Comparison of roadway impact fees

Comparison of roadway impact fees

City	Roadway Impact Fee	
Austin	High = \$5742, Low = \$1472	
Bastrop	Working on fees currently	
Bartlett	Nothing at this time	
Belton	Impact Fees do not seem appropriate, timely, or an affordable process for the community at this time, and would discourage development.	
Buda	Nothing at this time	
Elgin	Nothing at this time	
Florence	Nothing at this time	
Georgetown	High = \$4577, Low = \$1247	
Harker Heights	Nothing at this time	
Holland	Nothing at this time	
Hutto Jarrell	Residential - \$784.31 per vehicle mile; Non-residential - \$400 per vehicle mile Nothing at this time	
Kyle	Nothing at this time	
Liberty Hill	Nothing at this time	
Leander	High = \$2179, Low = \$287	
Manor	Nothing at this time	
Pflugerville	High = \$3156, Low = \$1590	
Round Rock	Increases over three years - set fee based on residential or non-residential - currently \$1,130 per residential service unit and \$628 per non-residential service unit	
Salado	Nothing at this time	
Taylor	Just updated - High = \$1,500, Low = \$710*	
Temple	Nothing at this time	
Troy	Nothing at this time	
Waco	Varies by service area and land use	

Service areas comparison

City of Manor		
Roadway Impact Fees		
Service Areas Comparison		
		Total Area of City in Sq
City	Number of Service Areas	Miles
Austin	17	319.94
Georgetown	9	59.63
Hutto	1	12.39
Leander	5	37.70
Manor	3	10.59
Pflugerville	3	25.57
Round Rock	3	38.00
Taylor	3	20.62
Waco	11	101.15

Cities without roadway impact fees

Bartlett Belton Buda Elgin Florence Harker Heights Holland Jarrell Kyle Liberty Hill Salado Temple Troy

Why cities don't have roadway impact fees currently

City of Manor		
Roadway Impact Fees		
No Impact Fee Cities		
City	Reason for not having Roadway Impact Fees	
Bartlett	Due to size, population, and limited growth there is no reason to have fees.	
Belton	Impact Fees do not seem appropriate, timely, or an affordable process for the community at this time, and would discourage development.	
Buda	Looking into roadway impact fees	
Elgin	Roadway improvements would be required on state roads; impact fees cannot be used on these.	
Florence	Due to size, population, and limited growth there is no reason to have fees.	
Harker Heights	Just instituted wastewater impact fee for specific area of City; no reason at this time to create roadway impact fees.	
Holland	Due to size, population, and limited growth there is no reason to have fees.	
Jarrell	Do not have impact fee advisory committee	
Kyle	Focusing on water/wastewater update	
Liberty Hill	Impact Fees do not seem appropriate, timely, or an affordable process for the community at this time, and would discourage development.	
Salado	Concerned about how it would affect development	
Temple	Nothing at this time	
Troy	Due to size, population, and limited growth there is no reason to have fees.	