

Project Goals

- Custom design for the Marshall community.
 - Facility should be different than any other facility in the area to draw people to Marshall.
 - Long term vision for the aquatic center thinking beyond today's use.



• Flow and operational efficiencies of the facility for users and staff.

FTSING





- 1. Ball field is currently not used often.
- 2. Current stormwater pond location.
- 3. Possible new park shop location.
- 4. Keep skate park open during pool construction.
- 5. Blind corner is dangerous.
- 6. Large trees could be planted as batter's eye for baseball field.
- 7. Move cannon, possibly to park entrance.
- 8. Bike path could be moved to other side of river.



m

Existing Facility

Pros:

- Pool is protected, away from roadways.
- Entire facility gets used.
- Bike access to pool is good and heavily used.

Cons:

- Vehicle traffic in and out of facility gets congested.
- There is not enough parking during park events.
- Pool facility entrance does not function well.
- No zero depth entry.
- Restrooms need updating.
- There is not a good space for kids too old for Kid's Pool, but too small for the open Leisure Pool.
- There is no lawn space.
- Minimal shade at the facility.





WT



Pool Programming Exercise





Programs:

- 1. Open Swim
- 2. Learn to Swim
- 3. Competition Swimming
- 4. Lifeguard Training
- 5. Water Polo

Pool Type:

- **1. Lazy River**
- 2. Deep Water Springboard Diving
- 3. Wave Pool
- 4. Splash Pad
- 5. Competition 50M by 25YD

Features:

- **1. Iconic Water Slide**
- 2. Lazy River
- **3. Zero Depth Entry**
- 4. Water Crossing Walk
- **5. Spring Board Diving**









Bathhouse Floor Plan





Department	SF	Quantity	Total SF	Notes
Bathhouse			3,905	
Tickets	100	1	100	
Family Toilet	100	6	600	With showers
Concessions	400	1	400	
Entry/Exit Lobby	440	1	440	
Storage	120	1	120	
			1,660	
First Aid	105	1	105	Counter/sink
Break Room	275	1	275	
Manager Office	100	1	100	
Kitchenette	0	1	-	
Staff Toilets	70	1	70	1 staff toilet?
Employee Lockers	0	1	-	In break room?
			550	
Mechanical/Electrical	75	1	75	
Storage/Custodial	120	1	120	
Electrical (telecom room)	65	1	65	
Mechanical/Electrical	165	1	165	Bath house side
Janitorial Storage	75	1	75	
			500	
Men's Passage	100	1	100	
Men's Sinks	100	1	100	2 sinks
Men's Toilets	150	1	150	1 toilet, 2 urinals
Men's Showers	85	1	85	2 showers
Men's Changing	100	1	100	
			535	
Women's Passage	125	1	125	
Women's Sinks	100	1	100	2 sinks
Women's Toilets	200	1	200	3 toilets
Women's Showers	85	1	85	2 showers
Women's Changing	150	1	150	1 private changing room
			660	





FIRST FLOOR CONCEPT PLAN





By the Numbers

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



Total Water Area			
Competition Pool			
Activity Pool			
Leisure River			
Splash Pad			
Bather Capacity			
Bath House			
chanical Building			
Parking Provided			

Existing Facility:	<u>0</u>
11,124 sf	1
7,564 sf (lap pool)	
1,590 sf (deep water)	
N/A	
1,970 sf (wading pool)	
665 users	74
4,068* sf	6,
*Included above	5,
134 spaces	19
147 spaces	20

ption 'A' (25 Yard): **Option 'B' (50 Meter):** 6,987 sf 23,735 sf 12,397 sf 4,587 sf 7,000 sf 5,626 sf 3,300 sf 3,612 sf 2,100 sf 2,100 sf 44 users 1,400 users ,000 sf 6,000 sf 5,300 sf ,300 sf 99 spaces 199** spaces 05 spaces 273 spaces ****100 additional spaces available** as future expansion to west, along

Legion Field Road.



Costs

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US



WTI

Concept 'A' (25 Yard)



\$14,192,775 Total Estimated Project Costs

Concept 'B' (50 Meter)



\$16,127,455 Total Estimated Project Costs



Costs

MARSHALL CULTIVATING THE BEST IN US





Total	\$14,192,775
Soft*	\$3,258,325
Buildings	\$2,639,500
Pools	\$5,526,200
Site	\$2,768,750

*Soft Costs include owner furnished items allowance, concession equipment allowance, construction escalation, contingencies, contractor general conditions, project fees & geotechnical

STOCKWELL



SEI No. 21045

TECHNICAL MEMO

Date: September 22, 202) ·
-------------------------	-----

To: Jessie Dehn City of Marshall

From: Heidi Condon

Re: Marshall Aquatic Center Marshall, MN

Stockwell Engineers has completed a preliminary drainage analysis for proposed Marshall Aquatic Center. Surface Water Management Plan Construction Standards Section 30-45 (5)c. outline redevelopment projects that create one or more acres of new and/or fully reconstructed impervious surfaces shall manage stormwater volume and pollutants by applying the new development standard. New development projects are required to achieve no net increase of stormwater discharge volume, discharges of total suspended solids (TSS) and discharge of total phosphorus (TP) from preproject conditions. The enclosed analysis identifies preproject conditions at the existing site located at Legion Field Park and compares those values to discharges anticipated from the redeveloped site.



Design standards for stormwater detention facilities constructed in Marshall shall be designed according to the most current technology as reflected in the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) publication, *Protecting Water Quality in Urban Areas.* The proposed site at Legion Field Park lies adjacent to and drains directly into the Redwood River. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has identified the Redwood River as an impaired waterway and requires additional protection to meet the Construction General Permit (CGP).

Pre-Developed (Historic) Conditions

Stockwell Engineers used Hydraflow Hydrographs modeling software to calculate Pre-Developed Conditions hydrology, or how much runoff is generated by the site prior to development. Precipitation data was taken from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 's Atlas 14 Frequency Estimates for Marshall, MN. Pre-Developed land use of the site is assumed to be pasture land, and USGS soil survey indicates that Type C hydrologic soil groups are present over the entire site. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) TR-55 methodology was used to estimate runoff generated by the site prior to any development. The gently sloping site sheds storm runoff directly into the river to the north, east and west. Figure 1 attached depicts delineated subbasin delineations delineated from the topographic survey of the site.

ENGINEERING / LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE / SURVEYING

STOCKWELLENGINEERS.COM / 605.338.6668 / SIOUX FALLS / YANKTON



BFEs, as measured to the nearest 0.00'. This process is typically referred to as a "No-Rise" Analysis or floodway encroachment analysis and must be supported by technical data developed by a registered engineer. If the project cannot demonstrate No-Rise, the community may allow it to proceed only after the applicant applies for and receives approval from FEMA for a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR).

Approval of the CLOMR allows the community to permit the construction project as presented in the CLOMR, and construction may begin. An approved CLOMR includes a detailed review of the project by FEMA and their mapping partners. A typical CLOMR can take between 6 months and 1 year to get approval from FEMA. A CLOMR is FEMA's comment on a proposed project and does not physically change the map. Once the construction project for which the CLOMR was approved must first be completed and a follow-up Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) reflecting as-built conditions be completed to revise the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM).

Summary

This high-level analysis indicates there may be sufficient space on the site to incorporate the required storage for stormwater detention and water quality needed to meet Engineering Design Standards. The storm-water management analysis will be refined once a grading plan is completed to verify that the depths assumed in the high-level analysis can be achieved and the estimated volumes provided for. The proposed site maximizes use of open spaces and landscape areas to utilize for stormwater management.

Stockwell Engineers would recommend the site at Legion Field Park be reconsidered or revised based on work planned in the regulatory floodway. The process required to meet Federal requirements will delay the project and increase both engineering and construction costs. Any encroachment that includes the placement of fill material within the regulatory floodway will require an in-depth analysis of the Redwood River at this location. The extent of encroachment as shown in the preliminary layout will likely cause a rise significantly higher that 0.00 feet. Mitigation of this rise is unlikely, and a CLOMR would be necessary to get the project permitted.



			4						
		F	bst-Develop	ed Site [Discharges	5			
Subb	asin A	Area	CN value	Tc	Q2(cfs)	Q ₁₀ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	1	
A	\ C	0.22	83	6	0.5	0.9	1.7		
E	3 (0.83	85	6	1.9	3.4	6.8		
() (0.40	91	6	1.2	1.9	3.5		
) (0.29	76	6	0.4	0.9	2.0		
Sub	otal				3.9	7.1	14.0	BASINS A-D	
1		WE	ST POND DIS	CHARGE	1.0	1.6	4.5	\rightarrow DETENTION STORAGE = 12,000 ft ³	
S. S. S.									
E	E 1	1.60	91	6	4.7	7.8	14.1		
F	- 1	1.22	90	6	3.5	5.8	10.7		
	a 1	1.93	96	6	6.4	9.8	17.0		
Sub	otal				14.4	22.9	40.7	BASINS E-G	
a second		EAS	ST POND DIS	SCHARGE	1.3	5.0	11.8	\rightarrow DETENTION STORAGE = 40,000 ft ³	
	TOTAL	LSITEI	RUNOFF GEN	IERATEL) 18.3	29.9	54.5		
		то	FALSITE DIS	CHARGE	2.2	6.5	16.3	→ TOTAL DETENTION STORAGE = 52,000 ft	

Marshall Aquatic Center | Drainage Analysis | Marshall, MN 09/17/2021





By the Numbers

m MARSHALL



Total Water Area			
Competition Pool			
Activity Pool			
Leisure River			
Splash Pad			
Bather Capacity			
Bath House	4		
chanical Building	>		
Parking Provided			
_			

Existing Facility:	Option '
11,124 sf	19,587 s
7,564 sf (lap pool)	4,587 s
1,590 sf (deep water)	7,000 s
N/A	5,900 s
1,970 sf (wading pool)	2,100 s
665 users	1,184 us
4,068* sf	6,000 sf
*Included above	5,300 sf
134 spaces	199 spac
147 spaces	226 space

sf

sf

sf

sf

<u>`A' (25 Yard):</u> **Option 'C' (Alt. Site):** 'sf 20,387 sf 4,587 sf 6,900 sf 5,900 sf 3,000 sf 1,232 users users 6,000 sf 5,300 sf 220 spaces aces 234 spaces aces

STOCKWELLENGINEERS.COM | (605) 338-6668

Concept 'C'

MARSHALL AQUATICS CENTER IMPROVEMENTS

Marshall, MN





