SWOT Analysis - Madeira Code Enforcement				
Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats	Additional Comments
Near Optimal Staffing Along with contracted staffing from PCSO	The perception is that complaints do not receive any priority. Laws/Ordinances/Building Codes	Code enforcement complaints should receive a <u>same day</u> (at least a preliminary investigation) during normal business hours.	Poor customer service reviews.	
Trained/Well Educated Staff	are not universally enforced. The perception is that there is "selective enforcement" .	Have an open data-base with direct public access to see the progress of all complaints. (In real time 24/7).	If the system cannot be fixed. Hand it off to another County Agency.	
	Lack of accountability to the citizens	Increase proactive enforcement activities.	Bad press	
Computerized system	Lack of self-evaluation. How are we doing? No performance measurements	Use the MB App. for real-time updates on code enforcement cases.		
Adequate rolling stock	(KPI's) Nothing to gauge good or bad performance by.	KPI's (Key Performance Indicators) Determine what and how to measure. Set goals and time lines.	Commission and City Manager complaints.	
(vehicles)	Phones go unanswered. Voice mails not returned.	Make the system so great, others will look to us. To ask, How did you do it?	Complaints to external agencies	
Adequate office space	When asking for updates on a complaint via the MB App. Those	30,60,90 day goals- then re-evaluate,	about the City, City Personnel or PCSO contracted personnel.	
Adequate once space	requested updates/information is not provided.	modify if needed.		
	Perception is that there is little pro- active enforcement.			