	State Abbreviation:	FL	State Disaster is in (Abb)					
	Disaster No:		FEMA Disaster Number					
		Pinellas County	County that damage was in					
		Madeira Beach, City of	Organization Name					
		300 Municipal Drive, Madeira Beach, FL, 3						
	Applicant Contact:		Contact Name					
	Contact Title:		Contact Title					
	Closing Meeting Date:		Date You meet to close FIR					
	FEMA Contact at Mtg:	The state of the s	Your Name ?					
		103-42400-00	Applicant's NEMIS ID Number					
	PW Category:	Berlin Colonia	FEMA Work Category					
	Close Out PO ID:		Your Unique Project # (not used in output)					
	Original PW Project ID:		Original PO's Project ID (see original PW)					
	NEMIS PW#:	B100 (7 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NEMIS PW Number you are closing					
!	f Version Written Enter #:		PW# V _ If you are writing one to close					
	PA Proj Comp Date:		From NEMIS PW					
	Act PW Proj Comp Date:		From Original PW if work Complete					
		City of Madeira Beach	Where is it?					
Descri	ption of Work Performed:		Like " Emergency Protective Measures "					
		City of Madeira Beach - Management Cos						
	PW/FIR Prepared By:		Your Name					
		Grant Manager	Your Title					
		9/22/25	Date PW Written					
	GPS Reading N :		GPS Reading at Damage Site					
	GPS Reading W :	N/A	GPS Reading at Damage Site					
	al PW Version 0 Amount:		Enter Total Dollars & Cents					
Obligate	ed PW Version 1 Amount:		Enter Total Dollars & Cents					
Obligate	ed PW Version 2 Amount:		Enter Total Dollars & Cents					
		This project closes as written with no ver						
		This project closes with the attached fina	I PW Version					
	DON'T EORGET TO EILL	YOUR "SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FORM	I" MANILALL V					
		ust have "SPECIAL CONSIDERATION" For						
			DL TO HELP YOU COMPLETE YOUR WORK EFFICIENTLY					
	Please provide short nari	rative explaining why the over-run / under-	run occurred (Mandatory-will populate on PW)					
	The closeout team reviewe	ed supporting documentation for management	costs. Discrepancies were identified in the					
	documentation reviewed ar	nd will be addressed in this version.						
	Force account labor payrol	I register was reviewed to determine that all r	egular time was documented and that each employee					
			time policy and benefits calculations and did not					
	•		oll register and proof of payment documentation were					
			8,054.06, however the applicant submitted claimed					
	costs of \$4 332 71 which w	costs of \$4,332.71, which were \$3,721.25 less than obligated. Ineligible amounts were:\$432.07 due to paystubs not provided,						
		s between claimed hours and timesheat hour	3 IOI GIT CITIDIOYEE, GITU 942.20 QUE LO VAITAITUES					
	\$91.02 due to discrepancie	es between claimed hours and timesheet hour						
	\$91.02 due to discrepancie	es between claimed hours and timesheet hour viidual claimed amounts and recalculated am						
	\$91.02 due to discrepancie exceeding \$1 between indi	viidual claimed amounts and recalculated am	ounts.					
	\$91.02 due to discrepancie exceeding \$1 between indi-	viidual claimed amounts and recalculated am s project worksheet have been obligated for a						

	a Beach, City of # = 103-42400-00					LIN	E ITEM RE	CON	CILIATION									Sheet 1
2746	= 103-42400-00 = NEMIS ID Number - 4673 DR - FL CAT= Z				Loc	cation = City of Mad	leira Beach	Fac	lity = City of M	adeira l	Beach - Mana	agement Cost	<u>S</u>					
ltem #	Line Item	Type of Units	Original PW Quantity	Unit Cost \$		Original PW Cost	Closeout Quantity Claimed		Unit Cost \$	(	oseout Cost aimed	Final Eligible Quantity		Unit Cost \$	E	Final ligible Cost		Final Eligible Overrun/ Underrun
1	9905 (Management Costs)	Lump Sum	1.00	\$ 8,0	54.06 \$	8,054.06	1.00	\$	3,767.34	\$	3,767.34	1.00	\$	3,767.34	\$	3,767.34	\$	(4,286.72
2					\$	-				\$	-				\$	-:	\$	-
3					\$	-				\$	-				\$	-	\$	- 1
4					\$	-				\$	-				\$	<u></u>	\$	- 1
5					\$	-				\$	-				\$	7.	\$	- 1
6					\$	-				\$	-				\$	-:	\$	- 1
7					\$	-				\$	-				\$	=	\$	-
8					\$	-				\$	-		ļ		\$	-	\$	-
9				-	\$	-		-		\$	-		-		\$	-	\$	-
10				-	\$	-		-		\$	-				\$	=1	\$	-
11 12					\$	-				\$	-				\$	-1	\$	-
13					Φ	-				Φ Φ	-		<del> </del>		9	-1	Φ	-
14					Φ	-		-		φ ¢	_				φ	_	\$	1
15					\$	-		1		\$	-				\$	<del>,</del> i	\$	-
	Totals (This Page):				\$	8,054.06		-		\$	3,767.34				\$	3,767.34	\$	(4,286.72)
	Accumulated Totals:				\$	and Construction Stories				\$	3,767.34				\$			(4,286.72)
Summa																		

The closeout team reviewed supporting documentation for management costs. Discrepancies were identified in the documentation reviewed and will be addressed in this version.

Force account labor payroll register was reviewed to determine that all regular time was documented and that each employee was eligible for overtime. The closeout team reviewed the applicant overtime policy and benefits calculations and did not identify exempt employees included in the overtime expenses. The payroll register and proof of payment documentation were reviewed for consistency with the scope of work. Obligated costs were \$8,054.06, however the applicant submitted claimed costs of \$4,332.71, which were \$3,721.25 less than obligated. Ineligible amounts were:\$432.07 due to paystubs not provided, \$91.02 due to discrepancies between claimed hours and timesheet hours for an employee, and \$42.28 due to variances exceeding \$1 between individual claimed amounts and recalculated amounts.

All previous versions of this project worksheet have been obligated for a total amount of \$8,054.06. Based on an inspection of the supporting documentation, \$3,767.34 has been identified as eligible resulting in a closeout net under-run of \$4,286.72.

Recommendation:				

Madeira Beach, City of 103-42400-00 2746 = NEMIS ID Number FEMA - 4673 DR - FL CAT= Z

		Original PW Amount	Final Eligible Cost	Final Eligible	
DI #	DI Description	Amount	Cost	Overrun/	
				Underrun	Comments
1383358	Management Costs (Madeira Beach, City of)	\$ 8,054.06	\$ 3,767.34	\$ (4,286.72)	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				ъ - С	
				ъ - е	
				\$ - \$	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
				\$ -	
	Totals	\$ 8,054.06	\$ 3,767.34	\$ (4,286.72)	=

## **Large Project Closeout**

9/22/2025

Date:

Applicant:	Madeira Beach, City of						
FEMA - 467	73 DR - FL						
PW#	2746 nount On PW:		\$	8.054.06			
	Claimed Amount:		э \$	3,767.34			
	ct Amount Eligible :		\$	3,767.34			
Prepared b	y: Ansh	u Ajmera					
i. Backgro	ound: (Damage descripti	ion, dimensions a	nd other pe	rtinent info.)			
<b>g</b>	SCOPE OF WORK: Sul				#751858		
	WORK COMPLETED:						
	This is a Public Assistar Management Costs, up Subrecipient's eligible e	to a fixed estimate	e Managem	ent Costs awa	rd. The final fixed es		
	The applicant utilized fo	rce account labor	to conduct	Management	Cost related activitie	s pertaining to eligible F	PA awards.
	Cost share for this versi	on is 100%. All wo	ork and cos	ts on this proje	ect fall from 10/3/202	22 to 11/3/2023.	
	For additional detail, ple	ease see the proje	ct report.				
II. Discussi	ion: Review and analysis	of submitted doc	umentation	resulted in the	e following determina	ations:	
1. Item:	9905 (Management Co	sts)			Units =	Lump Sum	
A. Wo	rk Accomplished by:	χFor	ce Account	Cont	ractor N/A		
A. Wor	rk Accomplished by:  PW Quantity =	<u>х</u> For	Claimed	Quantity =	1	Eligible Quantity =	1
	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$	1 8,054.06	Claimed (	Quantity =	1 3,767.34	Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34
	PW Quantity =	1	Claimed (	Quantity =	1 3,767.34		\$ 3,767.34
	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$	1 8,054.06	Claimed (	Quantity =	1 3,767.34	Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis: Force account labor payeligible for overtime. The	1 8,054.06 8,054.06 rroll register was re	Claimed Claime	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   ned Cost =   determine that applicant ove	1 3,767.34 3,767.34 t all regular time wartime policy and ber	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost = s documented and that efits calculations and di	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in ti	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  wroll register was recoloseout team rehe overtime exper	Claimed Claime	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   ned Cost =   determine that a applicant over ayroll register	1 3,767.34 3,767.34 t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were recommended.	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis: Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the second	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  wroll register was recoloseout team rehe overtime experipe of work. Oblig	Claimed ( Claim eviewed to eviewed the uses. The prated costs v	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	1 3,767.34 3,767.34 t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effts calculations and dit documentation were reant submitted claimed	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71,
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in ti	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was respectively team respectively the overtime experipe of work. Obligues than obligated	Claimed Claimed Claimed to Eviewed to Eviewed the Inses. The prated costs with Ineligible a	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to pays	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were roant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis: Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the security which were \$3,721.25 leterory.	8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was rece closeout team reche overtime experope of work. Obligated claimed hours and	Claimed (Claimed to Claimed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Pre	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to pays	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were roant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the scowhich were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between	8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was rece closeout team reche overtime experope of work. Obligated claimed hours and	Claimed (Claimed to Claimed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Pre	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to pays	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were roant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to
В.	PW Quantity = Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the scowhich were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between	8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was rece closeout team reche overtime experope of work. Obligated claimed hours and	Claimed (Claimed to Claimed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Pre	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to pays	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were roant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to
B. C. 2. Item:	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the scowhich were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the consistency with the scowhich were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the consistency with the scowhich were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the consistency with the consistency wit	1 8,054.06 8,054.06 rroll register was reconstructed by the experiment of the overtime experiment obligated claimed hours and unts and recalcular sections.	Claimed (Claimed to Claimed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed the Previewed to Claimed the Previewed the Pre	Quantity =   Unit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effts calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances exce	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to
B. C. 2. Item:	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the sec which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount.	1 8,054.06 8,054.06 rroll register was reconstructed by the experiment of the overtime experiment obligated claimed hours and unts and recalcular sections.	Claimed (Claimed to Claimed the Claimed th	Quantity =   Unit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and ber and proof of paymer 5, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effts calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances exce	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to beding \$1 between
B. C. 2. Item:	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the social which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the cost of the co	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was recloseout team receptor work. Obligues than obligated claimed hours and unts and recalculations.	Claimed (Claimed (Cla	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2  Units =	Unit Cost =  Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effits calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances excelled  Eligible Quantity =  Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to seeding \$1 between
B. C. 2. Item:	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$ PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the social which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the complished by:  PW Quantity =	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was recloseout team receptor work. Obligues than obligated claimed hours and unts and recalculations.	Claimed (Claimed (Cla	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2  Units =	Unit Cost = Eligible Cost =  s documented and that efits calculations and dit documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances exce	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to seeding \$1 between
B. C. 2. Item:	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Poecision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the socy which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the complete of the	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was recloseout team receptor work. Obligues than obligated claimed hours and unts and recalculations.	Claimed (Claimed (Cla	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2  Units =	Unit Cost =  Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effits calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances excelled  Eligible Quantity =  Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to seeding \$1 between
B. C. 2. Item: A. Wor	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Decision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the social which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the cost of the co	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was recloseout team receptor work. Obligues than obligated claimed hours and unts and recalculations.	Claimed (Claimed (Cla	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2  Units =	Unit Cost =  Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effits calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances excelled  Eligible Quantity =  Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to seeding \$1 between
B. C. 2. Item: A. Wor	PW Quantity =  Unit Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  PW Cost = \$  Poecision Basis:  Force account labor pay eligible for overtime. The employees included in the consistency with the socy which were \$3,721.25 lediscrepancies between individual claimed amount of the complete of the	1 8,054.06 8,054.06  rroll register was recloseout team receptor work. Obligues than obligated claimed hours and unts and recalculations.	Claimed (Claimed (Cla	Quantity =   Jnit Cost =   Ined Cost =   Ine	t all regular time wartime policy and berand proof of paymer 6, however the appli \$432.07 due to paysemployee, and \$42.2  Units =	Unit Cost =  Eligible Cost =  s documented and that effits calculations and did to documentation were reant submitted claimed tubs not provided, \$91.8 due to variances excelled  Eligible Quantity =  Unit Cost =	\$ 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 each employee was id not identify exempt reviewed for costs of \$4,332.71, 02 due to seeding \$1 between

## FINAL INSPECTION REPORT

**DATE:** September 22, 2025

APPLICANT NAME:	FIPS NUMBER:	FEMA DECLARATION NUMBER:
Madeira Beach, City of	103-42400-00	FEMA - 4673 DR - FL

P A COMPLETIO	ON DATE:		CATEGORY:	PW EST	TIMATED AMOUNT:
3/	29/2024	NEMIS PW # 2746	Z	\$	8,054.06
ACTUAL COMP	LETION DATE:	PW VER 1 NA		\$	-
11	1/3/2023	PW VER 2 NA		\$	-
APPLICANT CLA	AIMED AMOUNT:				
\$	3,767.34	ORIGINAL PW ID: 751858	3		
ACTUAL DOCUM	MENTED AMOUNT:	ESTIMATED PROJECT	Γ TOTAL:	\$	8,054.06
\$	3,767.34	RECOMMENDED ELIC	GIBLE TOTAL:	\$	3,767.34
THE FINAL INSI	PECTION IS FOR AN UNDER R	UN IN THE AMOUNT OF:		\$	(4,286.72)

FINAL INSPECTION PW # 2746 VO HAS BEEN PREPARED AS THE FINAL ACTION FOR THE PROJECT.

THE FINAL INSPECTION PW IS FOR AN UNDER RUN IN THE AMOUNT OF: \$ (4,286.72) .

PERCENT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ESTIMATED PROJECT COST AND ACTUAL COST: -53.22%

COMMENTS / SUMMARY: This project closes with the attached final PW Version

On 9/22/2025 FDEM Grant Manager discussed the final inspection report and large project closeout with the applicant representative Anne Brooks, Mayor for the Madeira Beach, City of.

There are no pending time extension requests, no pending amendments, and no other pending requests.

NOTE: All documentation is on file at the Applicant's Office located at the following address: 300 Municipal Drive, Madeira Beach, FL, 33708-1916

FEMA INSPECTOR (PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE	DATE
Anshu Ajmera	Anshu.Ajmera  Div. cn=Anshu.Ajmera Div. cn=Div. cn=Di	9/22/25
GRANT MANAGER (PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE	DATE
Anne Brooks		
LOCAL REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE	DATE

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY O.M.B. No. 3067-0151 PROJECT WORKSHEET Expires April 30, 2001 PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 30 minutes. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing and submitting the forms. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number is displayed in the upper right corner of the forms. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to: Information Collection Management, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (3067-0151). NOTE: Do not send your completed form to this address. FIPS NO. DATE CATEGORY **DECLARATION NO:** PROJECT NO. FEMA- 4673 -DR- FL 2746 V0 103-42400-00 09/22/25 WORK COMPLETE AS OF: DAMAGE FACILITY 11/03/23 100 % City of Madeira Beach - Management Costs COUNTY **APPLICANT** Madeira Beach, City of Pinellas County LOCATION LATITUDE LONGITUDE N/A City of Madeira Beach N/A DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS FINAL INSPECTION OF FEMA PW # 2746 SCOPE OF WORK This PW is written to de-obligate the difference between the Actual Documented Cost and the costs shown on the Original Project Work Sheet including any Versions and/or Approved Hazard Mitigation (See accompanying Final Inspection Report & Reconciliation Forms for details). On 9/22/2025 FDEM Grant Manager discussed the final inspection report and large project closeout for this project with Anne Brooks, Mayor representing the Madeira Beach, City of. The closeout team reviewed supporting documentation for management costs. Discrepancies were identified in the documentation reviewed and will be addressed in this version. Force account labor payroll register was reviewed to determine that all regular time was documented and that each employee was eligible for overtime. The closeout team reviewed the applicant overtime policy and benefits calculations and did not identify exempt employees included in the overtime expenses. The payroll register and proof of payment documentation were reviewed for consistency with the scope of work. Obligated costs were \$8,054.06, however the applicant submitted claimed costs of \$4,332.71, which were \$3,721.25 less than obligated. Ineligible amounts were:\$432.07 due to paystubs not provided, \$91.02 due to discrepancies between claimed hours and timesheet hours for an employee, and \$42.28 due to variances exceeding \$1 between indiviidual claimed amounts and recalculated amounts. All previous versions of this project worksheet have been obligated for a total amount of \$8,054.06. Based on an inspection of the supporting documentation, \$3,767.34 has been identified as eligible resulting in a closeout net under-run of \$4,286.72. The FDEM Grant Manager reviewed the records and recommends that this project be approved as amended. The applicant understands that this Project Worksheet Version completes the Final Project Closeout process. Yes Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster condition at site? X No Special Consideration issues included? Yes Hazard Mitigation proposal included? No X No Yes Is there insurance coverage on facility? Х Yes No CODE NARRATIVE QUANTITY/UNIT UNIT PRICE COST ITEM \$ \$ \$ \$ 9999 **Actual Documented Cost** Lump Sum 3,767.34 \$ 3,767.34 \$ 2 9999 Less Previous Version(s) Lump Sum (8,054.06)(8,054.06) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ TOTAL COST \$ (4,286.72)

PREPARED BY: Anshu Ajmera

FEMA Form 90-91, SEP 98

Anne Brooks

Applicant - Printed Name

Applicant - Signature

Date

_							
		FE	DERAL EMERGE SPECIAL CON	O.M.B. No. 3067-0151 Expires September 30, 2005			
Α	PPLICAN	Γ'S NAME	00	10.22.0.11.011	PA ID NO.	DATE	
		ach, City o	of		103-42400-00	9/22/2025	
	ROJECT				LOCATION	3/22/2023	
	anagemer				City of Madeira Beach		
	anagomo.	00010		Form must b	e filled out - for each project.		
1.	Does the da	maged facility	or item of work have	insurance and/or is	s it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equ	ipment, vehicles, etc.)	
	Yes	X No	Unsure	Comments			
		IX III	onouro	Commente			
	1						
2.	Is the dama	ged facility lo	cated within a floodpla	in or coastal high h	azard area/or does it have an impact on a	floodplain or wetland?	
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
	-						
3.	Is the dama	ged facility or	item of work located v	within or adjacent to	a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit of	or an Otherwise Protected Area?	
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
4.	Will the prop	posed facility	repairs/reconstruction	change the pre-dis	aster condition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or function)	
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
		Ш	onouro	Commente	1477 Managoment Geote		
5.	Does the ap	plicant have a	a hazard mitigation pro	posal or would the	applicant like technical assistance for a ha	zard mitigation proposal?	
	Yes	X No	Unsure	Comments			
		ш					
	-						
6.				of Historic Places	or the state historic listing? Is it older than	50 years? Are there	
	_	r buildings ne					
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
L			P 4 1 1				
7.	Are there ar	ny pristine or i	undisturbed areas on,	or near, the project	site? Are there large tracts of forestland?		
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
	1						
8	Are there ar	ny hazardous	materials at or adiace	nt to the damaged f	acility and/or item of work?		
0.	7.10 1.1010 4.1	ny mazaraoao		ni to the damaged i	domy dria of norm.		
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		
C	Are there er	w other envis	onmentally or control	areial iequae acces	ated with the damaged facility and/or item	of work?	
9.				73101 133UCS 053UC	ated with the damaged racility and/or item (	or work:	
	Yes	No	Unsure	Comments	N/A - Management Costs		