

**Countywide Rules Determination of Consistency
for Madeira Beach Comprehensive Plan Amendments (Ord. No. 2023-11)
2/10/2023**

3.1 Definitions

- Consider amending definition of *Ancillary non-residential use* to be consistent with Countywide Rules: “Off-street parking and trash receptable areas for adjacent, contiguous, nonresidential uses”
- Amend definition of *Floor Area Ratio (FAR)* from “relationship between the gross floor area on a site and gross land area” to “relationship between the gross floor area on a site and **net** land area”
- Amend definition of *Floor Area Ratio (FAR)* from “dividing by the gross land area” to “dividing by the **net** land area”
- Amend definition of *Impervious Surface Ratio (ISR)* from “relationship between the total impervious surface area on a site and the gross land area” to “relationship between the total impervious surface area on a site and the **net** land area.”
- Amend definition of *Impervious Surface Ratio (ISR)* from “the square footage of the gross land area” to “the square footage of the **net** land area.”

FLU Element, Policy 4.1.1.1

- Staff recommends clearly adding allowable uses for each residential category, consistent with the Countywide Rules
- The definitions for each Residential category outlines allowable density “excluding residential equivalent uses and institutional uses.” Staff recommends clearly outlining what the maximum allowable densities for residential equivalent uses and institutional uses.

FLU Element, Policy 4.1.1.2

Residential/Office/Retail category:

- The temporary lodging density standards for the R/O/R are **inconsistent** with the Countywide Rules. They are outlined as up to 45 UPA and should be **no more than 40 UPA as outlined by the corresponding Countywide Rules category Retail & Services** unless the Alternative Temporary Lodging Standards of Countywide Rules Section 5.2.1.3 are adopted for this category. The City is required to address this inconsistency.
- The FAR for the R/O/R category is **inconsistent** with the Countywide Rules. The FAR is outlined as 1.0 and should be **no more than 0.55 FAR as outlined by the corresponding Countywide Rules category Retail & Services**. Adopting the Alternative Temporary Standards would bring this standard into consistency for **temporary lodging uses only**. The City is required to address this inconsistency.
- Staff recommends clearly outlining what “other” uses are as referenced in the R/O/R category.

Resort Facilities Medium and High categories:

- The temporary lodging standards for the Resort Facilities Medium and Resort Facilities High categories are **partly consistent** with the Alternative Temporary Lodging Standards.
- The density and intensity standards, and the requirement for a development agreement, are consistent, but not all of the required provisions of Countywide Rules Section 5.2.1.3 have been addressed.

FLU Element, Policy 4.1.1.3

- The temporary lodging density standards for the CG category are **inconsistent** with the Countywide Rules. They are outlined as up to 60 UPA and should be **no more than 40 UPA as outlined by the corresponding Countywide Rules category Retail & Services unless the Alternative Temporary Lodging Standards are adopted for this category.** The City is required to address this inconsistency.
- The intensity standards for the CG category are **inconsistent** with the Countywide Rules. They are outlined as 1.2 FAR and should be **no more than 0.55 FAR as outlined by the corresponding Countywide Rules category Retail & Services.** Adopting the Alternative Temporary Standards would bring this standard into consistency for **temporary lodging uses only.** The City is required to address this inconsistency.
- Staff recommends clearly outlining allowable uses for the CG category.
- Staff recommends clearly outlining allowable uses for the R/OS category
- Staff recommends clearly outlining allowable uses for the Preservation category
- Staff recommends clearly outlining allowable uses for the Institutional category
- Staff recommends clearly outlining allowable uses for the T/U category

Objective 4.2.1

- Amend references from “Countywide Future Land Use Plan” to “Countywide Plan for Pinellas County” or “Pinellas County Countywide Plan”

General Comments for the Future Land Use Element

- The Future Land Use Element and some of its land use categories are inconsistent with the standards provided in the Countywide Rules. These inconsistencies are required to be addressed.
- Staff recommends including a table and/or matrix within the Future Land Use Element outlining each land use category, associated allowable uses, developmental standards and the corresponding Countywide Plan Map category for clarity. This would also help the city in identifying and addressing inconsistencies.

Coastal Management and Conservation Element General Comments

- This element should include provisions for amendments in the Coastal High Hazard Area, either verbatim or by reference (though we recommend verbatim), consistent with Section 4.2.7 of the Countywide Rules

Remaining Elements

- The remaining amendments are not subject to review for consistency with the Countywide Rules.