countywide Planning Policies allocation for Medina.

## Section I: Comprehensive Plan Elements

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes
<p>e. Estimates of population densities and building intensities based on future land uses and housing needs. <u>RCW 36.70A.070(1)</u>, <u>WAC 365-196-405(2)(i)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For cities required to plan under the Buildable Lands Program, <u>RCW 36.70A.215</u> amended in 2017, some jurisdictions may need to identify reasonable measures to reconcile inconsistencies. See <u>Commerce's Buildable Lands Program page</u>.</li> </ul>	Yes; Land Use Element	Yes.	Density requirements under HB 1110 and 1337 will be reflected but there will be no major changes to the primarily land use in Medina, which is residential.
<p>f. Provisions for protection of the quality and quantity of groundwater used for public water supplies. <u>RCW 36.70A.070(1)</u>; <u>WAC 365-196-405(1)(c)</u>; <u>WAC 365-196-485(1)(d)</u></p>	Yes; Natural Environment Element (NE-P3); SMP	Yes.	Groundwater protection to be included in more elements including Land Use and Critical Areas.
<p>g. Identification of lands useful for public purposes such as utility corridors, transportation corridors, landfills, sewage treatment facilities, storm water management facilities, recreation, schools, and other public uses. <u>RCW 36.70A.150</u> and <u>WAC 365-196-340</u></p>	Yes; Figure 3 Land Use Map	No.	Due to lack of available land, no future facilities are anticipated.
<p>h. Identification of open space corridors within and between urban growth areas, including lands useful for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails, and connection of critical areas. <u>RCW 36.70A.160</u> and <u>WAC 365-196-335</u></p>	Yes; Parks and Open Space; Natural Element (NE-P7, NE-P8)	No.	Due to lack of available land, no future open spaces are anticipated.

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes
<p>i. If there is an airport within or adjacent to the city: policies, land use designations (and zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to general aviation airports. <u>RCW 36.70A.510</u>, <u>RCW 36.70.547</u></p> <p>Note: The plan (and associated regulations) must be filed with the <u>Aviation Division of WSDOT</u>. <u>WAC 365-196-455</u></p>	No	No	N/A
<p>j. Where applicable, a review of drainage, flooding, and stormwater run-off in the area and nearby jurisdictions and provide guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those discharges that pollute waters of the state. <u>RCW 36.70A.070(1)</u> and <u>WAC 365-196-405(2)(e)</u></p> <p>Note: <u>RCW 90.56.010(27)</u> defines waters of the state.</p> <p>Additional resources: <u>Protect Puget Sound Watersheds, Building Cities in the Rain, Ecology Stormwater Manuals, Puget Sound Partnership Action Agenda</u></p>	<p>Yes; Natural Environment Element (NE-G2); SMP (SM-P19.1-4); Transportation (T-P3); Capital Facilities Element (CF-P4, CF-P5, CF-P6)</p>	No.	Will be reviewed for consistency, however no major changes anticipated..
<p>k. Policies to designate and protect critical areas including wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat protection areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge areas, and geologically hazardous areas. In developing these policies, the city must have included the best available science (BAS) to protect the functions and values of critical areas, and give "special consideration" to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries. <u>RCW 36.70A.030(6)</u>, <u>RCW 36.70A.172</u>, <u>WAC 365-190-080</u></p> <p>Best Available Science: see <u>WAC 365-195-900 through -925</u></p>	<p>Yes; Natural Environment Element (NE-P1, NE-P2); SMP (SM-G18, SM-P18.1-3)</p>	No	Review and update as necessary to incorporate changes since the last SMP and COA Updates

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes
<p>l. If forest or agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance are designated inside city: a program authorizing Transfer (or Purchase) of Development Rights. <u>RCW 36.70A.060(4)</u>, <u>RCW 36.70A.170</u></p>	No	No	N/A
<p>m. If there is a Military Base within or adjacent to the jurisdiction employing 100 or more personnel: policies, land use designations, (and consistent zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military bases. <u>RCW 36.70A.530(3)</u>, <u>WAC 365-196-475</u></p>	No	No	N/A